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Resumen

El objetivo de este estudio fue analizar las propiedades físico-químicas, el origen botánico y la actividad antioxidante de mieles comerciales de Brasil rotuladas como monoflorales. Trece muestras de miel de abeja (Apis mellifera L.) fueron ceñidas por los apicultores de la región de Campos Gerais del Estado de Paraná, sur de Brasil. La mayoría de las muestras (n= 8) mostraron resultados físico-químicos de acuerdo con los requisitos legales internacionales y brasileños. En cuanto al análisis del polen, las muestras fueron clasificadas como mieles monoflorales (5), biflorales (3) y heteroflorales (5). Para algunas muestras de miel (5) hubo divergencia entre los datos del rótulo y los resultados del análisis palinológico de las mieles. Se observó un alto coeficiente de correlación (r= 0.8379) entre el título y los resultados del análisis palinológico de las mieles.

Introduction

The Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex, 2001) defines honey as a “natural sweet substance produced by honey bees from the nectar of plants or from secretions of living parts of plants, or from secretions of plant sucking insects, on the living parts of plants, which the bees collect, transform by combining with specific substances of their own, deposit, dehydrate, store and leave in the honey comb to ripen and mature”. Honey is a very traditional food and is used by many consumers due to its particular nutritional quality, high energy values, medicinal uses (as antioxidant and anti-septic) and sensorial features (Zumla and Lulat, 1989). Moreover, some aspects of the commercially available honeys, such as price and quality, are usually related to their botanical origin or chemical composition. Floral honeys can be classified according to their nectar and pollen contents. For monofloral honeys, nectar is obtained mainly from a single botanical species. Otherwise, multifloral samples result from nectars of several botanical taxa. Particular interest about these hive products is due to their remarkable flavor and higher sale price. To determine the floral origin of honeys, melissopalynology is used.

SUMMARY

The aim of this study was to analyze the physicochemical quality, botanical origin and antioxidant activity of Brazilian commercial honeys labeled as monofloral. Thirteen honey samples of Africanized honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) were provided by beekeepers from Campos Gerais region of Paraná State, Southern Brazil. Most of the samples (n= 8) showed physicochemical results in accordance with the International and Brazilian legal requirements. Regarding pollen analysis, samples were classified as unifloral (5), bifloral (3) and heterofloral (5) honeys. For some honey samples (5), divergence between the label data and the results of melissopalynological analysis was observed. A high correlation coefficient (r= 0.8379) between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity was observed.
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O objetivo deste estudo foi investigar a qualidade físico-química, a origem botânica e a atividade antioxidante de méis comerciais brasileiros rotulados como monoflorais. Treze amostras de mel de abelha (Apis mellifera L.) foram cedidas por apicultores da região dos Campos Gerais do Paraná, Sul do Brasil. A maioria das amostras (n= 8) apresentou parâmetros físico-químicos em conformidade com as exigências legais internacionais e brasileiras. Quanto à análise polínica, as amostras foram classificadas como méis monoflorais (5), biflorais (3) e heteroflorais (5). Para algumas amostras de mel (5), houve divergência entre os dados de rotulagem e os resultados da análise melissopalinológica. Um alto coeficiente de correlação (r= 0,8379) entre o teor de fenólicos totais e a atividade antioxidante foi observado.

Materials and Methods

Samples

Thirteen honey samples of Africanized honey bee (A. mellifera L.) were kindly provided by beekeepers from the Campos Gerais region, Paraná state, Southern Brazil. The samples were commercially marketed as monofloral honeys from three different sources: Eucalyptus (Myrtaceae), two from Croton (Euphorbiaceae), one from Citrus (Rutaceae), one from Piptadenia (Fabaceae), one from Mimosa (Fabaceae), one from Lithraea (Anacardiaceae), one from Vernonia (Asteraceae), and one from Eryngium (Apiaceae). Honey samples were collected in 2007 and immediately cooled at 4 ±2°C for physicochemical analyses.

Physicochemical analyses

Physicochemical analyses were performed in order to determine the compliance of the honey samples to national and international requirements. Moisture, reducing sugars, sucrose, ash, free acidity, pH, diastase activity, hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) content and Pfund color were measured in triplicate for the honey samples, according to the current standard methods (Table I) proposed in the literature (Bianchi, 1981; Komatsu, 1996; Bogdanov et al., 1997; AOAC, 2000; Santos et al., 2003; Vargas, 2006; Borsato et al., 2010).

