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Abstract

Aim: To ratify previous validations of the CONUT nutritional screening tool by the development of two probabilistic models using the parameters included in the CONUT, to see if the CONUT's effectiveness could be improved.

Methods: It is a two step prospective study. In Step 1, 101 patients were randomly selected, and SGA and CONUT was made. With data obtained an unconditional logistic regression model was developed, and two variants of CONUT were constructed: Model 1 was made by a method of logistic regression. Model 2 was made by dividing the probabilities of undernutrition obtained in model 1 in seven regular intervals. In step 2, 60 patients were selected and underwent the SGA, the original CONUT and the new models developed. The diagnostic efficacy of the original CONUT and the new models was tested by means of ROC curves. Both samples 1 and 2 were put together to measure the agreement degree between the original CONUT and SGA, and diagnostic efficacy parameters were calculated.

Results: No statistically significant differences were found between sample 1 and 2, regarding age, sex and medical/surgical distribution and undernutrition rates were similar (over 40%). The AUC for the ROC curves were 0.862 for the original CONUT, and 0.839 and 0.874, for model 1 and 2 respectively. The kappa index for the CONUT and SGA was 0.680.

Conclusions: The CONUT, with the original scores assigned by the authors is equally good than mathematical models and thus is a valuable tool, highly useful and efficient for the purpose of Clinical Undernutrition screening.
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Resumen

Objetivo: Ratificar validaciones previas del sistema de cribado nutricional CONUT, mediante el desarrollo de dos modelos probabilísticos usando los parámetros incluidos en el CONUT, para ver si la efectividad del CONUT puede ser mejorada.

Métodos: Estudio prospectivo en dos fases. En la fase I se seleccionaron 101 pacientes al azar, y se les hicieron SGA y CONUT. Con estos datos se fabricó un modelo de regresión logística incondicional, y se construyeron dos variantes del CONUT. El modelo 1 se hizo mediante regresión logística. El modelo 2 se hizo dividiendo las probabilidades de desnutrición obtenidas en el modelo 1 en siete intervalos regulares. En la fase 2, se seleccionaron 60 pacientes, y se les hizo el SGA, CONUT y los nuevos modelos desarrollados. La eficacia diagnóstica del CONUT original y de los nuevos modelos se estudió mediante curvas ROC. Se juntaron las muestras 1 y 2 para medir el grado de acuerdo entre el CONUT original y el SGA, y se calcularon los índices de eficacia.

Resultados: No se encontraron diferencias significativas entre las muestras 1 y 2, en cuanto a la distribución de sexos y servicios, las tasas de desnutrición fueron similares (alrededor del 40%). El AUC para las curvas ROC fueron 0,862 para el CONUT original, y 0,839 y 0,874 para modelos 1 y 2 respectivamente. El índice kappa entre el CONUT y el SGA fue 0,680.

Conclusión: El CONUT, con las puntuaciones asignadas originalmente por los autores, es tan bueno como los modelos matemáticos y por tanto, válido, muy útil y eficiente para el cribado de la desnutrición Clínica.
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Abreviations

MNA: Mini Nutritional Assessment.
MUST: Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool.
SGA: Subjective Global Assessment.
CONUT: Nutritional Control (from the Spanish expression CONtrol NUTricional).
FNA: Full Nutritional Assessment.
SENPE: Sociedad Española de Nutrición Parenteral y Enteral.
TLC: Total Lymphocyte Count.
SD: Standard Deviation.
ANOVA: Analysis of Variance.
ROC: Receiver Operating Characteristic.
AUC: Area Under the Curve.
CI: Confidence Interval.

Introduction

Undernutrition is a common problem in hospitalized patients, with serious consequences on clinical course, increased complications and longer hospital stays. Bristian et al. published the first studies about Hospital Malnutrition many years ago, but prevalence rates have remained almost constant over the years, varying between 30-60% depending on the series. These high rates may be attributed to the more aggressive clinical procedures that are being applied at present, despite the proliferation of new techniques and products to improve nutritional support.

In order to prevent and treat undernutrition from its early stages, we advocate, once again, for the study of Clinical Undernutrition, a concept already introduced in the “Undernutrition White Book”, defined as the deficiency situation developed as a result of disease and therapeutical procedures applied, which starts at the beginning of the disease or the treatment, and continues frequently after hospital discharge.

