



Revista MVZ Córdoba
ISSN: 0122-0268
ISSN: 1909-0544
revistamvz@gmail.com
Universidad de Córdoba
Colombia

Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis* GP60 subtypes worldwide

Avendaño V, Catalina; Amaya M, Alejandro

Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis* GP60 subtypes worldwide

Revista MVZ Córdoba, vol. 22, no. 3, 2017

Universidad de Córdoba, Colombia

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=69353287022>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21897/rmvz.1138>



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International.

Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis* GP60 subtypes worldwide

Caracterización molecular de los subtipos de la GP60 de *Cryptosporidium parvum* y *Cryptosporidium hominis* alrededor del mundo

Catalina Avendaño V

Universidad de Ciencias Aplicadas y Ambientales U.D.C.A., Redalyc: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=69353287022>

Colombia

cavendano@udca.edu.co

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21897/rmvz.1138>

Alejandro Amaya M

Universidad de Ciencias Aplicadas y Ambientales

U.D.C.A., Colombia

cavendano@udca.edu.co

Received: 04 July 2016

Accepted: 03 April 2017

ABSTRACT:

Cryptosporidium is a zoonotic parasite very important in animal health as well as in public health. It is because this is one of the main causes of diarrhea in children, calves, lambs and other variety of youth mammals in a lot of countries. The globalization has enabled the exchange of biological material in different regions worldwide, encouraging the spread of diseases and exposure to these biological agents to different environmental conditions, inducing adaptation through genetic changes. Based in the polymorphism of the gene for GP60, this review intended to present the distribution of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis* in humans and calves worldwide. The subtype that affects cattle more frequently corresponds to IIaA15G2R; while the subtype most frequently isolated from human samples is IaA19G2.

KEYWORDS: Cryptosporidiosis, molecular epidemiology, public health.

RESUMEN:

Cryptosporidium es un parásito zoonótico muy importante en salud animal así como en salud pública. Esto se debe a que el parásito se constituye en una de las principales causas de diarrea en niños, terneros, corderos y una gran variedad de mamíferos jóvenes en una gran cantidad de países. Debido a que la globalización ha permitido el intercambio de material biológico en diferentes regiones alrededor del mundo, se ha favorecido la propagación de enfermedades y se han expuesto a los agentes biológicos a diferentes condiciones ambientales, induciendo así la adaptación a través de cambios genéticos. Con base en el polimorfismo del gen GP60, esta revisión pretende presentar la distribución de *Cryptosporidium parvum* y *Cryptosporidium hominis* en humanos y terneros alrededor del mundo. El subtipo que afecta con mayor frecuencia al ganado vacuno corresponde a IIaA15G2R1; en tanto que el subtipo aislado con mayor frecuencia a partir de las muestras humanas es IaA19G2.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Cryptosporidiosis, epidemiología molecular, salud pública.

INTRODUCTION

Cryptosporidium spp. is a ubiquitous protozoan that infects humans and a large variety of vertebrate animals around the world with significant implications for public health. The impact of this parasite on public health can be found in the high morbidity possible in children and immunocompromised people. In addition, *Cryptosporidium* spp. causes economic losses due to increases in the rates of morbidity and mortality in animals, and due to negative effects on the development of young animals. The route of infection is fecal-oral, nevertheless, the ingestion of oocysts can occur in several ways such as through person-to-person contact,

contact with household pets, farm animals or ingestion of contaminated food, drinking water or water contacted during recreation (1).

Cryptosporidiosis is a significant cause of death in calves, potentially producing economic losses for the farms of some countries, however this has still not been assessed. The most pathogenic species of *Cryptosporidium* is *Cryptosporidium parvum*, it is a result of the ability of *C. parvum* sporozoites to invade the intestinal epithelium, after excystation from the oocyst, producing shortening and destruction of the villi, reducing their absorptive capacity, and leading to negative effects on productive processes in the host, such as growth. *C. parvum* transmission is characterized by a low infectious dose. Following infection, clinical cases appear between 7 and 30 days after the birth of a calf as acute diarrhea, depression, anorexia, abdominal pain and death as a result of dehydration and cardiovascular failure (2).

Studies of the parasite at the morphological and phenotypic levels are unable to establish taxonomic differences (3); therefore epidemiological studies of the parasite, making use of molecular tools, have been carried out in the past two decades, generating information about the species, its genotypes and its subtypes (4) facilitating an understanding of its epidemiology, taxonomy and evolutionary genetics.

MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTIC

So long as the morphology of the oocysts does not permit differentiation between the species of *Cryptosporidium* spp., microscopic identification presents problems for the determination of the species that affects humans or animals and the role of these species in the disease or in its transmission. Furthermore, the majority of infections are subclinical and recognition requires more sensitive methods like the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), the current method of choice for diagnosis of the disease. Thus the identification and evaluation of the prevalence of different species of *Cryptosporidium* has been achieved using molecular tests (5). For this reason, molecular tools have become the key to identification of species (6) and are recognized as essential for the determination of the taxonomy of *Cryptosporidium*. These tools underlie the ability to understand the biology, epidemiology and relationship to health, identifying the various species of *Cryptosporidium* and their populations as genotypes that have not been recognized as distinct species (1). The advances in the techniques of molecular biology have significantly improved the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis, as well as the genetic characterization of species of *Cryptosporidium* (7).

