

Interamerican Journal of Psychology

ISSN: 0034-9690 rip@ufrgs.br

Sociedad Interamericana de Psicología Organismo Internacional

Castañeda, Donna; Gómez Bastidas, Enrique
HIV/AIDS Risk Behavior of Mexican WomenWorking in a Maquiladora
Interamerican Journal of Psychology, vol. 39, núm. 2, 2005, pp. 267-274
Sociedad Interamericana de Psicología
Austin, Organismo Internacional

Disponible en: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=28439211



Número completo

Más información del artículo

Página de la revista en redalyc.org



Revista Interamericana de Psicología/Interamerican Journal of Psychology - 2005, Vol

HIV/AIDS Risk Behavior of Mexican Working in a Maqui

San Diego State University, Imperial Valley Cam Enrique Gómez F Universidad Autónoma de Baja California

Compendio

El objetivo de este estudio era explorar el comportamiento de riesgo de VIH/SIDA en 101 mujeres trabaja maquiladora en Mexicali, Baja California. Las participantes llenaron donde se les pregunto sobre el uso de du y alcohol; comportamiento de riesgo sexual; y información demografica. El uso de drogas casi no se obser presente el uso de alcohol. Se encontró que era mas probable que solteras y mujeres que habían participado e hubieran ingerido alcohol durante los seis meses anteriores. El uso más común de agujas era para inyecta medicamentos legales; ninguna participante compartió agujas o limpió una aguja para usarla otra vez. La act mas frecuente fue el sexo vaginal, pero el uso de condones fue bajo. Se encontró que teniendo sexo con ur tiene otras parejas femininas sexuales y teniendo sex con una pareja que estaba bajo la influencia de a relacionada significativamente con el uso de condones durante sexo vaginal. Mujeres que tenían sexo con ar y hombres reportaban el numero mas alto de parejas masculinas.

Palabras clave: VIH; Sindrome de Inmunodeficiencia; conducta de riesgo; prevención del SIDA.

Conducta Riesgo al VIH/SIDA de Mujeres Mexicanas Trabajando en una Maquil

Abstract

The objective of this study was to explore the HIV-related risk behavior and knowledge of 101 women workers in Mexicali, Baja California. Participants filled out a questionnaire asking about use of drugs, needles sexual risk behavior; and demographic information. Drug use was nearly nonexistent, but alcohol use was p women and those who engaged in vaginal sex were significantly more likely to use alcohol in the past six mon common use of needles was to inject vitamins and legal medications; no participants shared needles or clean use it again. Vaginal intercourse was the most frequent sexual activity, but condom use was low. Having se who has other female partners and who was under the influence of alcohol were significantly related to condovaginal sex. Women who indicated they had sex with both women and men reported the highest number partners.

Keywords: HIV; Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome; risk behavior; AIDS prevention.

The first case of a woman diagnosed with AIDS in Mexico occurred in 1985 (del Río-Zolezzi et al. 1995). Since that time the number of women living with HIV/AIDS in Mexico has increased and they now make up 14.3% of the total estimated AIDS cases in Mexico (Magis-Rodríguez, Bravo-García, & Rivera Reyes, 2000). Just as in the U.S., heterosexual contact is the leading exposure category for HIV/AIDS among women in Mexico; unlike the U.S., exposure to HIV/AID through injection drug use is less than 1% among both women and men (UNAIDS/WHO Working Group on Global HIV/AIDS

that have proliferated in the last 10-1: Mexican states adjacent to the U.S.-M

Women constitute approximate employees in the maquiladora industry Border and Regional Economic Stu-Indicators, 2001, May). Women working to be young, single, and either child although the number of older and maincreasing (Guendelman & Silberg 1991, 1994; von Glascoe, Vázquez, González

