



Prolegómenos. Derechos y Valores

ISSN: 0121-182X

derechos.valores@umng.edu.co

Universidad Militar Nueva Granada

Colombia

Franco, Javier; Medrano, Pablo
CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AND ECONOMIC POLICIES THE COLOMBIAN CASE
Prolegómenos. Derechos y Valores, vol. XIII, núm. 26, julio-diciembre, 2010, pp. 201-213
Universidad Militar Nueva Granada
Bogotá, Colombia

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=87617274012>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal
Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AND ECONOMIC POLICIES THE COLOMBIAN CASE*

Javier Franco**

Pablo Medrano***

Fecha de Recepción: 11 de Octubre de 2010

Fecha de Aceptación: 30 de noviembre de 2010

Artículo Resultado de Proyecto de
Investigación.

Abstract

This article shows the different positions about the debate between law and economy, especially, the competence, legitimacy and convenience of constitutional courts intervention in the making of economic policies. To do it, Firstly, we present the general context of the evolution of constitutional law, that is to say, the growing importance and power of constitutional courts in the contemporary democratic societies. Secondly, we focus in Colombian case, for that reason, (i) we explain why the Colombian case is relevant for our research, (ii) we describe the Colombian constitutional law background, (iii) we present the main effects of the Colombian Constitutional Court decisions in the Colombian economy as well as the criticism about the Constitutional Court's activity, (iv) we emphasize in the role of Colombian

Constitutional Court in defining health policy. Thirdly, we summarize the main arguments for and against the intervention of Colombian Constitutional Court in the making of economic policies in general, and the Colombian health policy in particular. Finally, we expose some final conclusions.

Key words

Constitutional law, Colombian Constitutional Court, economic policies, judicial activism.

TRIBUNALES CONSTITUCIONALES Y POLÍTICAS ECONÓMICAS CASO COLOMBIANO

Resumen

Este artículo muestra las diferentes posiciones sobre el debate entre el derecho y economía, especialmente la competencia, legitimidad y comodidad de los tribunales constitucionales, intervención en la adopción de políticas económicas. En primer lugar, presentamos el contexto general de la evolución del derecho constitucional, es decir, la importancia creciente y el poder de los tribunales constitucionales en el actual contexto de las sociedades democráticas. En segundo lugar, nos concentramos en el caso Colombiano; por esta razón, (i) nos explicamos por qué el caso colombiano es pertinente para nuestra investigación, (ii) describimos los antecedentes del derecho constitucional colombiano, (iii) presentamos los efectos principales de la Corte Constitucional Colombiana y sus decisiones en la economía Colombiana, así como las críticas sobre la actividad del Tribunal Constitucional, y (iv) hacemos hincapié en la función de la Corte Constitucional Colombiana para definir la política sanitaria. En tercer lugar, resumimos los principales argumentos en pro y contra la intervención de la Corte Constitucional Colombiana en la adopción de políticas económicas en general, y la política sanitaria en particular. Por último, exponemos algunas conclusiones finales.

* This article is a product of a research at the Law School at Universidad Libre (Bogotá), called "The Social Security in Colombia: an Analysis from Law and Economics".

** LLB from the Universidad Libre (Bogotá) and B.A in Sociology from the Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Master in Law and Economics from Universidad Externado de Colombia and Master in Comparative Law of the Université of Paris 1 (Panthéon- Sorbonne). Professor of the Law School at Universidad Libre. jfranco@gmail.com

*** Lawyer specialized in Constitutional law from Universidad Nacional de Colombia. pabloemedrano@gmail.com

Palabras clave

Derecho Constitucional, Corte Constitucional Colombiana, Políticas económicas, Activismo Judicial.

**INTRODUCTION:
HYPOTHESIS AND METHODOLOGY**

This article pretends to bring a possible answer to this question: It is advisable that Constitutional Courts interfere in the making of Economic Policy? This is a issue that many countries, especially developing countries, have been facing currently. The debate about this issue has risen in the last decades because of the new role of constitutional courts in the contemporary societies.

Our hypothesis is that there is a relationship between judicial activism and economic growth; an unlimited judicial activism can be produce economic disequilibrium as well as a complex situation of protection of human rights. It happens because judges do not consider the economic consequences of their decisions.

In our research we used a methodology that combines a compilation of background information as well as comparative method. First at all, we collected all the papers (books, magazines, newspapers) about our research problem, then, we selected the most relevant information. Secondly, we looked for representative cases into the Colombian Constitutional Court jurisprudence and selected four representative cases (Case of mortgage debtors (case of UPAC), Case of forcedly displaced population, Case of prisoners and the case of the right to health).

Thirdly, we looked at some countries that have faced the same debates about the Constitutional Courts interference in the Economic Policy Making. Finally, after this comparative law exercise, we analyzed the information and suggested an answer for our research question.

1. THE POWER OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS

Constitutional Court annulling reform package would ruin economy. It was a headline in a Turkish newspaper that represents the importance of Constitutional Courts in a contemporary society. Last month, one of the most important news in Turkey was the anxiously waiting for the Constitutional Court's ruling about a reform package proposed by Turkish government¹.

