Control of the skin scarring response
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ABSTRACT

There comes a time when the understanding of the cutaneous healing process becomes essential due to the need for a precocious tissue repair to reduce the physical, social, and psychological morbidity. Advances in the knowledge of the control of interaction among cells, matrix and growth factors will provide more information on the Regenerative Medicine, an emerging area of research in medical bioengineering. However, considering the dynamism and complexity of the cutaneous healing response, it is fundamental to understand the control mechanism exerted by the interaction and synergism of both systems, cutaneous nervous and central nervous, via hypothalamus hypophysis-adrenal axis, a relevant subject, but hardly ever explored. The present study reviews the neuro-immune-endocrine physiology of the skin responsible for its multiple functions and the extreme disturbances of the healing process, like the excess and deficiency of the extracellular matrix deposition.
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Plastic surgery, a field that is advancing at a rapid pace, is becoming multi- and interdisciplinary by the integration with other medical-surgical specialties, thereby assuring a better care for patients. Due to unquestionable advances, plastic surgery no longer deals only with the correction of congenital and acquired deformities of tissues and organs, but also seeks functional, cosmetic and psychological results in a more holistic manner (Ferreira 2004).

PHYSIOLOGY OF THE SKIN SCARRING RESPONSE

The skin has an autonomous “Cutaneous Nervous System” (CTNS), or neurosensory system, which is the main responsible for the scarring process, sympathetic stimulus in neurogenic inflammation, and generation of bioelectric currents (Toyoda et al. 1999, Gragnani et al. 2007a). This system consists of sensory cells, melanoocytes, and keratinocytes, all of which release neuropeptides, and of immune and endothelial cells. Its functioning process is similar to the central nervous system demand of the “Hypothalamus-Hypophysis-Adrenal axis” (HHAA) (Lotti et al. 1995, Zouboulis et al. 2002, Ziegler et al. 2007).

When the skin is subjected to environmental stresses, stimuli are transmitted to the “Central Nervous System” (CNS) by afferent peripheral neural signaling. In this situation, the sebaceous glands release corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), similar to that released by the hypothalamus (Slominski et al. 2006a, b, Krause et al. 2007). This hormone, through an endocrine way, combines with the hypothalamic CRH and...
tides, represented by the adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH), and prolactin, a group also known as “stress hormones” (Grützkau et al. 2000, Kono et al. 2001, Slominski et al. 2004).

When an organism is subjected to stress, certain parts of the brain synergistically activate the SNS by stimulating the HHAA (Dhabhar and McEwen 1999). The stress response is transmitted to the organs, and especially to the skin, by the integration with the CNS, through the stimulation of sympathetic nerve fibers that release neurotransmitters and neuropeptides (Slominski and Wortsman 2000, Toyoda et al. 2002).

On the other hand, the activation of the HHAA, via CNS, stimulates the basal layer of sebaceous glands to produce CRH, which in turn provides feedback that stimulates this axis, establishing an equivalence and functional synergism between the HHAA and CTNS (Zouboulis et al. 2002, Krause et al. 2007, Kono et al. 2001, Toyoda et al. 2002, Gauthier 1996, Toyoda and Morohashi 2001). Therefore, the response to stress stimuli from one axis may exacerbate the sympathetic function of the other.

The triggering of the scarring process depends on the directional migration (electrotaxis) of the cells, which is caused by a wound current and the release of neuropeptides by A-delta and C sensory nerve fibers (Weiss et al. 1990, Schmelz and Petersen 2001, Slominski et al. 1993). These events correspond to the phase of neurogenic inflammation, which will affect the subsequent stages of the scarring process. Hence, electrical, immunological, inflammatory and hormonal responses of the scarring process are neurally modulated (Slominski et al. 1993, Kim et al. 1998). The relationship between cutaneous scarring and peripheral innervation is evidenced by the delay in the healing after sympathectomy (Glaser et al. 1999, Esteves Jr. et al. 2004).

