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Abstract

In this work, we report the published cases of human and porcine cysticercosis, as well as Taenia solium taeniasis diagnosed in Mexico during the last 10 years. Numerical data allow us to state that this disease remains as a public health problem in our country. Whereas efficient tools have been developed for the diagnosis and prevention of cysticercosis, we strongly recommend further measures allowing the control and eventual eradication of this parasite in Mexico.
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Taeniasis and cysticercosis are endemic in most of Latin America, Asia and Africa countries.1 However, the burden of this endemic disease is not easy to appraise due to some characteristics of the infection, namely: 1) human infection with the adult form of the parasite (taeniasis) is mostly asymptomatic; 2) a large proportion of the humans infected by the larvae (cysticercus) are also asymptomatic; 3) taeniasis diagnosis relies on laboratory techniques not routinely made, and 4) accurate diagnosis of human neurocysticercosis (NC) requires costly neuro-radiological studies not available to the whole population at risk. The scarcity and/or uncertainty of the epidemiological data on taeniasis and cysticercosis have raised some debate and led to unsound conclusions.

Herein, we review and summarize the published studies reporting Taenia solium infections in humans and pigs in Mexico during the last decade.
Methodology

In this study, a PubMed revision of the papers published in the last ten years containing data on Taenia solium taeniasis and on human or porcine cysticercosis in Mexico is presented. Different combinations of the following key words were used: "Taenia solium, cysticercosis, epidemiology, epilepsy, Mexico". In this review we included only those publications in which: 1) the diagnostic criteria were clearly defined; 2) NC diagnosis was made between 2000 and 2010, or, (since in many publications date of diagnosis is not cited) either vesicular (viable) or colloidal (inflammatory) parasites were included between 2000 and 2010. The articles published within these years but without information for dating the diagnosis of human or porcine cysticercosis or for dating the period of inclusion of the patients and the evolution stage of the parasites were not included.

Results

Table I presents the results found in the PubMed database. As can be seen, several studies demonstrate the persistence of human and porcine T. solium cysticercosis in Mexico. We did not find any publication about the diagnosis of intestinal T. solium tapeworm carriers.

Discussion

There is no doubt that epidemiological transition is ongoing in Mexico, as shown by the increasing incidence of metabolic, neoplastic and degenerative diseases. Nonetheless, this trend gives no good reason for disregarding the persistence of infectious diseases in Mexico, mainly those linked with poverty.

Data presented in table I illustrates that the Taenia solium life-cycle is still present in Mexico: up to 32% of porcine cysticercosis prevalence in some communities and about 500 cases of human NC were diagnosed and reported in the last ten years. Although it is evident that these data are incomplete and do not represent the real burden of human NC and porcine cysticercosis in Mexico, since only a small proportion of the cysticercotic cases are published, these data are sufficient evidence that Taenia solium is still a public health problem in Mexico.

In one recent paper, mainly based on the results of official statistics on human NC morbidity, the opposite conclusion was reached. The divergence between the evidence herein shown and those published could be due to differences in the accuracy of NC diagnosis. Most of the studies shown in table I came from medical institutions in which NC diagnosis was made using modern radiological technology; in contrast, no information in this respect is given in official statistics, and probably, diagnosis is performed by procedures not equally qualified. Differences in the reliability of the data source could also be involved. According to the official statistics, approximately 400 new NC cases were reported throughout Mexico in 2004. In clear contrast, 120 new NC cases were reported in only one neurological institution (INNN) this same years. It appears unlikely that a single institution concentrated 25% of all national NC cases in one year, especially considering the huge differences in sample sizes. Indeed, official statistics come from the entire population of Mexico (circa 112 x 10^6 inhabitants), while INNN data include only few thousands of Mexican adults. Such a dispropor tion points to a possible significant under-registration of NC in the nationwide sample, which occurs even though NC notification is mandatory. The clinical heterogeneity of NC, and the lack of access by a large part of the Mexican population to neuroradiological studies, indispensable for accurate NC diagnosis, could contribute to the nationwide NC under-diagnosis. Such hypothesis is further strengthened by the results of the two CT scan epidemiological studies performed in rural communities of central Mexico, which reported that 9 to 10% of apparently healthy residents had cranial CT scan images compatible with NC (table I).

