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Radiofrequency Ablation of Frequent Ventricular Arrhythmia Guided by Array Multielectrode Catheter

Ablación por radiofrecuencia de arritmia ventricular frecuente guiada por catéter multielectrodo Array

DAMIÁN AZOCAR†, SERGIO J. DUBNERMTSAC, CARLOS D. LABADEETMTSAC, CLAUDIO HADIIDMTSAC, MARÍA C. VALSECCHi†, AGUSTÍN E. DOMÍNGUEZ

ABSTRACT

Background and objective: The non-contact mapping system with expandable balloon catheter allows ventricular arrhythmia mapping with few ectopic beats. The aim of this study was to analyze ablation results with this system.

Methods: Patients with ventricular arrhythmia were prospectively and consecutively studied with the non-contact mapping system.

Results: The study included 10 patients, 8 women, with mean age of 45 years (range: 27 to 65). Arrhythmia origin was right ventricular outflow tract in 8 patients, right ventricular inflow tract in 1 and left ventricular outflow tract in 1. Acute success was obtained in 9/10 patients (90%). Mean follow-up was 6 months (range 1 to 16); 8 patients continued with obliterated arrhythmia without medication and 1 patient required pharmacologic treatment. The only complication was femoral arteriovenous fistula.

Conclusions: The non-contact mapping system allows a highly efficient and safe approach of right ventricular arrhythmias. Future studies with more patients and comparing with other methods may confirm these results.
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RESUMEN

Introducción y objetivo: El sistema de cartografía sin contacto permite el mapeo de arritmias ventriculares, mediante un catéter balón expandible, con escasos latidos ectópicos. El presente estudio se llevó a cabo con el objetivo de analizar los resultados de la ablación con este sistema.

Material y métodos: Se estudiaron en forma prospectiva y consecutiva pacientes con arritmia ventricular en los que se utilizó el sistema de cartografía sin contacto.

Resultados: Se incluyeron 10 pacientes, 8 mujeres, con una edad media de 45 años (mínima-máxima 27-65). El origen de la arritmia fue el tracto de salida del ventrículo derecho en 8 pacientes, el tracto de entrada del ventrículo derecho en 1 y el tracto de salida del ventrículo izquierdo en 1. Se obtuvo el éxito agudo en 9/10 (90%). El seguimiento medio fue de 6 meses (mínimo 1, máximo 16), 8 pacientes continuaron con abolición de la arritmia sin fármacos y 1 requirió tratamiento farmacológico. La única complicación fue una fistula arteriovenosa femoral.

Conclusiones: El sistema de cartografía sin contacto permite el abordaje de arritmias ventriculares de origen derecho con una tasa alta de eficacia y seguridad. Nuevos estudios con una población mayor y que comparen con otras formas de abordaje podrán confirmar estos resultados.

Palabras clave: Taquicardia ventricular - Complejos prematuros ventriculares - Ablación por catéter.

Abbreviations

RFA Radiofrequency ablation
BO Breakout
EA Earliest activation
ECG Electrocardiogram
VE Ventricular extrasystoles
AAA Antiarrhythmic agents
NCMS Non-contact mapping system
RVOT Right ventricular outflow tract
VT Ventricular tachycardias
RV Right ventricle
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INTRODUCTION

Ventricular extrasystoles (VE) and ventricular tachycardias (VT) originating in the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) represent the most frequent arrhythmias in patients with normal hearts. Left ventricular outflow tract VT constitute 80% of idiopathic VT. (1)

Ventricular tachycardia can be sustained causing syncope or non-sustained and repetitive causing palpitations and other symptoms. The permanent forms may generate ventricular dysfunction. (2)

Pharmacologic treatment, especially betablockers and calcium blockers, have limited effectiveness (25-50%). Some authors suggest radiofrequency ablation (RFA) as a first line treatment due to the high success rate and low incidence of complications. (3-6)

Electroanatomic mapping systems allow activation mapping by acquiring point-by-point electrograms; that is, displacing the catheter in the chamber of interest and acquiring the local electrogram when a VE occurs. This requires the presence of frequent VE and/or prolonged episodes of VT for the correct arrhythmia mapping. Ablation may fail in cases of infrequent VE, non-inducible arrhythmias or arrhythmias badly tolerated hemodynamically. The non-contact mapping system (NCMS) consists in an expandable balloon with 64 electrodes that allows the simultaneous recording of more than 3000 virtual unipolar electrograms, mapping arrhythmias with only one beat. (7, 8)

The purpose of this study is to analyze RFA results of frequent right ventricular arrhythmia using this novel technique.

