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**Abstract:** Despite being one of the most well-known cichlid fish of importance to artisanal and sport fishing, and among the largest fishes in the Neotropics, data on digestive tract anatomy of peacock basses (*Cichla* spp.) are largely lacking, especially for non-native populations. In this paper, we describe for the first time the digestive tract morphology of *Cichla kelberi*, a voracious piscivore that was introduced in the 1950s into an oligotrophic and physically low-complex impoundment in Brazil. Peacock basses were collected between 1994 and 2002 in Lajes Reservoir, through gillnets (25 to 55mm mesh; 20-50x2m), seines (10x2.5m; 8.0mm mesh), cast nets and angling. All the fishes were kept on ice in the field and then transferred to the laboratory, where they were identified, measured, weighed and dissected for digestive tract analyses. The Index of Relative Importance-IKI was calculated for diet characterization while linear and non-linear regressions were performed to assess growth patterns of four morphological characters related to feeding (e.g. mouth width, mouth height, stomach length and intestine length) and the number of gill rakers during the *C. kelberi* ontogeny. Most digestive tract structures were directly related to the piscivorous diet of *C. kelberi*, indicating that peacock bass is a diurnal, bathypelagic and gape-size limited predator that feeds largely on shallow-water prey species within the littoral zone. Mouth width and height grew allometrically (b>1) with the size of peacock bass, broadening the size range in which prey can be eaten, but especially for predators smaller than ~400mm of total length. Differently, stomach and intestine lengths increased isometrically (b=1), which could constrain prey consumption for adult *C. kelberi*, especially those at advanced stages of gonadal maturation. The presence of longer-drawn, sharp and furcated gill rakers in *C. kelberi* may be related to increased prey retention in the resource-limited Lajes Reservoir, but further studies are necessary whether such features are randomly triggered by genetic or phenotypic anomalies, or effectively bring ecological advantages to the predator. In addition to contribute to improve the current biological knowledge on peacock basses, our results can be also useful to further comparisons on whether those morphological features related to feeding will change with transitions on prey consumption by *C. kelberi* and/or with the particular conditions of the invaded ecosystem. Rev. Biol. Trop. 59 (3): 1245-1255. Epub 2011 September 01.
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Peacock basses (*Cichla* spp.) are the major group of piscivorous cichlids in South America, which are native of the Amazon, Tocantins and Orinoco river basins, and of the smaller rivers draining the Guiana to the Atlantic Ocean (Kullander & Ferreira 2006). All *Cichla* species are large (>500mm of total length), visual-diurnal, and voracious predators, preying largely on small fishes, but occasionally consuming shrimp and other aquatic invertebrates when adults (Winemiller *et al.* 2001, Kullander & Ferreira 2006). Although peacock basses
collectively inhabit a diversity of habitats ranging from streams to large reservoirs, the universal requirement of all Cichla species appears to be high water transparency, warm temperatures, and access to lentic habitats for feeding and/or reproduction (Winemiller et al. 2001). Because of their great popularity as sport fishes or to control undesirable forage fishes, peacock basses are also intentionally introduced into many tropical and subtropical freshwater systems around the world (e.g. Brazil, Hawaii, Panama, Puerto Rico and Florida), sometimes to the detriment of native fish populations (Zaret & Paine 1973, Santos et al. 2001, Latini & Petere Jr 2004).

Within the 15 peacock bass species currently recognized by systematists, Cichla kelberi (Kullander & Ferreira 2006) deserves special attention since it was introduced into most Brazilian watersheds to enhance game and commercial fisheries or to control undesirable fishes (Santos et al. 2008, Espínola et al. 2009). Until this recent revision, C. kelberi was often misidentified as Cichla ocellaris or Cichla monoculus, two close congeners that are very similar in body shape and colouration. After that revision, most peacock bass introductions succeeded in Brazilian reservoirs appear to be of C. kelberi. However, since this species shares many morphometric and meristic features with C. monoculus, Cichla pleiozona and even C. ocellaris, taxonomic confusion probably remains considerable for many non-native populations (Kullander & Ferreira 2006).