In recent years several large studies have been developed to assess the impact of nutritional support on different clinical outcomes on undernourished patients. Many benefits have been proven in reducing complication, mainly due to lower infection rates, reduced resources consumption and reduced overall costs.

In order to treat undernourished patients, we must firstly identify them, and quantify and define their undernutrition. Most of the nutritional screening methods currently used for this purpose, as the NRS2002, MNA, MUST, and other more complete methods for nutritional assessment as SGA, are based on clinical parameters (anamnesis and anthropometrical), that should be handmade for sanitary staff, depending on resources availability and increasing costs. The fact is that today, there are very few hospitals where all inpatients are routinely and periodically screened, despite the recommendations that Council of Europe made on 2003.

In order to overcome these difficulties and to be able to screen as many patients as possible, our team has developed an automatic tool for nutritional screening, based only on data recorded on databases. It is called CONUT. The first step is fully automatic, and uses analytical parameters: serum albumin, total cholesterol and total lymphocyte count, these data are already available on Clinical Laboratory databases. The requested analytical results are automatically collected by a specific software module that process the information and assigns a score generating a Nutritional Alert, as a first step of Nutritional Control. This information is delivered to the patient’s physician in the analysis report. The second phase of Nutritional Control is the assessment of Nutritional Risk, with a complete evaluation of the patient’s clinical condition, including anamnesis, physical and analytical examination, in order to decide the adequate nutritional support, if necessary.

Other research teams are approaching the development of automatically nutritional screening tools. Brugler et al. developed in 2004 a simplified nutritional screen tool with 4 parameters already available in medical records (serum albumin, hemoglobin, total lymphocyte count and malnutrition-related admission diagnosis), that had been assigned scores according to mathematical models.

We have already evaluated the relation between the analytical parameters included in the CONUT system with different clinical indicators and nutritional assessments in previous works, and found that there is a direct and statistically significant relation between them and patient’s nutritional status, and therefore, they are useful for nutritional screening. We have also compared the results obtained by the CONUT with a complete nutritional assessment (FNA, based on the SENPE recommendations) and obtained a kappa index = 0.669 as a measure of the agreement degree, a sensitivity of 92.30 and specificity of 85.00. These results indicate that our tool is valid for nutritional screening for the early detection of clinical undernutrition, and after its implementation, we will achieve a significant improvement in quality of care, with costs reductions.

The aim of the present study was to ratify previous validations of the CONUT by the development of two probabilistic models, using the parameters included in CONUT, with the objective to assign to each variable specific scores based on regression model’s coefficients, and to validate and compare them with the results obtained by the original CONUT, to see if the tool’s effectiveness could be improved.

Material and methodology

A prospective study was carried out at the Hospital Universitario de la Princesa. Around 17,000 adult patients are admitted at this hospital every year. The study developed in two steps.
Inclusion/exclusion criteria (the same were used in both steps of the study): Inpatients from the medical and surgical services were included in the study. Psychiatric, Hemodialysis and Intensive Care Unit patients were excluded. Patients without any routine analytical check-ups during the first week on admission were also left out, as well as those admitted for either a diagnostic test, a short period of stay or those that had not signed the informed consent to enter the study.

Step I: A total of 101 patients were selected at random. They were taken under the following two nutritional assessments:

Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) is made by a nutritionist of the Dietetic Unit. The SGA assesses nutritional status based on clinical history and physical examination. The history records data related to weight changes in the last six months, modification on diet intakes, presence of gastrointestinal symptoms and functional capacity. The physical examination includes: presence of loss of subcutaneous fat, muscle wasting, ankle oedema and ascities. The exam classifies patients as well nourished, moderately or suspected of being undernourished and severely malnourished.13

CONUT, is a nutritional screening tool developed in our department. It automatically evaluates the nutritional alert using two biochemical parameters (serum albumin and cholesterol level) and one immune indicator (total lymphocyte count). Serum albumin (g/dL) is used as an indicator of protein reserves and/or availability14,15,16,17 total cholesterol (mg/dL) is used as a caloric depletion parameter,18,19 and finally, total lymphocyte count (Cell/mL) is used as an indicator of loss of immune defenses, presumably caused by undernutrition.20,21,22 Serum albumin and total cholesterol were analyzed by a “Roche Modular Analyzer”, and total lymphocyte count was analyzed by a “Roche Sysmex SE-9000 Hematology Analyzer”.

