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Drug abuse is a serious public health problem. Moreover, co-occurring mental health and substance abuse disorders are common among drug users. This paper examines psychiatric disorders of young cocaine and heroin users using the World Mental Health Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WMH-CIDI).

A cohort of 1266 young (18-30 years) current regular cocaine (705) and heroin (561) users were recruited outside the health services in Barcelona, Madrid and Seville, Spain. The WMH-CIDI was used to evaluate mental disorders; the Severity of Dependence Scale (SDS) measured the degree of dependence; and the Duke-UNC Functional Social Support Questionnaire (FSSQ) assessed social support, in a cross-sectional study design.

About 43% was diagnosed with a lifetime mental disorder. The most common diagnoses were depression (37.5%) and specific phobia (6.8%). During the last 12 months, prevalence rates were also slightly higher in heroin group (26.4%) than in cocaine cohort (21.7%). Every day cocaine consumption, having unstable living conditions and low social support were variables highly associated with psychiatric morbidity in cocaine cohort. In heroin cohort, earning money through illegal activities was associated with psychiatric morbidity, while the moderate use of alcohol acted as a protective factor for mental pathology. Morbidity was associated to having received psychiatric/psychological treatment during the last 12 months in both cohorts.

This study has shown a relatively high prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in cocaine and heroin users recruited in non-clinical settings. Future studies examining differences between cocaine and heroin patterns of consumption associated with mental diseases are necessary.
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The term 'comorbidity' was introduced in medicine to denote those cases in which a 'distinct additional clinical entity' occurred during the clinical course of a patient having an index disease (Feinstein, 1970). In psychiatry, this term indicates not only those cases in which a patient receives both a psychiatric and a general medical diagnosis (e.g. major depression and diabetes), but also those cases in which a patient receives two or more psychiatric diagnoses (e.g. major depression and panic disorder). This co-occurrence of two or more psychiatric diagnoses ('psychiatric comorbidity' or 'dual diagnosis') has been reported to be very frequent. Prevalence rates range from 21% to 54% depending on the population studied, the time frame assessed, and methodology and tools used to obtain diagnoses (Alonso y Lepine, 2007; Andrews, Slade, & Issakidis, 2002; Casares-Lopez et al., 2011; Krueger, Chentsova-Dutton, Markon, Goldberg, & Ormel, 2003; Roncero et al., 2011; Szerman et al., 2011; Torrens, Gilchrist, & Domingo-Salvany, 2011).

Within the field of 'psychiatric comorbidity' that encompasses a wide range of potential concomitant psychiatric diagnoses, the term 'dual diagnosis' is defined generically as the co-existence of substance use disorder (SUD) and other psychiatric disorder (non-SUD). Evidence from community and clinical samples has shown that the coexistence of SUD and non-SUD is common (prevalence rates ranging from 3% to 67%) (Grant et al., 2004; Kessler, Chiu, Demler, Merikangas, & Walters, 2005; Pavarn, 2006; Kush et al., 2008).

It is now well established that concomitant substance dependence is associated with a more severe course of independent mental disorders, and that these long term independent syndromes produce greater difficulty in treating the associated substance use disorders (Rounsaville, 2004; van Os et al., 2002). Hence the importance of a careful initial evaluation with an eye toward commonly correlated conditions and a treatment strategy designed to ameliorate all problems, not just the predominant diagnosis.

Dual pathology is usually studied in populations that have already received a SUD diagnosis (abuse or dependence), or in samples recruited from clinical settings. In the present study, the population was recruited outside the health-care services and not all participants suffering from a mental disorder had an additional diagnosis of SUD, despite having a regular substance use. This is the reason why we use the term 'psychiatric morbidity'.

The aim of the present study was to determine the psychiatric morbidity in regular cocaine and heroin users recruited outside the clinical setting, using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI).

Method

Subjects

Young adult cocaine and heroin users were obtained from the ITINERE cohort. The ITINERE project is a cohort study in young cocaine and heroin users in three Spanish cities: Barcelona, Madrid and Seville (de la Fuente et al., 2005; Pulido et al., 2009). All participants were recruited outside the health-care services by targeted sampling and chain referral methods (Hartnell et al., 1997; Watters & Biernecki, 1989). At entry, inclusion criteria for both cohorts, cocaine and heroin, were age (18-30 years); to be resident in the metropolitan area of Barcelona, Madrid or Seville at least 6 month of the last year; being a fluent Spanish speaker; and current (having used heroin or cocaine at least once within the 90 days prior to the interview) and regular use (in heroin subcohort, having taken heroin at least 60 days over the 12 months prior to the interview; and in cocaine subcohort, having used cocaine at least 52 days over the year prior to the interview, in which heroin was taken no more than 12 days). All participants provided written informed consent. The study design was approved by the Institutional Bioethics Committee.

