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ABSTRACT

Kumstát M, Hrazdira L. Diet-Induced Thermogenesis: Comparison of Two Isocaloric Meal-Replacement Shakes. A pilot study. J. Hum. Sport Exerc. Vol. 7, No. Proc1, pp. S140-S146, 2012. The aim of this study is to evaluate the differences in diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT) between two liquid meal-replacement shakes of different macronutrient compositions: high protein (HP) and high carbohydrate (HC) meal. Five male subjects (26 ± 3.7 y, body mass index 24.6 ± 1.7 kg·m⁻²) completed the crossover, single-blind study. During two separate occasions (non-consecutive days) indirect calorimetry measurement was taken. Production of CO₂, consumption of O₂ and respiratory exchange ratio were monitored before and after ingestion of two isocaloric liquid meals with energy content of 7 kcal/kg per fat free weight. The postprandial period measurement lasted 180 min. Nonparametric statistics was used and value of 0.05 was accepted as the limit of significance. An immediate and persistent thermic effect was caused by the test meals. The total DIT calculated in HP and HC meals was: 51.8 ± 17.2 kcal/180 min and 32.13 ± 13.4 kcal/180 min, respectively (where p = 0.14). No statistically significant difference in postprandial energy expenditure between HP (0.29 ± 0.10 kcal·min⁻¹) and HC (0.18 ± 0.07 kcal·min⁻¹) meals was observed (where p = 0.14). Elevated values of energy expenditure did not return to the baseline after 3 hours. The DIT, expressed as percentage of energy consumed, averaged 8.7 ± 2.9 % for the HP meal, compared to 5.4 ± 2.3 % for the HC meal (where p = 0.14). Results indicate that the macronutrient composition plays a significant role in metabolic responses. It was concluded that an increment in the energy expenditure above the baseline after ingestion of either protein-like or maltodextrin-like test meals is comparable. Key words: THERMIC EFFECT OF FOOD, INDIRECT CALORIMETRY, PROTEINS, CARBOHYDRATES.
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INTRODUCTION

There are three basic components of human energy expenditure: basal energy expenditure, thermic effect of food and energy cost of physical activity. Thermic effect of food or diet induced thermogenesis (DIT) is the increase in energy expenditure above resting levels associated with the digestion, intestinal absorption, initial steps of metabolism and storage of nutrients. A mix diet consumed at energy balance results in approximately 5 – 15 % of total daily energy expenditure (Westerterp, 2004). The magnitude of DIT is proportional to the energy content and the macronutrient composition of the meal. Dietary protein stimulates thermogenesis more than carbohydrate or fat (Stipanuk, 2006). Acheson et al. (2011) suggest that different dietary sources of protein might differ in their effect on energy metabolism in young lean males. Similarly Blaak and Saris (1996) found considerably different postprandial thermogenesis after ingestion of different types of carbohydrate.

Nowadays, sport drinks and meal replacement food is a standard form of supplementing athlete's nutrition, with an additional role in the weight-loss industry (Scott and Devore, 2004). Different sources of proteins or carbohydrates are used. Prat-Larquemin et al. (2000) showed variations in sucrose vs. maltodextrin outcome on DIT in healthy young males.

The possible relation between postprandial effect of protein and maltodextrin and mutual combination has not been studied yet. The degree to which interindividual characteristics, such as physical fitness or body composition contribute to variation in DIT remains unclear. The present pilot study aims to evaluate the differences in diet-induced thermogenesis between two liquid meal-replacement shakes of different macronutrient composition.

According to the accepted knowledge, we hypothesise, that the thermic effect of the HP meal would be higher in comparison with the HC meal.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

Five male subjects (26 ± 3.7 y, 182.5 ± 4.5 cm, 82.1± 8.9 kg) – volunteers from the university community participated in the study. All subjects were healthy, non-smokers and were not taking medications of any kind. All participants were engaging in regular activity in the previous 1 year (at least 6 hours/week). They were weight stable for at least 6 month prior to the study. The written informed consent of all subjects was obtained and the protocol was approved by the Department of health promotion at Faculty of sport studies. Table 1 gives detailed subjects characteristics. Participants completed crossover, single-blind study.