The anatomy of the digestive tract in fish is closely related to the diet and type of food consumed (Winemiller 1989, Wainwright & Richard 1995). In addition, to help with the taxonomic differentiation of close related Neotropical species (Graça & Pavanelli 2007), provide risk assessment of invasive species on native fish assemblages (Hill et al. 2004), or contribute to implement control measures for non-native populations (Bergmann & Motta 2005, Garcia-Berthou 2007), studies on feeding morphology can be also used to identify the length at which fish undergo life history thresholds and/or transitions (Kováč et al. 1999, Hellig et al. 2010). Recent studies have investigated the feeding biology of cichlids, particularly focusing on the trophic morphology of little-known species (Gordon & Bills 1999, Cochran-Biederman & Winemiller 2010, Hellig et al. 2010) or the predation-related impacts of non-indigenous populations (Bergmann & Motta 2005, Fugi et al. 2008). Surprisingly, studies on the digestive tract morphology of Cichla species are still lacking, despite their recognizable value as game fishes and their increasing importance as invaders in the tropics (Espínola et al. 2009, Santos et al. 2009).

In this paper, the digestive tract morphology of C. kelberi, a voracious piscivore that was introduced in the 1950s into Lajes Reservoir, an oligotrophic and physically low-complex impoundment in Brazil, is first described. In addition to the general hypothesis that morphological features are close related to diet and feeding habit of C. kelberi, we expected that our study could contribute to improve the current biological knowledge on peacock basses and also to improve the biological knowledge on non-native C. kelberi populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: Lajes Reservoir (22°42’ N - 43°53’ W; 22°50’ N - 44°05’ W) is a 30km² impoundment, located 415m above mean sea level in Rio de Janeiro State, south-eastern Brazil. This reservoir was filled between 1905 and 1908 mainly for hydroelectric purposes, damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001). Lajes Reservoir is oligotrophic (i.e. <20μgL⁻¹ total P; <400μgL⁻¹ total N) and largely surrounded by well-preserved stretches of Atlantic Rainforest, but it has experienced recent trends of nutrient enrichment, due to increased anthropogenic activities (i.e. diffuse pollution) and high water retention time (286 days) (Santos et al. 2004, Guarino et al. 2005). Because of vegetation removal prior to reservoir filling and wide water level fluctuations (i.e. up to 12m per year) from damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001). Lajes Reservoir is oligotrophic (i.e. <20μgL⁻¹ total P; <400μgL⁻¹ total N) and largely surrounded by well-preserved stretches of Atlantic Rainforest, but it has experienced recent trends of nutrient enrichment, due to increased anthropogenic activities (i.e. diffuse pollution) and high water retention time (286 days) (Santos et al. 2004, Guarino et al. 2005). Because of vegetation removal prior to reservoir filling and wide water level fluctuations (i.e. up to 12m per year) from damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001). Lajes Reservoir is oligotrophic (i.e. <20μgL⁻¹ total P; <400μgL⁻¹ total N) and largely surrounded by well-preserved stretches of Atlantic Rainforest, but it has experienced recent trends of nutrient enrichment, due to increased anthropogenic activities (i.e. diffuse pollution) and high water retention time (286 days) (Santos et al. 2004, Guarino et al. 2005). Because of vegetation removal prior to reservoir filling and wide water level fluctuations (i.e. up to 12m per year) from damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001). Lajes Reservoir is oligotrophic (i.e. <20μgL⁻¹ total P; <400μgL⁻¹ total N) and largely surrounded by well-preserved stretches of Atlantic Rainforest, but it has experienced recent trends of nutrient enrichment, due to increased anthropogenic activities (i.e. diffuse pollution) and high water retention time (286 days) (Santos et al. 2004, Guarino et al. 2005). Because of vegetation removal prior to reservoir filling and wide water level fluctuations (i.e. up to 12m per year) from damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001). Lajes Reservoir is oligotrophic (i.e. <20μgL⁻¹ total P; <400μgL⁻¹ total N) and largely surrounded by well-preserved stretches of Atlantic Rainforest, but it has experienced recent trends of nutrient enrichment, due to increased anthropogenic activities (i.e. diffuse pollution) and high water retention time (286 days) (Santos et al. 2004, Guarino et al. 2005). Because of vegetation removal prior to reservoir filling and wide water level fluctuations (i.e. up to 12m per year) from damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001). Lajes Reservoir is oligotrophic (i.e. <20μgL⁻¹ total P; <400μgL⁻¹ total N) and largely surrounded by well-preserved stretches of Atlantic Rainforest, but it has experienced recent trends of nutrient enrichment, due to increased anthropogenic activities (i.e. diffuse pollution) and high water retention time (286 days) (Santos et al. 2004, Guarino et al. 2005). Because of vegetation removal prior to reservoir filling and wide water level fluctuations (i.e. up to 12m per year) from damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001). Lajes Reservoir is oligotrophic (i.e. <20μgL⁻¹ total P; <400μgL⁻¹ total N) and largely surrounded by well-preserved stretches of Atlantic Rainforest, but it has experienced recent trends of nutrient enrichment, due to increased anthropogenic activities (i.e. diffuse pollution) and high water retention time (286 days) (Santos et al. 2004, Guarino et al. 2005). Because of vegetation removal prior to reservoir filling and wide water level fluctuations (i.e. up to 12m per year) from damming streams and diverting small rivers of the East Hydrographic Basin (Áraújo & Santos 2001).
operation routine, Lajes Reservoir is structurally homogeneous, lacking aquatic macrophytes and other natural submerged structures (Santos et al. 2008). The non-native peacock bass C. kelberi was introduced into the reservoir at the 1950s to control forage fish overpopulation and improve local angling (Santos et al. 2001). Despite the probable adverse impacts on the indigenous fish species, legal management actions to protecting C. kelberi (i.e. minimum capture size, spawning season protection and bag limits) already exists in Lajes Reservoir.