Participants were recruited from June 2001 to July 2006. All data were collected through a Computer-Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI) (Newman et al., 2002; Turner et al., 1998) programmed using the Questionnaire Development System (QDS) software (NOVA Research Company, 2002). Participation incentive was 18 € per interview completed.

The CIDI questions were included in both cohorts from February of 2003 to November of 2006. Cocaine cohort included CIDI in the Baseline Questionnaire, and heroin cohort included CIDI in the first follow-up evaluation.

Measures

Main socio-demographic characteristics were assessed, emphasizing living conditions (i.e., type of housing and occupational status). Regarding substance use, severity of substance dependence (with the the Spanish version of the Severity of Dependence Scale [SDS]) (Gonzalez-Saiz & Salvador-Carulla, 1998; Gossop, Best, Marsden, & Strang, 1997), patterns and circumstances of cocaine and heroin use over the 12 months prior to the interview, and the degree of alcohol use were assessed. Alcohol consumption was rated as moderate (that is, <40 g/day for men and <20 g/day for women), at risk (40-80 g/day for men and 20–40 g/day for women), or heavy (≥ 80 g/day for men and ≥ 40 g/day for women) (Rehm, 1998).

Psychiatric morbidity was assessed using the World Mental Health (WMH) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) (Alonso et al., 2002; Kessler & Ustun, 2004), a comprehensive, fully structured, lay-administered interview developed by the World Health Organization that generates diagnoses according to ICD–10 and DSM–IV diagnostic criteria (Robins et al., 1988). Although this version of the interview was designed to assess the Axis I major mental disorders, dimensions of health-related quality of life, and the burden imposed by illness on the family, in the present study the sections of WMH-CIDI administered were the most frequently found in this kind of substance users: depression, panic disorder, specific phobia, social phobia, and agoraphobia. The CIDI was translated to Spanish taking into account European Study of Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project recommendations (Alonso & Lepine, 2007) and it has proved...
to be both reliable and valid (Haro et al., 2006). In the present study, we used lifetime and current (last 12 months) diagnostics according to DSM-IV criteria.

The Duke-UNC Functional Social Support Questionnaire (FSSQ) (Broadhead, Gehlbach, de Gruy, & Kaplan, 1988) was administered to measure cocaine and heroin users’ perception of personal social support. The FSSQ is a self-report questionnaire composed of 8 items that assess two factors: Confidant Support and Affective Support. This version with 8 items has been validated in Spanish general population (Bellon Saameno, Delgado, Luna del Castillo, & Landeiri, 1996; Lahuerta, Borrell, Rodriguez-Sanz, Perez, & Nebot, 2004).

Data analysis

Prior to statistical analysis, all the variables were examined through various programs for accuracy of data entry and missing values, and fit between their distributions and assumptions of multivariate analysis. The statistical significance of differences in the qualitative variables was assessed with Chi-square and Fisher exact tests and, in the quantitative variables, with analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant values were set at p < 0.05 with two-tailed tests.

A logistic regression model was fitted to assess the influence of other variables on psychiatric morbidity. The Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic was used to assess the goodness-of-fit. Variables were selected from those showing a p < 0.10 in the univariate analysis, avoiding the simultaneous introduction of those highly correlated (i.e.: SDS and patterns of use).

Cocaine and heroin cohorts were analyzed separately because distribution of different variables differed among subjects from the two cohorts and they showed different patterns of relationship to psychiatric morbidity. This allowed comparisons between cohorts. SPSS (version 15) was used for all analyses.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics

Of the 1266 subjects, 69% were men. The mean age of cocaine and heroin users was 23 years (standard deviation [SD] 3.3), and 26.0 years (SD 3.2), respectively. In both cohorts women were younger than men (p < 0.019 and p < 0.0001, respectively). Nearly 77% had completed more than primary education, more frequently subjects in cocaine cohort (87.2% vs. 63.1% in heroin cohort) (p < 0.001). The 41.5% was unemployed, mainly in heroin cohort (59.9% vs 26.9%) (p < 0.001). Nearly 20.5% had ever been in jail or prison, being this percentage higher in heroin users (41.5% vs 3.7%) (p < 0.001). The type of residence was also different depending on the cohorts: participants from heroin cohort presented unstable living conditions (homeless, institutions, squatters, and so on) more frequently than cocaine users (29.6% vs. 11.2%) (p < 0.001) (Table 1).