Some considerations about the appropriateness of whether considering a parasitic disease as a "public health problem" or not have to be mentioned. “Public Health” is “the science and art of preventing disease, prolonging life and promoting health through the organized efforts and informed choices of society, organizations, public and private, communities and individuals”. Based on this definition, a public health problem can be defined as a problem which occurs frequently and widely, causes severe disability and suffering, may be effectively dealt with, and treated by ways and means acceptable to patients, their families, and society. Undoubtedly, NC satisfies the three latter points, as it may cause severe disability and suffering, there are effective methods for dealing with it, current treatments are acceptable to all involved. The issue here is the first point: ¿What is the frequency of NC in Mexico? Data in table I does not answer the question with an acceptable level of precision, but this question can be substituted by: What is the number of NC cases which public health authorities may consider as an “acceptable” proof that NC still merits their attention? In this respect, table I confirms that the absolute number of NC cases is costly in terms of suffering for stricken...
individuals and of resources for public health institutions in Mexico. On this same matter, it is interesting to note the attitude taken by the authors of a recent paper, in which the 78 NC cases acquired inside the United States between 1954 and 2005 were reported. The authors comment: “Several factors, including the severe, potentially fatal, nature of cysticercosis; its fecal-oral route of transmission; the considerable economic effect; the availability of a sensitive and specific serologic test for infection by adult *Taenia solium* tapeworms; and the demonstrated ability to find a probable source of infection among contacts, all provide a compelling rationale for implementation of public health control efforts”. The differences between these US authors’ proposal with some Mexican voices claiming “NC is no longer a public health problem in Mexico” are striking, as the number of NC cases in Mexico is much greater than in this country.

It must also be noted that, as shown in table I, the social conditions allowing the parasite to complete its

---

**Table I**

**Epidemiological studies on taeniasis/cysticercosis in Mexico**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Place of the study</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Diagnosis based on (serology, radiology)</th>
<th>Number of subject included</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohn-Zurita et al., 2006</td>
<td>México DF (CMN Siglo XXI)</td>
<td>Case-report</td>
<td>MRI*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chavarria et al., 2006</td>
<td>México DF, (INNN)</td>
<td>Case-series; diagnosed between 2000-2003</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortiz-Trejo et al., 2006</td>
<td>Zacatecas (Public general Hospital)</td>
<td>Case-series; diagnosed between January and September 2004</td>
<td>CT scan</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvarado-Esquível et al., 2008</td>
<td>Durango (Psychiatric Hospital)</td>
<td>Sample of psychiatric patients</td>
<td>Serology, ELISA and WB</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8 (ELISA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimenez-Vazquez and Nagore, 2008</td>
<td>Morelia, Michoacan (Public general Hospital)</td>
<td>Case-report of vesicular NC</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimenez-Vazquez and Nagore, 2008</td>
<td>Morelia, Michoacan (Public general Hospital)</td>
<td>Case report of vesicular NC</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio de la Loza and Meza, 2008</td>
<td>Hermosillo, Sonora</td>
<td>Case-report of colloidal parasites</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Góngora et al., 2008</td>
<td>México DF, (INNN)</td>
<td>Case-series of vesicular parasites included between 2000-2003</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torres-Corzo et al., 2009</td>
<td>San-Luis Potosí (Central Hospital)</td>
<td>Case-series of neuro-endoscopies made between 1/2003 and 6/2006</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suastegui et al., 2009</td>
<td>10 different Mexican epileptic centers</td>
<td>Samples of late-onset epileptic patients (debut in 2000 or after)</td>
<td>CT scan</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleury et al., 2010</td>
<td>México DF, (INNN)</td>
<td>All NC patients diagnosed at INNN in 2004</td>
<td>CT scan +/- MRI</td>
<td>4706</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cárdenas et al., 2011</td>
<td>México DF, (INNN)</td>
<td>Case-report</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population based studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleury et al., 2003</td>
<td>Tepeztinta, Puebla</td>
<td>Population-based, made in 2001</td>
<td>CT scan</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleury et al., 2006</td>
<td>Cuentepec, Morelos</td>
<td>Population-based, made in 2003</td>
<td>CT scan</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine-cysticercosis studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al., 2002</td>
<td>Cuentepec, Morelos</td>
<td>Sample of pigs</td>
<td>Tongue inspection</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al., 2006</td>
<td>State of Morelos</td>
<td>Sample of pigs</td>
<td>Tongue inspection</td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al., 2008</td>
<td>Sierra de Huautla, Morelos</td>
<td>Sample of pigs</td>
<td>Tongue inspection</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Magnetic Resonance Imaging
† Not appropriate
‡ It is possible that some patients were included in these two studies
life cycle persist in rural areas of Mexico, and porcine cysticercosis is prevalent in such areas,\textsuperscript{19,31} while, to our knowledge, no cases of porcine cysticercosis have been reported in the United States of America. Finally, a recent report from the WHO Expert Consultation on Foodborne Trematode Infections and Taeniasis / Cysticercosis\textsuperscript{32} is in accord with our view, stating: “Cysticercosis is endemic in much of Latin America, with “hot spots” of the disease in Mexico (e.g. Yucatan, Guanajuato, Guerrero, Morelos, Puebla), several Central American countries, such as Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua, the Andean countries of Bolivia, Ecuador and Peru (e.g. Tumbes), Colombia, Venezuela and northern Brazil”, and also that “Neurocysticercosis is a significant public health problem in the entire region, while porcine cysticercosis is a constraint to food security and a major cause of income loss”.

