

# Bragantia

ISSN: 0006-8705 editor@iac.sp.gov.br Instituto Agronômico de Campinas Brasil

MISSIO, ROBSON FERNANDO; TEIXEIRA CAIXETA, EVELINE; ZAMBOLIM, EUNIZE MACIEL; FERREIRA PENA, GUILHERME; RIBEIRO, ANA PAULA; ZAMBOLIM, LAÉRCIO; ALVES PEREIRA, ANTÔNIO; SUSSUMU SAKIYAMA, NEY

ASSESSMENT OF EST-SSR MARKERS FOR GENETIC ANALYSIS ON COFFEE

Bragantia, vol. 68, núm. 3, 2009, pp. 573-581

Instituto Agronômico de Campinas

Campinas, Brasil

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=90811757003



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative

# ASSESSMENT OF EST-SSR MARKERS FOR GENETIC ANALYSIS ON COFFEE (1)

ROBSON FERNANDO MISSIO (²); EVELINE TEIXEIRA CAIXETA (².3\*); EUNIZE MACIEL ZAMBOLIM (²); GUILHERME FERREIRA PENA (²); ANA PAULA RIBEIRO (²); LAÉRCIO ZAMBOLIM (²); ANTÔNIO ALVES PEREIRA (⁴); NEY SUSSUMU SAKIYAMA (²)

## **ABSTRACT**

EST-SSR markers were used to investigate the genetic diversity among and within coffee populations, to explore the possibility of their use for fingerprinting of cultivars and to assist breeding programs. Seventeen markers, developed from ESTs (Expressed Sequence Tags) from the Brazilian Coffee Genome Project, were used. All markers showed polymorphism among the genotypes assessed. The average number of allele per primer was 5.1. The highest polymorphisms were found within *C. canephora* (88.2%) and rust-resistant varieties (35.3%). About 29.4% of the markers differentiated *C. arabica* from Híbrido de Timor; it was also possible to identify those closest and farthest from *C. arabica*. The analysis of population-grouped genotypes revealed a 64.0% genetic diversity among and a 36.0% genetic diversity within populations. The differentiation index was 0.637. Six markers distinguished four rust-resistance varieties, showing their fingerprinting potential. These results demonstrate the usefulness of EST-SSR markers for cross orientation, in diversity and introgression studies, and in genetic mapping.

**Key words:** DNA markers, Coffea sp., UPGMA, AMOVA, microsatellite markers.

#### **RESUMO**

# POTENCIAL DE MARCADORES EST-SSR PARA ANÁLISE GENÉTICA EM CAFÉ

No estudo da diversidade genética entre e dentro de populações de café, foram usados marcadores EST-SSR, visando avaliar seu potencial para identificar cultivares comerciais e assistir programas de melhoramento. Os 17 marcadores utilizados foram desenvolvidos a partir das seqüências ESTs do Projeto Brasileiro do Genoma Café. Em todos os marcadores observou-se polimorfismo entre os genótipos avaliados, com um número médio de 5,1 alelos por *primer*. Os maiores polimorfismos foram constatados dentro de *C. canephora* (88,2%) e em variedades resistentes à ferrugem (35,3%). Dos marcadores analisados, 29,4% distinguiram *C. arabica* dos Híbridos de Timor (HDT), sendo possível identificar os mais próximos e os mais distantes de *C. arabica*. A análise dos genótipos agrupados por população revelou diversidade genética de 64% entre populações e 36% dentro delas, com um índice de diferenciação de 0,637. Em seis marcadores distinguiram-se quatro variedades resistentes à ferrugem, constando seu potencial para *fingerprint*. Esses resultados demonstram a utilidade dos EST-SSR para orientações dos cruzamentos e estudos de diversidade, introgressão e mapeamento genético.

Palavras-chave: Marcadores de DNA, Coffea sp., UPGMA, AMOVA, marcadores microssatélites.

<sup>(1)</sup> Receipt for publication in July 28, 2008 and accepted in April 1.°, 2009.

<sup>(</sup>²) Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Laboratório de Biotecnologia do Cafeeiro – Biocafé, BIOAGRO, 36570-000 Viçosa (MG), Brasil. E-mail: eveline.caixeta@embrapa.br (\*) Correspondent author.

<sup>(3)</sup> Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), Viçosa (MG), Brasil.

<sup>(4)</sup> Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais (EPAMIG), Viçosa (MG), Brasil.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Coffea genus comprises 103 species, of which Coffea arabica L. is commercially the most important (DAVIS et al., 2006). Genetic breeding for this species is limited, due to its narrow genetic base and susceptibility to the main diseases. Thus, the use of molecular markers for genetic diversity studies, crossings orientation and genetic mapping is particularly useful for breeders. Different types of molecular markers, such as RAPD, AFLP, RFLP and SSR, have been used in coffee genetic studies (Lashermes et al., 2001, Combes et al., 2000, Teixeira-Cabral et al., 2004, Dinz et al., 2005, Maluf et al., 2005). New classes of molecular markers have been developed as a result of genome sequencing projects, such an EST-SSR. EST-SSR are microsatellite markers (SSR) developed from ESTs (*Expressed Sequence Tags*). With the increasing of ESTs datasets, the SSR identification and primer design are done in time and cost-effective manner.