The experts had predicted that if the Constitutional Court annuls one or more articles of the package, this would cause great financial damage. For instance, the Court's decision can scare foreign investors and produce an economic crisis. That is why, businessman, investors and citizens centered their attention on the Justices of the Turkish Constitutional Court. Some Turkish could not understand why the Constitutional Court can be change the economic future of their country.

Just like Turkish, citizens of many countries have been asking the same questions about the competence, legitimacy and convenience of constitutional courts intervention in the making of economic policies. The fact is that indeed, in the last decades has developed a tendency to give more power to courts in order to control the other branches: the legislative and executive.

This tendency has been developing in concordance with other controversial tendency: the theory of social rights and their justiciability. That is why; democratic countries have been incorporating in their constitutions bill of rights that include social, economical and cultural rights (also known as second and third generation rights). To enforce this kind of rights,

¹ BAYSAL, Ercan, et al. Constitutional Court annulling reform package would ruin economy, Today's Zaman (newspaper). Available at: [http://www.todayszaman.com/tz-web/news-215148-constitutional-court-annulling-reform-package-would-ruin-economy.html\(06-08-2010](http://www.todayszaman.com/tz-web/news-215148-constitutional-court-annulling-reform-package-would-ruin-economy.html(06-08-2010)

constitutions provide to citizens several sorts of legal actions.

In this context, constitutional courts have been empowered by constitutions. What this means is that constitutional courts have more powers than before to interfere in economic, social and politics issues. This has stimulated a lot of clashes between the branches, especially between judicial and legislative branches. This situation produced a new way to see the classical principle of separation of powers. According to Cooter:

*"A conventional formula distinguishes among the legislative, executive and judicial branches of government. By convention, law should be made by the legislature, enforced by the executive, and interpreted by the courts. Reality is much more complicated than this simple formula. Each of three branches of government performs all three activities, although not to an equal extent"*².

There is a vigorously debate about the implications of the expansion on judicial power and the effects of this power, particularly in countries where the democracy has not been totally consolidated.

*"Judicial optimists draw on the experience of polities that a successful transition to democracy, such as South Africa and Germany, to argue that judicial review has an important democratic pay-off by strengthening constitutions. Judicial pessimists, on the other hand, draw on the experience of older, consolidated democracies, such as United States, to argue that empowering courts weakens citizen's attachment to constitutions and undermines the ability of legislatures to solve pressing problems"*³.

² Cooter, Robert. *The Strategic Constitution*. Princeton University Press. New Jersey. 2002. p. 173.

³ Schor, Miguel. "An Essay on the Emergence of Constitutional Courts: The Cases of Mexico and Colombia". In: *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*. Vol. 16. No. 1. 2008. Suffolk University Law School Research Paper No. 08-31. Available at: [http://ssrn.com/abstract=1134183\(03-08-2010\)](http://ssrn.com/abstract=1134183(03-08-2010)). p. 3.

In conclusion, unlike before, the Constitutional Courts have a relevant role in a democratic society. Thus, besides the traditional role of interpreting law the courts have the power to interference in the making of public policies. The constitutional courts can interference in the making of public policies by annulling laws as well as by interpreting those in a specific way in order to enforce social rights in some cases.

2. THE COLOMBIAN CASE: ENFORCING RIGHTS BY JUDICIAL ACTIVISM

In the first section of this paper, we presented the general context of the evolution of constitutional law, that is to say, the new roles of constitutional court in a democratic society. Now, in this section we will present the impact of these tendencies in the Colombian legal system. First, it is important to say why Colombian as well as countries in process to be a consolidated democracy, can be interesting object of study, then, we will to set out the Colombian constitutional law background, third, we will to present the main effects of the Colombian Constitutional Court decisions in the Colombian economy as well as the criticism about the Constitutional Court's activity, finally, we will to emphasize in the role of Colombian Constitutional Court in defining health policy

2.1 Relevance of legal debates from countries that are on the way to the consolidation of the democracy

Sometimes scholars refuse to study the experience of the developing world because there is a belief that new democracies or countries in process to be a democratic society should to copy the best practices of consolidated democracies, such as United States of America or Germany⁴. The problem of this point of view is that important theories as well as legal and political debates about the way towards a

⁴ *Ibíd.*

consolidated democracy are ignored, even by some scholars from countries in process to be a strong democratic society, who just try to imitated foreign ideas without a critical insertion process.

It is obvious that there are centers of knowledge that have a great influence in the legal theory, however, on the way from these centers to reception sites, sometimes legal theories can change, their meaning can be modified by scholars from reception sites. These transmutations of ideas should not be dismissed only because there are not totally original ideas. The relationship between “production sites” of judicial theory and “reception sites” is developed at greater length by the professor Diego López⁵.

In the Colombian case, the 1991 Constitution was the product of a great constitutional experimentation, this Constitution created a Welfare State, changed the power structure, incorporated a wide bill of rights composed of civil and political rights, as well as social, economic, cultural, and collective rights, created a new and powerful constitutional watch guard: The Colombian Constitutional Court, and last but not least, the Constitution enjoins the State to protect and fulfill the principles and rights in the bill of rights⁶ The Colombian case is thus worth studying.

