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ABSTRACT

Here we report on a new small deinonychosaurian theropod, Pamparaptor micros gen. et sp. nov., from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia, Argentina. Pamparaptor micros exhibits a pedal structure previously unknown among South American deinonychosaurians. The new material provides new evidence about the morphology and taxonomic diversity of Patagonian deinonychosaurs. Pamparaptor is the smaller non-avialae Patagonian deinonychosaur, probably with about 0.50-0.70 meters, long. The pedal construction resembles, that of Troodontid or basal Dromaeosaurids. Nevertheless, up to now, we considered Pamparaptor a peculiar Patagonian Dromaeosaurid with troodontid-like pes.
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INTRODUCTION

During the last decade, the fossil record of deinonychosaurian theropods from Patagonia has notably increased. Up to now, the records of Deinonychosauria from Patagonia include Unenlagia comahuensis (Novas and Puerta 1997), Unenlagia paynemili (Calvo et al. 2004), Neuquenraptor argentinus (Novas and Pol 2005), Buitreraptor gonzalezorum (Makovicky et al. 2005) and Austroraptor cabazai (Novas et al. 2008).

Here we report on a new deinonychosaurian, Pamparaptor micros gen. nov sp. nov, collected from the Baal quarry, at the north coast of Barreales Lake, Neuquén, Argentina (Fig. 1). The specimen comes from the Portezuelo Formation, (Turonian-Coniacian), Neuquén Group, and it is represented by pedal elements. The new form is gracile and small, with about 0.50-0.70 meters, and its metatarsal construction is highly derived, resembling troodontids (Xu and Wang 2000). We offer here a brief description of this notable discovery.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

SAURISCHIA Seeley, 1887

THEROPODA Marsh, 1881

DEINONYCHOSAURIA Colbert and Russell, 1969

Pamparaptor micros new taxon

Etymology: Pampa, in honor to the Indian Pampas that lived in the central plain of Argentina; and raptor, in Greek; micros, for the small size of specimen.

Holotype: The holotype MUCPv-1163 (Figs. 2-3) is represented by an articulated left foot including well-preserved metatarsals II, III and IV, complete digit II, phalanges III-1, III-2 (well-preserved), and incomplete phalanges IV-2 and IV-3. The specimen was found in 2005 by Mr. Diego Rosales who is the technician of the Centro Paleontológico Lago Barreales (CePaLB), Universidad Nacional del Comahue.

Horizon, age and locality: The Portezuelo Formation (Turonian-Coniacian), Neuquén Group, Argentina.
Fig. 1 – Map showing the locality of Pamparaptor.

Fig. 2 – Metatarsals in lateral view.

Fig. 1 – Map showing the locality of Pamparaptor.
of Barreales Lake, at 90 kilometers NW of Neuquén city, Neuquén province, Patagonia, Argentina. Up to now, the record of dinosaurs collected in the Portezuelo Formation includes the giant lognkosaurian titanosaur *Futalognkosaurus dukei* (Calvo et al. 2007), the dromaeosaurids *Unenlagia comahuensis* (Novas and Puerta 1997), *Unenlagia paynemili* (Calvo et al. 2004), and *Neuquenraptor argentinus* (Novas and Pol 2005), the alvarezsaurid *Patagonykus puertai* (Novas 1997), the allosauroid *Megaraptor namuahuaiquii* (Novas 1998), as well as abelisaurid remains (Novas et al. 2006, Juárez Valieri et al. 2008), undescribed theropods (Porfiri et al. 2005), and fragmentary remains of a possible neornithine bird (Agnolín et al. 2006).

**Diagnosis:** *Pamparaptor micros* distinguishes from other deinonychosaurians in the following combination of features: slender metatarsus construction with metatarsals IV strongly compressed transversely on its distal half; acquiring a blade-like shape in caudal view; metatarsals IV and III subequal in length; proximal half of metatarsal III narrow and with subparallel margins along its length; metatarsal III lacking a distal ginglymus; metatarsal II distally overlapping metatarsal III proximally, metatarsal II is approximately twice wide of either metatarsals III and IV; phalanx 2.II longer than phalanx 2.I; in cranial view, distal end of metatarsal II with a small sulcus medially directed.

**Description and comparison:** The articulated left foot is well preserved (MUCPy-1163). The metatarsal III is 9.3 centimeters long, thus suggesting that the whole length of the animal was approximately 0.50-0.70 meters.

