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Abstract

Introduction: Obesity has been considered a chronic subclinical inflammation. High sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and fibrinogen are increasingly associated with cardiovascular risk.

Objectives: To evaluate the ability of anthropometric and body composition indicators in discriminating higher levels of hs-CRP and fibrinogen.

Methods: 130 men (20-59 years) were assessed, having measurement of weight, height, waist circumference (WC), hip and thigh circumferences, sagittal abdominal diameter (SAD), coronal diameter (CD) and body composition. Conicity index, waist/height ratio, body mass index, waist/hip ratio, waist/thigh ratio and sagittal index were calculated. It was considered as the cutoff point for hs-CRP values ≥ 0.12 mg/dL and for fibrinogen the 50th percentile of the evaluated sample.

Results: Sagittal index (r = 0.280), waist/thigh ratio (r = 0.233) and waist/height ratio (r = 0.233) showed the best correlation with hs-CRP (p < 0.01). Conicity index (r = 0.305) and waist/height ratio (r = 0.279) showed the best correlation with fibrinogen (p < 0.01). In ROC analysis, the SAD (0.698 ± 0.049) and the conicity index (0.658 ± 0.048) had greater ability to discriminate cardiovascular risk through higher levels of hs-CRP and fibrinogen, respectively (p < 0.01). The cutoff points of 30 cm, 89.9 cm and 20.5 cm were the ones that reached largest sum between sensitivity and specificity values for the CD, WC and SAD, respectively.

Conclusions: The SAD and the conicity index demonstrated a greater ability to detect higher levels of hs-CRP and fibrinogen, respectively, in apparently healthy adult men.
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Capacidad predictiva de los indicadores antropométricos y de composición corporal para detectar cambios en los biomarcadores del estado inflamatorio

Resumen

Introducción: La obesidad ha sido considerada como una inflamación crónica subclínica. La proteína C-reactiva ultrasensible (PCR-us) y el fibrinógeno se han asociado cada vez más con el riesgo cardiovascular.

Objetivos: Evaluar la capacidad, de los indicadores antropométricos y de composición corporal, en discriminar mayores niveles séricos de PCR-us y fibrinógeno.

Métodos: Se evaluaron 130 hombres (20-59 años). Se midió peso, estatura, circunferencia de la cintura, de la cadera y del muslo, diámetro abdominal sagital (DAS), diámetro coronal (DC) y composición corporal. Se calculó el índice de conicidad, la relación cintura/estatura, el índice de masa corporal, la relación cintura/cadera, la relación cintura/muslo y el índice sagital. Se consideró como punto de corte para los valores de PCR-us ≥ 0,12 mg/dl y para el fibrinógeno se utilizó el percentil 50 de la muestra evaluada.

Resultados: El índice sagital (r = 0,280), la relación cintura/muslo (r = 0,233) y la relación cintura/estatura (r = 0,233) mostraron una mayor correlación con la PCR-us (p < 0,01). El índice de conicidad (r = 0,305) y la relación cintura/estatura (r = 0,279) mostraron una mejor correlación con el fibrinógeno (p < 0,01). En el análisis ROC, el DAS (0,698 ± 0,049) y el índice de conicidad (0,658 ± 0,048) mostraron una mayor capacidad predictiva de riesgo cardiovascular determinado a través de mayores niveles de PCR-us y fibrinógeno, respectivamente (p < 0,01). Los puntos de corte de 30 cm, 89,9 cm y 20,5 cm fueron los que alcanzaron mayor sumatorio entre los valores de sensibilidad y especificidad para el DC, circunferencia de la cintura y DAS, respectivamente.

Conclusiones: En hombres adultos sanos, el DAS y el índice de conicidad mostraron una mayor capacidad predictiva para detectar niveles más altos de PCR-us y fibrinógeno respectivamente.

DOI:10.3305/nh.2013.28.5.6743

Introduction

Obesity has been characterized by a condition of chronic subclinical inflammation. Forouhi et al. suggest that adiposity, particularly visceral adiposity, is the key promoter of chronic subclinical inflammation. The distribution of abdominal fat is associated with metabolic abnormalities and increased risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes.

Inflammatory biomarkers, such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), are increased in obese compared with normal subjects. Elevated levels of CRP and fibrinogen are related to increased risk of developing cardiovascular disease.

The improvement in the identification of populations at high risk of overweight and its associated complications, especially with a better characterization of the relationship between simple measures and metabolic abnormalities, are important priorities for obesity research.