2.2 Colombian Constitutional context

In this section we will expose briefly the main aspects of Colombian constitutional context, to start, we will talk about the powers of the Colombian Constitutional Court, then, we will show some possible causes of Court activism.

The 1991 Constitution created two constitutional citizen actions which determine

the Constitutional Court's forms of jurisdiction: abstract and concrete control of constitutionality. The first one is the *public action of unconstitutionality* by virtue of which any citizen can demand the Court that a law or decree be declared unconstitutional, without him or her being a lawyer or having any particular interest in the issue, as opposed to other legal systems that require either or both of these conditions.

The *tutela action* is the other constitutional action contained in the 1991 Colombian Constitution, by virtue of which any person may directly request any judge to protect his or her fundamental rights when they are being violated by a state agent or an individual which the person is subordinated, and when there is no other legal action that can be used to prevent the right violation from continuing.

As with the *public action of unconstitutionality*, citizens do not need to be a lawyer to file a *tutela action*. Judges are obliged to give priority to *tutela actions*, which are thus decided within ten days.

2.3 Judicial activism

The next point is the sources or causes of Constitutional Court activism. There is not a consensus about it; however, we propose to classify the possible sources of judicial activism in Colombia in four kinds: legal, ideological, factual and political sources, in other words: (i) constitutional competences of Colombia Constitutional Court as well as open texture of the constitution; (ii) new ideology about the judicial role; (iii) usurpation of competences by Constitutional Court and; (iv) inefficiency of the legislative and executive as well as the political parties and social movements.

The 1991 Constitution gives to Court institutional and legal elements in order to enforcement the values, principles and right contained in the

⁵ LÓPEZ, Diego. *Teoría Impura del Derecho, la transformación de la cultura jurídica latinoamericana*. Legis. Bogotá. 2008.

⁶ Article 2, COLOMBIAN CONSTITUTION.

Constitution, such a *tutela action* and the *public action of unconstitutionality*, which as we saw earlier, some academics think that these new powers can be explain the judicial activism, nevertheless, the Constitutional Court “*has interpreted these competences in a generous way*”⁷, for example, the Court interpreted that its competence to decide upon the constitutionality of a law includes not only its power to either maintain it in the legal order by declaring its constitutionality or annul it but also its power to declare it *conditionally constitutional*, by maintaining it in the legal order and offering the only constitutional interpretation the text can be given⁸.

For this way, the Court has modified some laws. It has been possible because of the open texture of the constitution allows judges to interpret the constitution in an unlimited way⁹.

On the other hand, some academics think that the source of the judicial activism is not the constitutional text. They argue that we should to look at the new particular set of ideas about the judicial role. That is why, “*activism is a function of the ideas held by judges about their institutional role*”¹⁰. The judges believe that they have the major role in a democratic society; they think that should to impulse the social change, for example, protecting social rights.

The new Constitution encourages the judges to interpret the law in a special way. When judges are applying a law in a specific case, they must remember the values and principles of the Welfare State, if the solution given by the law is

“*unfair*”, the judges can refuse to apply this law and instead, to apply directly the constitutional values, principles and fundamental right in order to solve the case “*fairly*”.

As opposed to this position, there are a group of Colombian academics who think that the source of the judicial activism is the wrong interpretation of the Constitution by the Constitutional Court. They argue that the creation of the theory about the “new law” or “anti-formalism” is just an invention that allows dangerous judicial discretion. Undoubtedly, When the Justices of the Constitutional Court modify the main sense of a law by interpretation, they are creating law, as a matter of fact, the court has not interpreted reasonably the law, the Court has ignore the law¹¹ something inadmissible in a Roman-Germany legal system where the positive law (writing into a collection codified) is the main source to decide cases.

Finally, some scholars consider that the problem is the inefficiency of the legislative and executive as well as the political parties and social movements, according to Uprimny:

“*This activism has also been stimulated by two structural political factors: the crisis in political representation and the weakness of social movements and opposition parties in Colombia. Because Colombia generalized disenchantment with politics, certain social groups have demanded solutions from constitutional jurisdiction to problems that should be debated and overcome by means of democratic process in the first place*”¹².

⁷ Ibid.

⁸ Case C-112/00.

⁹ CARRASQUILLA, Alberto. “Economía y Constitución: hacia un enfoque estratégico”. En: *Revista de Derecho Público*. No. 12. Universidad de los Andes. Bogotá. 2001. p. 22.

¹⁰ NUNES, Rodrigo. Ideational Origins of Progressive Judicial Activism: The Colombian Constitutional Court and the Right to Health. APSA 2009 Toronto Meeting Paper. 2009. Available at: [http://ssrn.com/abstract=1449536\(07-08-2010\)](http://ssrn.com/abstract=1449536(07-08-2010)). p. 3.

¹¹ TAMAYO, Javier. “La Corte Constitucional y la nueva interpretación del derecho”. En: MOLINA BÉTANCUR, Carlos Mario (Comp.). *Corte Constitucional y Economía*. Universidad de Medellín. Medellín. 2010. p. 101.