Fish collection: Peacock basses were collected monthly from January to December in 1994 and 1996, from April 1999 to March 2000 and from April 2001 to March 2002, through gillnets (25 to 55mm mesh; 20-50x2m), seines (10x2.5m; 8.0mm mesh), cast nets and angling. All fishes were kept on ice in the field and then transferred to the laboratory, where they were identified (Kullander & Ferreira 2006), measured (total length - L_T to nearest 0.1mm), weighed (to the nearest 10^{-3}g) and dissected for digestive tract analyses. Voucher specimens were preserved in 10% formalin and later deposited in the Ichthyological Collection at Laboratory of Fish Ecology, Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, UFRRJ, Brazil.

Morphological measurements: The following morphological variables of C. kelberi, presumed to be related to feeding (Keast & Webb 1966, Piet 1998), were recorded: (1) total length (L_T); (2) mouth width (W_M) - maximum width of the gape with the mouth fully opened; (3) mouth height (H_M) - maximum height of the gape with the mouth fully opened; (4) stomach length (L_S) - distance between anterior and posterior part of the stomach; (5) intestine length (L_i), distance between pylorus and anus, fully extended without stretching. The total length and intestine length were measured at 1mm precision, and the other variables to the nearest 0.01mm. Teeth were characterized according to Lagler et al. (1977), and the shape and number (G_N) of gill rakers were recorded (Cochran-Biederman & Winemiller 2010), with furcated rakers having a common base being counted as a single raker. The intestinal quotient (I_Q) was calculated according to Bertin (1958), by dividing the intestine length (L_i) per the total length of the predator (L_T).

