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Is the global rise of asthma an early impact of anthropogenic climate change?*

Será o crescimento mundial de incidência da asma um impacto antecipado de mudanças climáticas antropogênicas?

Paul John Beggs 1
Hilary Jane Bambrick 2

Abstract The increase in asthma incidence, prevalence, and morbidity over recent decades presents a significant challenge to public health. Pollen is an important trigger of some types of asthma, and both pollen quantity and season depend on climatic and meteorological variables. Over the same period as the global rise in asthma, there have been considerable increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration and global average surface temperature. We hypothesize anthropogenic climate change as a plausible contributor to the rise in asthma. Greater concentrations of carbon dioxide and higher temperatures may increase pollen quantity and induce longer pollen seasons. Pollen allergenicity can also increase as a result of these changes in climate. Exposure in early life to a more allergenic environment may also provoke the development of other atopic conditions, such as eczema and allergic rhinitis. Although the etiology of asthma is complex, the recent global rise in asthma could be an early health effect of anthropogenic climate change.
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Resumo O crescimento na incidência, prevalência e morbidade da asma durante as recentes décadas representa importante desafio para a saúde pública. Pólen é um importante desencadeador de alguns tipos de asma e tanto a sua quantidade como as especificidades das estações em que eles mais se diseminam dependem de variáveis climáticas e meteorológicas. No mesmo período em que se observa o incremento na incidência da asma houve considerável crescimento de concentração de dióxido de carbono na atmosfera e aumento da média de temperatura da superfície da terra. Nossa hipótese é a de que as mudanças antropogênicas do clima constituem um fator plausível para o incremento da incidência da asma. Maiores concentrações de dióxido de carbono e elevadas temperaturas podem aumentar a quantidade de pólen e induzir o aumento de variações climáticas que facilitam sua dispersão. Alergias a pólen podem aumentar como resultado de mudanças climáticas. Exposição precoce a ambientes que predisponham a alergias também podem provocar o desenvolvimento de condições atópicas, como eczema e rinite alérgica. Embora a etiologia da asma seja complexa, o recente incremento de sintomas de asma em nível global pode significar um dos antecipados efeitos sobre a saúde, de mudanças climáticas antropogênicas.
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Global trends in asthma

Although asthma patterns vary throughout the world, considerable increases in both the prevalence of asthma and its severity have occurred globally over recent decades1, 2, 3. Because this rise has been far too rapid to implicate any genetic basis for change, various environmental factors and lifestyle factors have been proposed, and most recently the “hygiene hypothesis” has been explored extensively4 as an explanation for increased asthma prevalence. In this commentary, we propose an additional explanation: that a significant proportion of the increase in both asthma prevalence and its severity is the result of anthropogenic climate change.

Evidence for the global increase in the burden of asthma has come from studies of incidence, prevalence, and morbidity. Asthma prevalence appears to have increased since the early 1960s5, with the rise in asthma prevalence occurring among both children and adults6 and in a wide range of countries with differing lifestyles4. Over a similar period, the prevalence of other atopic disorders, such as allergic rhinitis, atopic eczema, and urticaria, has also increased, once again throughout the world1-4.

Studies of hospital admissions and surveys of symptoms of severe asthma indicate increased asthma morbidity since the early 1960s5, 6, 7, particularly in young children4. This increase in asthma morbidity cannot be completely explained by an increase in readmissions, diagnostic transfer from related disease categories, or changes in medical practice4.