¹² UPRIMNY, Rodrigo. The Judicial Protection of Social Rights by the Colombian Constitutional Court: Cases and Debates. 2006. Available at: [http://dejusticia.org/interna.php?id_tipo_publicacion=2&id_publicacion=361\(04-07-2010\)](http://dejusticia.org/interna.php?id_tipo_publicacion=2&id_publicacion=361(04-07-2010)). p. 6.

2.4 Controversial decisions and their economic consequences

In this section we will analyze three controversial cases that generated strong criticism against the Colombian Constitutional Court because of their economic consequences. These cases are: (i) the case of mortgage debtors (case of UPAC); (ii) the case of forcibly displaced population; (iii) the case of prisoners.

In the next section of this article we will focus in another interesting case: the case of the right to health. All these decisions have implied significant budgetary costs.

2.4.1 The case of mortgage debtors

There was a financial system called UPAC (Unity of constant acquisitive power), in 1997 Colombia went into a deep recession that sent into crisis a lot of debtors, approximately 800.000 debtors who had taken loans to buy their homes with the UPAC system. In 1999, about 200.000 debtors and their families were on the verge of losing their homes.

They asked the government for a reform of the financial system, even some of them implemented civil disobedience strategies in order to refuse to continue paying their mortgages or to hand their homes over the banks. However, they never received an answer from the Congress or the President.

Finally, debtors decided to launch constitutionality actions against the norms that regulated the UPAC system, the *public action of unconstitutionality* and the *tutela action*, mentioned above. In 1999, the Constitutional Court pronounced three decisions on the matter¹³ which protected and relieved mortgage debtors.

In these decisions the Constitutional Court ordered to tying the UPAC system to inflation, forbidding the addition of owed interests to

capital debt and the recalculation of mortgages. Furthermore, the Constitutional Court, ordered to Congress to pass a new law on housing financing that allows to Colombians enjoy their fundamental rights to have a home and live with dignity.

These decisions were severely criticized by economist as well as politics and lawyers. The criticisms can be classify in two groups: first, criticisms that attack the competence of the Constitutional Court to make this kind of decisions, and second, criticisms that attack the Court decisions because of their economic consequences.

The main criticism was the violation of the principle of separation of powers. Some scholars argued that the Constitutional Court had encroached upon the powers of Congress¹⁴. These scholars think that these kinds of issues should to be debated by the Congress and Government because they are legitimated to make decisions about country's economic policy because that is what they were elected for. On the contrary, the justices of the Constitutional Court are not legitimated to interference in the making of economic policies¹⁵.

On the other hand, the Court decision was also criticized because of its economic consequences. Economist argued that the addition of owed interests to capital debt allow that people who have low ingress get a loan with low periodical payment fees, therefore, forbidding the addition of owed interests to capital debt and the recalculation of mortgages restricted the access of poor people to home loans¹⁶.

¹³ Cases: C-383/99 C-700/99 and C-747/99.

¹⁴ NÚÑEZ, Antonio José. *Manifiesto por una justicia constitucional responsable*. Legis. Bogotá. 2005. p. 32.

¹⁵ KALMANOVITZ, Salomón. *Ensayos sobre la Banca Central en Colombia*. Editorial Norma. Bogotá. 2003.

¹⁶ CLAVIJO, Sergio. "Fallos y fallas económicas de las altas cortes: El caso de Colombia 1991-2000". En: *Revista de Derecho Público*. No. 12. Universidad de los Andes. Bogotá. 2001. p. 43-49.

2.4.2 The case of forcedly displaced population

The Colombian armed conflict has generated an enormous number of forcedly displaced population who are forced to leave their homes and to migrate to cities and urban centers with no sources of subsistence. This situation configures a truly humanitarian tragedy.

In 2004, many forcedly displaced persons started filing *tutela actions*, they asked to government for protection of their fundamental rights, especially the right to human dignity and housing. In this year, the Constitutional Court decided to declare the existence of an “unconstitutional state of things”; this mean that the Court declared that government has been systematically violating forcedly displaced persons rights¹⁷.

In this historic decision, the Court ordered the national authorities to reformulated and clarify the strategies in order to attend the basis needs of forcedly displaced population.

This decision generated the disapproval of many scholars who criticized the Court because of its use of the concrete control of constitutionality to give general orders to national authorities.

In fact, as we saw earlier, in Colombian legal system there are two kinds of constitutional controls: the abstract control (*public action of unconstitutionality*) and the concrete control (*tutela action*). The *public action of unconstitutionality* has erga omnes effects, that is, the judge decision is mandatory to everybody. In these cases, the Court has to decide if a law is constitutional or not.

As opposed to abstract control, the *tutela action* has an *inter partes* effects, that is, the judge only can give concrete orders to persons who are party in the judicial process. In this case, forcedly displaced persons filed *tutela actions*, (concrete

control); however, Constitutional Court gave general orders to national authorities.

2.4.3 The case of prisoners

In this case several prisoners filed *tutela actions* against the state's penitentiary authorities. The prisoner was claiming that their constitutional rights to life, dignity and health were being violated by the state as a consequence of the over-crowdedness and precarious circumstances of the prisons they were in.