Diet characterization: Food items were examined using a stereoscopic microscope and identified, counted, weighed (to the nearest 10^{-5}g) and measured (to the nearest 10^{-2}mm). The Index of Relative Importance-IRI (Pinkas et al. 1971) was calculated for diet characterization with percents by number (%N), by weight (%W) and frequency of occurrence (%O), where: IRI=\%O\times(%N+\%W). The percent IRI (%IRI) was calculated dividing the IRI values from each food item by the sum of all IRI values and multiplying the result by 100. Fishes with empty stomachs were excluded from dietary analysis.

Data analysis: Linear regressions were performed to assess growth patterns of the morphological characters during the ontogeny of C. kelberi. The measurements of the four morphological attributes (W_M, H_M, L_S and L_T) and the number of gill rakers (G_N) were regressed against the C. kelberi total length (L_T). All regressions were carried on log_{10}-transformed data (both dependent and independent variables) in order to normalize the residuals and to stabilise the variances (Levene’s test, p>0.05). In addition to reaching the assumptions for applying parametric tests, the log_{10} transformation also allowed the identification of the allometric relationships directly. The significance of the slope (b) obtained in each regression was tested (Student t-test) in order to determine if the morphological attributes showed isometric (b=1.0) or allometric growth (b≠1.0) in relation to the C. kelberi length (Sokal & Rohlf 1995). Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) were also fitted to raw (untransformed) data, whenever the null hypothesis of isometric relationship was rejected, to appraise whether the growth patterns of morphological characters would change during the ontogeny of C. kelberi. Generalized additive models are an
extension of generalized linear models that do not assume a particular functional relationship between the response variable and the predictor (Lepš & Šmilauer 2003). The model complexity of GAMs was chosen by the stepwise selection procedure using the Akaike information criterion (AIC), as available in CANOCO 4.5. AIC considers not only the goodness of fit but also parsimony, penalizing very complex models (Burnham & Anderson 1998).

RESULTS

Feeding morphology

Mouth: *Cichla kelberi* has a protrusible and prognathous mouth, with the lower jaw being more prominent than the upper jaw. Mouth is wide, approaching to a full circle in shape, with width ranging from 7.9% to 17.7% (average of 13.7±0.12SE) and height from 7.2% to 17.4% (average of 13.2±0.12SE) of *C. kelberi* L_T (n=154). Despite being slightly greater than 1.0 (Table 1), the slopes (b) of WM and HM regressions differed significantly from that value (WM:t=2.66, p<0.01; HM:t=1.98, p<0.05), indicating a positive allometry for both structures with *C. kelberi* growth. WM and HM variables were well fitted to a linear function (Fig. 1A, B), resulting in highly significant values for R^2 (Table 2).

Teeth: *Cichla kelberi* bear numerous, short and pointed jaw teeth (cardiform), in both ascending and descending processes of the premaxilla. The pharyngobranchial apparatus is formed by two large pharyngeal plates resembling a Y at the lower orobranchial and by two opposing, comparatively small and elliptic plates at the upper orobranchial.

Gill rakers: Gill rakers are found only on the first pair of branchial arches. Gill rakers are long (1-4mm for superior branch; 3-15mm for inferior branch), broad, pointed, and also widely spaced (0.2-3.4mm for upper branch and 0.4-4.1 for lower branch) (n=99). There is a range of 15-24 gill rakers for the sum of upper and lower branches, with 3-8 gill rakers for the upper branch and 11-16 for the lower branch. The G_N did not change during *C. kelberi* ontogeny (b=0.04, p>0.05, R^2=0.01; Table 1). There are furcated gill rakers (bi or trifurcated), resembling small hooks, that were recorded as subdivisions of the main raker in some individuals (Fig. 2). From a total of 99 examined individuals, 7 had furcated rakers, with 4 occurring on upper branches of branchial arch (2 bifurcated and 2 trifurcated) and 3 on lower branches (all trifurcated). Aberrant rakers were recorded in peacock basses ranging from 245 to 485mm L_T.

Stomach and intestine: The stomach is a well-developed and elastic structure, ranging from 22 to 137mm in length for the examined population (n=179, Table 1), which corresponded to 9.4-46.0% (average of 23.1±0.46SE) of *C. kelberi* L_T. It has a gastric mucous membrane with several longitudinal inner pleats.