Regarding social support (assessed via FSSQ), cocaine cohort had higher scores (better) on all scales (affective support, confidant support and overall score) than subjects from heroin cohort (p < 0.005) (Table 1).

Patterns of use

In heroin cohort, only 3.1% had not used cocaine over the last 12 months, whereas 84.5% of users from cocaine cohort had not used heroin during the previous year (p < 0.001) (Table 1). Snorting was the main route of cocaine use in cocaine cohort (92%) (p < 0.001); in heroin cohort, nearly 61% smoked heroin without statistically significant differences as compared to the rest of routes of administration. Of note, in cocaine cohort nearly 21% of subjects had smoked cocaine over the last 12 months whereas in heroin cohort this figure was 65.2% (p < 0.001). Moreover, in heroin cohort nearly 46% had injected any substance during the last 12 months, and only 4% in cocaine cohort (p < 0.001).

About 59% of the subjects from cocaine group used this substance one or two days a week or less, and 2.8% every day. Nearly 41% of the subjects from heroin cohort used heroin one or two days a week and 16.2% every day (Table 1).

Regarding alcohol consumption, higher proportion of non-drinkers was found in heroin cohort (25% vs. 4.7%). Overall, cocaine cohort showed a higher consumption at risk level (34.3% vs. 12.12% in heroin cohort) (p < 0.001). As assessed by SDS, a greater severity of heroin (heroin cohort: mean 4.9, SD 4.4; cocaine cohort: mean 0.2, SD 1.2) and cocaine dependence (heroin cohort: mean 4.7, SD 4.2; cocaine cohort: mean 4.3, SD 3.0) was found in heroin cohort. Differences in severity of heroin and cocaine dependence between both cohorts were statistically significant (p< 0.001) (Table 1).

Overall, most subjects (77.9%) had not received any kind of treatment during the last 12 months, 3.3% had received psychiatric or psychological treatment, 15.7% treatment for drug use, and only 3.1% had received both. More subjects from heroin cohort (32%) than those from cocaine group (2.8%) had received any substance-related treatment during the previous year (p < 0.001) (Table 1).

Psychiatric morbidity

Overall, 43% of the sample was diagnosed with a lifetime mental disorder other than a substance use disorder, with the highest prevalence rates in heroin group (47% vs. 40.4% in cocaine cohort, p< 0.005). During the last 12 months, prevalence rates were lower than lifetime ones, being slightly higher again in heroin group (26.4% vs 21.7% in cocaine cohort) (p < 0.005). Lifetime major depression was the most frequent diagnosis in both cohorts (37.5%), followed by specific phobia (6.8%) and panic disorder (6.3%) (Table 2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total(^b)</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
<th>Heroin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= 25</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 25</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= Primary level</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= Secondary level</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational status(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(^b)</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of housing(^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual or familial housing</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless / institutions / no fixed address</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confi dent support (FSSQ)(^d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective support (FSSQ)(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall score FSSQ(^f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoked cocaine use(^g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of cocaine use(^h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of heroin use(^i)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of alcohol (ml/day)(^j)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment(^k)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.

1. during the last 12 months; 2. Others, include students, pensioners and subjects with illegal activities.
4. asterisks under this column reflect statistical significance between cohorts (cocaine and heroin); 5. asterisks under these columns reflect statistical significance between presence and absence of comorbidity.
6. * p<0.01; ** p<0.005; *** p<0.001
As far as alcohol consumption is concerned, subjects from cocaine cohort that did not use alcohol at all, showed the highest rate of mental pathology (33.3%), and then, subjects with high risk level of alcohol consumption (25.3%). Something similar although in a different order occurred in heroin cohort: the highest rate of mental pathology was found among subjects with high risk level of alcohol consumption (33%), followed by those who did not use alcohol at all (30.7%) (Table 1).

In both cohorts, among those subjects with psychiatric morbidity, the proportion of subjects that had received psychological/psychiatric treatment, plus or not drug related treatment, during the last 12 months was higher than that of subjects that had never received treatment, though only statistically significant among cocaine users (Table 1).

In the multivariate analysis, apart from gender and having received psychi atric/psychological treatment that were significant for current psychiatric morbidity presence in both cohorts, in cocaine cohort, a variable highly associated with psychiatric morbidity was everyday cocaine consumption (adjusted odd ratio [AOR], 6.5; 95% CI, 2.0–21.4). Other variables associated with mental pathology were living in squatter communities, in institutions or being people with no fixed abode (AOR, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.3–4.1); and social support, so that people with less support had a higher risk for mental pathology (AOR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.97–0.99) (Table 3).