The levels for these three parameters, as well as the scores assigned by the screening tool, according to the nutritional alert of undernutrition, are shown in Table 1. Following this rating (between 0 and 12 points), the application classifies patients in three groups according to their nutritional alert status: low alert, moderate alert and high alert. Scores have been placed by the authors, initially, according to the information published and the heuristic knowledge obtained from long experience. The albumin has double the rating than cholesterol and lymphocytes, as it provides more “weight” as an undernutrition indicator.

Since CONUT was developed by weighting each of the variables with the criterion based on previous clinical experience, and in order to adjust these scores, in this study we have developed an unconditional logistic regression model, upon which we have constructed two variants of CONUT, where the weights were made in a probabilistic way, one continuous and another discrete, as described below:

Model 1: was developed by a method of logistic regression, using presence/absence of malnutrition (evaluated by SGA collapsed in two categories: well nourished and malnourished) as a dependent variable, while serum albumin, total cholesterol and total lymphocyte count (TLC) were used as independent continuous variables (table III).

Model 2: a second model was developed to improve Model 1. It is based on the probabilities of undernutrition obtained by Model 1. We divided these probabilities in seven regular intervals, and those cutoff points defined in Model 2.

Step II: In order to evaluate the diagnostic efficacy of the two models developed in step I and to compare it to the original CONUT, another 60 patients were selected at random (Following the same criteria for selection as in Step I). SGA was carried out to all of them and the three
Clinical parameters were analyzed. They also underwent the original CONUT, and Model 1 and 2.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described as the number of cases and percentages, and continuous variables as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Both samples are compared using the T-student test in order to check if they are equivalent.

An ANOVA test was carried out to test the significance of the difference in the mean levels of albumin, cholesterol and lymphocytes for the three nutritional status evaluated by SGA for the two samples.

Results

Sample description is shown in Table II. Patients are slightly older in sample 1 (68.4 ± 16.8 vs. 63.20 ± 20.16). Sex distribution is different in both samples, showing sample 2 a higher percentage of males than in sample 1 (51.7% vs. 41.6%). Distribution of patients according to medical/surgical services is similar in both (79.21/20.80 vs. 83.3/16.7). Undernutrition rates are also very similar in both samples, with a prevalence of moderate and severe slightly higher than 40%. No statistically significant differences were found between samples 1 and 2.

The levels of the three parameters according to the undernutrition degree as evaluated by SGA are shown in Table III.

Both models developed in Step I were applied to the validation sample (Sample 2). Its diagnostic efficacy was tested by means of ROC curves and the area under the curve (AUC) as well as the corresponding confidence interval (CI).

Both samples 1 and 2 were put together to measure the agreement degree between the original CONUT and the SGA results, and then the diagnostic efficacy parameters were calculated: sensibility, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value.

### Table II

**Sample description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sample 1 (n = 101)</th>
<th>Sample 2 (n = 60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>68.4 (16.8)</td>
<td>63.20 (20.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males/Females, n (%)</td>
<td>42 (41.6)/59 (58.4)</td>
<td>31 (51.7)/29 (48.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal/Surgical wards, n (%)</td>
<td>80 (79.21)/21 (20.80)</td>
<td>50 (83.3)/10 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III

**Logistic regression model. Model 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>B Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>14.877</td>
<td>3.122</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin</td>
<td>-2.482</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both models developed in Step I were applied to the validation sample (Sample 2). Its diagnostic efficacy was tested by means of ROC curves and the area under the curve (AUC) as well as the corresponding confidence interval (CI).

Both samples 1 and 2 were put together to measure the agreement degree between the original CONUT and the SGA results, and then the diagnostic efficacy parameters were calculated: sensibility, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value.

### Table IV

**Agreement degree between CONUT and SGA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SGA</th>
<th>CONUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not undernourished</td>
<td>82 (89.1%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/Severe undernourished</td>
<td>10 (10.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92 (57.1%)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Agreement between CONUT and SGA.