such as exposure to chemicals, ergonomic risks, noise, and work-related stress (Cedillo Becerril, Harlow, Sánchez, & Sánchez Monroy, 1997; La Botz, 1994; Moure-Eraso et al. 1997); suffer greater musculoskeletal complaints (Harlow, Becerril, Scholten, Sánchez Monroy, & Sánchez, 1999; Moure-Eraso et al. 1997); and may not receive adequate safety training or personal protection equipment (Takaro, Gonzalez Arroyo, Brown, Brumis, & Knight, 1999). In addition, at least one study of reproductive outcomes suggests that maquiladora women workers in both the electronics and garment industries are more likely to have lower birthweight babies than women service workers (Eskenazi, Guendelman, Elkin, & Jasis, 1994). Finally, women working in maguiladoras have been subject to sexual harassment and sex discrimination on the job, with little protection from the Mexican government or from international factory owners, and little power or resources to alter this situation (Human Rights Watch 1999; Nathan, 1999).

To date, almost no studies have examined the HIV risk of women who work in maquiladoras even though their younger ages, low income and education levels, and exposure to both work-related health hazards and sexual harassment may directly or indirectly place them at higher risk. The purpose of this study is to add to existing research on risk factors associated with HIV/AIDS among Mexican women, focusing on women working in maquiladoras. Specifically, we explored women's risk due to their sexual behavior, and drug, needle, and alcohol use. We also examined their HIV test history and status. Because so little research is available on HIV risk behavior of women working in maquiladoras, exploratory analyses were performed to uncover the predictors of women's alcohol use and condom use behavior.

Method

Participants

The convenience sample of 101 women was recruited from a power tool assembly plant in Mexicali, Baja California that employed approximately 1500 persons; of these approximately 600 were women. The average age of participants was 29.62 years (SD=7.46) and ranged from 18 to 49. Median educational level was completion of the U.S. equivalent of ninth grade. The

California (Castañeda, 1999). In particular, drug, needle, and alcohol use behavior iter study were used here. The questionnaire Spanish and back-translated using techniquand VanOss Marin (1991) to assure emeanings. The final Spanish version of the reviewed for understandability by three wworked in Mexicali and who spoke Spatheir input, small revisions were made in The questionnaire contained 50 closefollowing four areas:

- 1. Eight items regarding participal including age, education, employment star level, marital status. For those women not asked whether they had a current close For persons who were not married and it current close relationship partner, a follow the gender of the close relationship participants to describe their sexual prefer (prefer sexual partners of opposite sex), sexual partners of the same sex), bise partners of both sexes), or other.
- 2. Six items regarding HIV/AIDS status whether currently receiving medical care; whether participant had ever had an HIV facility in which test took place); and HIV risk perception was measured on a scale of high risk.
- 3. Twelve items on use of alcohol, drug such as paint or glue, marijuana, metheroin), and needles (use of needles to invitamins/medications, sharing a needle for drugs, or body piercing, cleaning a need measured 1 = never to 5 = very frequently all questions in this section was the last significant.
- 4. Nineteen items on sexual and co measured 1 = never to 5 = very frequenthese was an item that asked how often a pto a partner about using a condom in the time frame for all questions in this sect months.

inns.

incentive to participate in the study and it instructed women interested in participating to go to the plant clinic and include their name on a list. Because this study was designed to be a small, preliminary study, incentive resources were available for up to 100 participants only. Therefore, the interested participant list contained spaces for this number of participants only. After six days the lists were removed from the clinic as the required 100 participant slots had been filled. (One extra person arrived to fill out the questionnaire without having previously included her name on the sign-up list and she was included in the study, for a total of 101 participants.)

During the data collection period, women who had included their names on the clinic list arrived at a conference room in the plant and, upon arrival, a research assistant presented each participant with an informed consent form. After reading the informed consent form the participant signed it and put it into a manila envelope, sealed it, and returned it to one of the research assistants. No women declined to participate after reading the informed consent form. The participant was then given the 10-page questionnaire to fill out and another manila envelope; after completing the questionnaire, she was instructed to put it into the manila envelope, seal it, and return the envelope again to a research assistant. When the completed questionnaire was returned, each participant received \$ 60.00 pesos for her participation along with a list of community social and health resources.