ESTs are sequenced portions of cDNA that are part of a transcribed region of the genome under certain biological conditions. Therefore, ESTs are relatively conserved region of the genome. Because EST-SSR markers are present in conserved not always sequences it is expected that they are less polymorphic compared to genomic SSRs. The main advantages of EST-SSR are their high transferability among phylogenetically related species and even genera and a probability of being associated with functional regions of the genome (PONCET et al., 2006). This marker has been used for different studies such as for evaluating the genetic diversity of grapevine (Scott et al., 2000), sugar cane (Cordeiro et al., 2003) and coffee (Aggarwal et al., 2007; Poncet et al., 2006), genetic map integration in soybean (Song et al., 2004) and genetic mapping of wheat (GAO et al., 2004), potato (Feingold et al., 2005) and cotton (Han et al., 2006).

The characterization of genetic diversity among accessions in germplasm collections is extremely important for breeding programs. Selecting and assessing genotypes in germplasm with SSR markers may optimize and facilitate breeding processes by separating closely related genotypes, thus increasing the efficiency and orientation of future crossings and genetic studies. The current study aimed to investigate the genetic diversity among and within coffee populations, to explore the possibility of using EST-SSR markers for fingerprinting of cultivars, and to estimate genetic distances between Coffea arabica and Híbrido de Timor genotypes. According to Bettencourt (1973), Híbrido de Timor was probably originated from a natural interspecific crossing between C. arabica and C. canephora. This germplasm has been used in breeding programs as a source of resistant genes against rust, anthracnose, bacteriosis and nematodes. This study will be the basis for selecting genitors to built mapping populations and to develop new cultivars in the *C. arabica* breeding program.

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

# Genetic material

Seventeen accessions were analyzed, including six *C. arabica* genotypes, five *C. canephora* genotypes, three Híbrido de Timor (*C. arabica* x *C. canephora*), and three Triploids (*C. arabica* x *C. racemosa*). These accessions belong to the 'Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV) / Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais (EPAMIG)' genetic breeding program. Six commercial varieties of rust-resistant *C. arabica* were also analyzed (Table 1).

# DNA extraction and EST-SSR primer design

DNA was extracted from young leaves, according to the protocol described by DINIZ et al. (2005). DNA quality was analyzed in agarose gel and the quantification was done in a BioRad Smart Spec spectrophotometer. The SSR markers derived from non-redundant EST of the Brazilian Coffee Genome Project. The sequence could not be available due to a confidentiality agreement signed by the institutions involved in this Project. Primers flanking the EST-SSRs were designed using Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000) according to the following parameters: primer size ranged 18 to 22 bp (optimum – 20 bp), annealing temperature between 55 and 60°C (optimum – 57°C) and amplification product length of 100-300 bp. Seventeen primer pairs were used for the genetic diversity study of the 23 coffee accessions.

# PCR amplification and electrophoresis

The PCR amplification was done in a final volume of 20 μL containing 50 ng of DNA, 0.6 units of *Taq* DNA polymerase, 1x reaction buffer, 1 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 150 mM of each dNTPs, and 0,1 mM of each primer. Amplification was done in a thermalcycler using a PCR-touchdown procedure, which consisted of denaturing at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 13 cycles of denaturing at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 67°C to 55°C for 30 s, decreasing 1°C at each cycle, and extension at 72°C for 30 s. The next 30 cycles consisted of denaturing at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 30 s followed by a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The amplified products were separated on denaturing 6% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by silver staining solution (CRESTE et al., 2001).

**Table 1.** The coffee tree genotypes used for the genetic diversity analysis

Genotypes	Species	Code		
	UFV/EPAMIG germplasm bank accessions			
UFV 2144 (Catuaí Vermelho IAC 44)	Coffea arabica	CA 1		
Típica UFV 2945	Coffea arabica	CA 3		
Arábica UFV 10832	Coffea arabica	CA 134		
Bourbon UFV 2952	Coffea arabica	CA 4		
Bourbon Amarelo UFV 535-1	Coffea arabica	CA 5		
Bourbon Amarelo UFV 10745	Coffea arabica	CA 135		
T 3751 (Robusta)	Coffea canephora	CC 6		
T 3580 (Robusta)	Coffea canephora	CC 7		
Conillon UFV 513 (Conillon)	Coffea canephora	CC 8		
Guarini UFV 514 (Robusta)	Coffea canephora	CC 9		
Apoatã IAC 2258 (Robusta)	Coffea canephora	CC 10		
Híbrido de Timor CIFC 832/2	C. arabica x C. canephora	HT 13		
Híbrido de Timor CIFC 4106	C. arabica x C. canephora	HT 14		
Híbrido de Timor CIFC 1343/269	C. arabica x C. canephora	HT 15		
UFV 557-2	Triploid (C. arabica x C. racemosa)	T 131		
UFV 557-3	Triploid (C. arabica x C. racemosa)	T 132		
UFV 557-4	Triploid (C. arabica x C. racemosa)	T 133		
	Rust-resistant varieties			
Catiguá MG2	Commercial variety (C. arabica x HT)	V 137		
IAPAR 59	Commercial variety (C. arabica x HT)	V 138		
Oeiras MG6851	Commercial variety (C. arabica x HT)	V 139		
Sacramento MG1	Commercial variety (C. arabica x HT)	V 140		
Catucai Amarelo 2SL	Commercial variety (C. arabica x Icatu vermelho)	V 141		
Obatã Amarelo IAC 4932	Commercial variety (C. arabica x HT)	V 142		

HT – Híbrido de Timor (C. arabica x C. canephora).