**Fig. 3 – Proximal end of metatarsals in anterior view.**
The first and fifth metatarsals are absent. Metatarsal II is shorter than metatarsals III and IV. The second metatarsal is less compressed mediolaterally than metatarsals III and IV. Metatarsal II is 3.5 mm thick for most of its 82.1 mm length. The distal articular surface of metatarsal II present a well-developed gynglimus, which is different to the troodontids *Saurornithoides mongoliensis* (IVPP V 10597), *Tochisaurus nemegtensis* (after Kurzanov 1987) and *Troodon formosus* (after Wilson and Currie 1985). The troodontid *Borogovia gracilicrus* (after Olsomska 1987) presents a poorly-developed gynglimus, which is less-developed than in *Pamparaptor*. The third and fourth metatarsals are the longest elements in the metatarsus. The third metatarsal expend for all front of metatarsus and is not squeezed out by the second and fourth metatarsals throughout most of the midshaft region as in the troodontids *Troodon* (Wilson and Currie 1985) and *Tochisaurus* (Kurzanov and Osmolska 1991). This morphology is like *Saurornithoides mongoliensis* (Currie and Peng 1993). The distal end of the third metatarsal supports the largest phalanx of the foot. In posterior view, the distal end of metatarsal III is covered by metatarsals II and IV. A significant synapomorphy in troodontids is end are more robust (3.5 mm). The distal end and probably the middle shaft are mediolaterally compressed in this specimen. The first phalanx of the second digit is short (16.6 mm) and relatively robust. It is followed by the distinctive but longer (18.1 mm, maximum length) II-2. The ungual is strongly curved. The tip of the ungual for digit II was not found, but the base is quite deep, indicating a robust element. Phalanx III-1 is 26.5 in length, and is the longest phalanx preserved. The next phalanx, III-2, is a minor element (length =17.4 mm). The third and fourth phalanges are unknown. The fourth digit is the most incomplete, with only the distal end of IV-1? and proximal end of IV-2? being preserved in articulation.

**Comparisons with the Unenlagiinae Neuquenraptor**

Here, we intensify the comparisons with *Neuquenraptor argentinus* because it is the most complete pes into the Unenlagiins form. *Pamparaptor micros* shares with *Neuquenraptor argentinus* the following characteristics: metatarsal III strongly compressed and proximally pinched between metatarsals II and IV (present also in basals dromaeosaurs; see Novas and Pol 2005); metatarsal IV with a posterolateral flange (present also in...
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metatarsal III (originally considered an autapomorphy of N. argentinus by Novas and Pol 2005). Pamparaptor micros gen. et sp. nov. differs from Neuquenraptor in the proportions of the metatarsals and phalanges, especially those of digit II. In Pamparaptor, the metatarsals are considerably more slender and transversely compressed than in Neuquenraptor. Phalanx 1.III is more elongated than phalanx 2.III (Fig. 4). Curiously, metatarsals III and IV are subequal in length (Fig. 5), a morphology that is observed in troodontids and basal dromaeosaurids (Xu and Wang 2000), but not in Neuquenraptor and Rahonavis, in which these bones are unequal, and metatarsal III is longer than IV. In troodontids (e.g., Troodon formosus), metatarsal IV is usually more robust (Fig. 6).

In cranial view, the distal end of metatarsal II of Pamparaptor presents a sulcus directed medially, different to from Neuquenraptor in which the metatarsal is absent. The distal end of metatarsal III lacks of a ginglymoid articulation. In Pamparaptor micros, the expansion of the lateral crest of metatarsal IV begins proximally. The phalanges of digit II are subequal in length. In Neuquenraptor, pedal phalanx 2.I is bigger than 2.II, but in Pamparaptor, it is the reverse. The ungual phalanx of pedal digit II of Pamparaptor is proportionally different than in Neuquenraptor. In Neuquenraptor and other derived Dromaeosaurids (Velociraptor, Dromaeosaurus, Deinonychus) the claw is lower and more acute than in Pamparaptor.

Comparisons with Troodontids

The metatarsus of Pamparaptor shares with troodontids the small size and the slender structure. Moreover, metatarsals III and IV are subequal in length. However, proximally, the mediolateral widths of metatarsals IV and II are similar. In troodontids the metatarsal IV is the most robust. In Pamparaptor micros, the fourth digit is incomplete, which does not allow us to know which one is the longest finger.

On the other hand, the raptorial second digit is present in troodontids and dromaeosaurids. The size of this phalanx of digit II ranges between dromaeosaurids and troodontids. In Neuquenraptor, phalanx 2.III is more robust than 2.IV, but in Pamparaptor, it is the reverse. In Neuquenraptor and other derived Dromaeosaurids, the phalanx of pedal digit II is more robust than in Pamparaptor. (this character is absent in Pamparaptor).