METHODS

The study included prospective, consecutive patients with frequent VE or VT undergoing NCMS. Inclusion criteria were VE > 5000/24 hours or VT refractory to antiarrhythmic agents (AAA), intolerance or patient preference, with suspected right ventricular origin according to the 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG).

The balloon was inserted (Ensite Array . St. Jude Medical, Minnesota, USA) via the femoral vein through a 10 Fr introducer. A 0.035” guidewire was positioned in the pulmonary artery under fluoroscopic guidance and was used to advance the collapsed balloon to the probable site of arrhythmia origin. Heparin was used to maintain an activated coagulation time between 300 and 400 seconds. Once the balloon was positioned in the RV, it was expanded and filled with iodinated contrast media for radiologic visualization (Figure 1).

Subsequently, chamber anatomy was obtained with a deflectable catheter. Upon spontaneous arrhythmia occurrence

Fig. 1. Expanded balloon catheter in the right ventricular outflow tract filled with iodinated contrast; radiologic left and right anterior oblique views.

Fig. 2. Right ventricular outflow tract activation map showing the earliest activation site (EA, earliest activation) and the site where the impulse spreads to the rest of the myocardium (BO, break out). Arrows show the balloon catheter.
with similar morphology to that of clinical VE/VT, activation mapping guided by unipolar electrograms from the balloon catheter was performed to locate the earliest activation (EA) site, and the breakout (BO) site. This process was repeated with 3 VE to confirm map reproducibility (Figure 2).

Isoproterenol or programmed ventricular stimulation was used in patients without spontaneous arrhythmia. Electrocardiographic similarity between VE/VT observed in the laboratory and clinical VE/VT was rigorously controlled.

Multielectrode balloon unipolar electrograms allow identification of arrhythmia origin and visualization of electric impulse propagation from the EA to the BO site and the rest of the myocardium. The triggering focus is determined by the QS pattern in unipolar electrograms. Radiofrequency application was directed both to the EA and BO sites with a 4 mm tip non-irrigated catheter (50 watts, 60 °C). In case of a second arrhythmic focus, a similar procedure was followed.

Acute success was defined as sustained spontaneous VE abolition (at least for 30 minutes) and/or non-inducible VE/VT. Patients were followed up by Holter monitoring every three months.

RESULTS
Ten patients were included in the study between February 2012 and August 2013. Mean age was 45 years (minimum 27, maximum 65), and 8 patients were women. Only 1 patient had structural cardiomyopathy (non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy). All patients presented frequent VE, 2 sustained monomorphic VT and 2 non-sustained VT. Average arrhythmic density was 15,322 VE/24 hours (minimum 5,366, maximum 25,671). Average non-effective AAA per patient was 1.9 (minimum 0, maximum 5). In 2 patients, RFA was the first line treatment (Table 1). The arrhythmic focus was identified in the RVOT in 8 patients and in the right ventricular inflow tract in 1 patient. In the remaining patient, the origin was in the left coronary sinus, and for safety reasons, ablation was not performed. Acute success was achieved in all patients with radiofrequency application [9/9 (100%)] and in all except one of the included patients [9/10 (90%)]. In 3 patients two or more foci were identified, with minimal differences with respect to the clinical arrhythmia. The average procedure time was 193 minutes (minimum 150, maximum 240) and the radiocopy time was 49 minutes (minimum 24, maximum 70). A patient developed femoral arteriovenous fistula at follow-up. During the average 6-month follow-up (minimum 1, maximum 16), 8 patients were asymptomatic, without significant arrhythmia and free from AAA. A patient presented with arrhythmia recurrence one month after ablation, with high arrhythmic density requiring antiarrhythmic treatment, and good response to the same drug (sotalol) that had been ineffective prior to RFA.