NC, as other infectious diseases, is still an embarrassing health problem of Mexico since it is clearly related to the poverty prevailing in under-developed countries. It is not gratuitous to reflect more deeply on the possible causes of the persistence of \textit{Taenia solium} infection in Mexico. As it is well known, completion of the life cycle of \textit{Taenia solium} is clearly related with under-development, as it requires of outdoor defecation and free roaming pigs. As reported by Flisser & Correa,\textsuperscript{21} global indices of Mexican national development have improved in recent years, posing the question as to why does \textit{T. solium} transmission cycle still prevail in Mexico. This is probably due to the fact that global indices of national development are not appropriate descriptors of all sectors in Mexico, where extreme social and economic disparities subsist. As table II\textsuperscript{33} shows, Mexican population income is quite disparate among deciles. Indeed, total current income can be divided in three parts, one of them corresponding to 10\% of the population in Mexico, the richest one; the other one corresponding to 30\% of the population, the middle class, and the third one to 60\% of the population, the poor in Mexico. Such an unequal distribution of the national income lasts since 2002 to 2008 at least, without a significant tendency to improve with time. The social disparity among Mexican inhabitants is also clear when comparing the income in rural community population (less than 2 500 inhabitants) versus the income of urban population (more than 2 500 inhabitants). As shown in table III,\textsuperscript{33} mean income per person grew only by 1.4\% in rural areas whilst 10.8\% in urban areas between 2002 and 2008. These data clearly illustrate that, although global socio-economic indices of Mexico show a positive tendency within these years, a significant part of its population (60\%) –the poor and the rural of Mexico– are not included in the mentioned improvement, and it is in these areas where \textit{Taenia solium} life-cycle finds conditions to persist.

\textbf{Conclusion}

All these data and arguments indicate to the persistence of \textit{Taenia solium} transmission in Mexico at levels which are–to our mind–still too high. Consequently, Mexican health authorities must continue to consider NC as a public health problem, and the available measures for its control have to be applied in order to prevent and control this disease.
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\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Distribution of the total current income (three months' average) in deciles of households (percentage)\textsuperscript{18}}
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline
\hline
Deciles I to VI & 27.0 & 26.9 & 27.6 & 26.7 \\
Deciles VII to IX & 37.4 & 36.9 & 36.7 & 37.0 \\
Decile X & 35.6 & 36.2 & 35.7 & 36.3 \\
Total & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Total current income (three months' average) per household and its variation, according to community size, 2002-2008\textsuperscript{18}}
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline
Size of the community & \\
\multicolumn{2}{c}{With 2 500 or more inhabitants} & \\
\multicolumn{2}{c}{With less than 2 500 inhabitants} \\
\hline
Year & Households & Average Income* & Households & Average Income* \\
\hline
2002 & 18 759 449 & 37 312 & 5 772 182 & 18 019 \\
2004 & 19 809 869 & 38 431 & 5 751 578 & 18 171 \\
2006 & 20 685 257 & 41 677 & 5 856 070 & 21 834 \\
2008 & 21 345 884 & 41 341 & 5 386 710 & 18 276 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\footnotesize{* Mexican pesos}
\end{table}
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