Results

HIV Test History and Status

In the last 5 years, 17 women (16.83%) reported they had an HIV test; 12 (70.5%) of these women had their test in Mexicali, one (5.8%) in Tijuana, and four (23.5%) in other locations in Mexico. In addition, 11 of the 17 women (64.7%) received their HIV test at public hospitals, five (29.4%) in private laboratories, and one (5.8%) in a university-based HIV program. One woman indicated she was HIV positive and was currently receiving treatment for her illness. The mean HIV risk perception score was $2.10 \ (SD=1.14)$, although the modal response was $1 \ or \ no \ risk$.

Drug, Needle, and Alcohol Use

Sexual Risk Behavior

In the last 6 months, 72 (71.2%) we

men (ranging from 1-13 partners). Ex

who reported 13 male sex partners in average number of male partners was median and modal number of male sex of 5 (4.9%) women reported sex with 1-2 partners), with an average number of 1.00 (SD = .81), and a median and m sex partners of one. Of the five women sex with women, two also had sex w participant who reported 13 men sex participants both had the highest numbe in the sample (one reported seven and men sexual partners). Eighty-six wor their sexual orientation as heterose homosexual, and two (2%) as other (u women did not respond to the sexual cross tabulation showed that of the five sex with women, two indicated their homosexual, and three as heterosexual also had sex with men reported their heterosexual.) A second cross-tabulation woman who reported herself as HIV po as homosexual. No women indicated with someone who was HIV positive (16.8%) indicated they were currently Again, because many results for the sex were skewed, item responses wer categories, never versus all others (i frequently, very frequently). Table 1 d and percentages, in descending order, f for the last 6 months. For vaginal, corresponding condom use frequenc The sexual risk behavior with the higher nal sex, followed by oral sex, had se was under the influence of alcohol, a were under the influence of alcohol. C for anal sex and lowest for oral sex indicated they had sex with a partner and three (2.9) women indicated they

Table 1.

Frequencies and Percentagens for Sexual Risk Behavior (last 6 months)

| In the last 6 months have you | N | % |
|---|----|------|
| Had vaginal sex | 61 | 60.3 |
| Used condom when you had vaginal sex | 20 | 32.7 |
| Had oral sex | 35 | 34.6 |
| Used a condom when you had oral sex | 2 | 1.9 |
| Had sex with a partner who was under the influence of alcohol | 21 | 20.7 |
| Had sex when you were under the influence of alcohol | 20 | 19.8 |
| Had sex with a man who has other female sex partners | 18 | 17.8 |
| Had anal sex | 18 | 17.8 |
| Used a condom when you had anal sex | 7 | 6.9 |
| Been forced to have sex when you did not want to have sex | 17 | 16.8 |
| Had partner who refused to use a condom | 7 | 6.9 |
| Had sex with a partner who was high on drugs | 4 | 3.9 |
| Had sex to make money | 4 | 3.9 |
| Had sex with partner who injects drugs | 3 | 2.9 |
| Had sex with someone for a place to stay | 2 | 1.9 |
| Had sex with a man who has sex with other men | 1 | |
| Had sex when you were high on drugs | 0 | |
| Had sex to get drugs | 0 | |

demographic variables that had adequate frequencies for analysis. In the second stage, variables in these two first regression analyses that were moderately associated with drank beer, wine or liquor or condom use with vaginal sex (p < .02) were then included in a subsequent, reduced logistic regression model with each dependent variable. Below these stages for the two dependent variables are described.

Alcohol Use

The first logistic regression model with *drank beer, wine, or liquor* as the dependent variable included the following sexual risk behavior and demographic predictor variables: *oral sex; anal sex; vaginal sex; had sex with a partner who was under the influence of alcohol; had sex with a man who has other female sex partners; and <i>been forced to have sex when you did not want to have sex; marital status* (married, unmarried); *education* (equivalent of 9th grade and below, above equivalent of 9th grade); and *age*. The sexual risk variable *had sex when you were under the influence of alcohol* had an

dependent variable. A test of the full model against a constant-only model was signift 16.14, p<.01, indicating that, as a set, distinguished between participants who us who did not. *Marital status* was significant use, in that single women were more likely wine, or liquor in the past six months the According to the Wald criterion, women *ginal sex* in the last six months were more beer, wine, or liquor than women who did sex. The effect of *age* on alcohol use apprint that younger women were more likely thave drunk beer, wine, or liquor in the past