## Statistical analysis

For data analysis, each band was defined as a single character. The allelic data for all genotypes was scored in the form of binary matrix where 1 represented presence and 0 (zero) absence of bands. The genetic distance for all the pairwise combinations was calculated using Jaccard's similarity coefficient (Jaccard, 1908). A simplified representation of genetic distances was based on a dendrogram obtained from the Unweighted Pair Group Method based on Arithmetic Averages (UPGMA). Thousand bootstrap interations were calculated to test the robustness of the nodes, using the GENES software (Cruz, 2006).

Genotypes were also grouped into five populations: (1) *C. arabica* genotypes; (2) *C. canephora* genotypes; (3) Híbridos de Timor; (4) triploid hybrids; and (5) commercial varieties of rust-resistant *C. arabica*. The distance and genetic identity matrix were estimated according to Nei's coefficient (NEI, 1978)

using PopGene version 1.3 software (YEH and BOYLE, 1997). Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was used to investigate genetic diversity among and within populations (EXCOFFIER et al., 1992), using the GENES software (CRUZ, 2006).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The codominance feature of SSR markers was not taken into account in this genetic diversity study. There are difficulties in using the SSR marker as codominant in polyploid genome species such as *C. arabica* allotetraployd genotypes. This may be explained by limitations in establishing heterozygosis or homozygosis levels in specific locus, given the inability of SSR markers to distinguish alleles from homoeologous chromosome, as well as the possibility of null alleles in polyploids (CORDEIRO et al., 2003).

# Polymorphism among and within Coffea genus species

The 17 EST-SSR primer pairs amplified 87 alleles from 23 coffee genotypes, a mean of 5.12 alleles per primer (Table 2). In a genetic diversity study of 28 accessions of *C. arabica* and *C. canephora*, MALUF et al. (2005) used 23 SSR primers, 66 alleles amplified, with an average of 2.87 alleles per locus. Poncet et al. (2006) investigated the level of polymorphism in a set of 25 EST-SSR primers in different species of the *Coffea* genus, and found a mean of 10.5 alleles per locus. These findings demonstrate that the total number of alleles in a population depends highly on its size and genetic constitution assessed.

All of the 17 primers investigated showed polymorphism among the 23 genotypes analyzed. The highest level of polymorphism were found within the *C. canephora* genotypes (88.2%), and within the six rustresistant commercial varieties of *C. arabica* (35.3%). The lowest level of polymorphism (11.8%) was found within the *C. arabica* genotypes (Table 3). The selfing nature and narrow genetic base of *C. arabica* may explain the low polymorphism found in this species. Furthermore, EST-SSR markers originated from highly conserved genomic regions, which may present lower degree of polymorphism compared to microsatellites originating from genomic libraries (VARSHNEY et al., 2005).

All primer pairs detected genetic differences among C. arabica and C. canephora populations, and among C. canephora and other populations. This might be due mostly to C. canephora species cross-pollination, which results in high genetic variability. About 29.4% of primers differentiated C. arabica from Híbrido de Timor accessions. This finding is extremely relevant for breeding programs that use Híbrido de Timor as the main source of rust resistance. These EST-SSR markers may be used for mapping studies of segregating populations derived from crossings between C. arabica and Híbrido de Timor. EST-SSR may also be used efficiently for the saturation and integration of preexisting genetic maps (Teixeira-CABRAL et al., 2004), particularly facilitating QTL identification and marker-assisted selection (AKAFFOU et al., 2003; Coulibaly et al., 2003).

Five of the rust-resistant commercial varieties derived from crossings between *C. arabica* and Híbrido de Timor (Table 1). Many selection cycles, aimed at obtaining a higher number of homozygotic loci and maintaining cultivar genetic homogeneity, were undertaken to launch these commercial varieties. However, the primers that were investigated were polymorphic among the rust-resistant commercial varieties and the *C. arabica* (35.3%) and Híbrido de Timor (47.1%)

accessions, indicating the potential of this class of molecular markers in variety identification studies and in genetic fingerprinting.

Six EST-SSR primers (EST-SSR 007, 010, 012, 013, 023, 029) of the 17 analysed differentiated the commercial coffee varieties Catiguá MG2, IAPAR 59, Oeiras MG6851 and Sacramento MG1 (four of six varieties). No primer was able to genetically distinguished Catucai Amarelo 2SL and Obatã Amarelo 4932 from the other varieties. A minor introgression of *C. canephora* may have contributed to the polymorphism among the rust-resistant cultivars.

# Cluster analysis

The dendrogram obtained based on Jaccard's genetic similarity matrix (Figure 1), taking into account all genotypes individually, generated three groups: (I) C. arabica genotypes, the commercial rust-resistant varieties, Híbrido de Timor accessions and triploid genotypes; (II) C. canephora genotypes 'T 3751', 'T 3580', 'Apoatã IAC 2258' and 'Guarini UFV 514'; (III) C. canephora 'Conillon UFV 513'. Group I was subdivided into arbitrary three groups: (a) C. arabica genotypes, Híbrido de Timor accessions and five commercial varieties (Catucai Amarelo 2SL, Obatã Amarelo IAC 4932, Sacramento MG1, Oeiras MG6851 and IAPAR 59); (b) Triploid genotypes; and (c) the Catiguá MG2 variety. The commercial varieties remained genetically closer to *C. arabica* genotypes. The triploid individuals composed an intermediate group between tetraploid genotypes (C. arabica, Híbrido de Timor and cultivated varieties) and diploid genotypes (*C. canephora*).