---

**TABLE 1**

Relationships of feeding traits with total length (L_T) of *C. kelberi*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>se</th>
<th>y = log_{10}a log_{10}x</th>
<th>s.e. (b)</th>
<th>R^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>17.0-72.0</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>WM = -1.00 + 1.0L_T</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>14.0-70.0</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>HM = -0.99 + 1.05L_T</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>22.0-137.0</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>LS = -0.50 + 0.94L_T</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>213.4</td>
<td>78.0-430.0</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>LI = -0.03 + 0.95L_T</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GN</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>15-22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>GN = 1.18 + 0.04L_T</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean, standard errors (se) and range are expressed in mm for dependent variables, except for G_N that are expressed in numbers. The size of *C. kelberi* ranged from 133.4-550mm L_T for all morphometric relationships. Except for G_N, all slopes (b) and determination coefficients (R^2) were significant (p<0.01). N=number of examined *C. kelberi*; WM=mouth width; HM=mouth height; LS=stomach length; LI=intestine length; GN=number of gill rakers.
Fig. 1. Scatter plots and linear regressions for $\log_{10}$-transformed data of the morphometric variables ($W_M$, $H_M$, $L_S$ and $L_I$) as a function of the total length of $C. kelberi$ ($L_T$). $W_M$=mouth width (A); $H_M$=mouth height (B); $L_S$=stomach length (C); $L_I$=intestine length (D). The $G_M$ was omitted because this variable did not shift during $C. kelberi$ ontogeny and $R^2$ obtained from linear regression was not significant (see Table 1).

### TABLE 2
Diet of $C. kelberi$ collected in Lajes Reservoir, basing on the analysis of 254 stomachs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food category</th>
<th>Prey items</th>
<th>% O</th>
<th>% N</th>
<th>% W</th>
<th>% IRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fishes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C. kelberi$</td>
<td>43.18</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>26.73</td>
<td>54.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T. rendalli$</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>51.18</td>
<td>25.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astyanax spp.</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O. hepsetus$</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P. eigenmanni$</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R. parahybae$</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C. lacustris$</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odonata</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>48.96</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiptera</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish eggs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crustaceans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Macrobrachium$</td>
<td>sp.</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% O=percent occurrence of prey items, % N=percent by number of prey items, % W=percent by weight of prey items, % IRI=percent index of relative importance.
but lacking pyloric caeca. The intestine is a short and tubular structure (n=219), which ranged from 78 to 430 mm in length (Table 1), corresponding to an I_{Q} of 0.38-1.12 (average of 0.71±1.05 SE). The slope (b) did not significantly departure from 1.0 for L_S (t=1.28, p>0.05) and L_I (t=1.26, p>0.05), indicating these structures increased isometrically with \(C. kelberi\) LT. Except for G_N, all linear relationships were significant, however, the values of the determination coefficient (R^2) for L_S and L_I (Table 2) were comparatively lower than those found for W_M and H_M, as a result of a greater data scattering around the linear response for the former variables (Fig. 1C, D).

**Allometric relationships**: The response curves (GAMs) revealed that the relationships of W_M and H_M with \(C. kelberi\) LT are best explained by a quadratic curve (Fig. 3). A non-linear trend was found for W_M (AIC, non-linear F_{1,153}=8.49, p=0.004) and H_M (AIC, non-linear F_{1,154}=23.92, p<0.001), indicating that mouth proportions changed gradually with \(C. kelberi\) growth (e.g. gradual allometry). The response curves also revealed that both W_M and H_M grow isometrically or even more rapidly than LT for \(C. kelberi\) smaller than 350-400 mm, but not for larger individuals (Fig. 3).