Nerve fibers in synergy with the HHAA and CTNS axes participate in the phase of neurogenic inflammation, allowing psychological influences interrelated to the SNS to interfere with the cutaneous scarring process (Allen et al. 1973). Therefore, in stress situations, symptomatic, represented by burn wounds (Altun et al. 1992, Crowe et al. 1994, Hochman et al. 2008b).

A neural imbalance of the scarring process may cause two types of pathological scarring. The first type is characterized by an increase in nerve fibers, pro-inflammatory neuropeptides, and extracellular matrix, corresponding to the fibroproliferative scars (Parkhouse et al. 1992, Crowe et al. 1994, Hochman et al. 2008b). The second type is characterized by a decrease in these parameters, and is represented by burn wounds (Altun et al. 2001).

**EXCESS OF EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX: FIBROPROLIFERATIVE SCARS**

Although scarring is usually a beneficial process for the organism, an excessive deposition of some proteins, such as collagen, may cause cosmetic and functional complications, resulting in keloid and hypertrophic scars. Nowadays, hypertrophic scars are considered phenotypic expressions of keloids of lesser intensity (Muir 1990, Placik and Lewis 1992) and, as a group, they are known as fibroproliferative scars (Tredget et al. 1997, Bock and Mrowietz 2002, Rahban and Garner 2003, Hochman and Ferreira 2007). Keloid is a disorder more common in tropical countries. It shows a prevalence of 1.5% in the US. In Africa, its incidence reaches 16% (Bock and Mrowietz 2002). Keloid is frequent in Brazil (although there are no statistical data on this subject) probably due to the tropical climate and intense miscigenation of the population (Canary et al. 1990).

Fibroproliferative scars, regardless of their size, have an important aesthetic, social and psychological impact in patients, since they usually occur in more exposed areas (Bock et al. 2006, Clayman et al. 2006, Rusciani et al. 2006). Pain, pruritus, ulcerations and loss of functional capacity add up to the disturbance caused by the deformity when it is located in articular regions (Komarcevic et al. 2000, Bock and Mrowietz 2002, Davies et al. 2004). These symptoms negatively affect factors associated with mental health, such as sleepiness, body image, self-esteem, sexual function,
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results in elevated medical costs (Davies et al. 2004, Bickers et al. 2006).

Burn patients have a prevalence of hypertrophic scars of about 67%, which leads to high medical costs due to the size of the wound surface area (Bombaro et al. 2003). In Brazil, the highest prevalence of burn injury (61.4%) is found in individuals up to 20 years of age, and reaches 23% in the economically active age group (adults between 20 to 39 years of age). According to these data, the age group that is most at risk of burn injury is coincident with that most at risk of fibroproliferative scars. Therefore, in Brazil, both burn injuries and fibroproliferative scars represent a public health problem (Rossi et al. 1998).

In the field of surgery, problems with fibroproliferative scars are not only restricted to plastic surgery. In thoracic surgery, fibroproliferative scars are a common complication. This occurs because the thoracic wall is at high risk for this type of lesion, and also due to the increasing number of surgical myocardial revascularizations (Manuskiatti and Fitzpatrick 2002). Fibroproliferative scars are common in obstetrics and gynecology, resulting from breast surgeries and cesarean sections (Ishizuka et al. 2007).

The mechanism of scar formation is still not completely understood. Consequently, treatments are palliative, and there are no efficient preventive measures (Keira et al. 2004, Campaner et al. 2006, Hochman et al. 2008b). In the case of keloids, the maximum expression of fibroproliferative scars, the difficulty in understanding their pathogenesis comes from the fact that this condition occurs exclusively in humans (Placik and Lewis 1992, O’Sullivan et al. 1996, Hochman et al. 2004, 2005). Despite this difficulty, there is a consensus that keloid is a benign neoplasia, because it develops in vitro even in the absence of humoral factors (Placik and Lewis 1992, Keira et al. 2004, Hochman et al. 2008a).