As the case of forcedly displaced population the Constitutional Court declared the existence of an “unconstitutional state of things”. Consequently, the Court ordered to Ministry of Justice to build more prisons, modernize the already existing prisons and hire more security guards for them.

In this case as well as the case of forcedly displaced population and the case of mortgage debtors, the Constitutional Court conditioned the priorities and orientation of the governmental strategies in these sectors. Thus, questions about the competence, legitimacy and convenience of Court decisions have been arising.

2.5 Health policy and Constitutional Courts

The intervention by the constitutional courts in a wide range of issues, including those involving social and economic rights, such as the right to health, has generated a debate about the competence and legitimacy of the judiciary in entering areas which have for long been perceived as belonging property within the domain of the legislative and executive¹⁸.

In the case of the right to health, the debate has a particularity: the relationship between it and

¹⁷ Case T-025/04.

¹⁸ MURALIDHAR, S. *Implementation of Court orders in the area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: an overview of the experience of the Indian Judiciary*. IELRC working paper. 2002. p. 4.

the right to life. This particularity generates the inclusion of moral reasoning besides to legal, political and economic arguments in the debate, for that reason, some people who disagree with the justiciability of social and economic rights consider that in the case of the right of health can be convenient moderate degrees of justiciability.

On the other hand, some scholars consider that in the debate about the justiciability of the right to health, moral reasoning should be out of the question. To illustrate this position, we will to quote a passage of a dialogue between William Shatner and Rush Limbaugh¹⁹ that took place on a recent episode of the television talk show “Raw Nerve” in the United States, we read this passage in an article wrote by Uwe E. Reinhardt who is an economics professor at Princeton University.

“Shatner: Here’s my premise, and you agree with it or not. If you have money, you are going to get health care. If you don’t have money, it’s more difficult.

Limbaugh: If you have money you’re going to get a house on the beach. If you don’t have money, you’re going to live in a bungalow somewhere.

Shatner: Right, but we’re talking about health care.

Limbaugh: What’s the difference?

Shatner: The difference is we’re talking about health care, not a house or a bungalow.

Limbaugh: “No. No. You’re assuming that there is some morally superior aspect to health care than there is to a house

Shatner: No, I’m not moral at all. I want to keep the subject, for the moment, on the health care thing”²⁰.

Focusing in Colombian case again, we will describe in a few words the Colombian healthcare

system as well as its problems, then, we will present a landmark decision about right to health in Colombia, the Constitutional Court decision number T-760/08.

2.6 Colombian healthcare system (Law 100)

In 1993, the Colombian government makes a major healthcare reform inspired by neoliberal ideas. The Law 100 created a competitive surrogate model which used public and private insurers as surrogates to purchase health care for insured patients.

This system also established a two-tier system of benefits: (i) the contributory regime for those formally employed, and (ii) the subsidized regime that includes approximately one-half of the benefits in the contributory regime. Every regime has a list of medicines and treatment that the patients can access (in Spanish Plan Obligatorio de salud – POS).

This system has been widely criticized by patients. Inefficiency and poor quality are the main problems of the system, for that reason, patients increasingly turned to the courts to secure treatments and services²¹.

Between 1999 and 2005, the Colombian Human Rights Ombudsman Office calculates that 328.191 *tutelas* actions were presented relating to the right to health; approximately 80% of those cases were granted. In addition, between 1999 and 2005, approximately 89% of surgeries, 93% of the treatments and 84% of the procedures that were petitioned for using *tutela* action were already included in the contributory (Plan obligatorio de salud POS) or subsidized regimen (Plan obligatorio de salud del régimen subsidiado POSS).

¹⁹ Rush Limbaugh is a famous radio host in the United States, conservative political commentator, and an influential opinion leader in conservative politics and Conservatism in the United States.

²⁰ Uwe E. Reinhardt.

²¹ YAMIN, Alicia, et al. The role of courts in defining health policy: the case of the Colombian Constitutional Court. Available at: http://www.law.harvard.edu/programs/hrp/documents/Yamin_Parra_working_paper.pdf (06-08-2010). p. 2.

TUTELAS ACTIONS FILED

2006 – 2008

Year	2006		2007		2008		Total	
Right	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Health	96.229	37,6	107.238	37,8	142.957	41,5	346.424	39,2
Right to Petition	99.819	39,0	103.844	36,6	113.224	32,9	316.887	35,8
Life	86.320	33,7	91.251	32,2	88.621	25,7	266.192	30,1
Social Rights	68.033	26,6	57.594	20,3	77.268	22,4	202.895	22,9
Due Process	40.270	15,7	44.364	15,6	42.281	12,3	126.915	14,4

Source: Colombian Human Rights Ombudsman Office

These statistics show “a health system with little capacity for internal regulation, where judicial recourse has become a form of escape valve”²².

From 2006 to 2008, the number of *tutelas actions* has been increasing significantly as this chart shows:

2.7 The case of the right to health: a landmark decision

The Constitutional Colombian Court has applied the *conexity* theory to enforce social right, thus, social rights can be protected through *tutela action* when those are connected with fundamental rights, for instance, the right to health in some cases is strongly connected with the right to life, for example, when the patient's life is at risk, in this cases the right to health can be enforce by the judges.

