Abstract
Multiple prejudice and acts of discrimination are revealed in the analysis of documentation on a Astrid/Eulina lawsuit, an affair which took place in the State of Pará, Brazil. Eulina run for Major of the Viseu municipality, as successor for Astrid, with whom she maintained an undeclared lesbian relationship, which was denounced by her opponents. This resulted in the impeachment of Eulina's candidacy. In order to prove the ineligibility of Eulina, lawyers alleged that Astrid and Eulina had a typical man/woman relationship, which framed them in a type of relationship in correspondence with the Brazilian judicial category stable union. The opponents' thesis includes both a discussion on the viability of the candidacy and preconceived ideas against homo relationships. Analysis demonstrates the moral overtones of a political trial. Political issues gave way to the discussion of personal matters and various stereotypes.
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