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ABSTRACT

Vaccination is the most practical and cost-effective strategy to prevent the majority of the flavivirus infection to which there is an available vaccine. However, vaccines based on attenuated virus can potentially promote collateral side effects and even rare fatal reactions. Given this scenario, the development of alternative vaccination strategies such as DNA-based vaccines encoding specific flavivirus sequences are being considered. Endogenous cytoplasmic antigens, characteristically plasmid DNA-vaccine encoded, are mainly presented to the immune system through MHC I molecules. The MHC I presentation via is mostly associated with a cellular cytotoxic response and often do not elicit a satisfactory humoral response. One of the main strategies to target DNA-encoded antigens to the MHC II compartment is expressing the antigen within the Lysosome-Associated Membrane Protein (LAMP). The flavivirus envelope protein is recognized as the major virus surface protein and the main target for neutralizing antibodies. Different groups have demonstrated that co-expression of flavivirus membrane and envelope proteins in mammalian cells, fused with the carboxyl-terminal of LAMP, is able to induce satisfactory levels of neutralizing antibodies. Here we reviewed the use of the envelope flavivirus protein co-expression strategy as LAMP chimeras with the aim of developing DNA vaccines for dengue, West Nile and yellow fever viruses.
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FLAVIVIRUSES AVAILABLE VACCINES

The family Flaviviridae is represented by several viruses of medical importance, such as Japanese encephalitis, West Nile, tick-borne encephalitis, yellow fever (Maekker et al. 1998) and dengue (Barrett 2002). Approved human vaccines are available for tick-borne encephalitis and yellow fever, while vaccines for dengue and West Nile remain elusive goals. The main strategy for flavivirus vaccine development has been the attenuation of specific strains, such as the YF 17D and the Japanese encephalitis virus SA14-14-2, or virus inactivation as the tick-borne encephalitis vaccine. However, the use of attenuated virus strains can...
LENS-ASSOCIATED MEMBRANE PROTEIN (LAMP) TARGET STRATEGY

Endogenous cytoplasmic antigens, typical of DNA encoded antigens, are mainly presented to the immune system through the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) class I molecules, which are mostly associated with cellular cytotoxic response. However, such responses do not elicit a satisfactory humoral response very often, which is essential for efficient virus neutralization. In fact, the activation of CD4+ T cells is important to support CD8+ T cell responses and the development of memory, antibody class switching and clonal expansion of antigen-specific B cells (Rocha and Tan- chot 2004). The activation of CD4+ T cells requires the Antigen-Presenting Cells (APCs) with antigenic peptides loaded into the groove of MHC class II molecules. The loading of the antigenic peptide takes place in intracellular organelles rich in MCH class II molecules, termed MIIC (Kleijmeer et al. 1997, Drake et al. 1999).

Lysosome-associated membrane protein (LAMP) molecules, which are naturally found in the outer membranous vacuoles of different cells, contain specific motifs capable of recognizing and promoting the internalization of antigens. Hence, the loading of the antigenic peptide into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, can be driven into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, via the MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment (MHC-II-PEP; Kleijmeer et al. 1997, Drake et al. 1999). The MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment is a terminal endosome, which is the final destination of the MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment (Dowling et al. 2000). The MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment contains MHC class I molecules, which are naturally found in the outer membranous vacuoles of different cells, containing specific motifs capable of recognizing and promoting the internalization of antigens. Hence, the loading of the antigenic peptide into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, can be driven into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, via the MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment (MHC-II-PEP; Kleijmeer et al. 1997, Drake et al. 1999).

The first human flavivirus-attenuated vaccine was the vaccine against YF (17D). In the last 70 years, more than 400 million people worldwide have been vaccinated with the 17D vaccine with a remarkable record of safety and efficacy (Putnak et al. 2003). The 17D vaccine generates both long-lasting neutralizing antibodies and a T cell response (Poland et al. 1981, Reinhardt et al. 1998). However, despite several improvements made in the manufacture and quality control process, increased severity of symptoms (Monath et al. 2002) and fatal reactions (Vasconcelos et al. 2001, Lefeuvre et al. 2004) has been systematically associated with the YF virus-attenuated vaccination. Given this scenario, the development of alternative vaccination strategies, such as DNA-based vaccines encoding specific flavivirus sequences, has been considered (Donnelly et al. 1997, Lewis and Babiuk 1999, Schultz et al. 2000) and presents some advantages (Table I).