In 2008, the Constitutional Colombian Court handed down a landmark decision²³. In this decision, the Court ordered a restructuring of the country's health care system. This decision came as the culmination of a wave of litigation to enforce the right to health, as we saw earlier.

The Court ordered to unify the benefits plans (POS and POSS), first for children and later for

adults, in the latter case progressively and taking into account financial sustainability, as well as the epidemiological profile of the population. The Court also called upon the government to adopt deliberate measures to progressively realize universal coverage by 2010.

In addition, the Constitutional Court ordered to National Commission for Health Regulation to immediately and on an annual basis comprehensively update the benefits included in the POS and POSS through a process that includes direct and effective participation of the medical community and the users of the health system.

3. COLOMBIAN CONSTITUTIONAL COURT IN THE MAKING OF ECONOMIC POLICY

In this section of the article, we will summarize the main arguments for and against the intervention of Colombian Constitutional Court in the making of economic policies.

First at all, the violation of the principle of separation of powers is the main argument against the intervention of Constitutional Court in the making of economic policies. According to this perspective, Constitutional Court does not have the competence to make decision about a country's economic model; this competence is exclusively of the Congress in coordination

²² Ibidem.

²³ Case T-760/08.

with the government²⁴. For that reason, whenever the Court interferes in economic issues, it violates the principle of separation of powers²⁵.

On the other hand, in spite of not having a democratic origin as the congress or the government, the Constitutional Court exercises an essential democratic role: the defense of the minorities rights²⁶. That is why, in a society democracy is necessary an impartial judge who protect the minorities against the power of the majorities.

However, some scholars think that this protective role can generate a macroeconomic disequilibrium that affects the economic growth, for example, the case of mortgage debtors²⁷. For that reason, the terrible economic consequences can be justify the not intervention of Constitutional Court in the making of economic policies.

According to this perspective, the economic consequences should to limit the judicial activism. Radical scholars, such as, Arango²⁸ consider that the judges must to enforce the rights, even the social and economic rights, and do not pay attention about the possible economic consequences of their decisions.

In the middle of these theories, some people argue that judicial activism is Convenient only if it respects the principle of separation of

powers and the judges pay attention about the possible economic consequences of their decisions. For example, justice Cepeda²⁹ argue that in the last years the Constitutional Court has considered the economic consequences of its decisions, for that reason, the Court has handed down conditionally sentences.

CONCLUSIONS

Democracy, economic equality and economic growth are important objectives in contemporary societies; however, sometimes it can be difficult to satisfy at the same time these objectives, especially, in developing countries.

With the constitutionalization of social rights, the judges face difficult dilemmas when they are deciding cases, especially cases of social and economic rights, such as the right to health. For one side, the judges have the pressure of the person who asks for protection to his fundamental rights, on the other side, judges have the pressure of people who are ready to accuse them to violate the principle of separation of powers and produce macroeconomic disequilibrium.

This problematic situation was described by Frank Michelman in the following way:

"By constitutionalizing social rights, the argument often has run, you force the judiciary to a hapless choice between usurpation and abdication, from which there is no escape without embarrassment or discredit. One way, it is said, lies the judicial choice to issue positive enforcement orders in a pretentious, inexperienced, probably vain but nevertheless resented attempt to reshuffle the most basic resource-management priorities of the public house-hold against prevailing political will. The other way lies the judicial choice to debase dangerously the entire currency of rights and the rule of law by openly ceding to executive and parliamentary bodies an unreviewable

²⁴ AMAYA, Carlos. "Corte Constitucional y Economía: análisis de fallos y propuesta para el caso colombiano". En: *Revista de Derecho Público*. No. 12. Universidad de los Andes. Bogotá. 2001.

²⁵ CLAVIJO, Sergio. "Fallos y fallas económicas de las altas cortes: El caso de Colombia 1991-2000". Ob. Cit.

²⁶ UPRIMNY, Rodrigo. *The Judicial Protection of Social Rights by the Colombian Constitutional Court: Cases and Debates*. Ob. Cit. p.17.

²⁷ KALMANOVITZ, Salomón. *Ensayos sobre la Banca Central en Colombia*. Ob. Cit. p. 151.

²⁸ ARANGO, Rodolfo. *Derechos, constitucionalismo y democracia*. Universidad Externado de Colombia. Bogotá. 2004. p. 180.

²⁹ CEPEDA, Manuel José. *Polémicas Constitucionales*. Legis. Bogotá. 2005.

privilege of indefinite postponement of a declared constitutional right"³⁰.

The Constitutional Courts activism is not an exclusive Colombian issue, there are a lot of countries where the debate between about the competence, legitimacy and convenience of constitutional courts intervention in the making of economic policies has took place³¹, also, there are a variety of answers for this issue and we should learn about that.