To prevent cardiovascular diseases, it is important to identify subjects at high risk. Clinical and epidemiological studies require noninvasive and low cost methods to assess cardiovascular risk, thereby, anthropometric measures are clinically useful tools, since they are noninvasive and inexpensive.

Among the most important indicators of obesity that have been associated with increased cardiovascular risk are: the waist circumference (WC), the sagittal abdominal diameter (SAD), the coronal diameter (CD) the conicity index (COI), the waist/height ratio (WHR), the body mass index (BMI), the body fat percentage, the body fat mass (kg), the waist/hip ratio (WHR), the waist/thigh ratio (WTR), and the sagittal index (SI).

Few studies have considered the association between body composition, fat distribution, and inflammatory biomarkers. In this study, we evaluated the ability of anthropometric and body composition indicators in discriminating higher levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and fibrinogen in apparently healthy adult men, and choose the best cutoff points for anthropometric and body composition indicators as discriminators of cardiovascular risk.

Methods

Participants and data collection

A cross sectional study was conducted on apparently healthy adult men from Brazil using a convenience sampling method. Data were collected in the Nutrition Sector of the Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil. The volunteers were recruited through posters, leaflets, web sites and e-mail. In the recruitment message, the age range (20-59 years old) and the gender (men) were mentioned. Exclusion criteria of the participants included in this study were: BMI ≥ 18.5 kg/m² or ≤ 35 kg/m², self-reported hypertension or treatment with antihypertensive medication, type 1 or type 2 diabetes, osteoarthritis, treatment with drugs that could interfere with the expression of inflammatory biomarkers (i.e.: hormonal and nonhormonal anti-inflammatory, statins, steroids, cyclosporine, anticonvulsants and diuretics), current smokers, bacterial infections at the time of collection, individuals with levels of hs-CRP above 1.0 mg/dL suggesting the presence of inflammation and/or infection.

The general design of research was explained before the study began and all participants provided written informed consent. The protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Viçosa (ref no. 006/2008), in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

Anthropometric measurements

The anthropometric assessment was conducted by a single trained examiner. The hip and thigh circumferences, the WC, the SAD and the CD were evaluated in triplicate, using the two closest values to calculate the respective averages. The weight and the height were measured according to the techniques recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO).

The WC was measured with flexible and inelastic tape at the end of a normal expiration and taking care not to compress the tissues. The WC was measured at the smallest circumference between the thorax and the hips. The hip circumference was measured at the largest circumference on trochanters with flexible and inelastic tape. The thigh circumference was measured at the midpoint of the right anterior thigh.
The SAD and the CD were measured with a portable abdominal caliper (Holtain Kahn Abdominal Caliper®). The measurements were performed with the participants lying on a flat and firm table, in the supine position with bent knees. The SAD was measured at the umbilical level. The subject was asked to inhale and exhale gently, and the arm of the caliper was brought down to touch the abdominal without compression. The CD was measured at the level of the iliac crests (L4-L5).

**Anthropometric indices**

The BMI was calculated as the weight (kg) divided by the square of the height (m) and classified according to criteria established by the WHO. The SI was calculated as the DAS (cm) divided by the thigh circumference (cm). The COI was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{COI} = \frac{\text{WC} (\text{m})}{0.109 \sqrt{\frac{\text{Body weight} (\text{kg})}{\text{Height} (\text{m})}}}
\]

The WHR was calculated as the WC (cm) divided by the hip circumference (cm). The WTR was calculated as the WC (cm) divided by the thigh circumference (cm). The WHTR was calculated as the WC (cm) divided by the height (cm).

**Body composition measurement**

The body composition assessment was conducted by monofrequency bioelectrical impedance analysis (Biodynamics® 450 model). The participants were instructed to follow a protocol for the test. It was considered as high body fat percentage ≥ 25%.

**Biochemical analysis**

The blood samples were collected after a 12 hours overnight fasting. The determination of complete blood count was performed by flow cytometry, in order to detect the presence of bacterial infections at the time of collection. The hs-CRP was determined by nephelometry. Participants with hs-CRP levels above the 3rd quintile of the population distribution (≥ 0.12 mg/dL) were considered at higher relative risk of cardiovascular events. Fibrinogen was estimated by the Clauss method. It was considered as the cutoff point for analysis of fibrinogen value to the 50th percentile in the study sample.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as means and standard deviations. The distribution of variables was analyzed with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Variables with normal distribution were analyzed with a Student’s t-test, analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc test and Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Non-parametric variables were analyzed with the Mann-Whitney test, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc test and Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Sensitivity and specificity were examined by Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis, and the areas under the ROC curves (AUC) were calculated for each anthropometrical and body composition parameter and risk condition. Individual cutoffs points were defined as that point on the curve where the sum of sensitivity and specificity was highest. Were adopted a confidence interval (CI) of 95% and were applied the Z test for comparison of the curves. The statistical analyses and ROC curves were performed by using SPSS for WINDOWS (version 15.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) and MedCalc (version 9.3). P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