Concerning the Kirkwood equation (Kirkwood et al., 1960, 1961), samples were discriminated in floral honeys or honeydew honeys according to the relationship among pH, ash and reducing sugars. If applicable, values of mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for the achieved experimental data.

Pollen analysis

To confirm the monofloral origin of the samples, pollen analysis was carried out using the procedure recommended by the International Commission for Bee Botany (Louveaux et al., 1970) and Barth (1989). Briefly, a sample of 10g of crude honey was dissolved in 20ml of distilled water, centrifuged at 2000rpm during 10min, washed once with 10ml of distilled water, centrifuged again and part of the sediment embedded in glycerin jelly without previous acetylation. The pollen sediment was transferred to 75×25mm slides; after being warmed slightly, the melted jelly with pollen sediment was covered by a 22mm cover glass and the latter sealed with paraffin wax (Nair 1960). Pollen grains were identified with the help of standard slides prepared from the local flora and descriptions of pollen grains obtained from the specialized literature (Barth, 1989; Takeda et al., 2000, 2001, 2002a, 2002b; Melhem et al., 2003). Quantitative analysis was performed by consecutively counting 100 pollen grains per replicate per sample. The pollen grains were classified into one of the follow-

| Table I | PHYSICOCHEMICAL ANALYSES PERFORMED IN HONEY SAMPLES OF AFRICANIZED HONEY BEE (Apis mellifera L.) FROM CAMPOS GERAIS REGION OF BRAZIL |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physicochemical analyses</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>Refractometry</td>
<td>AOAC, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing sugars</td>
<td>Lane-Eyom titration</td>
<td>Bogdanov et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>Lane-Eyom titration</td>
<td>Bogdanov et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>Gravimetry</td>
<td>Bogdanov et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free acidity</td>
<td>Direct titration</td>
<td>Borsato et al.; Vargas, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Potentiometry</td>
<td>Komatsu, 1996; Bogdanov et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity of diastase</td>
<td>Spectrophotometry</td>
<td>Komatsu, 1996; Bogdanov et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxymethylfurfural content</td>
<td>Spectrophotometry</td>
<td>Santos et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfund color</td>
<td>Spectrophotometry</td>
<td>AOAC, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bianchi, 1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ing four pollen frequency classes: dominant (>45%), accessory (15-45%), important isolated (3-15%) and occasional isolated (<3%) pollen types. In order to evaluate the botanical origin of the honeys, records of the presence of pollen grains from nectariferous and non-nectariferous plants (entomophilous and anemophilous ones) were also performed according to the literature (Barth, 1989, 2005).

**Determination of total phenolic contents**

Extraction was performed according to Ferreres et al. (1991) with some modifications. A mass of 80g of honey samples were thoroughly mixed with five parts (400ml) of distilled water and adjusted to pH 2 with concentrated HCl, until becoming completely fluid by stirring with a magnetic stirrer at room temperature. The fluid samples were then filtered through cotton wool to remove solid particles. The filtrate was mixed with 80g Amberlite XAD-2 (pore size 9nm, particles size 0.3-1.2nm) and stirred with a magnetic stirrer for 15min. Afterwards, the Amberlite particles were packed in a glass column (25×2cm) and the column washed with 100ml of acidified water (pH 2, with HCl) and subsequently rinsed with 300ml of distilled water to remove all sugars and other polar constituents of honey. The phenolic compounds remained absorbed on the column and were eluted with 300ml of methanol to remove all sugars and other polar constituents of honey. The phenolic compounds remained absorbed on the column and were eluted with 300ml of methanol. The methanol extract was concentrated to almost dryness under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator at 60°C. The residue was re-suspended in methanol to a volume of 10ml.

The concentration of total phenolic contents in the obtained phenolic fractions was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu procedure (Singleton et al., 1999). Each phenolic fraction (0.5ml) was mixed with 2.5ml of 0.2mol·l⁻¹ Folin-Ciocalteu reagent for 5min and, then, 2ml of 4% Na₂CO₃ was added. After incubation at room temperature for 2h in the dark, the absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 740nm against a methanol blank. The total phenolic content was expressed in mg per 100g of honey as catechin equivalent (CE).