X² = 74.76, p = 0.000, Kappa index = 0.68. Sensitivity = 78.26 (CI: 67.80-88.72), Specificity = 89.13 (CI: 82.23-96.03). PPV = 84.38 (CI: 74.70-94.05), NPP = 84.54 (CI: 76.83-92.25).
It is important to point out that the levels of the three parameters decrease as the degree of undernutrition increases. Albumin levels lower as the undernutrition degree evaluated by SGA increases, in a similar way in both samples, and these differences in the means are significant (P = 0.000). In relation to cholesterol levels, only in sample 1 were any significant differences detected and the origin of this significance was found among normal and undernourished patients. It should be noted that cholesterol levels among both undernourished groups (moderate and severe) was very similar (134.8 vs 131.1). In sample 2 there is also an evident lowering trend of cholesterol as the degree of undernourishment increases, although this decrease does not get significant levels. Total lymphocyte count also tends to lower along with higher degree of undernutrition, being this trend of significance in both samples.

β coefficients obtained in the logistic regression model (Model 1) are shown in table III and the seven cut points obtained in Model 2 are as follows: > 0.88, 0.88-0.84, 0.83-0.68, 0.67-0.34, 0.33-0.13, 0.12-0.06, < 0.05.

The ROC curves for the three models and their corresponding AUC and CI are shown in figure 1, and it can be made evident that in all three cases the area under the curve is higher than 0.8. The results of agreement degree as well as the efficacy parameters are shown in table IV.

**Discussion**

We have been working with the automatic system for nutritional screening called CONUT for more than twenty years. Throughout the years this tool, as well as the interpretation of its scores, have been improved many times, being the present study an example of this.

When CONUT was first conceived, it consisted of four categories of nutritional alert (normal, light, moderate and severe). During the first trials of the tool, we compared the results with those obtained by means of the FNA protocol that was used in our hospital and those obtained by SGA, both of which were very similar. As a consequence of this and considering the SGA a very well known and accurate tool, we decided to take it as gold standard. Also, in order to ease the comparison between the two tools, SGA and CONUT, we decided to merge the first two categories (normal and light, comprising scores between 0-4 range) and to group them within the “low alert of undernutrition” range. This was due to the fact that we had observed that the original CONUT tended to overestimate undernutrition rather too much.

This new classification of the scores obtained by CONUT was much more in agreement with SGA. The three ranges of Nutritional Alert were hence reduced as follows: Low (less than 4, including normal ones and those previously considered light), Moderate (those comprised between 5 and 8), and High (those higher than 8), as shown in table I.

Several have been the critics that we received after presenting in different communication sets our studies on malnutrition prevalence and the relationship between undernutrition and different indicators of clinical outcomes, using CONUT for the assessment of undernutrition degree. Most of the critics were pointed at the fact that the scores used by the tool were arbitrary and that they should be based on logistic regression models in order to be considered adequate. All these critics were made regardless of the fact that the results obtained until then relating the agreement degree with the results of other previously validated methods, such as SGA, and also the estimate of efficiency parameters, both indicated that the scores were valid. This is the reason why we decided to carry out this study and to apply both probabilistic models with the parameters used by CONUT.

When comparing the two samples applied in this study, no significant differences were found and consequently it can be stated that both are useful in order to prove the diagnostic efficacy of the models developed on sample 1.

Prevalence of undernutrition (40%) in both samples proved to be similar to that detected in previous studies at our hospital and slightly higher than that obtained lately during a multicentric study carried out in Spain (PREDYCES study), in which a prevalence of 23% was found. This difference is probably due to the method used for nutritional assessment and the inclusion criteria applied in each study, being our aim (with the first step of CONUT system) the detection of undernutrition at the early stages, while in the case of the NRS2002 the aim is to detect nutritional risk.

The analysis of the changes shown by the parameters applied by the tool, at the different degrees of undernutrition as evaluated by SGA, confirms once more their close relationship with undernutrition. Therefore we can say that they are useful for nutritional screening. In a previous study we have already discussed its efficacy for that purpose; the pros and cons were also explained as well as the characteristics of each parameter. We sustained the concept that, despite any objections that could possibly be made to each of the parameters regarding clinical undernutrition, their efficacy for the purpose of nutritional screening is evident (barring in mind at all times that we make reference to screening and not to a definite nutritional assessment).