In heroin cohort, psychiatric morbidity slightly decreased with age (AOR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.88–0.99), and increased with earning money through illegal activities (including criminal acts, drug dealing, prostitution, etc) (AOR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.1–4.4) and, compared to non drinkers, light drinkers (that is, subjects that reported a prudent alcohol intake) had a decreased risk of mental pathology (AOR, 0.6; 95% CI, 0.3–0.9) (Table 3).

### Discussion

In this sample of young regular cocaine or heroin users recruited outside the clinical setting, about 43% (95% CI: 40.6–46%) were diagnosed with an Axis I mental disorder.
It is worth mentioning that the present work is very different from most of the earlier studies of psychopathology among substance users, because it has focused specifically on cocaine or heroin users who were recruited outside the health–care services. So, one of the strengths of this study is that the findings provide additional perspective on a form of drug use that is entrenched in the street drug scene. A relevant difference from clinical studies is that this sample of young cocaine and heroin consumers included a larger proportion of women (Roncero et al., 2011; Szerman et al., 2012; Torrens et al., 2011). Thus, caution is needed when extrapolating these data to other samples of cocaine or heroin users. Furthermore, because data are cross-sectional, it is not possible to make causal inferences, that is, to know to what extent the psychiatric morbidity has been influenced by drug use and vice versa. Likewise, most parameters in the study were self-reported and recall bias could be expected. However, some previous studies have shown that assuring confidentiality and anonymity of the data (as it was done in the present study) maximizes subjects’ response accuracy. In this way, the information obtained from substance users tend to be reliable and valid (Del Boca & Darkes, 2003).

The relatively high prevalence of mental pathology found in our study (43%) is consistent with those from previous studies, in which prevalence rates of psychiatric morbidity and comorbidity range from 21% to 54% depending on the population studied, the time framework assessed, and methodology and tools used to obtain diagnoses (Alonso & Lepine, 2007; Andrews et al., 2002; Compton, Thomas, Conway, & Collins, 2005; de Girolamo et al., 2005; Grant et al., 2004; Kessler et al., 2005; Krueger et al., 2003; Pavarini, 2008; Rush et al., 2008). Furthermore, as expected, these prevalence rates were considerably higher than those reported in more recent general population-based studies using the CIDI (Alonso et al., 2004; Stein et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2008), except for agoraphobia in Williams’ study.

In our context, two studies whose subjects were recruited from our wider sample, have also highlighted the relatively high prevalence of lifetime psychiatric comorbidity (42.4%, 95% CI: 34–51% in cocaine users; and 67.1%, 95% CI: 59.6–74.7% in heroin users) (Herrero, Domingo-Salvany, Torrens, Llera et al., 2006). When compared to the present study (43%), these figures were consistent in the cocaine study, being significantly higher in heroin study (probably because the high prevalence of subjects with personality disorders, unfortunately not included in our study). As compared to specific diagnoses, all of them were higher in our study. So, caution is needed when interpreting these results. These differences probably can be explained by the instrument used to evaluate DSM diagnoses, since in the above mentioned studies the interview (i.e., the Psychiatric Research Interview for Substances and Mental Diseases [PRISM]) was administered by an experienced psychologist applying his clinical judgment to make critical decisions when it was required. It would seem plausible that clinical criteria on the PRISM are more conservative and restrictive than self-reported information from subjects on using mood and anxiety specific sections of the CIDI. Overall, lifetime depression was the most frequent diagnosis (37.5%), followed by specific phobia (6.8%) and panic disorder (6.3%). Mental disorders were more frequent in women than men. On the one hand, everyday cocaine consumption, having received psychiatric/psychological treatment during the last 12 months, having unstable living conditions and low social support were the variables highly associated with current psychiatric morbidity in cocaine cohort. On the other hand, in heroin cohort, having received only psychiatric/psychological treatment during the last 12 months and earning money through illegal activities were associated with psychiatric morbidity, while being a light drinker seemed to act as a protective factor for mental pathology.
the CIDI. Despite these differences, and consistently with our results, in cocaine study, the most common Axis I disorders were mood disorders (26.6%) and anxiety disorders (13%). In heroin study, apart from personality disorders that were the most common, the same pattern was observed (mood disorders, 25%; and anxiety disorders, 16.8%).