DISCUSSION
The main result of this series is the high success and safety rate of NCMS in this population. Acute success was achieved in 9 out of 10 patients with only one complication (femoral arteriovenous fistula). Ablation was not performed in one patient due to risk of coronary lesion. In the mid-term follow-up, 8 patients controlled the arrhythmia without need of pharmacologic treatment and only one patient with successful acute ablation recurred, though the arrhythmia was adequately controlled with sotalol. Despite this patient’s recurrence, the substrate was modified allowing effective management with previously ineffective drugs. These results point out the importance of selecting patients to use this system, those with RVOT electrocardiographic origin (left bundle branch block, inferior frontal axis, R/S transition in V4) being better candidates. (9) This system has also been used for other right ventricular sites (case number 6, right ventricular inflow tract), right atrium, (10) left atrium (11) and even for the left ventricle. (12) The high effectiveness found in our series of patients agrees with other published studies. (13-15)

One limitation of this system is difficulty in guide-wire progression to the pulmonary artery (it could be performed in all cases but in some cases it required up to 30 minutes) and the time taken to perform the procedure, as a reduction in these times was observed when comparing the last with the first cases (learning curve). Another limitation is associated to the distance between the balloon catheter and the arrhythmic focus: when the distance between the balloon equator and the focus is greater than 4 cm, reliability of the activation map is lower. To prevent this problem, the 12-lead ECG evaluation is essential when the procedure is planned. Another eventuality is VE mapping originated by balloon contact with the endocardium, which is excluded for being different from the clinical arrhythmia. Despite the considerable balloon size, we have not observed cases of hypotension due to pulmonary flow obstruction. Finally, cost is greater than other mapping systems.

CONCLUSION
The multielectrode balloon NCMS allows precise identification of right ventricular arrhythmia origin and its approach with a high rate of efficacy and safety. Future studies, with greater number of patients and comparison with antiarrhythmic agents and other forms of mapping may confirm these findings.
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### Table 1. Patients included in the study. Characteristics and outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient n°</th>
<th>Age/ gender</th>
<th>Arrhythmia</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Nº of non-effective AAA</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Acute success</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Holter prior to procedure</th>
<th>Holter after procedure</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55 / woman</td>
<td>SMVT and VE</td>
<td>Syncope, palpitations</td>
<td>3. Amiodarone, flecainide, diltiazem</td>
<td>Anterolateral caudal RVOT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 20,666, SMVT</td>
<td>VE 60</td>
<td>15 months, without AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54 / woman</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>1. Atenolol</td>
<td>Postcraniyal and caudal RVOT (2 foci)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 13,808</td>
<td>VE 4</td>
<td>14 months, without AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60 / man</td>
<td>VE, NSVT</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>5. Atenolol, amiodarone, propafenone, sotalol, diltiazem</td>
<td>LVOT, left coronary sinus</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 25,671</td>
<td>VE 23,460</td>
<td>7 months, with AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>39 / woman</td>
<td>SMVT, VE</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>Anterior and posterior caudal RVOT (2 foci)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 6,743, SMVT</td>
<td>VE 0</td>
<td>7 months, without AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65 / woman</td>
<td>SMVT VE</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>5. Sotalol, flecainide, atenolol, propafenone, propranolol</td>
<td>Anterolateral caudal RVOT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 10,565, SMVT</td>
<td>VE 344</td>
<td>6 months with sotalol 80 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29 / woman</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>Inferobasal RVIT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 19,000</td>
<td>VE 0</td>
<td>6 months, without AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>42 / woman</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>Palpitations, HF (EF 41%)</td>
<td>1. Carvedilol</td>
<td>Posteroseptal caudal RVOT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 22,900</td>
<td>VE 49</td>
<td>2 months, without AAA Carvedilol for low EF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27 / woman</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>1. Bisoprolol</td>
<td>Lateral RVOT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 7,152</td>
<td>VE 0</td>
<td>1 month, without AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>53 / man</td>
<td>VE NSVT</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>2. Atenolol, nebivolol</td>
<td>High posteroseptal and mid-septal, cranial RVOT (2 foci)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>VE 21,353, NSVT</td>
<td>VE 5</td>
<td>1 month, without AAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>28 / woman</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>1. Amiodarone</td>
<td>Posteroseptal-cranial RVOT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fistula arteriovenosa</td>
<td>VE 5,366</td>
<td>VE 0</td>
<td>1 month, without AAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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