Procedures

Laboratory procedures were performed at the Faculty of sport studies. Subjects reported to the laboratory on two separate occasions with an interval of at least 1 week. All subjects were asked to come to the laboratory by car or by bus. Moreover, subjects were asked to refrain from using alcohol and to keep 3 days dietary record to complete the subjects’ nutritional status prior to the testing. The subjects refrained from strenuous activity on the day before each trial. The testing was performed at 7:00 a.m. in the post-absorptive state after 12-h fast on two non-consecutive days (to avoid possible carryover effects between treatments). Resting energy expenditure (post-absorptive) was measured by indirect calorimetry using complex cardiopulmonary metabolic system Cortex MetaLyzer 3B. After 15 min of rest period the baseline measurement was recorded for 15 min, or until steady state was reached. The subjects then consumed
isocaloric liquid meal corrected for the individual level of fat free weight (7 kcal/fat free weight). The test meal was consumed within 10 min. Two meal replacement shakes were used on the two separate occasions. The high protein (HP) and high carbohydrate (HC) meal provides in total 512±62.5 kcal. Table 2 gives their detailed characteristics. During following postprandial period (3-h), last 10 min of every half-hour was recorded and assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Physical characteristics of subjects (x ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat free mass (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR (kJ/day)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: RMR = resting metabolic rate. Presented value is the mean of two separate measurements.

During the measurements subjects were awake and lay quietly and motionless. The gas analyzer was recalibrated before each laboratory procedure. The laboratory was maintained at 24°C throughout the study. Laboratory conditions did not allow to accurately assess total urinary nitrogen excretion. Oxygen uptake and dioxide production were analysed. Energy expenditure and respiratory exchange ratio were calculated and the result was converted to kilocalories using the Weir formula (Weir, 1949). Protein utilization under resting conditions was assumed to be negligible. Steady state conditions (allowable deviations) were set as follows: V'CO₂ (10 %), V'O₂ (10 %), RER (5 %) (Reeves et al., 2004). Cardiopulmonary metabolic system used breath-by-breath monitoring (the average of 9-13 breaths was calculated) and participants were given mouthpieces of proper size (volume 47 or 49 ml). Body composition was obtained by bioelectric impedance analysis (InBody 230).

Laboratory protocol corresponds to the similarly designed diet-induced thermogenesis researches found in the scientific literature.

**Statistics**

All values are presented as the SD. To indicate significant changes in the response pattern after ingesting the test meals, a nonparametric Wilcoxon matched pair test was used. For statistical comparisons between the test meals, metabolic responses were calculated as means of two separate tests. P value of 0.05 was accepted as the limit of significance. Coefficient of variation of RMR was measured as: where s is the sample standard deviation and  is the sample mean.
RESULTS

The testing meals caused an immediate and persistent thermic effect. The total DIT calculated was not significantly higher in HP and HC meals (51.8±17.2 kcal/180 min and 32.1 ± 13.4 kcal/180 min, respectively, where p = 0.14) (Table 3). Interestingly, no statistically significant difference in the postprandial energy expenditure between HP (0.29 ± 0.10 kcal·min⁻¹) and HC (0.18 ± 0.07 kcal·min⁻¹) meals was observed (p = 0.14) (Table 3). Elevated values of energy expenditure did not return to the baseline after 3 hours. Peak oxygen consumption was reached at 90 min in both test meals (Figure 1). The DIT expressed as the percentage of energy consumed averaged 8.7 ± 2.9 % for the HP meal, compared to 5.4 ± 2.3 % for the HC meal (p = 0.14).

DISCUSSION

The study was designed to determine the thermic response to high protein or high carbohydrate meal replacement shake. In a similar study Scott and Devore (2005) showed that HP meal invoke significantly different response over 3-h period than HC meal.