Comparisons with Neuquenraptor: (23) Metatarsal III excluded proximally from tensor surface of metatarsus, proximal ramus splint-like (this character is absent in Pamparaptor); (24) Metatarsal IV more massive than the remainder of metatarsus (this character is doubtful in Pamparaptor); (25) Metatarsal III bears posteroventral, tongue-like expansion of lateral crest of metatarsal IV. (this character is absent in Pamparaptor).

Fig. 5 – Distal end of metatarsals in anterior view.
sion of articular surface; (26) Pedal phalanx II-1 longer than II-2 (this character is inverse in *Pamparaptor*); distal articulation of II-2 short (relative to the condition in dromaeosaurs; this character is absent in *Pamparaptor*); and II-3 shorter than II-1 and not strongly recurved (relative to the condition in dromaeosaurs; this character is absent in *Pamparaptor*).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Originally, specimen MUCPv-1163 was referred to as *Neuquenraptor* by Porfiri et al. (2007) based on the similarities with this Patagonian form that was also documented in the same beds. In this context, specimen MUCPv-1163 was interpreted as a subadult stage of *Neuquenraptor*. However, complete technical preparation of the materials allowed confirming the absence of a displacement of metatarsal IV; therefore, there is a clear difference between MUCPv-1163 and *Neuquenraptor*, not related to their ontogenetic stage.

Available information suggests the presence of an endemic group of Deinonychosauria during Cenomanian times (Makovicky et al. 2005). Unfortunately, the record of deinonychosaurs from the Portezuelo Formation, which is the unit with the most complete record of dromaeosaurs in Argentina, leaves many questions unanswered. The discovery of *Pamparaptor* supports a new lineage of Gondwanan deinonychosaurs, which is different from those present in Laurasia but with problematic interpretation of relationships. Nevertheless, the phylogenetic resolution of the Gondwanan dromaeosaurids is still problematic.

*Neuquenraptor* was considered as a junior synonym of *Unenlagia* (Makovicky et al. 2005, Turner et al. 2007) on the base that both came from the same stratigraphic and geographic provenances. However, based in the pedal phalanx 2.II of *Unenlagia paynemili* (Calvo et al. 2004), we consider that the pedal morphology of digit II of *Neuquenraptor* and *Unenlagia* is different. In *Unenlagia paynemili*, phalanx 1.II is longer than phalanx 2.II as that present in *Rahonavis* and other dromaeosaurs. In *Neuquenraptor*, phalanx 1.II and 2.II are subequal. In *Pamparaptor*, phalanx 2.II is greater than phalanx 1.II. (Fig. 7). If *Unenlagia paynemili*’s phalanx belonged to the same specimen, this would demonstrate that at least *Unenlagia paynemili* and *Neuquenraptor argentinus* are different species; therefore, the Unenlagiinae clade is invalid and the basal politomy is unresolved.

The troodontids and basal dromaeosaurids pes pres-
Fig. 7 – Phalanges of digit II of *Unenlagia paynemili* and *Neuquenraptor argentinus* in lateral view.
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tor and Austroraptor, present low and elongated skulls as that of *Byronosaurus*. In this case, *Pamparaptor* presents metatarsal III at the same level of metatarsal IV, as it is seen in troodontids; however, metatarsal IV, of *P. micros* is less robust than that of troodontids.

Metatarsal IV is a very important tip in the comprehension of relationships about *Pamparaptor*, because if metatarsal IV is similar in size or more robust than metatarsal II add to the metatarsal III and IV are sub-equals in long we could be in presence of the first troodontid dinosaur from South America. The characters present in *Pamparaptor* show a peculiar pedal construction with several characters that resemble those of troodontids. Nevertheless, the few evidence available and several characters checked and compared with troodontids demonstrate that *Pamparaptor* is a peculiar basal dromaeosaurid with a troodontid-like pes.
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RESUMO

Aqui reportamos um novo pequeno terópodo deinonicosaurí rio, *Pamparaptor micros* gen. et sp. nov. do Cretáceo Superior da Patagônia, Argentina. *Pamparaptor* exibe uma estrutura pedal previamente desconhecida entre os deinonicosaurídeos da América do Sul. O novo material fornece uma evidência sobre a diversidade morfológica e taxonômica dos deinonicosaurídeos patagônicos. *O Pamparaptor* é o primeiro deinonicosaurídeo não-aviano patagônico, medindo provavelmente entre 0,50-0,70 metros de comprimento. A construção pedal lembra aquela de Troodontídeos ou Dromaeosaurídeos basais. Entretanto, até agora, consideramos *Pamparaptor* um Dromaeosaurídeo patagônico peculiar com o pé similar a Troodontídeos.
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