Were evaluated 152 adult men, of which 130 filled out the inclusion criteria. The general characteristics of the participants studied are shown in table I. Table II shows that the group with hs-CRP levels ≥ 0.12 mg/dL had higher values for all anthropometric and body composition indicators assessed (p < 0.01). The distribution of anthropometric and body composition indicators, according to quartiles of fibrinogen levels, found no statistical differences between them (data not shown).
The indicators of body fat distribution represented by the SI (r = 0.280, p < 0.01), 95% CI (0.12 to 0.43), followed by the WTR (r = 0.233, p < 0.01), 95% CI (0.06 to 0.39), showed the best correlation with hs-CRP levels, and the indicator of central obesity WHTR (r = 0.233, p < 0.01), 95% CI (0.06 to 0.39), showed the same correlation that the WTR. No correlation was found between the CD (r = 0.136, p > 0.05), 95% CI (-0.04 to 0.30), and hs-CRP levels. The indicators of central obesity COI (r = 0.305, p < 0.01), 95% CI (0.14 to 0.45), and WHTR (r = 0.279, p < 0.01), 95% CI (0.10 to 0.42), showed the best correlation with fibrinogen levels. Nevertheless, there was no correlation between the CD (r = 0.103, p > 0.05), 95% CI (-0.07 to 0.27), the BMI (r = 0.144, p > 0.05), 95% CI (-0.03 to 0.31), and the body fat mass (kg) (r = 0.109, p > 0.05), 95% CI (-0.06 to 0.28), and the fibrinogen levels (data not shown).

In the ROC analysis, comparing different anthropometric and body composition indicators and hs-CRP levels, the SAD had the highest absolute value for AUC (table III). According to the Z test that compared the AUC, there were no statistically significant differences.

In agreement with the correlation analysis that detected the best correlation between the fibrinogen levels and the COI, the highest absolute value for AUC (table IV), in the ROC analysis, was represented by the COI, by evaluating cardiovascular risk through higher fibrinogen levels. The application of the Z test comparing AUC indicated that the COI had higher areas in relation to body fat percentage (p = 0.015), body fat mass in kg (p = 0.012) and CD (p = 0.012). The CD, the BMI, the body fat percentage and the body fat mass (kg) showed no predictive ability to detect changes in fibrinogen levels (p > 0.05) (table IV).

By assessing the cutoff points with greater accuracy for each anthropometric and body composition indicators, the CD, the WC and the SAD reached the highest sum among the values of sensitivity and specificity for the cutoff points 30 cm, 89.9 cm and 20.5 cm, respectively (table III).

### Table II
**Distribution of anthropometric and body composition indicators according to high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>hs-CRP &lt; 0.12 mg/dL n = 90</th>
<th>hs-CRP ≥ 0.12 mg/dL n = 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>84.45 ± 7.74</td>
<td>89.95 ± 8.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAD (cm)</td>
<td>19.29 ± 2.30</td>
<td>21.01 ± 2.48*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (cm)</td>
<td>29.7 (24-36)</td>
<td>31.5 (25.9-35.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHTR</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.79</td>
<td>26.1 ± 2.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage</td>
<td>18.15 ± 4.76</td>
<td>20.97 ± 4.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>12.5 (2.6-25.2)</td>
<td>15.2 (8.8-32.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0.35 (0.28-0.44)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.29-0.43)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student’s t-test for variables presented as mean ± standard deviation; Mann-Whitney test for variables presented as median (range).
*P < 0.01.
†p < 0.001.