C. canephora 'T 3751', 'T 3580', 'Apoatã IAC 2258' and 'Guarini UFV 514' belong to the Robusta group, and were genetically grouped closer to the commercial varieties and to the Híbrido de Timor accessions rather than to the 'Conillon UFV 513' group genotype. This may suggest that the rust-resistance genes in commercial varieties result from genomic introgressions of Robusta group genotypes. The dendrogram (Figure 1) made possible to identify the Híbrido de Timor accessions that are closer and more distant from C. arabica genotypes. This data is extremely significant for breeding programs to incorporate one or more *C. canephora* disease resistance genes, while maintaining the remaining C. arabica traits. In this case, the genetically closest Híbrido de Timor accession to *C. arabica* should be chosen, as the Híbrido de Timor 'CIFC 1343/269'. On the other hand, genetically divergent genitors are more appropriate if the priority is to increase the genetic base of the breeding program. Thus crossing the most divergent C. arabica genotypes from Híbrido de Timor, as 'CIFC 832/2' and 'CIFC 4106' (Figure 1), is recommended for obtaining new mapping populations.


Table 2. EST-SSR primers used for the genetic diversity study

RODIL         size (pb)         size (pb)         IIII         of alleles           ROD5         (CA)7         170         175-190         58         3           ROD7         (GTC)5         155         118-175         58         10           ROD7         (GTC)5         152         218-283         51         11           ROD9         (TG)7(TA)4//(GT)9         152         218-283         51         11           ROD9         (TA)7         118         118-125         58         4           ROD9         (TA)9         141         278-295         53         4           ROD9         (AT)9         108         100-127         53         5           ROD9         (AT)7         108         100-127         53         4           ROD9         (AT)9         126         170-180         48         6           ROD9         (AT)10         126         170-180         48         6           ROD9         (AT)7         184         227-253         53         4           ROD9         (TAT)9         167         185-197         52         4           ROD9         (GCG)6         101         105	Moulton	J. T. J. Y.	Expected	Observed	Ę	Number		Number
$(CA)_7$ $170$ $175 - 190$ $58$ $3$ $(GTC)_5$ $155$ $118 - 175$ $58$ $10$ $(TG)_7(TA)_4/(GT)_9$ $152$ $218 - 283$ $51$ $11$ $(CA)_7(AC)_3$ $118$ $118 - 125$ $53$ $4$ $(CA)_7$ $118$ $118 - 125$ $52$ $3$ $(AT)_1$ $108$ $100 - 127$ $53$ $3$ $(AT)_7$ $108$ $100 - 127$ $53$ $3$ $(AT)_1$ $108$ $100 - 127$ $53$ $3$ $(AT)_7$ $108$ $100 - 127$ $53$ $4$ $(AAC)_6N_9(TGA)_4$ $126$ $170 - 180$ $48$ $6$ $(AAT)_7$ $184$ $125 - 165$ $54$ $4$ $(TAT)_7$ $184$ $125 - 165$ $53$ $4$ $(TAT)_8$ $132$ $150 - 190$ $51$ $4$ $(AAT)_4$ $101$ $105 - 126$ $54$ $6$ $(GCG)_6$ $101$ $100 - 175$ $54$ $6$ $(AAT)_4$	Marker	Mour	size (pb)	size (pb)	IIII	of alleles	CDINA IIDIAITES	of reads
(GTC) <sub>5</sub> 155       118-175       58       10         (TG) <sub>7</sub> (TA) <sub>4</sub> /(GT) <sub>9</sub> 152       218-283       51       11         (CA) <sub>7</sub> (AC) <sub>3</sub> 118       118-125       53       4         (CA) <sub>9</sub> 141       278-295       53       4         (TA) <sub>15</sub> 207       200-238       58       5         (AT) <sub>7</sub> 108       100-127       53       3         (AT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170-180       48       6         (AAT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170-180       48       6         (AAT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170-180       48       6         (AAT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170-180       52       4         (TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227-253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>6</sub> 167       185-197       52       4         (TTA) <sub>6</sub> 132       150-190       51       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105-128       53       3         (GCG) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160-175       54       6         -       -       5.12       -       5.12	EST-SSR 005	(CA) <sub>7</sub>	170	175 -190	58	3	Embriogenic callus; Non embriogenic callus	2