Condom use

The variable *currently trying to* significantly associated with condom use (r=-.29, p<.05), therefore, it was includ both the first and second regression mode

Table 2. First Logistic Regression Analysis with Drank Beer, Wine, or Liquor as the Dependent Var

| Variables | B | Wald test | Odds Ratio | 95% |
|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Age | -0.085 | 2.39 | 0.92 | 0.85- |
| Education | -0.172 | 0.04 | 0.84 | 0.17- |
| Marital status | 2.193 | 5.78 | 8.96 | 1.50- |
| Oral sex | 0.972 | 1.14 | 2.64 | 0.44- |
| Anal sex | 1.506 | 1.85 | 4.51 | 0.51- |
| Vaginal sex | 2.050 | 4.10 | 7.77 | 1.07- |
| Had sex with a Partner who was | | | | |
| under the influence of alcohol | 0.477 | 0.26 | 1.61 | 0.26- |
| Had sex with a man who has | | | | |
| other female sex partners | 1.687 | 1.02 | 5.40 | 0.20- |
| Forced to have sex when you | | | | |
| did not want to have sex | -0.908 | 1.02 | 0.40 | 0.07- |
| | | | | |

Table 3.

Second, Reduced Logistic Regression Analysis with Drank Beer, Wine, or Liquor in a Months as the Dependent Variable

| Variables | B | Wald test | Odds Ratio | 95% CI |
|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|---------------|
| Marital status | 1.560 | 1.83 | 4.76 | 1.20-18.89 |
| Age | -0.078 | 3.11 | 0.92 | 0.85-1.00.077 |
| Anal sex | 1.056 | 1.83 | 2.88 | 0.63-13.27 |
| Vaginal sex | 2.272 | 5.72 | 9.70 | 1.51-62.56 |

condom use was included as a predictor variable here as well. Simultaneous entry of variables was used at each step of the regression analyses.

Table 4 summarizes results from the first logistic regression model. At step 1, the variable *currently trying to get pregnant* was significantly associated with condom use, in that those trying to get pregnant were less likely to use a condom. At step 2, with all predictors in the model, there was no significant improvement in prediction, $\chi^2(8, N=60) = 12.07$, p > .05. However, examination of individual predictors indicate that the variables *currently trying to get pregnant; talked to a partner about condom use; had sex with a partner under the influence of alcohol*; and *had sex with a man who has other female partners* were all significant at p < .02 and they were included as predictor variables in the second, reduced logistic regression model.

TELL 5

significance. Participants who had sex under the influence of alcohol were les with vaginal sex than those who did not who was under the influence of alcoho

Discussion

These preliminary results highligh low risk for HIV in some respects. A women reported use of needles to in and vitamins, they almost never used sex with drugs, shared needles, or re-us the majority of women were not engage with multiple or anonymous male par sexually active with one male partner in

The low risk for HIV due to womer use behavior found in this study is cons

Table 4.

First Logistic Regression Analyses with Used Condom with Vaginal Sex as the Dependent Variation.

| Variables | В | Wald test | Odds Ratio | 95% |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|----------|
| Step 1 | | | | |
| Currently trying to get pregnant | -2.408 | 3.59 | 0.13 | 0.02 - 1 |
| Step 2 | | | | |
| Currently trying to get pregnant | -2.864 | 5.34 | 0.05 | 0.01-0 |
| Age | -0.055 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.85 - 1 |
| Education | -0.060 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.23 - 3 |
| Marital status | -0.869 | 1.36 | 0.42 | 0.09 - 1 |
| Talked to a partner about | | | | |
| using a condom | 1.690 | 3.67 | 5.42 | 0.96 - 3 |
| Had sex when you were under the | | | | |
| were under the influence of alcohol | 1.105 | 1.49 | 3.02 | 0.51 - 1 |
| Had sex with a partner who was under | | | | |
| the influence of alcohol | -1.683 | 3.38 | 0.18 | 0.03 - 1 |
| Had sex with a man who has other | | | | |
| female sex partners | 1.412 | 2.49 | 4.10 | 0.71 - 2 |
| Forced to have sex when you did not | | | | |
| want to have sex | 0.395 | 0.18 | 1.48 | 0.24-9 |