### Table III
**Cutoff points, sensitivity and specificity for the association of anthropometric and body composition indicators and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>AUC ± SE (95% CI)</th>
<th>Cutoff point</th>
<th>Sensitivity (95% CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (95% CI)</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>0.690 ± 0.049 (0.593-0.787)*</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>55 (38.5-70.7)</td>
<td>78.89 (69-86.8)</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAD (cm)</td>
<td>0.698 ± 0.049 (0.602-0.794)*</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>60 (43.3-75.1)</td>
<td>73.33 (63-82.1)</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (cm)</td>
<td>0.670 ± 0.052 (0.567-0.773)*</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>70 (53.5-83.4)</td>
<td>64.44 (53.7-74.3)</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI</td>
<td>0.652 ± 0.051 (0.552-0.751)*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>70 (53.5-83.4)</td>
<td>57.78 (46.9-68.1)</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHTR</td>
<td>0.686 ± 0.049 (0.590-0.781)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>62.5 (45.8-77.3)</td>
<td>65.56 (54.8-75.3)</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.685 ± 0.050 (0.588-0.782)*</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>65 (48.3-79.4)</td>
<td>66.67 (55.9-76.3)</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage</td>
<td>0.668 ± 0.050 (0.571-0.766)*</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>62.5 (45.8-77.3)</td>
<td>67.78 (57.1-77.2)</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>0.677 ± 0.049 (0.581-0.774)*</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>75 (58.8-87.3)</td>
<td>57.78 (46.9-68.1)</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.648 ± 0.051 (0.548-0.748)*</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>82.5 (67.2-92.6)</td>
<td>43.32 (32.9-54.2)</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>0.645 ± 0.056 (0.536-0.754)*</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>50 (33.8-66.2)</td>
<td>80 (70-87.7)</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0.669 ± 0.053 (0.565-0.773)*</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>45 (29.3-61.5)</td>
<td>85.56 (76.6-92.1)</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.01.
†p < 0.001.
Discussion

The results of the present study showed that the group with higher levels of hs-CRP (≥ 0.12 mg/dL) had higher values for all anthropometric and body composition indicators. Lemieux et al.,21 observed a progressive increase in BMI, body fat mass, visceral adipose tissue area and WC according to quintiles of CRP levels. Adding, Ramírez Alvarado and Sánchez Roitz,22 in a review article, verified that in the studies consulted the CRP levels were positively correlated with the BMI (r = 0.08 to 0.84) and the WC (r = 0.27 to 1.03), being of great significance the correlation between the BMI and the WC with the CRP levels observed in obese of South American, including Brazil. The BMI and the body fat distribution have a strong influence on the CRP levels. Nevertheless, the distribution of anthropometric and body composition indicators, according to quartiles of fibrinogen levels, did not identify differences between groups in the present study. However, Church et al.,23 evaluating 4,057 men from the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study, verified lower fibrinogen levels (246 mg/dL), after adjustment for age, in the group with higher physical fitness and BMI < 25 kg/m², and the highest values (303 mg/dL) were detected in the group with lower physical fitness and BMI ≥ 30 kg/m².

Generally, the anthropometric and body composition indicators showed a weak correlation with the hs-CRP and the fibrinogen levels, with the exception of the COI, which showed a regular correlation with the fibrinogen levels, according to criteria proposed by Callegari-Jacques.24 The indicators of body fat distribution, particularly the SI and WTR, showed the best correlation with hs-CRP and fibrinogen levels, while the indicators of central obesity, mainly represented by the COI and the WHTR, showed the best correlation with fibrinogen levels.

Kahn et al.,16 suggested that SI could be used as a substitute for WHR, in order to overcoming the disadvantages of the measurements of WC and hip circumference. Sampaio et al.,25 observed that the SI (r = 0.50) showed a good correlation with visceral fat, but this correlation was lower than those reported for the SAD (r = 0.80), the WC (r = 0.77) and the WHR (r = 0.72) (p < 0.01). Whereas, Chuang et al.,26 observed that the WTR was the best indicator compared with the BMI, the WC and the WHR in the correlation with type 2 diabetes.

Pitanga and Lessa27 suggested that the WHTR might be used to discriminate high coronary risk. According to this, Hsieh and Muto28 verified that the WHTR had the highest AUC, in ROC analysis, for identification of coronary risk factors, whereas BMI had the lowest AUC, for both sexes. The same study indicates the same cutoff point found in the present study for WHTR (≥ 0.5) as the most effective anthropometric indicator for screening of metabolic syndrome in the Japanese population. “Keep your WC to less than half your height”.29

