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Right portal vein embolization by laparoscopic catheterization of the inferior mesenteric vein

Embolização percutânea da veia porta por acesso laparoscópico da veia mesentérica inferior

Marcus Vinicius Martins Cury¹, Fernanda Mesquita de Brito Castro¹, Lister Arruda Modesto Santos², Sandra Lucia Lodi Peres², Roberto Sacilotto¹

Abstract
Right portal vein embolization is often performed to prevent liver insufficiency after major hepatic resection. The procedure usually involves direct puncture of the portal vein, which requires hepatic hilum manipulation, and may be associated with liver injury, pneumothorax, and hemoperitoneum. This report describes a technique of laparoscopic insertion of a sheath into the inferior mesenteric vein followed by right portal vein embolization.
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Resumo
Habitualmente, a embolização do ramo direito da veia porta é realizada para prevenir insuficiência hepática após uma ressecção hepática estendida. Geralmente, este procedimento é realizado por punção direta da veia porta, resultando, ocasionalmente, em lesão hepática, pneumotórax e hemoperitônio. No presente relato, descrevemos uma alternativa ao acesso direto à porta, através da cateterização percutânea da veia mesentérica inferior com o auxílio da dissecação videolaparoscópica.
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INTRODUCTION

Preoperative right portal vein (RPV) embolization is a safe and effective procedure to prevent postoperative liver insufficiency after major hepatectomy. Its main outcome is hypertrophy of the non-embolized hepatic lobe, and it has been used during resection of liver metastases and in the management of hepatobiliary malignancies.

RPV embolization is most often performed percutaneously, but this approach is sometimes associated with complications, such as pneumothorax, hemoperitoneum, and subcapsular hepatic hematoma. Alternatively, portal vein access may be achieved via the ileocolic veins.

This report describes the percutaneous access of the inferior mesenteric vein (IMV) with laparoscopic dissection followed by RPV embolization.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 62-year-old woman with diabetes, seen in an emergency department, presented with progressive jaundice, asthenia, and weight loss that persisted for 15 days. Laboratory tests revealed elevated levels of serum bilirubin and hepatic canalicular enzyme. Additionally, a computed tomography (CT) scan showed moderate intrahepatic and extrahepatic biliary dilatation, with no evidence of biliary calculus.

Because of the presence of progressive jaundice, the patient was referred to percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage. Cholangiography revealed an extrahepatic compressive lesion, suggestive of Klatskin tumor. An 8.5 F external drain was inserted into the right and left biliary duct, which relieved the patient’s symptoms. As the patient would have to undergo extended hepatectomy to treat the cholangiocarcinoma, preoperative portal vein embolization was scheduled.

With the patient under general anesthesia, her abdominal cavity was examined using laparoscopy. No metastases were detected, and the IMV was dissected. After isolating about 3 cm of the IMV, the laparoscopic pneumoperitoneum was slightly reduced. An 18 G needle was percutaneously inserted into the left flank and advanced to the IMV. A 5 F sheath was placed (Figure 1), and direct catheter portography was performed (Figure 2). A 0.035” hydrophilic guide wire was advanced through the IMV to the portal vein. Then, a 5 F Berenstein catheter was advanced to the RPV branches, which were embozized with polyvinyl alcohol (100-300 µm), absorbable Gelfoam, and COOK Nester coils. Final venography confirmed that the procedure was successfully completed (Figure 3), the sheath was removed, and hemostasis was achieved by means of IMV clip ligation.

Eight weeks later, a CT scan revealed left hepatic lobe hypertrophy (Figure 4), and right extended hepatectomy (segments IV-VIII) was performed. Postoperatively, the patient had no signs of liver insufficiency. Unfortunately, she died 30 days after the extended hepatectomy because of catheter-related sepsis.

DISCUSSION

Several studies have evaluated the morbidity and mortality of RPV embolization before major hepatectomy. In a prospective study of consecutive patients, Abdalla et al. found no differences in mortality after hepatectomy between patients with or without preoperative RPV embolization.
Surgical ligation of the RPV is an alternative method to induce hypertrophy without requiring embolization. This procedure was originally performed by Are et al.\(^7\) using a laparoscopic approach. They reported that the main advantages of this method were ease of evaluating the abdominal cavity and avoidance of hepatic injuries. Robles et al.\(^8\) conducted a comparative study between surgical ligation and embolization of the portal vein. They concluded that RPV embolization was more effective than surgical ligation in inducing liver hypertrophy, and that it increased functional remnant liver volume.

A no-touch technique has also been described for portal vein manipulation. Bueno et al.\(^9\) conducted a laparotomy followed by ileocolic vein catheterization, which resulted in successful portal vein clot fragmentation in pediatric patients with portal vein thrombosis after liver transplantation. Laparoscopic ileocolic vein dissection was also reported as an alternative to RPV embolization before major liver resection.\(^{10}\)

In summary, we described an approach to laparoscopic dissection of the IMV as an alternative to RPV embolization. We believe that this approach decreases hepatic hilar injury and facilitates abdominal cavity evaluation for cancer staging.
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