In contrast with the overall trend of a rise in asthma over several decades, a few studies have reported an apparent leveling off or even a decline of asthma in recent years. Robertson et al.8 reported a 26% decline in the prevalence of reported wheeze between surveys conducted in 1993 and 2002 in Melbourne, Australia, among children 6-7 years of age. The study also found a reduction in emergency department visits and hospital admissions, which may be due at least partly to improved asthma management. Interestingly, the same survey found a 31% increase in allergic rhinitis, which is commonly linked to asthma, a 55% increase in eczema, and an increase in those taking regular steroid medication among those with frequent wheeze. A United Kingdom study comparing reported wheeze in 12- to 14-year-olds in 1995 and 20029 also found a decrease in reported prevalence of wheezing, and a decline in frequency and severity of attacks, but the proportion reporting that they had ever had asthma increased by 26% (allergic rhinitis by 8%). Both of these studies infer trends from only two time points. Although this is not uncommon where good data are scarce, care is required in their interpretation.

Fleming et al.10 examined weekly general practitioner returns in England and Wales between 1989 and 1998. After a peak in 1993-1994, there appears to have been a gradual decline in new asthma presentations to general practitioners, which is mirrored by a similar decline in acute bronchitis presentations. This study benefits from having continuous (weekly) data available over a decade rather than two points in time. Nevertheless, the observed decline occurred only over 4-5 years, which is a relatively short time in a half-century of overall observed increase. Recent milder winters (perhaps due to climate change) may have contributed to this decline, although some decrease was observed in other seasons10. Furthermore, although new presentations declined, there was no reduction in the use of bronchodilators, and the use of inhaled steroids increased during the same period.

If the plateaus in asthma prevalence recently observed in these studies are real and prove to be sustained, this could be an indication that saturation point has been reached in some locations. With heritability estimated to be up to 75%, there is likely to be some genetic component in the etiology of asthma. Recent plateaus may reflect that the proportion of the population genetically more susceptible to developing asthma may already have done so. Further, several co-occurring factors may promote a decline in asthma, exerting perhaps converse pressure protecting against asthma—for instance, increases in the number of children attending child care.

There has been a sustained focus on identifying the causative environmental factors of the overall trend to increasing asthma prevalence and morbidity11; however, these environmental factors are still unknown12. Some environmental factors previously proposed to explain the increased global prevalence of asthma include increased air pollution13, 14, changed diet15, 16, 17, 18, and increased prevalence of maternal smoking19, 20. Further potential explanatory factors come under the hygiene hypothesis, which proposes that greater risk of atopy results from altered challenges to the immune systems.
system in early life – particularly reduced infections – and the consequent development of a bias towards T-helper type 2 immune response over T-helper type 1\(^{21}\). Specific factors proposed under the hygiene hypothesis include changed immunization practices\(^{22, 23}\), changed living conditions and increased exposure to indoor allergens\(^{24}\), increased use of antibiotics\(^{25, 26, 27}\), and reduced exposure to endotoxins\(^{28, 29, 30}\). These factors may explain some of the increase in predisposition to atopy, but any effects on asthma prevalence and morbidity could be compounded by changing pollen profiles. Furthermore, studies examining the hygiene hypothesis have not been entirely consistent, with some showing no effects of these exposures on subsequent development of asthma\(^{31}\). Inconsistencies may result from different social trends that alter exposures in more than one direction. Although some trends may have reduced potentially protective exposures (increased urbanization, reduced family size, and increased maternal work stress during pregnancy), others (e.g., greater use of formal child care) may increase the protective exposures.

Climate change provides an additional plausible explanation for both increasing asthma susceptibility and increasing severity observed over several decades. There are many types of asthma, and we seek to explain only the component of the increase that is allergic asthma, particularly pollen-induced asthma. This is likely to be a significant proportion of asthma cases. For example, Grossman\(^{32}\) suggests that up to 78% of people with asthma also suffer from allergic rhinitis. Furthermore, although the hypothesis that trends in air pollution have been major determinants for the rise in prevalence of asthma and allergic disease in recent decades is now generally disproved\(^{33, 34}\), air pollution is likely to have its own effects on pollen production.

