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Abstract

The purpose of the present study was to investigate percentage body fat (%BF) differences in three Spanish dance disciplines and to compare skinfold and bioelectrical impedance predictions of body fat percentage in the same sample.

Seventy-six female dancers, divided into three groups, Classical (n=23), Spanish (n=29) and Flamenco (n=24), were measured using skinfold measurements at four sites: triceps, subscapular, biceps and iliac crest, and whole body multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance (BIA). The skinfold measures were used to predict body fat percentage via Durnin and Womersley’s and Segal, Sun and Yannakoulia equations by BIA. Differences in percent fat mass between groups (Classical, Spanish and Flamenco) were tested by using repeated measures analysis (ANOVA). Also, Pearson’s product-moment correlations were performed on the body fat percentage values obtained using both methods. In addition, Bland-Altman plots were used to assess agreement, between anthropometric and BIA methods.

Repeated measures analysis of variance did not found differences in %BF between modalities (p<0.05). Fat percentage correlations ranged from r = 0.57 to r=0.97 (all, p<0.001). Bland-Altman analysis revealed differences between BIA Yannakoulia as a reference method with BIA Segal (-0.35 ± 2.32 %, 95 % CI: -0.89 to 0.18, p=0.38), with BIA Sun (-0.73 ± 2.3 %, 95 % CI: -1.27 to -0.20, p=0.014) and Durnin-Womersley (-2.65 ± 2.48 %, 95 % CI: -3.22 to -2.07, p<0.0001). It was concluded that body fat percentage estimates by BIA compared with skinfold method were systematically different in young adult female ballet dancers, having a tendency to produce underestimations as %BF increased with Segal and Durnin-Womersley equations compared to Yannakoulia, concluding that these methods are not interchangeable.
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Abbreviations

%BF: percentage body fat.
BIA: bioelectrical impedance.
DXA: dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.
CL: Classic dance.
SP: Spanish dance.
FL: Flamenco dance.
CON: Contemporary dance.

Introduction

Although Contemporary Dance has only emerged in the last century, Dance, as a form of corporeal expression and physical activity, can be traced as far back in human culture and history as ancient Egypt and Greece. Dance performance is dependent of many physiological, psychological, technical and morphological factors, the last of these being influenced by such components as bone, muscle and subcutaneous adiposity.

Four different sorts of dance are studied in official Dance Studies programmes in Spain, namely: Classical Dance (ballet) and Contemporary Dance, as practiced internationally, and two types of Spanish dance. These are Classical Spanish Dance, where sophisticated choreographies are performed to Classical Music of Spanish composers such as Falla, Granados or Albeniz, and Flamenco Spanish Dance, where the traditional dances of different regions of Spain are studied. Flamenco is the best known Spanish dance outside Spain, mainly due to the fame of its different dancers (named “bailaores”) and singers (named “cantaores”).

Academic and professional training of Dance in Spain occurs in three stages: Basic Education, Professional and Higher Grades (see figure 1).

In the first stage, all students learn Classical, Spanish and Flamenco Dance disciplines. Professional grade allows specialization in Classical, Spanish, Flamenco or Contemporary Dance. The High Grade is dedicated to Pedagogy and/or Choreography in these four dance disciplines. All stages in the three grades are performed full-time in the same school.

Each of the four types of dance has an impact on the morphology of its practitioners, both during selection and in on-going development and maturation. Classical dancers need to be elegant; Contemporary dancers tend to be natural movers; Classical Spanish dancers are characterized by varied and stylized movements and Folk/Flamenco dancers are very expressive. In all four, the level of body fatness is significant because of its impact on both performance and appearance.

Whereas many professional dancers manage their fatness via body weight restrictions, such a general approach can often have a negative effect on performance, because of the physiological demands that ballet training makes on its practitioners. So, assessment of body composition as fat mass must be a cornerstone to control health, performance and appearance of dancers.

Several accurate and valid body composition measurement methods, such as hydrostatic weighing, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), isotope dilution and total body potassium are available to measure different bodily components (body volume, bone mineral, total body water or potassium, respectively); as well as indirect methods such as anthropometry, bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) and total body electrical conductivity are widely utilized also. All vary in their accuracy, complexity, cost and availability. For measurements of body composition in field settings, anthropometry and BIA are considered the simplest and quickest methods. Unfortunately, large limits of agreement have been reported between estimates of percentage body fat based on the four compartment model and estimates based on DXA with skinfolds or BIA.