The purpose of the present study was to confirm the usefulness of this tool, by comparing the results with a wider sample, and to improve its diagnostic ability by replacing, wherever necessary, the scores that had been assigned to each of the CONUT parameters, with other ones based on mathematical models. However, the analysis of the ROC curves that were obtained by comparing the results of the three models (that is, original CONUT, model 1 and model 2) with the scores obtained with SGA, indicate that these new models,
despite their complexity, have not improved the tools potential to detect undernourished patients. This conclusion can be drawn when considering that the AUC of the original CONUT is 0.862, while Models 1 and 2 are 0.839 and 0.874 respectively (fig. 1).

The kappa index that was obtained by comparing the results of the original CONUT with those of SGA as “gold standard” is 0.680, which, according to Altman27 is considered a good score. The results of an estimation of the efficacy rates indicate that the test can be considered more specific (89.13) rather than sensitive (78.26). Although this means that a portion of seriously undernourished patients may pass undetected, these scores are still quite good ones for a screening system.28

Both positive and negative predictive scores are very similar (84.38 vs. 84.54).

As a consequence it can be said that CONUT, with the scores assigned initially to each parameter by the authors, consists of a much simpler tool than models 1 and 2 because it is based on a table that can be easily interpreted and applied. CONUT is, as such, an equally effective tool for the detection of patients on nutritional alert.

With this very simple method for nutritional screening it is possible to alert, at early stages, of the possibility that a patient has already begun an undernutrition process. This automatic screening tool is designed to become active each time that doctors ask
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for a routine analytical control to admitted patients. All
this should be considered as a complement to the
medical nutritional assessment, considering other
aspects related to nutritional status and the presence
of trofopatic situations such as either consumptive and/or
severe disease, or aggressive type of treatment.

All CONUT results below 5 do not require any
particular attention in the clinical practice. Each time
that the patient’s physician asks for further analytical
check-up tests, the system will repeat the screening,
and nutritional control will continue while the patient
is being treated clinically treated, resulting in the
new analytical report an updated assessment of nutri-
tional alert. This way, the new analytical form will
issue an updated assessment of the degree of nutri-
tional alert.

If the result is above 4, a full nutritional assessment
will take place and eventual nutritional support
applied. This will be carried out either by the doctor in
charge of the patient or by a specialized nutritional
unit, according to the protocol and particular manage-
ment of the hospital in question.
The latest inclusion of CONUT Nutritional Alert to
the main technological systems of Clinical Analysis
Labs has paved the way for its adaptation to Spanish
hospitals, Residences and First Aid Services. It has
become a prophylactic and therapeutic method to auto-
matically control the assessment of Nutritional Alert,
being activated with each analytical check-up (fig. 2).

Nowadays it appears that more teams tend to prefer
automatic systems to deal with nutritional screening.
An example of this is the study published by Brugler
and cols in 2005,8 they published an article that intro-
duced a simplified nutritional screening method for
hospitalized patients using readily available laboratory
and patient information. They sustained that serum
albumin and total lymphocyte count are among the
most relevant parameters to be considered when
analyzing malnutrition related complications (they do
not consider total cholesterol on their study), and these
can be useful to apply, together with other parameters,
in automatic undernutrition screening.

We are of the opinion that it is both scientist and clin-
ician’s need and duty to develop and improve their
diagnostic models. Furthermore, we also sustain that
technology would rather be developed from clinical
practice because by doing so it would be possible to
achieve a better understanding, not only of the “field”
in question, but also of its particular problems and
needs, as well as the possible solutions to them.

Conclusions

The CONUT, with the scores that were originally
conceived by its authors according to “heuristic knowl-
edge” and clinical experience, can be said to be able to
issue equally results than a model which was designed
on the basis of logistic regression or constructed out of
the estimated probability of the logistic model, since
we have not found any statistically significant differ-
ences between them. Therefore, we can validate the
scores assigned on the original CONUT model,
adjusted to the SGA results.

Finally, the present study confirms, once again, that
CONUT is a valuable tool to be considered highly
useful and efficient for the purpose of Clinical Under-
nutrition screening.
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