Table IV

| Areas under the ROC curves for different anthropometric and body composition indicators and its ability in detecting changes in fibrinogen levels |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| **AUC ± SE (95% CI)**                        |
| **Central Obesity**                           |
| WC (cm)                                        | 0.607 ± 0.050 (0.510-0.704)*                  |
| SAD (cm)                                       | 0.625 ± 0.049 (0.529-0.720)*                  |
| CD (cm)                                        | 0.544 ± 0.051 (0.445-0.644)                   |
| COI                                            | 0.658 ± 0.048 (0.564-0.753)*                  |
| WHTR                                           | 0.639 ± 0.049 (0.544-0.734)*                  |
| **General Obesity**                           |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                    | 0.579 ± 0.050 (0.481-0.678)                   |
| Body fat percentage                            | 0.556 ± 0.051 (0.457-0.655)                   |
| Body fat mass (kg)                             | 0.544 ± 0.051 (0.445-0.644)                   |
| **Body Fat Distribution**                     |
| WHR                                            | 0.627 ± 0.049 (0.531-0.723)*                  |
| WTR                                            | 0.651 ± 0.048 (0.557-0.745)*                  |
| SI                                             | 0.652 ± 0.048 (0.558-0.746)*                  |

Forouhi et al.2 found a strong association between WC and visceral fat area in the South Asian, whereas the BMI and the body fat percentage were more significantly associated with CRP levels in Europeans. In the same study, the CRP levels in South Asian women (0.135 mg/dL) were almost twice that observed in European women (0.07 mg/dL, p = 0.05), showing the influence of ethnicity on CRP levels. This fact reinforces the importance of specific studies with the Brazilian population in order to verify the performance of anthropometric and body composition indicators and the inflammatory biomarkers in our population.

The BMI is an indicator commonly used in the assessment of nutritional status, however, in the present study, there was no statistical correlation between the BMI and the fibrinogen levels. Nevertheless, Imperatore et al.,30 evaluating 1,252 men without diabetes (35–64 years) detected a significant positive association between the BMI and the fibrinogen levels, after adjustment for age.

The indicators of general obesity showed the worst correlation with hs-CRP and fibrinogen levels, indicating that the type and the location of body fat are more important than total body fat. It has been recognized that central obesity rather than general obesity, is likely to coexist not only with type 2 diabetes, but is also responsible for several complications of diabetes, such as, hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, proinflammatory conditions and cardiovascular disease.30

The ROC analysis identified the SAD as the best anthropometric indicator to detect changes in the hs-
CRP levels, since this indicator showed the higher AUC. Risérus et al.\textsuperscript{30} showed that the SAD was a strong predictor of insulin resistance and hyperproinsulinemia compared with other classic anthropometric measurements (BMI, WC and WHR). The Bogalusa Heart Study\textsuperscript{31} suggests that the DAS be an additional parameter of risk, since it contributes to the prediction of cardiovascular risk factors similarly to other measurements of obesity, but can contribute to the assessment of the component of visceral fat deposition. Moreover, the SAD is an efficient method for predicting the accumulation of abdominal fat, and it showed to be better and more sensitive than the WC.\textsuperscript{32}

The COI, in the ROC analysis, was the best indicator for detecting changes in fibriogen levels. Pitanga and Lessa,\textsuperscript{11} in a study with a sample of 391 men (30-74 years), identified an AUC between the COI and the coronary risk of 0.80, 95% CI (0.74 to 0.85) and its results show that the COI might be used to discriminate high coronary risk.

Table III of the present study suggested cutoff points for anthropometric and body composition indicators evaluated for use in apparently healthy adult men. The cutoff points took into account the highest sum of sensitivity and specificity for each indicator. The cutoff point proposed for the SAD in the present study (20.5 cm) was the same as indicated by Sampaio et al.\textsuperscript{25} in a study that validated the use of the SAD as a predictor of visceral abdominal fat.

The determination of the cutoff point of the WC is important since it influences in the assessment of cardiovascular risk. Using the cutoff point indicated in the present study (89.9 cm), the prevalence of abdominal obesity would be 32.3% (n = 42). According to the values proposed by WHO to detected increased cardiovascular risk (94 cm),\textsuperscript{33} the prevalence would reduce to 19.2% (n = 25). In the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome, according to the criteria of National Cholesterol Education Program-ATPIII,\textsuperscript{34} the prevalence of abdominal obesity (102 cm) would be only 2.3% (n = 3). These findings underscore the importance of using specific cutoff points for each population, since inadequate cutoff points may underestimate and/or overestimate the prevalence of abdominal obesity.

**Conclusion**

The SAD and the COI revealed to be the most appropriate anthropometric indicators for assessing cardiovascular risk, since they showed greater ability to discriminate higher levels of hs-CRP and fibrinogen, respectively, in apparently healthy adult men. Nevertheless, is essential evaluating the effectiveness of anthropometric and body composition indicators in different population and in both sexes, once they may present a distinct behavior depending on gender and age group considered.
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