**Development of DNA-based Vaccines against Flaviviruses: Membrane-Envelope Proteins Co-expressed as LAMP Fused Antigens**

The genome of the yellow fever virus, the prototype of the flavivirus family, is organized in a single-stranded positive-sense RNA molecule of ∼10.8Kb, flanked by a 5’ cap and a 3’ non-polyadenylated terminal loop structure. It encodes three genes for structural proteins (Capsid, Membrane – M, and E) and seven genes for non-structural (NS) proteins (NS1, NS2a, NS2b, NS3, NS4a, NS4b, and NS5). Co-expression of flavivirus M and E genes in mammalian cells has shown to produce Virus-Like Particles (VLPs) containing M and E proteins (Raviprakash et al. 2000, Lu et al. 2003, Wu et al. 2006). The VLP structure preserves viral conformational epitopes that are responsible to induce B-cells neutralizing antibodies production and, as a consequence, virus infection neutralization (Wu et al. 2006).

Different groups previously described the development of flavivirus DNA vaccines based on the expression of different viruses’ M and E regions as wild type proteins, as well as chimerical proteins associated to LAMP. The M and E flavivirus proteins co-expressed as LAMP would be able to direct the new translated chimerical antigen into the MIIC. LAMP/antigen chimeras, like LAMP/HIV Gag (Marques et al. 2003, Chikhlikar et al. 2004, Arruda et al. 2004, 2006) and LAMP/dengue virus 2 (Raviprakash et al. 2000, Lu et al. 2003), have been shown to target the antigens to MIIC and were found to elicit enhanced immune responses when compared to DNA vaccines encoding unmodified native antigens.

Considering that the flavivirus envelope (E) protein is recognized as the major virus surface protein and the main target for neutralizing antibodies, this antigen constitutes a potential target for DNA vaccines development initiatives. The targeting of flavivirus E protein to the MIIC has been shown to enhance neutralizing antibody (Turley et al. 2000) production in immunized mice (Raviprakash et al. 2001, Donnelly et al. 2003, Anwar et al. 2005) and in non-human primates (Dr. Kanakatte Raviprakash, personal communication).

**LYSOSOME-ASSOCIATED MEMBRANE PROTEIN (LAMP) FUSED ANTIGENS**

The loading of the antigenic peptide into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, can be driven into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, via the MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment (MHC-II-PEP; Kleijmeer et al. 1997, Drake et al. 1999). The MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment contains MHC class I molecules, which are naturally found in the outer membranous vacuoles of different cells, containing specific motifs capable of recognizing and promoting the internalization of antigens. Hence, the loading of the antigenic peptide into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, can be driven into the groove of MHC class II molecules, possibly through MHC class I, via the MHC class I-positive endosomal compartment (MHC-II-PEP; Kleijmeer et al. 1997, Drake et al. 1999).
### Table I