Table 2. The test meal characteristics (per 100 g / powder).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test meal</th>
<th>Energy content (kj / kcal)</th>
<th>Protein (g)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (g)</th>
<th>Fat (g)</th>
<th>Dietary fiber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>362 / 1534</td>
<td>85 (milk protein, whey protein-lactalbumines / lactoglobulines, powdered egg white)</td>
<td>1.5 (aspartam, asessulfam-K)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1 (guar gum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>400 / 1680</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100 (maltodextrin)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: HP = high protein; HC = high carbohydrate

Table 3. Resting metabolic rate and thermic effect of testing meals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>High protein meal</th>
<th>High carbohydrate meal</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMR (kcal/min)</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ DIT (kcal/min)</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ DIT_adj (kcal·kg FFM⁻¹·60min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIT total (kcal/180 min)</td>
<td>51.8 ± 17.21</td>
<td>32.13 ± 13.38</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIT (%)</td>
<td>8.74 ± 2.90</td>
<td>5.42 ± 2.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Values are expressed as x ± SD; RMR = resting metabolic rate; DIT = diet induced thermogenesis; Δ DIT = ( x 30-180 min) – RMR; DIT total = (∑ x 30-180 min · RMR) / 180 min; DIT (%) = DIT total/energy ingested (adapted from Poehlman et al., 1988).
Generally, the reported DIT values for separate nutrients are 0-3 % for fat, 5-10 % for carbohydrate and 20 – 30 % for protein (Westerterp, 2004). Our results however failed to confirm the dominant role of protein. Although we found stronger impact of the protein fraction on DIT, the result was not significantly different when compared to carbohydrate (8.7 % vs. 5.4 % respectively). The effects of maltodextrines ingestion however, has not been well documented. We have found no work focusing on thermic effect of maltodextrines at rest.

Compher et al. (2006) reviewed DIT studies and state that studies are commonly conducted for a 6-hour period. Shorter measures might not capture entire thermic effect. We assumed the 3-h measurement duration to be adequate enough, taking into account the energy content of test meal ingested. Values of elevated energy expenditure we measured did not returned to baseline, even after 180 min. Figure 1 shows decreasing trends of both meals. Note that of the total DIT, approximately 90 % (in HP meal) had been measured after 3 hours. Possibly, this could partly explain the lower overall thermic effect after HP meal. We tried to eliminate excessive fidgeting and restlessness of subjects (Poehlman et al., 1988).

The chosen energy density of the test meals corresponds with other studies (Denzer & Young, 2003; Poehlman et al., 1988). Another determining factor explaining discrepant results might be palatability. Most of the subjects tolerated drinking the HP shake well, with contrast to the HC shake. Maltodextrin (non-sweetened carbohydrate) might fail to induce higher thermic effect (LeBlanc & Labrie, 1997). This finding is supported by a study of Wallis et al. (2005) who used maltodextrin during exercise.

Possible errors of resting postprandial energy expenditure measurements in some cases may also be introduced by air leaks, incorrect calibration, fluctuating levels of fractional inspired O₂ concentration, or acid-base disturbances. We tried however to eliminate all factors affecting resting energy expenditure measurements. We therefore calculate the inter- and intra-individual variability. The mean CV_intra for measured RMR was 2.12 ± 2.28 %. The mean CV_inter was higher and reached 7.9 %. Both results stand within the generally accepted limits achieved recently by Bader et al. (2005).

To sum up, the research was limited by a number of subjects involved. Although we found the difference, the subject limitation brought discrepancy to the statistical analysis, which eventually displays insignificant differences, contradictory to the hypothesis. At this stage of the study, we are not able to answer to which extent body composition or fitness level could interfere the results or how to interpret results in detail. Oncoming research is needed to validate our results and clarify the answers.
CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that the thermic response to maltodextrin and protein ingestion is comparable, with higher response after high protein meal. This small difference appears to have minimal practical application. The stage of the research possibly brought some inconsistence to the reproducibility of the results. However our findings predicted some indications and these should be tackled in the future research. We propose to supplement the study by the measuring the effect of mixture of proteins and maltodextrins.
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