As a final conclusion, we believe that judicial activism is advisable only if it respects the principle of separation of powers and the judges pay attention about the possible economic consequences of their decisions. In economic issues the priorities change from time to time, and this may also require changes in the economic policies, if the Constitutional Court ties the hands of the policy-makers will make it difficult to adjust policies to meet changing conditions. It is obviously that the Congress and the Government have been inefficient in their constitutional roles of enforce rights, such as the right to health, however, the question is: legislative and executive inefficient justify Constitutional Court activism?

REFERENCES

ALVIAR, Helena. "¿Quién paga o debe pagar por los costos del estado social de derecho?" En: *Revista de Derecho Público*. No. 22. Universidad de los Andes. Bogotá. Febrero de 2009.

AMAYA, Carlos. "Corte Constitucional y Economía: análisis de fallos y propuesta para el caso colombiano". En: *Revista de Derecho*

Público. No. 12. Universidad de los Andes. Bogotá. 2001.

ARANGO, Rodolfo. "La jurisdicción social de la Tutela" Corte Constitucional 10 Años Balance Y Perspectivas. En: *Corte Constitucional: 10 años balances y perspectivas*. Centro editorial Universidad del Rosario. Bogotá. 2003.

_____. *Derechos, constitucionalismo y democracia*. Universidad Externado de Colombia. Bogotá. 2004.

ARBELÁEZ, Mónica. *Derecho a la salud en Colombia. El acceso a los servicios del Sistema General de Seguridad Social en Salud*. Centro de Investigación y Educación Popular – CINEP. Bogotá. 2006.

BAYSAL, Ercan, et al. *Constitutional Court annulling reform package would ruin economy, Today's Zaman (newspaper)*. Available at: <http://www.todayszaman.com/tz-web/news-215148-constitutional-court-annulling-reform-package-would-ruin-economy.html> (06-08-2010).

Carrasquilla, Alberto. "Economía y Constitución: hacía un enfoque estratégico". En: *Revista de Derecho Público*. No. 12. Universidad de los Andes. Bogotá. 2001.

CEPEDA, Manuel José. *Polémicas Constitucionales*. Legis. Bogotá. 2005.

CLAVIJO, Sergio. "Fallos y fallas económicas de las altas cortes: El caso de Colombia 1991-2000". En: *Revista de Derecho Público*. No. 12. Universidad de los Andes. Bogotá. 2001.

COLOMBIAN CONSTITUTION 1991.

COLON-RIOS, Joel I. *Carl Schmitt and Constituent Power in Latin American Courts: The Cases of Venezuela and Colombia*. 2007. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1621930> (05/08/2010)

CONSTITUTIONAL COURT. Case C-112/00.

_____. Case C-747/99.

³⁰ MICHELMAN, Frank. Socioeconomic rights in constitutional law: explaining America away. Available at: http://lapa.princeton.edu/uploads/michelman_paper.pdf (04-08-2010). p. 22.

³¹ Mubangizi, John. "The Constitutional Protection of Socio-Economic Rights in selected African countries: a comparative evaluation". In: *African Journal of Legal Studies*. The African Law Institute. 2006.

- _____. Case C-700/99.
- _____. Case: C-383/99.
- _____. Case T-760/08.
- _____. Case T-025/04.
- COOTER, Robert. *The Strategic Constitution*. Princeton University Press. New Jersey. 2002.
- CRAWFORD, Colin. *Social and Cultural Protection and Environmental Justice: Lessons of the Colombian Model*. December 3, 2008. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1517936> (30/07/2010).
- Eslava, Luis. "Constitutionalization of Rights in Colombia: Establishing a Ground for Meaningful Comparisons". En: *Revista Derecho del Estado*. Vol. 22. 2009.
- FALEIROS – GUERRA – SILVA. *Risk or Benefit? Expenditures with Medicines Demanded by Judicial Decisions against Brazilian National Public Health System (SUS) and the Risk of Damage to Patients by Medicines Interactions iHEA 2007 6th World Congress: Explorations in Health Economics Paper*. 2007. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=993225>(04/08/2010)
- FORBATH, William. *Realizing a Constitutional Social Right - Cultural Transformation, Deep Institutional Reform, and the Roles of Advocacy and Adjudication*. U of Texas Law. Public Law Research Paper No. 149. 2002. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1292879>(08/08/2010)
- GUARNIZO – BOTERO – VILLEGAS. *Tutela contra sentencias*. Centro de Estudios de Derecho, Justicia y Sociedad (DE JUSTICIA). Bogotá. 2006.
- GUARNIZO, Diana, et al. *El derecho a la salud*. Centro de Estudios de Derecho, Justicia y Sociedad (DEJUSTICIA). Bogotá. 2008
- GUTIÉRREZ, Andrés. "Tendencia actual del amparo en el campo de los derechos económicos, sociales y culturales en la jurisprudencia de la Corte Constitucional". En: *Revista Derecho del Estado*. No. 24. 2010.
- JO DESHA, Lucas. "Constitutional Law and Economic Liberty". En: *Journal of Law and Economics*. Vol. 11. No. 1 (Apr. 1968). Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/724968>(02/08/2010)
- KALMANOVITZ, Salomón. *Ensayos sobre la Banca Central en Colombia*. Editorial Norma. Bogotá. 2003.
- LEWIS, Hope. *Realizing Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Communities, Courts, and the Academy*. Northeastern University School of Law Research Paper No. 03-2006. Available at: <http://www.northeastern.edu/law/library/research/databases1.paper03/2006html>(01/06/2010)
- LÓPEZ, Diego. *Teoría Impura del Derecho, la transformación de la cultura jurídica latinoamericana*. Legis. Bogotá. 2008.
- MICHELMAN, Frank. *Socioeconomic rights in constitutional law: explaining America away*. Available at: http://lapa.princeton.edu/uploads/michelman_paper.pdf(04-08-2010)
- MUBANGIZI, John. "The Constitutional Protection of Socio-Economic Rights in selected African countries: a comparative evaluation". In: *African Journal of Legal Studies*. The African Law Institute. 2006
- MURALIDHAR, S. *Implementation of Court orders in the area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: an overview of the experience of the Indian Judiciary*. IELRC working paper. 2002
- NUNES, Rodrigo. *Ideational Origins of Progressive Judicial Activism: The Colombian Constitutional Court and the Right to Health*. APSA 2009 Toronto Meeting Paper. 2009. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1449536>(07-08-2010)
- NÚÑEZ, Antonio José. *Manifiesto por una justicia constitucional responsable*. Legis. Bogotá. 2005