Table 5.
Second, Reduced Logistic Regression Analyses with Used Condom with Vaginal Sex as the Depe

| | | | 0 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|
| Variables | В | Wald test | Odds Ratio | 95% |
| Step 1 | | | | |
| Currently trying to get pregnant | -2.014 | 3.48 | 0.13 | 0.02- |
| Step 2 | | | | |
| Currently trying to get pregnant | -2.229 | 3.73 | 0.11 | 0.11-1 |
| Talked to a partner about | | | | |
| using a condom | 1.194 | 2.58 | 3.30 | 0.77- |
| Had sex with a partner who was under | ſ | | | |
| the influence of alcohol | 1.536 | 3.45 | 0.22 | 0.04-1 |
| Had sex with a man who has other | | | | |
| female sex partners | 1.736 | 4.54 | 5.68 | 1.15- |
| | | | | |

The degree of alcohol use by participants in this study stood out relative to their drug use. In addition, alcohol appears to play a role in sexual behavior as seen in the high proportion (relative to other sexual risk behaviors) of women who had sex with a partner who was under the influence of alcohol or when they themselves were under the influence of alcohol. This result is surprising because Mexican cultural norms current alcohol consumption by man, but proscribe it for

education on the risk of alcohol use and ube needed, particularly among younger, active women.

Condom use in this sample was heterosexual contact is the leading exposu among women in Mexico (Magis-Rodríg & Uribe Zúñiga, 2003; UNAIDS/WHO

pregnancy is not clear from this study, but this is a positive finding.

On the other hand, having sex with a partner who is under the influence of alcohol is associated with less condom use. For women, initiating condom use when a partner is under the influence of alcohol may be more difficult and women may hesitate to attempt to convince a partner to do so, or may be less successful when they try. This result highlights again the need and importance of greater HIV education, skill building, and prevention efforts surrounding alcohol use and sexuality.

Ultimately, a comprehensive approach to condom promotion among women in maquiladoras must also include a focus on men, either in men-only or couple programs (Acosta-Pérez & Peragallo, 2001; Amaro, 1995; Amaro & Raj, 2000; Hirsch, Higgins, Bentley, & Nathanson, 2002; Pulerwitz et al. 2002). Condom use is a male behavior, whereas for women condom use involves seeking a partner's cooperation to use condoms. The behavioral skills and psychological and social dynamics involved in condom use are quite different for women and men, and HIV prevention efforts must reflect these differences (Amaro, 1995; Amaro & Raj, 2000).

Because women in this sample were not engaging in typical HIV risk behaviors and most women in this sample were in an ongoing relationship with one male partner, they may be less likely to see the need for protective measures (Hirsch et al. 2002), and this is reflected in the low perception of risk for HIV and rate of HIV testing found in this sample. A focus on the close relationship context and elements such as intimacy, commitment, and caring in HIV prevention interventions may increase the relevancy and effectiveness of HIV/AIDS risk reduction efforts with this group (Castañeda, 2000; Castañeda & Collins, 1998). In addition, HIV prevention programs with women working in maquiladoras must be sensitive to and understand gendered power differentials in heterosexual relationships (Jenkins, 2000; Pulerwitz et al. 2002; Quina, Harlow, Morokoff, & Saxon, 1997).

Five women had sex with women in the last six months, and of these, two reported having sex with men. Excluding the one woman who reported 13 male partners in the last six months, these two women had the highest number of male sex partners in the sample. With six and seven male partners each, these participants stood out in a sample when the model number.

woman in this study who reported her indicated her sexual orientation as hor shows, self-applied labels are importate cannot provide a full picture of wome complexity of women's sexual activity, relationship between the two among w

Limitations to the findings here sho is a preliminary and exploratory one selected sample of women workin Generalizations to the population of w maquiladora industry are only cautious should include larger and more repraddition, this study does not show ho may differ in their risk for HIV frunemployed or work in other employr Despite these limitations, this study is information that can be used to deve hopefully, more effective, HIV preventions working in maquiladoras.