A Dance school offers a unique opportunity to compare the body composition of dancers from different dance disciplines, yet of similar age, experience and training backgrounds. The opportunity was taken therefore to evaluate any difference in the body fat percentage of dancers in the different disciplines at the High Dance School of Málaga (Spain). This school has a great tradition in international dances, but mainly in Flamenco dance a particular discipline in Spain.

Further, because there are two readily-available field measurement methods - skinfolds and whole-body bioelectrical impedance – it was decided to measure the

**Image**: Fig. 1.—Organization of Spanish Dance Studies.
CL: Classical. SPA: Spanish. FL: Flamenco. CON: Contemporary
sample using both techniques in order to evaluate their comparability in young adult dancers.

The main purpose of our work was to analyze if there were differences on fat mass between dance modalities, moreover since there is an evidence about significant differences between field methods to assess body composition in general population, our second concern was to explore the interaction between dance modalities and two field methods as anthropometry and bioelectrical impedance analyses.

Material and Methods

Subjects

Seventy-six female dance students, recruited from Málaga High Dance School (Spain), participated in the study. Descriptive characteristics of the sample are showed in Table I. They were classified in three groups as classic dance (CL) (n=23), Spanish dance (SP) (n=29) and Flamenco dance (FL) (n=24). All the measurements were carried out during the first two weeks before menstruation. The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Málaga and written informed consent form was obtained from all subjects before participation.

Protocol Anthropometry

Stature was measured with a wall-mounted stadiometer (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 0.1 cm and body mass was obtained with an electronic scale (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 0.1 kg. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared (kg\(^{-2}\)). All measurements (anthropometry and BIA) were obtained in fasting conditions without exercise before 24 hours and made by the same technician.

Skinfold measurements

Holtain skinfold calipers (Holtain Ltd, Crymmych, UK) were used to assess triceps, subscapular, biceps and iliac crest skinfold thickness. All skinfolds were taken on the right side of the body by an ISAK (International Society for Advancement in Kinanthropometry) Level 3 anthropometrist, following the standard procedures\(^13\). Skinfolds were measured three times, with the mean values respectively used for data analysis. The skinfold technical error of measurement, was less than 3%. Durnin & Womersley’s related -age (20-29 years-old) and gender (female) equation\(^2\), was used to predict body density (Db):

\[
Db = 1.1599 - 0.0632 \cdot \log (Tri + Bic + Sbesc + Iliac Skf)
\]

Percent of fat mass was calculated using Siri’s equation\(^9\).

Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA)

Each subject fasted overnight prior to measurement. For the BIA assessment, subjects removed their shoes and socks. BIA measurements were carried out with the subject lying in a supine position on a flat, non-conductive bed using a multifrequency tetrapolar technique (SanoCare Human System, Madrid, Spain). The BIA analyzer unit had 4 electrodes. Two electrodes were placed on the right hand with one just proximal to the third metacarpophalangeal joint, and the other near to the ulnar head. Two other electrodes were placed on the dorsal surface on the right foot on one just proximal to the third metatarso-phalangeal joint (positive) and the other one between the medial and lateral malleoli (12). Multifrequency (1, 5, 25, 50, 100 and 150 kHz) currents were introduced from the positive leads and travelled through the body to the negative leads. Percent of body fat (%BF) was calculated from the resistance (R) and reactance (Xc) values, using the Segal\(^18\) Sun\(^21\) and Yannakoulia\(^25\) as a reference equation because these was generated and validated for estimating the body composition in dancers (Mediterranean dancers). BIA data equations were used to estimate fat-free mass (FFM); afterwards %BF was calculated using the classical 2-component model (equation 2):

\[
%FM = \left(\frac{(\text{BodyMass (kg)} - \text{FFM (kg)})}{\text{BodyMass (kg)}}\right) \times 100
\]

Statistical Analyses

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The hypotheses of normality and homogeneity of the variance were analyzed via Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene tests, respectively. Parametric analysis was performed because the data were normally distributed. Differences in %BF between methods (within subjects) among groups and effect of modality (between subjects) were tested by using repeated measures analysis of variance and were corrected by means of the Bonferroni post hoc method. Pearson product-moment correlations coefficients were performed on the body composition values obtained using anthropometry and BIA methods for the entire data set. In addition, the difference in body fat percentage was plotted against the average body fat percentage obtained from both techniques according to the Bland Altman procedure, to test agreement between methods\(^8\).