**Anthropogenic climate change**

Human activities have led to increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration and consequent changes in climate [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2001]\(^{36}\). Before the advent of the Industrial Era (circa 1750), atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration had been 280 ± 10 ppm for several thousand years\(^{37}\). It has risen since then, with the mean annual concentration recorded at the Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii in 2002 at 373 ppm\(^{38}\). The increase over this period has not been linear. The Mauna Loa data show an 18% increase in the mean annual concentration since the start of the records in 1959, when it was 316 ppm\(^{38}\). This suggests that approximately two-thirds of the increase in atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration since the Industrial Era has occurred over the last 50 years or so. These increases in CO\(_2\) and other greenhouse gases have enhanced the greenhouse effect, resulting in global warming and other changes to climate. The global average surface temperature has increased by 0.6 ± 0.2°C since the late 19th century, with much of this warming occurring during two periods, 1910-1945 and 1976-2000\(^{39}\). The IPCC has stated that "most of the observed warming over the last 50 years is likely to have been due to the increase in greenhouse gas concentrations"\(^{39}\). In addition to these already observed changes, human influences will continue to change atmospheric composition (including increasing CO\(_2\)) and climate throughout the 21st century and beyond\(^{39}\).

Some researchers have proposed that global climate change is likely to have an effect in the future on asthma\(^{40}\). We suggest that some of the observed increase in asthma could be due to climate change that has already occurred.

**Elevated CO\(_2\) and climate change impacts on pollen**

The balance of evidence strongly suggests that a significant impact of climate change is already discernible in animal and plant populations\(^{41}\), as well as communities and ecosystems\(^{42}\). For example, analysis of data from the International Phenological Gardens in Europe (a network of sites covering 69-42° N and 10° W-27° E) has shown that spring events, such as flowering, have advanced by 6 days, and that autumn events have been delayed by 4.8 days, compared with the early 1960s\(^{43}\).

There is now also considerable evidence of impacts of climate change on aeroallergens, particularly pollen\(^{44}\). First, it appears that plants produce a greater quantity of pollen under these changed climatic conditions. Experimental studies have found substantial increases in pollen production resulting from exposure to increased CO\(_2\) concentration, including from levels equivalent to preindustrial CO\(_2\) to current concentrations\(^{45, 46}\). Other studies have exam-
ined trends in pollen amount over the latter decades of the 1900s and found increases to be associated with local rises in temperature\textsuperscript{47, 48}. Second, there is some evidence of significantly stronger allergenicity in pollen from trees grown at increased temperatures\textsuperscript{49, 50}. The association between changes in temperature and pollen allergenicity is under investigation and is likely to vary across plant species. Third, changes in climate appear to have altered the temporal and spatial distribution of pollen. For example, some studies have found that trends toward earlier pollen seasons are associated with local warming over the latter decades of the 1900s\textsuperscript{51, 52}, and recent reports have concluded that the duration of the pollen season is extended in some species\textsuperscript{53}. Finally, several studies have examined other attributes of allergenic plants, which have also been responsive to CO\textsubscript{2} concentration and/or temperature increases\textsuperscript{54, 55}. These latter studies provide indirect evidence of impacts of climate change on pollen allergens.

**Impacts of climate change on asthma**

The links between aeroallergens and allergic diseases such as asthma are well established\textsuperscript{12, 56}. It is feasible that faster plant growth, earlier plant maturity, and longer growing season, plus earlier pollen season, increased season duration, and increases in both pollen quantity and allergenicity have already had an impact on asthma, reflected in the global rise in asthma prevalence and increased severity of episodes.

Climate change might readily explain more than just increased morbidity among those with the condition; it could also be a candidate for increasing the initial susceptibility to asthma and hence the prevalence of the condition. Exposure to allergens in infancy is thought to sensitize individuals to asthma\textsuperscript{3} and other atopic conditions such as eczema and allergic rhinitis. Björkstén and Suoniemi\textsuperscript{57} found, for example, that exposure to more intense pollen seasons in early infancy increased the likelihood of later development of allergy. Therefore, increases in pollen quantity and extended pollen seasons due to climate change may lead to both an increase in the development of the condition and greater morbidity among those who have it (Figure 1).