(CA) <sub>7</sub> (TA) <sub>4</sub> //(GT) <sub>9</sub> 152       218-283       51       11         (CA) <sub>7</sub> (AC) <sub>3</sub> 118       118-125       53       4         (CA) <sub>9</sub> 141       278-295       52       3         (TA) <sub>15</sub> 207       200-238       58       5         (AT) <sub>7</sub> 108       100-127       53       3         (AT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170-180       48       6         (AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126       155-165       54       4         (AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126       155-165       54       4         (TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227-253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>5</sub> 167       185-197       52       4         (TAT) <sub>6</sub> 132       150-190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215-280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105-128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160-175       54       6         -       -       -       5.12       -	EST-SSR 007	$(GTC)_5$	155	118 -175	28	10	Water deficit stresses field plants (pool of tissue)	2
(CA) <sub>7</sub> (AC) <sub>3</sub> 118 118-125 53 4 (CA) <sub>9</sub> 141 278-295 52 3 (TA) <sub>15</sub> 207 200-238 58 5 (AT) <sub>7</sub> 108 100-127 53 3 (AT) <sub>10</sub> 259 265-300 53 6 (AT) <sub>10</sub> 169 170-180 48 6 (CAA) <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> (CTG) <sub>6</sub> 178 183-200 52 7 (AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126 155-165 54 4 (TAT) <sub>5</sub> 167 185-197 52 4 (TAT) <sub>5</sub> 132 150-190 51 4 (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201 215-280 53 3 (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101 105-128 53 3 (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160 160-175 54 6	EST-SSR 010	$(\mathrm{TG})_7(\mathrm{TA})_4//(\mathrm{GT})_9$	152	218 - 283	21	11	Flower buds; Mature leaves from plagiotropic branches; Stems infected with Xyllella spp.	4
$ (CA)_9 \qquad 141 \qquad 278-295 \qquad 52 \qquad 3 \\ (TA)_{15} \qquad 207 \qquad 200-238 \qquad 58 \qquad 5 \\ (AT)_7 \qquad 108 \qquad 100-127 \qquad 53 \qquad 3 \\ (AT)_{10} \qquad 169 \qquad 170-180 \qquad 48 \qquad 6 \\ (CAA)_5N_3(CTG)_6 \qquad 178 \qquad 183-200 \qquad 52 \qquad 7 \\ (AAG)_6N_9(TGA)_4 \qquad 126 \qquad 155-165 \qquad 54 \qquad 4 \\ (TAT)_7 \qquad 184 \qquad 227-253 \qquad 53 \qquad 4 \\ (TAT)_5 \qquad 167 \qquad 185-197 \qquad 52 \qquad 4 \\ (TAT)_6 \qquad 132 \qquad 150-190 \qquad 51 \qquad 4 \\ (AAT)_4 \qquad 201 \qquad 215-280 \qquad 52 \qquad 4 \\ (GCG)_6 \qquad 101 \qquad 105-128 \qquad 53 \qquad 3 \\ (CGC)_2(GGC)_5 \qquad 160 \qquad 160-175 \qquad 54 \qquad 6 \\ \\ - \qquad - \qquad - \qquad - \qquad 5.12 $	EST-SSR 012	(CA) <sub>7</sub> (AC) <sub>3</sub>	118	$\infty$	23	4	Stems infected with Xyllella spp.;Young leaves from orthotropic branches; Non embriogenic callus	4
$(TA)_{15}$ $207$ $200-238$ $58$ $5$ $(AT)_7$ $108$ $100-127$ $53$ $3$ $(AT)_3(AC)_4(AT)_9$ $259$ $265-300$ $53$ $6$ $(AT)_{10}$ $169$ $170-180$ $48$ $6$ $(CAA)_5N_3(CTG)_6$ $178$ $183-200$ $52$ $7$ $(AAG)_6N_9(TGA)_4$ $126$ $155-165$ $54$ $4$ $(TAT)_7$ $184$ $227-253$ $53$ $4$ $(TAT)_5$ $167$ $185-197$ $52$ $4$ $(TAT)_6$ $132$ $150-190$ $51$ $4$ $(AAT)_4$ $201$ $215-280$ $52$ $4$ $(GCG)_6$ $101$ $105-128$ $53$ $3$ $(CGC)_2(GGC)_5$ $160$ $160-175$ $54$ $6$ $                    -$ <td>EST-SSR 013</td> <td><math>(CA)_9</math></td> <td>141</td> <td>278 - 295</td> <td>52</td> <td>æ</td> <td>Plantlets and leaves treated with arquidonic acid; Suspension cells treated with BION and brassinoesteroids</td> <td>4</td>	EST-SSR 013	$(CA)_9$	141	278 - 295	52	æ	Plantlets and leaves treated with arquidonic acid; Suspension cells treated with BION and brassinoesteroids	4
(AT) <sub>7</sub> 108       100 - 127       53       3         (AT) <sub>10</sub> 259       265 - 300       53       6         (AT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170 - 180       48       6         (CAA) <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> (CTG) <sub>6</sub> 178       183 - 200       52       7         (AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126       155 - 165       54       4         (TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227 - 253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>6</sub> 167       185 - 197       52       4         (TAT) <sub>6</sub> 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160 - 175       54       6	EST-SSR 023	$(TA)_{15}$	207	200 - 238	28	ιv	Stems infected with Xyllella spp.; Embriogenic callus	2