Results

The physical characteristics of the sample are showed in table I. The dance student’s mean age ranged from 22.1 to 22.6 year-olds. Participants did not present differences in body mass, stature, body mass index,
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The results of the correlation analysis of percent fat between body composition equations showed a significant correlation coefficients (CC) ranging from $r=0.57$ to $r=0.97$, ($P<0.001$). Higher correlation coefficients were found between BIA methods (Segal, Sun and Yannakoulia, ($r=0.85$ to $0.97$, $P<0.001$). The lowest CC was observed between BIA methods and Durnin-Womersley ($r=0.57$ to $0.79$, $P<0.001$).

Repeated measures analysis of variance did not reveal any differences in %BF between modalities ($P>0.05$), however we found differences between body composition methods (factor), so a %BF by BIA Yannakoulia were significantly different of BIA Sun ($P=0.014$) and Durnin-Womersley ($P<0.0001$). It was not possible to establish any differences in %BF between dance categories ($P>0.05$). Spanish and Flamenco groups presented more hours per week than Classical dancers ($P<0.001$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n=23$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22.59 ± 1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>54.73 ± 5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>161.92 ± 6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg.m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>20.85 ± 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triceps skf (mm)</td>
<td>7.81 ± 2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps skf (mm)</td>
<td>7.81 ± 2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscapular skf (mm)</td>
<td>12.21 ± 2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac crest skf (mm)</td>
<td>15.78 ± 3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sum 4$ SKF (mm)</td>
<td>49.18 ± 10.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass BIA Yannakoulia (%)</td>
<td>23.13 ± 3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass BIA Segal (%)</td>
<td>23.33 ± 2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass BIA Sun (%)</td>
<td>23.93 ± 2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass Durnin-Womersley (%)</td>
<td>26.32 ± 2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience (years)</td>
<td>15.56 ± 2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training week (hours)</td>
<td>7.32 ± 3.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± sd. $\sum 4$ SKF: Sum of triceps, biceps, subcapular and iliac crest skinfolds. * Difference from classic group : $P<0.001$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>(%BF) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Differences between methods</th>
<th>95%CI</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIA Yannakoulia</td>
<td>23.59 ± 4.45</td>
<td>-0.35 ± 2.32</td>
<td>-0.89 to 0.18</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA Segal</td>
<td>23.95 ± 2.45</td>
<td>-0.73 ± 2.30</td>
<td>-1.27 to -0.20</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA Sun</td>
<td>24.33 ± 4.37</td>
<td>-2.65 ± 2.48</td>
<td>-3.22 to -2.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durnin-Womersley</td>
<td>26.24 ± 2.93</td>
<td>Diff ± SD</td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>$P$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P$ values represent significance levels for repeated measures analysis (3 modalities x 4 equations) with Bonferroni post hoc correction.
observed interaction between body composition methods and modality ($P<0.05$).

**Differences between modalities**

Significant differences between Durnin & Womersley equation and the other models were found (table II). A significantly higher %BF was observed on Flamenco dancers than Classical and Spanish, however repeated measured analysis did not confirm any interaction between dance modalities and equation (table II). So, the differences between modalities were not dependent of the equation that was used.

**Agreement analysis**

The graphical analysis of Band & Altman plots showed us that there were large intervals of confidence for all methods (figure 1). Regarding absolute bias the one sample T-test was significantly different from 0 value for Sun and Durnin Womersley models. The smallest mean difference was found between Yannakoulia and Segal equations, which was not statistically significant ($-0.35 \pm 2.32\%$, $P=0.38$). The greatest mean differences were presented with Sun and Durnin-Womersley equations ($-0.73 \pm 2.30\%$, $P<0.05$ and $-2.65 \pm 2.48\%$, $P<0.001$; respectively). (table II, figure 2). Also, a negative proportional bias were observed between Yannakoulia with Segal and Durnin-Womersley, which were confirmed using a ranked correlation coefficient of Kendall’s $\tau$ of $r=-0.33$ and $r=-0.49$, respectively (both $P<0.001$; table III).