Rábago, Miguel. "Justicia Constitucional y Economía: Le experiencia mexicana con especial énfasis en la expropiación". En: MOLINA BETANCUR, Carlos Mario (Comp.). *Corte Constitucional y Economía*. Universidad de Medellín. Medellín. 2010.

REINHARDT, Uwe. *Is Health Care Special?* The New York Times. Available at: [http://economix.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/08/06/is-health-care-special/?hp\(06-08-2010\)](http://economix.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/08/06/is-health-care-special/?hp(06-08-2010))

REY, Fernando. "Justicia Constitucional y Economía: La experiencia española". En: MOLINA BETANCUR, Carlos Mario (Comp.). *Corte Constitucional y Economía*. Universidad de Medellín. Medellín. 2010.

RODRÍGUEZ, César, et al. *Los Derechos Sociales en Serie: Hacia un diálogo entre derechos y políticas públicas*. Centro de Estudios de Derecho, Justicia y Sociedad (DEJUSTICIA) Bogotá. 2008.

_____. *¿Justicia para todos? Sistema judicial, derechos sociales y democracia en Colombia*. Centro de Estudios de Derecho, Justicia y Sociedad (DEJUSTICIA). Bogotá. 2006.

_____. *Cortes y Cambio Social. Cómo la Corte Constitucional transformó el desplazamiento forzado en Colombia*. Centro de Estudios de Derecho, Justicia y Sociedad (DEJUSTICIA). Bogotá. 2010.

_____. *Constitución y modelo económico en Colombia: hacia una discusión productiva entre economía y derecho*. Centro de Estudios de Derecho, Justicia y Sociedad (DEJUSTICIA). Bogotá. 2005.

ROZO, Eduardo. "Control y Jurisprudencia Constitucional en Italia: Breve comentario".

En: MOLINA BETANCUR, Carlos Mario (Comp.). *Corte Constitucional y Economía*. Universidad de Medellín. Medellín. 2010.

SCHOR, Miguel. "An Essay on the Emergence of Constitutional Courts: The Cases of Mexico and Colombia". In: *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*. Vol. 16. No. 1. 2008. Suffolk University Law School Research Paper No. 08-31. Available at: [http://ssrn.com/abstract=1134183\(03-08-2010\)](http://ssrn.com/abstract=1134183(03-08-2010))

TAMAYO, Javier. "La Corte Constitucional y la nueva interpretación del derecho". En: MOLINA BETANCUR, Carlos Mario (Comp.). *Corte Constitucional y Economía*. Universidad de Medellín. Medellín. 2010

UPRIMNY, Rodrigo. "Legitimidad y conveniencia del control constitucional de la economía". En: UPRIMNY, Rodrigo, et al. *¿Justicia para todos? Sistema judicial, derechos sociales y democracia en Colombia*. Norma. Bogotá. 2006.

_____. *The Judicial Protection of Social Rights by the Colombian Constitutional Court: Cases and Debates*. 2006. Available at: [http://dejusticia.org/interna.php?id_tipo_publicacion=2&id_publicacion=361\(04-07-2010\)](http://dejusticia.org/interna.php?id_tipo_publicacion=2&id_publicacion=361(04-07-2010))

VAN AAKEN, Anne. *How to Do Constitutional Law and Economics: A Methodological Proposal*. 2008. U. of St. Gallen Law & Economics Working Paper No. 2008-04. Available at: [http://ssrn.com/abstract=1103815\(02/08/2010\)](http://ssrn.com/abstract=1103815(02/08/2010))

YAMIN, Alicia, et al. *The role of courts in defining health policy: the case of the Colombian Constitutional Court*. Available at: http://www.law.harvard.edu/programs/hrp/documents/Yamin_Parra_working_paper.pdf (06-08-2010)