References

Acosta-Perez, E., & Peragallo, N. (2001). Look qualitative analysis of a focus group of HIV p *Journal of Psychology*, 35, 167-181.

Amaro, H. (1995). Love, sex, and power: Consider prevention. *American Psychologist*, 50,437

Amaro, H., & Raj, A. (2000). On the margin: P reduction strategies. *Sex Roles*, 42, 723-749

Bellis, D. J. (2001). HIV/AIDS risk behavior of for Comparison of interviews with a cohort study Journal of Borderlands Studies, 16, 83-96.

Bruhin, E. (2003). Power, communication at HIV-relevant sexual risk management in het Care, 15, 389-401.

California Center for Border and Regional Econo Indicators (2001, May). Percent distribution maquila industry by gender in Baja Califor 2000. California Center for Border and Bulletin, 2, 6.

Castañeda, D. (1999). Imperial County HIV/AII assessment. Report prepared for the Imperia El Centro, CA, USA.

Castañeda, D. (2000). The close relationship contex among Mexican Americans. Sex Roles, 42,

Castañeda, D., & Collins, B. (1998). Effects of

- Eskenazi, B., Guendelman, S., Elkin, E. P., & Jasis, M. (1994). A preliminary study of reproductive outcomes of female maquiladora workers in Tijuana, Mexico. American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 24(6), 667-675.
- Guendelman, S., & Silberg, M. (1993). The health consequences of maquiladora work: Women on the US-Mexican border. American Journal of Public Health, 83, 37-44.
- Harlow, S. D., Becerril, L. A., Scholten, J. N., Sánchez Monroy, D., & Sánchez, R. A. (1999). The prevalence of musculoskeletal complaints among women in Tijuana, Mexico: Sociodemographic and occupational risk factors. *International Journal of Occupational & Environmental Health*, 5(4), 267-275.
- Hernández-Girón, C., Uribe-Salas, F., Conde-González, C., Cruz-Valdez, A., Juárez-Figueroa, L., Uribe-Zuñiga, P., del Río-Chiriboga, C., & Hernández-Avila, M. (1997). Seroprevalencias a diversos virus y características sociodemográficas en mujeres que buscan detectarse VIH. Revista de Investigación Clínica, 49, 5-13.
- Hirsch, J. S., Higgins, J., Bentley, M. E., & Nathanson, C. A. (2002). The social constructions of sexuality: Marital infidelity and sexually transmitted disease-HIV risk in a Mexican migrant community. *American Journal of Public Health*, 92, 1227-1237.
- Hovell, M. F., Sipan, C., Hofstetter, C. R., DuBois, B. C., Drefft, A., Conway, J., Jasis, M., & Isaacs, H. L. (1988). Occupational health risks for Mexican women: The case of the maquiladora along the Mexican-United States border. *International Journal of Health Services*, 18, 617-627.
- Human Rights Watch. (1999). No guarantees: Sex discrimination in Mexico's maquiladora sector. In R. Kamel & A. Hoffman (Eds.), The maquila reader: Cross-border organizing since NAFTA (pp. 31-35). Philadelphia, USA: American Friends Service Committee.
- Jenkins, S. R. (2000). Introduction to the special issue: Defining gender, relationships, and power. Sex Roles, 42, 467-494.
- La Botz, D. (1994). Manufacturing poverty: The maquiladorization of Mexico. International Journal of Health Services, 24, 403-408.
- Lemp, G. F., Jones, M., Kellogg, T. A., Nieri, G. N., Anderson L., Withum, D., & Katz, M. (1995). HIV seroprevalence and risk behaviors among lesbians and bisexual women in San Francisco and Berkeley, California. *American Journal of Public Health*, 85, 1549-1552.
- Magis-Rodríguez, C., Bravo-García, E., & Rivera Reyes, P. (2000). El SIDA en México en el año 2000. In P. Uribe Zuñiga & Magis-Rodríguez, C. (Eds.), La repuesta Mexicana al SIDA: Mejores practicas (pp. 13-22). Mexico, D.F: Secretaria de Salud, Consejo Nacional para la Prevención y Control del SIDA.
- Magis-Rodríguez, C., Bravo-García, E., & Uribe Zúñiga, P. (2003). Dos décadas de la epidemia del SIDA en México. Retrieved February 21, 2004 from http://www.salud.gob.mx/conasida/pdf/dosdecadas/pdf.
- Marín, G., & VanOss Marín, B. (1991). Research with Hispanic populations. Newbury Park, USA: Sage.
- Medina-Mora, M. E., Borges, G., & Villatoro, J. (2000). The measurement of drinking patterns and consequences in Mexico. *Journal of Substance Abuse*, 12, 183-196.
- Moore, J., Harrison, J. S., Kay, K. L., Deren, S., & Doll, L. S. (1995). Factors associated with Hispanic women's HIV-related communication and condom use with male partners. AIDS Care, 7, 415-27.