Taken together, these findings suggest an urgent need for validating the CIDI specifically in substance-abusing population, although this instrument has shown to be a reliable and valid measure in epidemiological studies (Wittchen, 1994).

It is noteworthy that 57% and 80% of heroin and cocaine users, respectively, with morbidity in the last 12 months, during that period did not receive any psychiatrist or psychological treatment, neither for drug abuse nor for their possible mental disorder. For cocaine users this figure differed statistically from that of subjects without morbidity (they had contacted treatment even less). It is difficult to compare these results with other studies as, usually, results stem from community or treatment samples. In a similar study with a subsample of cocaine users, lifetime treatment (both for substance use and/or mental disorders) was more common among subjects with lifetime comorbidity (62% vs 39% in those without comorbidity) (Herrero et al., 2008), whereas in the study with heroin users subsample, only psychiatric/psychological treatment was more frequent among subjects with lifetime comorbidity (Rodriguez-Llera et al., 2006).

This study adds to the evidence suggesting an association between the prevalence of common mental disorders and gender. Both general population and treatment samples show that rates of psychiatric comorbidity are significantly higher for women than for men (Brady & Randall, 1999) (Compton, III et al., 2000; Kessler et al., 2005; Rodriguez-Llera et al., 2006) (Alonso & Lepine, 2007). Specifically, comorbidity rates are higher for mood and anxiety disorders. A recent study on psychiatric comorbidity incidence also showed a higher incidence rate for women(Herrero, Domingo-Salvany, Brugal, & Torrens, 2011). A systematic review of studies published in the English language since approximately 1990 dealing with comorbid psychiatric and substance use disorders concluded that psychiatric comorbidity affects treatment outcome in distinct ways according to gender (Zilberman, Tavares, & el Guebaly, 2003). At least for the comorbidity between depression and alcohol use disorders (most of the literature has focused on this substance), the prognosis for women with comorbidity is better than that for their counterparts without comorbidity, while the opposite is true for men. So, further studies are needed to clarify the nature of this relation.

Specifically in heroin cohort, a potential protective benefit of alcohol was observed. It has been suggested that moderate alcohol use compared with non-use was associated with a reduction in mental health admissions (Tait & Hulse, 2006). These data are consistent with other data from the general population, where moderate drinkers have a lower risk of symptoms of depression and anxiety than either non-users or heavy drinkers (Rodgers et al., 2000). So, and consistently with our findings, there is tentative evidence for more favorable physical, mental and cognitive health in moderate drinkers compared with both problem drinkers and abstainers (the so-called J-shaped curve of alcohol use). These authors have speculated that any association between moderate alcohol use and improved health may be mediated by better general or cardiovascular health, psychological well-being or increased social stability. Non-alcohol use by a subject could be related to a previous physic or psychiatric disorder prompting to abstinence (Kerr, Greenfield, Bond, Ye, & Rehm, 2011)

On the other hand, in several health-related domains, it is well established that appropriate levels of perceived social support exert a beneficial effect, probably by strengthening internal resources (Alvarez-Ude, Valdes, Estebanez, & Rebollo, 2004; Cox et al., 2008; Malta, Strathdee, Magnanini, & Bastos, 2008). Not surprisingly, this is also the case in our sample, with a higher perceived level of social support in cocaine users compared to the heroin group.

Also of major concern was that psychiatric morbidity in our sample of cocaine users seems to be more strongly associated with use-related variables, showing a dose-response effect, whereas in the heroin group, psychiatric morbidity seems to be more independent from patterns of substance use. In univariate analyses, SDS both for heroin and for cocaine were statistically significant factors related to morbidity among cocaine users though not included in the final logistic regression as SDS-cocaine was correlated with cocaine frequency of use. In the heroin group variables associated with morbidity are more the kind of contextual factors (i.e., type of housing) than substance use related; among them neither frequency nor quantity of cocaine use was associated to psychiatric morbidity. Heroin users, apart from experiencing more mental and legal problems and poorer social and occupational functioning than cocaine group, more frequently used routes of substance administration that pose greater health risks to users (i.e. injecting, smoking).

Conclusions

In spite of the intensive efforts made until now in politics involving both, health prevention and health resources management, this study reveals some factors that are hardly addressed by current prevention programs and could inform future initiatives. Programs can be targeted at young people who we identified to be at risk, especially when the majority of these substance users do not perceive a need for care and do not seek help from mental health professionals. So, encouraging effective efforts to reduce the progression from use to problematic use (in cocaine group) and directing resources into helping some of the most vulnerable and excluded members of society (in heroin group) would be two approaches that probably need to be intensified.
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