**Diet**

Of 254 stomachs examined, 119 (46.9%) had food contents, but only 44 (17.3%) contained identified and quantified items, with recognizable fishes being recorded in 35 stomachs (13.8%). This high occurrence of empty stomachs was probably related to a high gastric digestion together with low food consumption rate exhibited by \(C. kelberi\) in response to the warm and oligotrophic conditions of Lajes Reservoir. The diet consisted of 12 food items sorted into 5 categories: fishes, insects, fish eggs, crustaceans and plants (Table 2).
fishes accounted for 87.4% IRI of overall diet, ranking in the following decreasing order: C. kelberi, Tilapia rendalli (Boulenger 1897), Astyanax spp., Oligosarcus hepsetus (Cuvier 1829), Pimelodella eigenmanni (Boulenger 1891), Rhamdia quelen (Quoy & Gaimard 1824) and Crenicichla lacustris (Castelnau 1855). Data on the two species of Astyanax recorded for Lajes Reservoir (Araújo & Santos 2001) – Astyanax cf. bimaculatus L. and Astyanax parahybæ Eigenmann, 1908 - were pooled together because species recognition was often impossible.

Cichlids were the major prey within the fish category (80.1% IRI), and juveniles of C. kelberi were the major prey fish (54.2% IRI), indicating intense cannibalism. T. rendalli was the second most important item, while C. lacustris made a small contribution. Characids accounted for 6.8% IRI, with Astyanax spp. being the most important item of this group, whereas Heptapterids, especially P. eigenmanni, contributed with only 0.5% for IIR. The remaining categories amounted to 12.6% IRI, mainly nymphs and adults of Odonata (Insects). Gut analysis revealed only entire fishes in the C. kelberi stomachs and that all prey had been reoriented after they were caught, to allow the predator to swallow the head first and lying on their side.

**DISCUSSION**

*Cichla kelberi* was predominantly piscivorous in Lajes Reservoir, agreeing well with the features of the digestive tract structures. The orientation of the mouth is indicative of the location of the prey relative to the predator (Gatz 1979) or to the relative depth in the water-column at which feeding generally occurs (Winemiller 1991). The mouth of *C. kelberi* is typical of fishes that obtain food from the middle to upper layers of the water column or in shallow littoral zones (Hugueny & Pouilly 1999, Winemiller 2001, Pouilly et al. 2003). Shallow-water littoral zone species (*C. kelberi, T. rendalli, C. lacustris, Astyanax spp. and O. hepsetus*) accounted for 87% IRI of all food items and 99% IRI of prey fishes ingested by *C. kelberi* in Lajes Reservoir, whereas benthic-deepwater fishes (*P. eigenmanni* and *R. quelen*) accounted for only 0.5% and 1% IRI respectively. Williams et al. (1998) reported a similar feeding habit for *Cichla orinocensis* Humboldt, 1821 in Guri Reservoir, which foraged mostly in shallow, structured habitats within littoral zone where *Crenicichla wallacii* Regan, 1905, other cichlids, and the characid *Hemigrammus micropterus* Meek, 1907 were the dominant preys.

The prognathous-protractile mouth of *C. kelberi* is related to a mechanism of prey capture that uses a flow of water generated by a rapid drop in buccal pressure created as the buccal cavity rapidly expands (Wainwright et al. 2001). Furthermore, the cardiform teeth (pre-maxillaries and pharyngeals) of *C. kelberi* are typical of predatory species that swallow prey whole with little evidence of mastication, the teeth functioning in prey handling and preventing prey escape (Wootton 1999). Our results indicated that *C. kelberi* is a whole-fish swallower, agreeing with previous assertions of Winemiller et al. (1997) and Jepsen et al. (1997) for three other *Cichla* species. According to Gill (2003), gape-size limited predators (such as *C. kelberi*) leads to a size range of prey encompassing small prey at the lower limits of visual detection or which are physically too small to be retained by gill rakers to those at the upper limits, which are too large for the jaw apparatus.