(AT) <sub>3</sub> (AC) <sub>4</sub> (AT) <sub>9</sub> 259       265 - 300       53       6         (AT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170 - 180       48       6         (CAA) <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> (CTG) <sub>6</sub> 178       183 - 200       52       7         (AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126       155 - 165       54       4         (TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227 - 253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>6</sub> 167       185 - 197       52       4         (TAT) <sub>6</sub> 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160 - 175       54       6         -       -       -       5.12	EST-SSR 025	$(AT)_7$	108	100 - 127	53	8	Embriogenic callus; Hypocotyls treated with BION	2
(AT) <sub>10</sub> 169       170 - 180       48       6         (CAA) <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> (CTG) <sub>6</sub> 178       183 - 200       52       7         (AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126       155 - 165       54       4         (TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227 - 253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>5</sub> 167       185 - 197       52       4         (TAA) <sub>6</sub> 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160 - 175       54       6	EST-SSR 027	$(\mathrm{AT})_3(\mathrm{AC})_4(\mathrm{AT})_9$	259	265 - 300	23	9	Hypocotyls treated with BION; Embriogenic callus; Flower buds	^
(CAA) <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> (CTG) <sub>6</sub> 178       183 - 200       52       7         (AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126       155 - 165       54       4         (TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227 - 253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>5</sub> 167       185 - 197       52       4         (TTA) <sub>6</sub> 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160 - 175       54       6         -       -       -       5.12	EST-SSR 029	$(\mathrm{AT})_{10}$	169	170 - 180	48	9	Embriogenic callus with 2,4D; Primary embriogenic callus	2
(AAG) <sub>6</sub> N <sub>9</sub> (TGA) <sub>4</sub> 126       155 - 165       54       4         (TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227 - 253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>5</sub> 167       185 - 197       52       4         (TAA) <sub>6</sub> 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160 - 175       54       6         -       -       -       5.12	EST-SSR 047	$(CAA)_5N_3(CTG)_6$	178	183 - 200	52	^	Mature leaves from plagiotropic branches	2
(TAT) <sub>7</sub> 184       227 - 253       53       4         (TAT) <sub>5</sub> 167       185 - 197       52       4         (TTA) <sub>6</sub> 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160 - 175       54       6	EST-SSR 048	$({ m AAG})_6{ m N}_9({ m TGA})_4$	126	155 - 165	54	4	Mature leaves from plagiotropic branches	7
(TAT) <sub>5</sub> 167       185 - 197       52       4         (TTA) <sub>6</sub> 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160       160 - 175       54       6         -       -       5.12	EST-SSR 054	(TAT) <sub>7</sub>	184	227 - 253	23	4	Suspension cells stressed with aluminum; Suspension cells with BION	2
(TTA) $_6$ 132       150 - 190       51       4         (AAT) $_4$ 201       215 - 280       52       4         (GCG) $_6$ 101       105 - 128       53       3         (CGC) $_2$ (GGC) $_5$ 160       160 - 175       54       6         -       -       -       5.12	EST-SSR 055	$(TAT)_5$	167	185 - 197	52	4	Flower buds; Leaves infected with leaf miner and coffee leaf rust	2
(AAT) <sub>4</sub> 201 215 - 280 52 4 (GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101 105 - 128 53 3 (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160 160 - 175 54 6	EST-SSR 057	(TTA) <sub>6</sub>	132	150 - 190	21	4	Stems infected with <i>Xyllella spp.</i> ; Hypocotyls treated with BION; Leaves treated with arquidonic acid	4
(GCG) <sub>6</sub> 101 105 -128 53 3 (CGC) <sub>2</sub> (GGC) <sub>5</sub> 160 160 -175 54 6	EST-SSR 058	$(AAT)_4$	201	215 - 280	52	4	Embriogenic callus; Suspension cells treated with BION	2
$(CGC)_2(GGC)_5$ 160 160 -175 54 6	EST-SSR 069	(GCG) <sub>6</sub>	101		23	ю	Leaves infected with leaf miner and rust; Stems with Xyllella spp.; Suspension cells with NaCl; Embriogenic callus	ιν
5.12	EST-SSR 073	$(CGC)_2(GGC)_5$	160	160 - 175	54	9	Stems infected with <i>Xyllella spp.</i> Suspension cells treated with BION	8
	Mean		•			5.12	•	