Although future impacts of climate change on human health have received considerable and increasing amounts of attention since the mid-1990s, few studies have documented human health impacts already evident. A study of the El Niño – Southern Oscillation and cholera in Bangladesh from around 1900 to 2001 may provide the first evidence that warming trends over the last century are already affecting human health\textsuperscript{58, 59}. The World Health Organization (WHO) has identified climate change as a major environmental risk to health\textsuperscript{60}. The WHO estimated that climate change was responsible for approximately 2.4% of diarrhea cases (worldwide), 6% of malaria cases (in some middle-income countries), and 7% of dengue fever cases (in some industrialized countries) in 2000. In total, climate change was estimated to be responsible for 0.3% of deaths and 0.4% of disability-adjusted life years\textsuperscript{60}.

The potential for future climate change to have an impact on asthma and other allergic diseases has been recognized for some time\textsuperscript{36, 61}, mostly a result of the well-established link between climate and many aeroallergens and aeroallergen-producing organisms, on the one hand, and air pollution on the other. However, that climate change could alter the burden of noncommunicable diseases such as asthma has received far less attention than have potential impacts on infectious vector-borne and diarrheal diseases.

It is somewhat easier to establish direct links between changing climate and the burden of these infectious diseases, where etiology is fairly well established. In contrast, the etiology of asthma is complex and not well understood. The complexity of asthma and the greater urgency that tends to be ascribed to communicable disease may explain why little attention has to date been paid to the effects of climate change on asthma. Furthermore, that climate change impacts on asthma may already be evident has previously not been explored.

Recent urban-rural comparisons in asthma and pollen provide a useful analogy to the global climate change hypothesis presented here. Using the existing CO\textsubscript{2} and temperature gradient between rural and urban areas, Ziska *et al.*\textsuperscript{62} showed that the higher CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations and air temperatures of urban areas were associated with differences in ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*). The urban plants of this established allergy-inducing species produced significantly more pollen than the plants in rural areas. Greater aeroallergen levels in urban areas may have contributed to the higher childhood
asthma prevalence of urban areas. These local effects provide some support for pollen quantity as a significant contributor to asthma prevalence. Weinberg confirms the urban-rural differences in childhood asthma prevalence, but has shown a much narrower gap, suggesting an accelerated rise in aeroallergen level in rural areas associated with global increases in atmospheric CO2 concentration and temperature.

Conclusions

Seeking evidence of early effects of climate change on human health has been identified as a major challenge for scientists studying climate change and health. The detection of health effects of climate change is necessary as evidence underpinning national and international policies relating to measures to protect public health, such as the mitigation of greenhouse
gas emissions and, given that we are already committed to some climate change, strategies for adaptation.

Asthma is etiologically complex, with numerous contributing factors and interactive effects within the causal web, many of which are modified by climate. The changing global climate compounds this complexity. There is some evidence to suggest that asthma prevalence – but not severity – may have plateaued in some countries very recently. However, it is too early to determine whether this leveling off will be sustained. Either way, the hypothesis that the global rise of asthma is an early impact of anthropogenic climate change still stands. Further, this climate change hypothesis does not conflict with the hygiene hypothesis, but adds an additional possibility to the mix; each may contribute to the observed rise in asthma.

Specific hypotheses relating asthma to climate change must be developed and rigorously tested. To tease out the climate change–asthma relationships, it will be necessary to distinguish between an increase in the prevalence of asthma and an increase in the morbidity, incidence, and burden of disease, because climate change may contribute to both a rise in prevalence and increased severity. Furthermore, because of the variation in prevalence throughout the world, studies will need to address patterns at national, subnational, and local scales. Proof that recent climate change has had an adverse impact on asthma will come only from the accumulation of studies focused on this topic. For comparisons of the impact of global climate change between areas and over time, international definitions of asthma, such as that developed by the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood, should be used to establish baselines and to measure trends.
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