In *C. kelberi*, gill rakers were found only at the first pair of arches, agreeing in number and shape with the general descriptions of Kullander & Ferreira (2006) for all species of the genus *Cichla*. According to Lagler et al. (1977), the gill rakers of piscivorous species are overall short, strong, sharp, and widely spaced to avoid prey escaping or gill damaging. The gill rakers of *C. kelberi* were, however, a rather longer-drawn and sharper than those found by Liparelli (1999) for *Cichla cf. ocellaris* (probably a misidentification of *C. kelberi* or *Cichla piquiti*), which could increase the effectiveness of prey retention. Given the
virtual lack of other records in the literature, bi and trifurcated gill rakers are expected to be not a common characteristic in piscivorous fish, but they occurred in *C. kelberi*. Nevertheless, furcated rakers arising as small hooks from the main raker are related to increased food intake by planktivorous fishes (Lazzaro 1987). Bi and trifurcated rakers can not be related to food filtration in the piscivorous *C. kelberi*, but they might enhance the effectiveness of prey retention in the resource-limited Lajes Reservoir or even help in the protection of gill filaments. The high occurrence of empty stomachs indicates that *C. kelberi* probably experiences some sort of food deprivation in Lajes Reservoir but further research are necessary to make clear whether morphological differentiation in *C. kelberi* rakers are merely related to genetic or phenotypic anomalies by chance or effectively bring ecological advantages to the predator (e.g. improved prey retention or gill protection).

Overall the morphology of the stomach and intestine of *C. kelberi* (e.g. the short digestive tract, the muscular and elastic stomach, and the short intestine) matched with general descriptions for carnivorous fish. The size and distention of the stomach are constrained by the space available in the abdominal cavity of the predator (Gill 2003), which can strongly limit the size and the quantity of prey ingested (Hahn et al. 2000, Nilsson & Brönmark 2000). Stomach size increased isometrically in relation to *C. kelberi*’s body size, allowing the ingestion of larger prey with growth. However, the stomach size could be constraining the ingestion of large prey for larger *C. kelberi*, since adult individuals (ca. >300mm LT), may have space restrictions in the abdominal cavity due to the increased gonadal volume during reproductive period (Souza et al. 2008, Gomiero et al. 2009).

The length of the intestine is associated with rates of food intake, and differences in intestine size are related to alterations in the food absorption surface (Pouilly et al. 2003). Overall the intestine is shorter in carnivores than herbivore or omnivore species. The values of the intestinal quotient (IQ), between 0.38 and 1.12 for *C. kelberi*, were similar to those proposed by Bertin (1958) for carnivorous fish (0.2 to 2.5) and close to those found by Pouilly et al. (2003) for neotropical piscivores (0.93 to 1.23). Low values of IQ can occur because of the existence of traits, as pharyngeal teeth and/or strong digestive enzymes, which play important roles in digestion. Basile-Martins et al. (1986) recorded an increasingly carnivorous diet for *Pimelodus maculatus* Lacepède, 1803 according to its ontogenetic development, which coincided with a gradual decrease in IQ values. As the LT grew isometrically with the L in *C. kelberi*, IQ values did not change through the ontogeny of the predator, indicating that *C. kelberi* experienced negligible alterations in diet composition during growth.

Our study is probably the first to combine a description of feeding patterns with regressions models fitted to morphological attributes to investigate the trophic morphology of *C. kelberi*. Hill et al. (2004) and Hoeinghaus et al. (2006) modelled the relationships of gape size (e.g. W or H) with body length for other peacock bass species, also examining their major implications on prey consumption. However, these studies did not provide any information on whether mouth proportions increased with body growth (e.g. isometrically or allometrically) or if changes in those morphometric characters were related to life history thresholds. Rather than indicating that mouth proportions changed gradually with *C. kelberi* growth, the non-linear relationships found for W or H in our study, argues on the need of further research to address whether such morphometric changes would be coupled with transitions on prey consumption. Nevertheless, if our findings would be further compared with those from other native or introduced populations of *C. kelberi*, they would be an interesting opportunity to test whether morphological features related to feeding will change with the particular conditions of the ecosystem (i.e. trophic status, limnological characteristics, habitat complexity and the amount and quality of preys).
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