<b>Table 3.</b> Percentage of	of polymorphic loci among	(above the diagonal)	and within (along	g the diagonal) populations

Populations	C. arabica	C. canephora	Híbrido de Timor	Triploids	Varieties
C. arabica	$11.8{(4.0)}^*$	100.0	29.4	47.1	35.3
C. canephora	-	88.2(3.3)	100.0	100.0	100.0
Híbrido de Timor	-	-	23.53(3.2)	58.8	47.1
Triploids	-	-	-	23.5(3.7)	52.9
Varieties	-	-	-	-	35.3(3.7)

<sup>\*</sup> Values in parenthesis are the mean number of alleles per polymorphic locus in each population.



**Figura 1.** Dendrogram obtained by the UPGMA method from genetic distances expressed as Jaccard complements, estimated among the 23 coffee tree genotypes, based on EST-SSR markers. Cofenetic correlations: 0.98; stress: 9,1%.

Analysis of genotypes grouped according to each population (Table 4 and Figure 2) revealed that the commercial varieties and the Híbrido de Timor accesions were genetically highly similar to *C. arabica* genotypes. The lowest genetic similarity values were found between the Triploid and *C. canephora* genotypes.

The genetic similarity coefficients for each population are shown in figure 3. The highest genetic similarity coefficients were found within *C. arabica* (0.94), Híbrido de Timor (0.93) and Triploid genotypes (0.91). *C. canephora* genotypes showed the lowest genetic similarity coefficient (0.54), which demonstrates the wide genetic variability of this

species. Notwithstanding the low polymorphism found within *C. arabica*, Híbrido de Timor, Triploid and commercial variety populations, the EST-SSR markers used in this study were effective for differentiating these populations.

# Genetic diversity among and within the Coffea genus


The genetic diversity study revealed that about 64% of variation was found among populations, and 36% within these populations (Table 5). SILVEIRA et al. (2003), in a genetic diversity study of C. arabica progenies using RAPD markers, found a fixation index ( $F_{ST}$ ) of 0.385, attributing the main cause of

decreased genetic diversity to the many selection cycles done on genotypes. Similarly, Anthony et al. (2001), using 29 RAPD primers to study genetic diversity among and within natural *C. arabica* 


populations collected in Ethiopia, found a  $F_{ST}$  of 0.375. In the current study, EST-SSR markers effectively detected a high rate of genetic polymorphism among the sample populations, as revealed by a  $F_{ST}$  of 0.637.

Table 4. Measures of genetic distance (below the diagonal) and genetic identity (above the diagonal)

Populations	C. arabica	C. canephora	Híbrido de Timor	Triploids	Varieties
C. arabica	0	0.689	0.944	0.893	0.957
C. canephora	0.372	0	0.689	0.599	0.710
Híbrido de Timor	0.057	0.373	0	0.842	0.930
Triploids	0.113	0.513	0.172	0	0.873
Varieties	0.044	0.343	0.072	0.136	0



**Figura 2.** Dendrogram of five populations obtained by the UPGMA method from the distance and genetic identity matrix (Nei, 1978), based on EST-SSR markers. Cofenetic correlations: 0.96; stress: 16,3%.



**Figura 3.** Mean genetic similarity coefficient within each population (vertical lines above each bar are the standard deviation values).

Table 5. Analysis of molecular variance (Amova) among and within coffee populations

Source of variation	df	Sum of squares	Variation component	% variation
Among populations	4	133.59	6.59	63.66*
Within populations	18	67.66	3.76	36.34
Total	22	201.26	10.35	-

<sup>\*</sup>FST = 0.637

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The EST-SSR markers used in this study showed a relative high degree of polymorphism, efficient to study genetic diversity in coffee trees. In addition, it was showed that it is possible to use these EST-SSRs for coffee variety identification studies. Through these SSR markers, the most distant Híbrido de Timor accessions (CIFC 832/2 and CIFC 4106) from C. arabica genotypes were identified; these may be used to increase the genetic base of breeding programs. The Híbrido de Timor 'CIFC 1343/269' was found to be closest to the arabica coffees, it may, thus, be useful for breeding programs to incorporate one of more C. canephora rust-resistance genes while maintaining other *C. arabica* traits. Our data showed that EST-SSR markers may be useful in coffee breeding programs, particularly for crossings orientation, diversity studies, genetic introgression and genetic mapping.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We wish to acknowledge the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), the Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG) and the Consórcio Brasileiro de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento do Café (CBP&D) for funding.

#### REFERENCES

AGGARWAL, R.K.; HENDRE, P.S.; VARSHNEY, R.K.; BHAT, P.R.; KRISHNAKUMAR, V.; SINGH, L. Identification, characterization and utilization of EST-derived genic microsatellite markers for genome analyses of coffee and related species. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v. 114, p.359-372, 2007.

AKAFFOU, D.S.; KY, C.L.; BARRE, P.; HAMON, S.; LOUARN, J.; NOIROT, M. Identification and mapping of a major gene (Ft1) involved in fructification time in the interspecific cross *Coffea pseudozanguebariae* x C. liberica var. Dewevrei: impact on caffeine content and seed weight. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v. 106, p.1486-1490, 2003.

ANTHONY, F.; BERTRAND, B.; QUIROS, O.; WILCHES, A.; LASHERMES, P.; BERTHAUD, J.; CHARRIER, A. Genetic diversity of wild coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) using molecular markers. **Euphytica**, v.118, p.53-65, 2001.

BETTENCOURT, A. Considerações gerais sobre o "Híbrido de Timor". Campinas: Instituto Agronômico, 1973. (Circular 31)

COMBES, M.C.; ANDRZEJEWSKI, S.; ANTHONY, F.; BERTRAND, B.; ROVELLI, P.; GRAZIOSI, G.; LASHERMES, P. Characterization of microsatellite loci in *Coffea arabica* and related coffee species. **Molecular Ecology**, v.9, p.1171-1193, 2000.

CORDEIRO, G.M.; PAN, Y.B.; HENRY, R.J. Sugarcane microsatellites for the assessment of genetic diversity in sugarcane germplasm. **Plant Science**, v.165, p.181-189, 2003.

COULIBALY, I.; LOUARN, J.; LORIEUX, M.; CHARRIER, A.; HAMON, S.; NOIROT, M. Pollen viability restoration in a *Coffea canephora* P. and *C. heterocalyx* Stoffelen backcross. QTL identification for marker-assisted selection. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v.106, p.311-316, 2003.

CRESTE, S.; TULMANN-NETO, A.; FIGUEIRA, A. Detection of simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in denaturing polyacrilamide sequencing gels by silver staining. **Plant Molecular Biological Report**, v.4, p.299-306, 2001.