**Antioxidant activity**

The scavenging activity of honey samples for the radical 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) was measured as described in Yen and Wu (1999) and Chen et al. (2003). Briefly, the extracted phenolic fractions from honey samples were previously diluted to final concentration of 10% in methanol. A volume of 300μl of a 0.5mmol·l⁻¹ DPPH-ethanol solution was added to 3ml of ethanol and 500μl of sample dilution. The samples were kept at room temperature in the dark. After 40min, the absorbance values were measured at 517nm and converted into the percentage antioxidant activity by Eq. 1:

\[
\text{Antioxidant activity (\%)} = 100 - \left( \frac{A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{blank}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where A: absorbance value.

Ethanol (3.5ml) was used as a negative control, and an ethanol solution of α-tocoferol (200mg·l⁻¹) was used as a positive control. The correlation coefficient to determine the relationship between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity was calculated using MS Excel® software (CORREL statistical function).

**Results and Discussion**

**Physicochemical analyses**

The results obtained in the physicochemical analyses of honey samples of Africanized honey bee (A. mellifera) from Campos Gerais region, Southern Brazil, are shown in Table II. All samples showed values of moisture, reducing sugars, sucrose, ash, free acidity, hydroxymethylfurfural and Pfund color in agreement with the requirements of the Brazilian legislation (Brasil, 2000), the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex, 2001) and the European Honey Commission (Bogdanov et al., 1997).

Analytical data for pH resulted in values from 3.91 to 4.91. Legal documents from international and national institutions have no reports about pH for bee honeys (Bogdanov et al., 1997; Brasil, 2000). According to Crane (1983), changes in pH can be due to floristic composition and floral diversity of the region. Moreover, a singular soil composition and taxa association can influence the final honey composition and pH.

Values ranging from 1.70 to 13.57 were obtained for diastase on the Gōthe scale. Regarding the standards indicated by the Brazilian legislation (Brasil, 2000), a minimum diastase index of 8 is required in this scale. However, a minimum of 3 is also allowed for diastase if the HMF content is within the limit of 15mg·kg⁻¹. Five honey samples (numbered 4, 5, 8, 12 and 13) showed a diastase index <3. Diastase is a thermolabile α-amylase responsible for splitting starch chains into dextrins and maltose (White, 1975), and was initially used as a possible means of distinguishing between natural and artificial honeys. However, diastase is also widely recognized as a parameter for the evaluation of honey freshness, because its activity decreases in old or heated honeys (White, 1975; Bogdanov et al., 1997; Tosi et al., 2008). Commercial syrups obtained from totally or partially-inverted sucrose by acid hydrolysis and heating of sugar cane can reduce the diastase value of honeys. High-fructose syrups prepared from corn starch by enzymatic activities of amylases and isomerases show diastase in a normal range, but reveal a high HMF content. Some of these changes can be related to the results verified for the five honeys samples that exceeded the reported limits.

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physicochemical parameters</th>
<th>Reference values *</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Honeydew honey</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>15.10-18.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing sugars (%)</td>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>72.02</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>69.97-74.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose (%)</td>
<td>≤6</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.25-5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>≤0.6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>n.d.-0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity (mEq·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>8.75-37.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>n.e.</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.91-4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity of diastase (Gōthe)**</td>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.70-13.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxymethylfurfural (mg·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>≤60</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>n.d.-14.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color (mm Pfund)</td>
<td>Water-white to dark-amber</td>
<td>Light amber (66.99)</td>
<td>Water-white to dark amber (4.38-4.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* According to the Brazilian requirements (Brasil, 2000).
** If HMF<15mg·kg⁻¹, the official lower limit for diastase is ≥3 Gōthe.

n.a.: not applicable, n.d.: not detected, n.e.: not established.
A total of nine bee honey samples (71.43%) were classified as light-amber and extra light-amber. Bee honeys with light colors usually show higher commercial values than dark ones. Also, the color of bee honeys is a wide-ranging property because some chemical features as fructose/glucose proportion, instability of fructose in acid medium, nitrogen content and presence of free amino acids, phenolic compounds and ash have a remarkable influence on browning (Bath and Sing, 1999).

A. mellifera extracts nectar from flowers to produce floral honeys. However, when bees collect sweet fluids that exude from botanical species usually visited by plant-sucking insects, honeydew honeys are obtained (Brasil, 2000). The differentiation between floral honey and honeydew or mixed honey was performed by a linear discriminant function (Eq. 2) as proposed by Kirkwood et al. (1960, 1961). For the evaluated samples, all bee honeys showed X values >73.1 and were considered as floral products.

\[
X = -8.3x_1 -12.3x_2 +1.4x_3
\]  

(2) where \(x_1\); pH, \(x_2\); honey ash content in percentage, and \(x_3\); percentage of reducing sugars.