- Pulerwitz, J., Amaro, H., De Jong, W., & Gortmaker, power, condom use, and HIV risk among wome
- Pulerwitz, J., Izazola-Licea, J. A., & Gortmaker, S. L. (among Mexican men and their partner's risk o transmitted diseases. *American Journal of Publ*.
- Quina, K., Harlow, L. L., Morokoff, P. J., & Saxon, S power and women's HIV risk. In N. Goldstein & gender politics of HIV/AIDS in women. New University Press.
- Reinisch, J. M., Sanders, S. A., & Ziemba-Davis, M. (orientation and sexual behavior: Considerations for research and education. In M. Stein & A. Baum Perspectives in behavioral medicine (pp. 24 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Richters, J., Bergin, S., Lubowitz, S., & Prestage, G. with Sydney's gay and lesbian community: Sex HIV risks. AIDS Care, 14, 193-202.
- Romero, G., Wyatt, G. E., Chin, D., & Rodriguez, C. (19 among recently immigrated and undocument Quarterly of Community Health Education, 18
- Salgado de Snyder, V. N., Díaz Pérez, M. de J., & Mal risk behaviors among rural Mexican women mar the United States. *AIDS Education and Preven*
- Salgado de Snyder, V. N., Acevedo, A., Díaz-Pérez, M. A. (2000). Understanding the sexuality of Mexic risk for HIV/AIDS. *Psychology of Women Quar*
- Takaro, T. K., Gonzalez Arroyo, M., Brown, G. D., Bru (1999). Community-based survey of maquilado Tecate, Mexico. *International Journal Environmental Health*, 5(4), 313-315.
- Tiano, S. (1994). Patriarchy on the line: Labor, gen Mexican maquila industry. Philadelphia, USA:
- UNAIDS/WHO Working Group on HIV/AIDS and Epidemiological Fact Sheet, Mexico, 2002 Upp 20, 2004 from http://www/who.ch/emc/diseases
- Uribe-Salas, F., Conde-Glez, C. J., Juarez-Figueroa, L., A. (2003). Sociodemographic dynamics and sexu in female sex workers at the Mexican-Guate Transmitted Diseases, 30, 266-271.
- Uribe-Salas, F., Hernández-Avila, M., Conde-Glez, Allen, B., Anaya-Ocampo, R., del Río-Chiribog de Zalduondo, B. (1997). Low prevalences of H transmitted disease among female commercial se American Journal of Public Health, 87, 1012-1
- Valdespino-Gómez, J. L., García-García, M., del Río-Zo Magis-Rodríguez, C., & Salcedo-Alvarez, R. A. SIDA/VIH en México; de 1983 a marzo de 199. co. 37, 556-571.
- VanOss Marin, B., Tschann, J. M., Gomez, C. A., & Acculturation and gender differences in sexual Hispanic versus non-Hispanic White unmarried