**Comparison between virus-attenuated and wild type and LAMP fused DNA-based vaccines.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Attenuated-virus vaccines</th>
<th>DNA-based vaccines</th>
<th>LAMP-targeted DNA vaccines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Humoral response</strong></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD4 response</strong></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD8 response</strong></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>(?)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk depends on the subject, age and health status</td>
<td>Experiments with rodents and non-human primate trials did not show significant side effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human use</strong></td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No vaccine approved to this date; three veterinary vaccines approved</td>
<td>No vaccine approved to this date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Development</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The development of new attenuated strains is time consuming and greatly based on guesswork</td>
<td>Can be tailored-developed through DNA recombinant methods, incorporating desired signals to better activate the immune system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allows the chimerical expression of whole protein or only T cell epitopes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation/testing</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Due to the presence of an alive organism, final evaluation in specific hosts is usually required due to the virus tropism</td>
<td>In vitro approaches, rodents and non-human primates can be used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjuvancy</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Usually, the alive virus activates the immune system through its own adjuvant properties</td>
<td>Potentially, DNA allows for the manipulation of the immune response in many different ways; it can be used in combination with cytokines and/or delivery systems; the presence of CpG motifs in the DNA backbone works as a TOLL activator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(++)</td>
<td>(+++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAMP works as a “molecular adjuvant”; it can be combined with cytokines and delivery systems; the presence of CpG motifs in the DNA backbone works as a TOLL activator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mass production</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor intensive and usually dependent on cell culture or embryonated eggs; the production system can lead to the presence of impurities and undesirable components that can lead to allergy</td>
<td>Can be produced without the use of animal products and easily purified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation/distribution</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Usually requires a cold chain for distribution</td>
<td>Easy to transport and preserve, and it is stable at room-temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 – Membrane-Envelope flavivirus DNA vaccine constructs: co-expression as wild type and as LAMP fused antigens. Polymerase Chain Reaction – PCR amplification was performed to obtain Membrane (M) and Envelope (E) co-expressed flavivirus-based DNA vaccines. To generate the M-E wild type constructs, specific primers were designed to amplify a fragment extending from the capsid reticulum endoplasmic (ER) translocation signal to the E protein transmembrane domain (cap-pM/M-Env or M-E). To generate the M-E/LAMP fused constructs, specific reverse primers were designed to annealed just before the envelope transmembrane domain to allow its substitution by the Carboxi-terminal domain of the Lysosome Associated Membrane Protein – LAMP (Cap-pM/M-Env-LAMP or M-E/LAMP).

Genicity, by using dengue virus type 2 as a model. Expression and cellular steady-state localization of the dengue virus antigens were compared to those of the cellular endogenous LAMP by dual fluorescence staining and confocal microscopy. These imaging studies showed the co-localization of the dengue virus proteins in LAMP-containing organelles in DNA-transfected NIH3T3 cells. In *in vivo* experiments, M-E and M-E/LAMP encoded DNA plasmids were used in mouse immunization protocols. No mice immunized with M-E DNA seroconverted, while all mice immunized with M-E/LAMP DNA seroconverted on day 30, presenting Plaque-Reduction Neutralization Test – PRTN$_{50}$ titers of 49 to 270.

Both dengue proteins by Western blot analysis, confirming the expected specific bands corresponding to the glycosylated and processed protein forms of both the native E and the E/LAMP chimera. The lysosomal-like distribution of M-E/LAMP and the co-localization of MHCI and LAMP molecules were also confirmed through fluorescence and confocal microscopy. To evaluate the immunogenicity of their vaccines, M-E and M-E/LAMP encoded DNA constructs, the immune responses of the immunized mice were followed for 1 year. Both DNA constructs were used for mice immunization and the antibody response was measured by Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay – ELISA. None of the serum samples of the M-E DNA immunized group showed a sig-
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Anwar and co-workers (2005) used the M-E/LAMP strategy to develop a West Nile (WN) DNA vaccine. Their Western blot findings showed an increased intracellular concentration of the M-E/LAMP chimerical protein in transfected cells when compared to the native protein. This result pointed to a natural cellular secretion of E protein that may be retarded by the association between the LAMP signal and the outer membrane of the lysosome. The confocal and immunoelectron microscopy analyses showed the typical lysosomal distribution of the WN M-E/LAMP and the co-localization with endogenous LAMP, MHC class II, and H-2M molecules, the latter of which is closely related to antigen presentation. To evaluate the immunogenicity of the WN vaccines, M-E and M-E/LAMP DNAs were injected in mice that were followed for 2 years after the immunization. Mice immunized with M-E showed appreciable endpoint titers, but their antibody responses were not sustained after 3 months. In contrast, mice immunized with M-E/LAMP showed high antibody responses, with increasing titers from days 55 to 125, and a significant neutralization titer response at days 90 and 125. To assess the presence of memory B cell response, the mice were boosted 19 months after the last immunization, and the blood collected 2 weeks later. While there was no significant neutralization activity in the sera from the M-E DNA immunized group, the sera from the M-E/LAMP DNA immunized group showed significant neutralization titers.