CRUZ, C.D. **Genes versão 2006.4.1**: Programa GENES versão Windows. Viçosa: Editora UFV, 2006.

DAVIS, A.P.; GOVAERTS, R.; BRIDSON, D.M.; STOFFELEN, P. An annotated taxonomic conspectus of the genus *Coffea* (Rubiaceae). **Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society**, v.152, p.465-512, 2006.

DINIZ, L.E.C.; SAKIYAMA, N.S.; LASHERMES, P.; CAIXETA, E.T.; OLIVEIRA, A.C.B.; ZAMBOLIM, E.M.; LOUREIRO, M.E.; PEREIRA, A.A.; ZAMBOLIM, L. Analysis of AFLP marker associated to the *Mex-1* resistance locus in Icatu progenies. **Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology**, v.5, p.387-393, 2005.

EXCOFFIER, L.; SMOUSE, P.E.; QUATTRO, J.M. Analysis of Molecular Variance Inferred From Metric Distances Among DNA Haplotypes: Application to Human Mitochondrial DNA Restriction Data. **Genetics**, v.131, p.479-491, 1992.

FEINGOLD, S.; LLOYD, J.; NORERO, N.; BONIERBALE, M.; LORENZEN, J. Mapping and characterization of new EST-derived microsatellites for potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v.111, p.456-466, 2005.

GAO, L.F.; JING, R.L.; HUO, N.X.; LI, Y.; LI, X.P.; ZHOU, R.H.; CHANG, X.P.; TANG, J.F.; MA, Z.Y.; JIA, J.Z. One hundred and one new microsatellite loci derived from ESTs (EST-SSRs) in bread wheat. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v.108, p.1392-400, 2004.

HAN, Z.; WANG, C.; SONG, X.; GUO, W.; GOU, J.; LI, C.; CHEN, X.; ZHANG, T. Characteristics, development and mapping of *Gossypium hirsutum* derived EST-SSRs in allotetraploid cotton. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v.112, p.430-439, 2006.

JACCARD, P. Nouvelles recherches sur la distribution florale. Bulletin de la Societé **Vanddoise des Sciences Natureles**, v.44, p.223-270, 1908.

LASHERMES, P.; COMBES, M.C.; PRAKASH, N.S.; TROUSLOT, P.; LORIEUX, M.; CHARRIER, A. Genetic linkage map of *Coffea canephora*: effect of segregation distortion and analysis of recombination rate in male and female meioses. **Genome**, v.44, p.589-596, 2001.

MALUF, M.P.; SILVESTRINI, M.; RUGGIERO, L.M.C.; GUERREIRO FILHO, O.; COLOMBO, C.A. Genetic diversity of cultivated *Coffea arabica* inbred lines assessed by RAPD, AFLP and SSR marker systems. **Scientia Agricola**, v.62, p.366-373, 2005.

NEI, M. Estimation of average hetrozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. **Genetics**, v.89, p.583-590, 1978.

PONCET, V.; RONDEAU, M.; TRANCHANT, C.; CAYREL, A.; HAMON, S.; DE KOCHKO, A.; HAMON, P. SSR mining in coffee tree EST databases: potential use of EST-SSRs as markers for the *Coffea* genus. **Molecular and Genetics Genomics**, v.276, p.436-449, 2006.

ROZEN, S.; SKALETSKY, H.J. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: Krawetz S, Misener S (eds) Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology. **Humana Press**, p.365-386, 2000.

SCOTT, K.D.; EGGLER, P.; SEATON, G.; ROSSETTO, M.; ABLETT, E.M.; LEE, L.S.; HENRY, R.J. Analysis of SSRs derived from grape ESTs. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v.100, p.723-726, 2000.

SILVEIRA, S.R.; RUAS, P.M.; RUAS, C.F.; SERA, T.; CARVALHO, V.P.; COELHO, A.S.G. Assessment of genetic variability within and among coffee progenies and cultivars using RAPD markers. **Genetics and Molecular Biology**, v.26, p.329-336, 2003.

SONG, Q.J.; MAREK, L.F.; SHOEMAKER, R.C.; LARK, K.G.; CONCIBIDO, V.C.; DELANNAY, X.; SPECHT, J.E.; CREGAN, P.B. A new integrated genetic linkage map of the soybean. **Theoretical and Applied Genetics**, v.109, p.122-128, 2004.

TEIXEIRA-CABRAL, T.A.; SAKIYAMA, N.S.; ZAMBOLIM, L.; PEREIRA, A.A.; BARROS, E.G.; SILVA, D.S. Characterization of differential coffee tree hosts for *Hemileia vastatrix* Berk. et Br. with RAPD markers. **Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology**, v.4, p.68-73, 2004.

VARSHNEY, R.K.; GRANER, A.; SORRELLS, M.E. Genic microsatellite markers in plants: features and applications. **Trends in Biotechnology**, v.23, p.48-55, 2005.

VIEIRA, L.G.E; ANDRADE, A.C.; COLOMBO, C.A. et al. Brazilian coffee genome project: an EST-based genomic resource. **Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology**, v.18, p.95-108, 2006.

YEH, F.C.; BOYLE, T.J.B. Population genetic analysis of codominant and dominant markers and quantitative traits. **Belgian Journal of Botany**, v.129, p.157, 1997.