**Pollen analysis**

A total of 53 pollen types were observed in the pollen spectra of the evaluated samples. In spite of their high frequency in some samples, the polliniferous types Cecropia (Moraceae) and Piper (Piperaceae) were removed from the total due to the fact that they do not contain nectar. For the same reason, all anemophostic types, e.g. Amaranthus, Cyperaceae, Celis, Poaceae and Trema, were also excluded. However, anemophostic pollens can indicate the geographic origin of the samples. A total of ten nectariferous pollen types were considered as dominant or accessory, according to their frequency: Citrus (Rutaceae), Eucalyptus (Myrtaceae), Ilex (Aquifoliaceae), Lithraea (Anacardiaceae), Macherium (Fabaceae), Mimosa scabrella (Fabaceae), Myrcia (Myrtaceae), Pittradina (Fabaceae), Serjania (Sapindaceae) and Zanthoxylum (Rutaceae). No honeydew elements such as microalgae, fungal mycelia, and spores were verified in the studied samples.

Considering pollen spectra, five samples were identified as monofloral honeys: two honeys of ‘eucalipto’ (Eucalyptus type, Myrtaceae), one sample of orange (Citrus type, Rutaceae), one honey of ‘angico’ (Pitradina type, Fabaceae), and one sample of ‘bracatinga’ (Mimosa scabrella type, Fabaceae). Three samples were classified as bifloral honey: one of ‘eucalipto’ (Eucalyptus type, Myrtaceae) and ‘laranjinha-do-mato’ (Zanthoxylum type, Rutaceae), one of ‘yerba mate’ (Ilex type, Aquifoliaceae) and ‘aroeira’ (Lithraea type, Anacardiaceae), and one of ‘angico’ (Pitradina type, Fabaceae) and Myrrca type, Myrtaceae. Other five samples were classified as polyfloral honeys (Table III).

Regarding the five honey samples that were considered monofloral, four samples (1, 2, 7 and 8) confirmed the botanical origin as reported by beekeepers. Also, other samples (3, 4, 11 and 12) were partially composed by the botanical origin indicated by beekeepers. On the other hand, no contribution of the declared species was observed in honeys numbered 5, 6, 9, 10 and 13. These data demonstrate that there is some divergence between the botanical origin mentioned by beekeepers and the results of melissopalynological analysis, as also observed by Horn (1997) and Barth et al. (2005).

Price and quality of honeys are usually related to their floral origin and chemical composition. Particularly in the region of Campos Gerais and due to their remarkable aroma and flavor, honeys of orange and eucalyptus achieve higher values as compared to honeys from wildflowers. Therefore, the pollen analysis is a useful analytical tool to certify the botanical origin of the honey and can influence its market value.

**Total phenolic contents and antioxidant activity**

The results obtained for the total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of the honey samples are shown in Table IV. The total content of phenolic compounds varied from 30.40 to 176.07mg CE/100g honey, respectively for orange honey (numbered 8) and ‘bracatinga’ honey (numbered 2).

Five samples (numbered 2, 3, 7, 9 and 11) showed total phenolic contents ranging from 75.53 to 176.07mg CE/100g honey. The total phenolic contents of five different types of Yemeni honey and four types of imported honey were evaluated by Al-Mamary et al. (2002) and the results ranged from 75.13mg CE/100g honey in Tropical blossom (Marbair-Hadruntom) to 246.21mg CE/100g honey in Acacia ehrenbergina (Salama-Tehamah). The imported honey samples (Swiss-blossom: 68.59, Iranian: 56.32 and American-orange source: 61.05mg CE/100g honey) had lower total phenolic contents as compared with the Yemeni honeys and also with the honeys numbered 2, 3, 7, 9 and 11 from the Campos Gerais region, except for that of the American-tropical blossom honey, which contained a slightly higher total phenolic contents (79.37mg CE/100g honey).

Samples 2, 3 and 9, which showed enhanced phenolic content, were classified as dark-amber or amber. As indicated in the literature (Kaskonien et
al., 2009), this result suggests a relation between the intensity of honey color and the total content of phenolic compounds. However, one sample (numbered 7) showed a high content of phenolic compounds and was determined as extra-light amber.

For the DPPH radical-scavenging activity, monofloral (2 and 7) and polyfloral (9 and 11) samples showed improved antioxidant capacities as compared to other honeys studied. However, these values were lower than that observed for the 200mg l-1 ethanol solution of α-tocopherol, which indicated an antioxidant capacity of 91.51%. The phenolic contents of honey and consequently its antioxidant capacity depend on the floral sources used to collect honey, and its predominance is dependent upon seasonal and environmental factors (Al-Mamary et al., 2002).