We are currently evaluating a DNA construct expressing the envelope of the YF, fused to LAMP molecules, in murine immunization experiments. Immunizations with YF M-E/LAMP encoded DNA showed high titers of neutralizing antibodies that were able to protect the animals from the intracerebral challenge with the YF virus. In addition, when compared to the attenuated 17DD YF virus vaccine, the plasmid DNA expression of the E protein within LAMP induced similar CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses, qualitatively and quantitatively (data not shown).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As codon usage RNA secondary structures, cryptic splice sites, and internal restriction sites, among others, have been modified and/or removed from the native sequences, the blend of LAMP fusion and codon optimization technologies shows promising potential and may lead to new and better DNA vaccines. Our preliminary evaluations of the novel YF optimized DNA vaccine constructs address the levels and localization of the protein expression. By immunofluorescence assays, the transfection efficiency of the optimized vaccines was observed at least 20 times higher (data not shown). Considering the higher efficiency of the optimized M-E/LAMP DNA constructs (M-Eopt/LAMP), compared to the non-optimized version, we believe that the optimized vaccines will be able to induce much stronger T and B cell responses in animal models. Ultimately, the improvement of the level of antigen expression will allow us to reduce the number and concentration of the DNA vaccine used in in vivo immunization experiments.
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RESUMO

A vacinação é a estratégia mais prática e o melhor custo-benefício para prevenir a maioria das infecções dos flavivirus, possuindo praticamente qualquer tipo de complicações e, mais raramente, reações fatais. Diante do cenário, o desenvolvimento de estratégias alternativas de vacinação, como vacinas baseadas em DNA codificando seqüências específicas dos flavivirus, está sendo considerado. A via de apresentação MHC I é mais associada à resposta celular citotóxica e, frequentemente, não elicia uma resposta humoral satisfatória. Uma das principais estratégias para aumentar a eficácia da via de apresentação MHC I é a codificação de sequências de antígenos em DNA plasmidial, que contém antígenos citoplasmáticos endógenos, caracteristicamente codificados por vacinas de DNA plasmidial, são majoritariamente apresentados ao sistema imune através de moléculas do Complexo Maior de Histocompatibilidade de classe I – MHC I. A via de apresentação MHC II é mais associada à resposta humoral e, portanto, a codificação de sequências em DNA codificando antígenos é um caminho viável. No entanto, a utilização de codons nativos pode levar a efeitos deletérios, tais como a formação de estruturas de RNA não codificantes e, ainda, reações fatais. Diante deste cenário, o desenvolvimento de estratégias alternativas de vacinação, como vacinas baseadas em DNA codificando sequências específicas dos flavivirus, está sendo considerado. A via de apresentação MHC I é mais associada à resposta celular citotóxica e, frequentemente, não elicia uma resposta humoral satisfatória. Uma das principais estratégias para aumentar a eficácia da via de apresentação MHC I é a codificação de sequências de antígenos em DNA plasmidial, que contêm antígenos citoplasmáticos endógenos, caracteristicamente codificados por vacinas de DNA plasmidial, são majoritariamente apresentados ao sistema imune através de moléculas do Complexo Maior de Histocompatibilidade de classe I – MHC I. A via de apresentação MHC II é mais associada à resposta humoral e, portanto, a codificação de sequências em DNA codificando antígenos é um caminho viável. No entanto, a utilização de codons nativos pode levar a efeitos deletérios, tais como a formação de estruturas de RNA não codificantes e, ainda, reações fatais. Diante deste cenário, o desenvolvimento de estratégias alternativas de vacinação, como vacinas baseadas em DNA codificando sequências específicas dos flavivirus, está sendo considerado.
da Proteína de Associação à Membrana Lisossomal (LAMP). A proteína do envelope dos flavivirus é reconhecida como principal proteína de superfície viral e o principal alvo para anticorpos neutralizantes. Diferentes grupos têm demonstrado que a co-expressão das proteínas de membrana e do envelope dos flavivirus em células de mamíferos, fusionada com a porção carboxi-terminal de LAMP, é capaz de induzir níveis satisfatórios de anticorpos neutralizantes. Neste trabalho revisamos a estratégia de co-expressão da proteína do envelope dos flavivirus, como quimeras de LAMP, com o objetivo de desenvolver vacinas de DNA contra a febre do Oeste do Nilo, dengue e febre amarela.

Palavras-chave: dengue, febre do Oeste do Nilo, febre amarela, Proteína de Associação à Membrana Lisossomal – LAMP, vacinas de DNA.
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