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Traumatic and complicated grief?
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A recent article from Duarte et al. focused on the assessment of child mental health problems related to exposure to violence. The authors also referred to the process of grief among children and to its potential to trigger abnormal reactions, or psychopathology. Relating to the topic, the article lacks consistency, what deserves to be pointed out.

The authors mentioned (1st paragraph of section "Traumatic grief: new diagnostic category") that “traumatic grief...is often confused with complicated grief”, leaving one to understand that they are both separate categories. Moreover, by considering “complicated grief” as “another newly developing diagnosis” (same sentence), the article warrants confusion to escalate.

Since the work of Lindemann, authors have variously, interchangeably referred to traumatic grief, complicated grief or pathologic grief as to mean the same concept: a pattern of abnormal, non-adaptive grieving reaction which is associated with present distress or disability. Literature fully supports that traumatic (or complicated or pathologic) grief can follow any kind of death, including the ones by a chronic long-lasting disease of a loved one. Conversely, traumatic death can have antecedent a plea of reactions among survivors, since from the most adaptive to the (probably pathologic) less adaptive ones. Such less adaptive processes of mourning are associated with some risk factors, being traumatic death one of them. Part of the alluded confusion may be attributable to that, and another part is probably due to an understandable linguistic association - the one between “traumatic death” and “traumatic grief”.

Having agreed that “traumatic death” must be disentangled from “traumatic grief”, one is expected to step forward to consider, as to say, “abnormal grief reactions” as a new diagnostic category. The question has merited debate among the professionals recruited to prepare the forthcoming DSM-V and ICD-11. But the sometimes controversial and hot discussions have much evolved since the 1999 article from Prigerson et al., cited by Duarte et al. One of the stages for such debates have been the annual meetings of the International Work Group on Death, Dying and Bereavement (held in Sao Paulo in 2007). During the last 10 to 15 years leading authors on the field have been struggling with the task of validating consensus criteria for a construct that was ultimately called Prolonged Grief Disorder (PGD). In a recent panel, expert authors have agreed that PGD is a new diagnostic category that deserves to be included in the next official diagnostic classifications. From now on, such terms as traumatic grief, complicated grief and pathologic grief are likely to belong to the history of how a
scientific matter was identified and managed – what will hopefully prevent misconceptions and inconsistencies to surface now and there, like, again, in the last paragraph of the here discussed text.

A final remark must be done regarding the cited measure to assess "traumatic grief", "Inventory of Traumatic Grief" (ITG). The ITG is not the only, either the main method to assess grieving reactions. A recent review identified 14 assessment tools that have been elaborated and used. One of the most widely used, the Texas Revised Inventory of Grief, deserves to be mentioned, as it has been recently translated, adapted and validated to Brazilian context. It may be a question of the very interest of Duarte, Bordin and colleagues to investigate which of those existing assessment tools would fit best to Brazilian grieving children.

Assisting grieving children poses additional challenges to health professionals – which are beyond the scope of a letter. It’s recognized that there are differences between the grieving process for children and the grieving process for adults. Duarte, Bordin and her colleagues represent prominent groups of researchers and clinicians on the field of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. I feel very confident that, given their interest in grief and bereavement, Brazilian grieving children will increasingly be better and better cared for, what will eventually result in prevention of a considerable burden of mental ailments among our children and adults.

References