It is estimated that 75% of all dermatopathies are caused by psychophysiological disturbances, because the skin reacts directly to psychoemotional stimuli. The study of the relationship between mind and skin has not been adequately developed, and sufficiently studied as a psychophysiological disturbance.

DEFICIENCY OF EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX:
BURN WOUNDS/SKIN BIOENGINEERING

Keloid and hypertrophic scars may also represent somatic manifestations of psychological origin (6). However, despite the interplay of psychoneurogenic mechanisms with fibroproliferative scars (Liang et al. 2004, Hochman et al. 2008b), these pathological scars still have not been adequately understood and sufficiently studied as a psychophysiological disturbance.

The development of skin bioengineering is the consequence of the need for permanent wound closure in patients with skin loss. In this type of injury, the wound must be promptly closed to prevent fluid and protein loss, and bacterial invasion (Leigh et al. 2002). Synthetic and biological skin substitutes can be used either for permanent or temporary wound closure. Human cadaver skin allograft is the material most commonly used for temporary wound closure. On the other hand, autologous cultured keratinocytes are used for permanent wound closure (Morgan and Yarmush 2002, Gragnani et al. 2002).

Keratinocytes are responsible for the skin resistance to physical and chemical insults, and skin permeability to the water (Tompkins and Burke 1996). However, keratinocyte growth factor (KGF) mediates important mitotic activity during the wound healing process (Finch et al. 1995). Keratinocytes also represent an important source of neural growth factor (NGF), which regulates the scarring process by the production and release of sympathetic pro-inflammatory neuropeptides through cutaneous nerve terminals (Nies et al. 2001).

Keratinocytes subpopulations (stem cells, amplifying (TA) cells, and terminally differentiating cells) that are present in the epidermis are capable of self-regeneration and differentiation (Gragnani et al. 2004, 2008, Youn 2004). A fragment of 1 cm² of skin from normal skin may be expanded more than 2000 times within 3 to 4 weeks, producing enough epithelium to cover the total body surface area of an adult (Gragnani et al. 2004).
Studies on the behavior of keratinocytes in response to hypoxia, to the lack of glucose, or to both factors, as well as studies on apoptosis and cellular death under different types of insults, and on the use of different substances for the treatment of these deleterious conditions (e.g., antioxidant supplementation) are very important because they enable us to anticipate and prevent problems caused by age, systemic diseases, and local wound conditions at the time of their clinical use (Duarte et al. 2004).

Therefore, research on skin bioengineering is of prime importance for the ideal combination of biomaterials, cultured cells and growth factors in the production of permanent wound closure materials, resulting in an increase in the survival of patients, for instance, with extensive burn wounds (Gragnani et al. 2002, Sobral et al. 2007a, b).

The fundamental advantage of skin substitutes is the possibility of their use as a permanent wound closure, enabling the control of the scarring response by producing larger amounts of a new growth factor, protein or hormone, thereby interfering with the healing process, congenital diseases of the skin, and systemic diseases, such as inhibition of the expression of TGF-β1 (Campaner et al. 2006, Ramos et al. 2008).

RESUMO

Aproxima-se uma época na qual é fundamental a compreensão do processo cicatricial cutâneo frente à necessidade da restauração tecidual precoce, visando a diminuição das morbidades física, social e psicológica. O avanço no conhecimento acerca do controle das interações entre as células, a matriz e os fatores de crescimento dará maiores informações à Medicina Regenerativa, área de pesquisa emergente da bioengenharia médica. Entretanto, diante do dinamismo e complexidade da resposta cicatricial cutânea torna-se indispensável o entendimento do mecanismo de controle exercido pela interação e sinergismo do sistema nervoso cutâneo e o sistema nervoso central, via eixo hipotálamo-hipófise-adrenal, tema relevante, porém, pouco abordado. O presente estudo revisa a fisiologia neuro-ímuno-endócrina da pele, responsável por
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