Some compounds such as flavonoids, phenolic acids, and phenolic diterpenes have antioxidative effects. Studies carried out by Hodnick et al. (1988) revealed that the flavonoids with the most hydroxyl groups were most easily oxidized. The differences in activities of antioxidants depend on structural dissimilarities, primarily on the degree of hydroxylation and methylation of the compounds. Moreover, the data reported by Gazzani et al. (1998) demonstrate that some phenolic compounds may react as antioxidants faster than others under the same conditions. Furthermore, the presence of other constituents than the phenolic compounds, such as vitamins C and E, carotenoids, peptides, organic acids and enzymes may influence the total antioxidant activity of honey (Al-Mamary et al., 2002).

The high correlation coefficient (r = 0.8379) that was calculated using the total phenolic content and the antioxidant activity data indicates that phenolics are one of the main components related to the antiradical activity of honey. This statistically significant correlation coincides with the findings of other authors (Turkmen et al., 2006; Baltrusaityte et al., 2007; Bulratti et al., 2007; Lachman et al., 2010; Silici et al., 2010) who also found a strong relationship between antiradical capacity and total phenolic content of honey. Honey can be used as a preservative in food, as it prevents oxidation reactions such as lipid oxidation in meat and enzymatic browning of fruits and vegetables (Oszmianski and Lee, 1990; Chen et al., 2000; Mckiben and Engeseth, 2002). Moreover, the presence of antioxidant compounds plays an important role in disease prevention (Berg et al., 1999), as is the case in coronary heart disease, arthritis, diabetes, neurodegenerative diseases (e.g., Parkinson’s disease), cancer and ethanol intoxication (Benzie and Strain, 1996; Russo et al., 2002).

The correlation between the botanical origin described by beekeepers and melissopalinological data were verified. A correlation between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity was observed for all the samples studied.

### Table IV: Botanical Origin, Total Phenolic Contents and Antioxidant Activity for Samples of Bee (Apis mellifera L.) Honey from Campos Gerais Region of Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Botanical origin</th>
<th>Total phenolic (mg CE/100g honey)</th>
<th>Antioxidant activity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>eucalipto (Eucalyptus sp.)</td>
<td>64.37 ± 3.39</td>
<td>45.11 ± 4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>bracatinga (Mimosa scabrella)</td>
<td>176.07 ± 2.53</td>
<td>75.23 ± 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>pau-de-malho (Machaerium sp.), yerba mate (Ilex sp.) and aroeira (Lithraea sp.)</td>
<td>104.27 ± 2.35</td>
<td>56.96 ± 2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>cipó-úva (Serjania sp.), eucalipto (Eucalyptus sp.), orange (Citrus sp.) and assa-peixe (Vernonia sp.)</td>
<td>50.63 ± 1.98</td>
<td>37.88 ± 1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>angico (Piptadenia sp.)</td>
<td>41.72 ± 1.32</td>
<td>39.22 ± 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>eucalipto (Eucalyptus sp.) and laranjinha-do-mato (Zanthoxylum sp.)</td>
<td>50.22 ± 4.05</td>
<td>36.55 ± 2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>eucalipto (Eucalyptus sp.)</td>
<td>96.00 ± 2.86</td>
<td>72.69 ± 2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>orange (Citrus sp.)</td>
<td>30.40 ± 0.74</td>
<td>31.66 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>yerba mate (Ilex sp.) and aroeira (Lithraea sp.)</td>
<td>118.97 ± 0.95</td>
<td>74.97 ± 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>laranjinha-do-mato (Zanthoxylum sp.), yerba mate (Ilex sp.) and aroeira (Lithraea sp.)</td>
<td>66.10 ± 4.12</td>
<td>58.63 ± 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>aroeira (Lithraea sp.), angico (Piptadenia sp.) and vassoura (Bacharis sp.)</td>
<td>75.53 ± 1.21</td>
<td>68.67 ± 2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>angico (Piptadenia sp.) and Myrcia type</td>
<td>43.13 ± 0.95</td>
<td>45.98 ± 1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>laranjinha-do-mato (Zanthoxylum sp.), yerba mate (Ilex sp.) and Myrcia type</td>
<td>47.55 ± 1.41</td>
<td>44.51 ± 0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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