**Discussion**

The main findings of this study were that for first time the body composition pattern of Flamenco dancers was described in international scientific literature. On second, we confirmed differences between the field methods to assess body composition in a sample of female dance students of different modalities. In accordance with other studies 6, 16, this study showed differences between bioelectrical impedance analysis and skinfolds method for body fat prediction in dancers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>Kendall’s $\tau$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIA Yannakoulia &amp; BIA Segal</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA Yannakoulia &amp; BIA Sun</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA Yannakoulia &amp; Durnin-Womersley</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2.—Bland & Altman plots. Agreement analysis between percent of body fat (%BF) determined by Yannakoulia and other equations ($A=$Segal, $B=$Sun, $C=$ Durnin-Womersley. The solid line represents the mean between two techniques and the dashed lines correspond to 2 standard deviations. The middle horizontal line represents the biases (mean errors of %BF) and the upper and lower horizontal lines indicate the limits of agreement (1.96 X SD of the errors) (Bland-Altman analysis). The trend line (dashed line) represents the association between the differences of the methods and the mean of the methods ($P$ values are showed in table III).
So we can confirm, that not all procedures can be used interchangeably to compare results between different samples. Although body composition is a proliferative research area there are not too much models to assess accurately among sport and physical activity modalities, such as dance. We used the Yannakoulia model, as the reference equation, because it is the only one that has been developed and validated with dancers, so it should fit better in our sample of young Caucasian female dancers in order to estimate accurately their body composition compartments. This equation was developed with anthropometric (skinfolds) BIA variables (resistance (Ω)) and was validated with DXA as a reference method\textsuperscript{25}. Our findings must reflect methodological limitations of instruments and mathematic models that are used to assess body composition, namely in dance performers.  

Regarding the validity of the equations, all equations that used BIA estimated similar %BF, however the Durnin-Womersley equation overestimated significantly the adiposity. Our data are not in accordance with the Peterson’s results that validated the equation against the four compartment model\textsuperscript{16,18}, concluding that Durnin-Womersley equation underestimate -1.8% on average %BF in women. These results suggest that the true difference values estimated by Durnin-Womersley in dancers should be even higher than those from reference model validated by Yannakoulia\textsuperscript{25}. Whereas at least a 4-component model must be used as “gold standard” to measure and validate %BF assessments, the classical 2-component model (%BF= (Body mass-FFM) x 100) is used in this study as reference method using BIA as technique to estimate FFM and calculate %BF; moreover, Yannakoulia’s model was validated with DXA as reference method. Although DXA have been widely validated as an accurate and reliable method to assess body composition\textsuperscript{1,8}, it cannot be used as the reference in methodological studies since is a two component-based method\textsuperscript{7,15}.  

In spite of the 4-component model must be a more robust method to validate body composition methods than DXA. However, even it was validated with DXA, Yannakoulia equation is the only that has been developed for dancers (Yannakoulia, Keramopoulos, Tsakalakos & Matalas, 2000). Moreover while other equations have been validated from general population, the Yannakoulia equation should be fit better for dancers than the classical equations because it was developed with a specific sample of dancers.  

The results of correlation analysis between %BF estimations using BIA or anthropometric techniques showed variable results. As expect, the poorest Pearson’s coefficient was found between reference equation and anthropometric equation, which confirm that Durnin-Womersley equation do not fit well in our sample; moreover, these values were similar (r=0.48) with other studies\textsuperscript{1,16}. The coefficients between %BF estimated by BIA models were relatively strong (r > 0.85), but it must not confirm definitively a good validity, and an agreement analysis must be conducted in order to confirm an absence of systematic or proportional bias.  

Although moderate-high significant correlations were observed between the methods, Bland-Altman plots analyses revealed bias. In the figure 2 we have shown the bias and limits of agreement (LOA) in each prediction models by plotting the difference between comparison equation and Yannakoulia values (y axis) against the mean values (x axis). Bland-Altman plots were used to assess the agreement of each prediction equation and Yannakoulia model. The 95% LOA (mean difference ± 2 SD) for %BF by Segal was approximately 4.2 to -4.9% (9.1%); Sun 3.8 to -5.3% (9.1%) and Durnin-Womersley 2.2 to -7.5 (9.7%), which noticed large error between methods. Also, Bland-Altman plots revealed different agreements between BIA equations, so a systematic bias was observed for Sun equation, which resulted in an underestimation of 0.73% on average (Figure 2B). Regarding proportional bias, Segal and Durnin-Womersley equations showed a significant and positive trend (0.49, P<0.05; 0.33, P<0.0001 respectively), which meant more %BF greater overestimation, also there were larger differences between equations in lower and upper extremes values (Figure 2A and 2C). Segal and Sun equations were developed and validated in large samples of general population\textsuperscript{9,11}, while these equations are recommended for the use in clinical and epidemiologic studies to describe levels of body composition in Spanish subjects\textsuperscript{1}, they must not fit well for specific populations as our dancers. The main reason that could explain these differences should be related with differences of FFM hydration\textsuperscript{23}, which is one of the cornerstone paradigms to estimate body composition using component-type II methods\textsuperscript{7,23,24}. Although, there are not studies where FFM hydration have been measured in dancers, classical studies have reported this concern when body composition is assessed in athletes, who must perform high levels of physical activity practice like dancers\textsuperscript{11}. Moreover, dancers herein were all females and young, which should be other factor that explain our results since have been reported that female athletes have higher density of FFM than male athletes\textsuperscript{17}. All this previous knowledge, reinforce our initial hypothesis, that propose that only models validated in specific populations must predict accurately body composition, since our sample is a sample of dancers, who are not true athletes in fact, new models must be developed, and even though Yannakoulia model should be good approach for some type of dancers, our Flamenco dancers seems that have a particular pattern of body composition (table I).  

In regard of specific characteristics of our dancers, as reported in classical studies, our results of anthropometric parameters as body mass and stature, mirrored the expected values from other studies with dancers\textsuperscript{9,23,25}, although body mass index in our sample was slightly higher, which must be a consequence of the 
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modalities analyzed in this study. The inclusion of Flamenco dancers should be the consequence since this modality has never been reported in the literature.

In our knowledge, there are not international studies that describe body composition in Flamenco dancers. Our data confirm empirical descriptions of body composition in Flamenco dancers, which characterized them with voluminous body shape and greater subcutaneous adiposity than others such as Classical or Spanish dancers. Results showed differences between modalities that confirms our hypothesis, so Flamenco dancers have a higher %BF than Classical and Spanish, although only statistically significant with Spanish dancers. Dancers constitute a lean group of performers and their mean levels of fatness ranged widely from 13 to 22% of body mass\(^4,6\). The present study was carried out on student dancers with great experience and training amount and %BF ranging 12.0 to 35.1%. This was almost certainly due to %BF from Flamenco dancers, who had 25% on average (minimum 17.6% and maximum 35.1%). %BF must be an important concern to perform high speed movements and some acrobatic skills that are a characteristic of dances as Spanish and Classical, however in Flamenco %BF should not be a difficulty to perform a high quality technique.

In conclusion, our findings support the view that there are body composition differences among performers of Spanish dance disciplines at least to the end of a dancer’s extensive training and education period. Anecdotal evidence leads us to believe that this may well occur following some years of training on one discipline only, but the timing and extent of any differences have yet to be quantified. The body composition assessment of dancers showed differences in predicted values by the two used methods, yet again confirm, that the two methods are not interchangeable with a 4-compartment model.

Practical Applications

Providing precise and accurate body composition estimates is of great importance. The comparisons provided in this work should aid in making a more informed decision, considering accuracy, when choosing among several equations for predicting %BF. We found that individual estimation of body composition was highly method-dependent and the BIA equations\(^6,21,25\) were not interchangeable with anthropometric method. Skinfolds measurement is considered useful method to estimate body fatness, at least the classical models used for general population must not be valid for dancers. So, we strongly suggest the use of Yannakoulia model and BIA when we want assess %BF in Flamenco, Classical or Spanish dancers. However, anthropometric measurements must be good method to control regional changes of subcutaneous adiposity. Our results would be used as reference of high performance healthy dancers since these dancers have large professional experience and a high volume of daily practice, mainly for Flamenco and Spanish dancers.
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