For the categorization of species, genotypes or subtypes of *Cryptosporidium*, PCR based techniques are used, employing primers for the selective amplification of one or more genetic loci (markers) followed by an enzymatic cleavage or sequencing (7). PCR has permitted the identification and subtyping of *Cryptosporidium* spp., facilitating identification of the routes of transmission between animals and humans (8). This technique also has deepened investigations in the field of molecular epidemiology of the parasite, facilitating the phylogenetic reconstruction and evolutionary analysis of it, specifically identifying genotypes and species, and others with a wide range of possibilities (9).

In general, for the determination of species, regions of low or moderate variability have been used. Approaches to species determination have been described based on differences encountered in the sequences of the small subunit of rRNA, the gene for actin and the heat shock protein, and in the identification of subtypes of glycoprotein GP60 (4). The direct sequencing of DNA continues to be the best approach for the detection of variations or genetic polymorphisms. Included among the genes of low variability used in these studies are, for example, the gene for the small subunit of rRNA (18S rDNA), the *Cryptosporidium* oocyst wall protein (COWP) the 70KDa heat shock protein (HSP-70) or the gene for actin. Within the regions of moderate variability, the genes for β -tubulin, TRAP (C1, C2 and C4) or the intergenic regions ITS-1 and ITS-2 have been used. These genes are used as well in taxonomic studies such as diagnostics or epidemiology; however these regions uniquely identify the species and some genotypes (10). For example, the small subunit of ribosomal RNA (SSUrRNA) is used to genotype *Cryptosporidium* in human and animal tests and in tests

of water. This is due to a natural multicopy gene and the presence of semiconserved and hypervariable regions that facilitate the design of type-specific primers (11).

The analysis of the GP60 gene is frequently used in the subtyping of *Cryptosporidium* because of the heterogeneity of the sequence and its relevance to the biology of the parasite (11). Therefore, in the identification of genotypes, subtypes or lineages, highly polymorphic regions are used (6), like the GP60 gene and mini or microsatellite regions like ML1 and ML2 (12).

Making use of nested PCR, sequence analysis of the gene for GP60 has found that its sequence is similar to a microsatellite, having repeats of a serine codon (TCA, TCG or TCT) at the extreme 5' terminus of the gene (6,11), finding a high degree of polymorphism in the sequence isolates from *C. hominis*, *C. parvum*, and *C. meleagridis* permitted determination of the genotype and the subtype. A Roman numeral and small case letter identify the subtype. Both represent the genotype of *Cryptosporidium* spp. For example, Ia and Ib are subtypes of *C. hominis*, while IIa and IIb are subtypes that correspond to *C. parvum* (13). Various groups of subtypes have been identified in these two species: 7 groups of subtypes in *C. hominis* (Ia-Ig), 6 groups of subtypes in *C. meleagridis* and 11 subtypes of families in *C. parvum* (IIa-III) (4); the subtypes of the families IIa and IIc have been recognized as zoonotics (14). Within each group of subtype, various subgenotypes exist principally based on the number of trinucleotide serine repeats (4). The name of the GP60 subtypes begins with the subtype of the designated family (Ia, Ib, Id, Ie, If, etc for *C. hominis*, and IIa, IIb, IIc, IId, etc for *C. parvum*) followed by the number of repetitions of TCA (represented by the letter A), TCG (represented by the letter G) or TCT (represented by the letter T). In the subtype of the family of *C. parvum* IIa, there are a few genotypes that possess two copies of the sequence ACATCA just before the trinucleotide repeat. These genotypes are represented as "R2" (R1 represents many subtypes) (11). For example, the subtype IIaA15G2R1 is a subtype of *C. parvum* (IIa) with 15 repeats of TCA (A) 2 TCG repeats (G) and one ACATCA (13). In humans, as well as, in farms animals, specially in cattle the subtype of the most prevalent family corresponds to the family IIa, specifically IIaA15G2R1 (11).

Due to the need in epidemiology and public health to characterize the populations and subtypes within the distinct species of the genera *Cryptosporidium*, the analysis of various hypervariables loci are frequently used (MLT, multilocus typing) that increase the precision of the genotyping. In this way, a few patterns of MLT are created depending on the genotype combinations for each loci analyzed. These studies can be performed by detection of differences in length of the amplified fragments (MLFT) on agarose gels, or by sequencing (MLST), permitting the use of markers with single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (12). Satellites are characterized by allelic variability, and are used to explore the genetic structure of a population such as in the analysis of lineage and in the construction of a genetic map. Micro and minisatellites have been frequently used in work with other parasites such as *Plasmodium* spp. and *Trypanosoma* spp. With the information generated, it has been possible to increase the knowledge of, or to understand the epidemiology of the genetic structure in the population of these parasites (10). Similar markers also have been important tools in the understanding of the structure of the population of *C. parvum* (15), and have been successfully used to study the population dynamics of *Cryptosporidium*, evaluating their routes of transmission and their zoonotic potential. In a recent review it was shown that to study the existing variation between *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* using multilocus analysis, 55 markers in various combinations have been used over different platforms (16). The markers most used are 5B12, CP47, GP60, hsp70, ML1, ML2, MS5-Mallon, MS9-Mallon, MSB, MSC 6-7 and TP14 (17).

Thanks to tools that permit us to obtain biological and genetic data, some genotypes have been recognized as unique and different, taking the name and the status of species. For example, the canine genotype has begun to be called *C. canis*; the porcine genotype *C. suis*; the bovine genotype *C. bovis*; and the deer-like genotype *C. ryanae* (1). Furthermore, the specific diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis through molecular tests allows precision in the identification and characterization of species of *Cryptosporidium*, a central condition for the control of this disease and the comprehension of the complexities of its epidemiology (10).

MOLECULAR EPIDEMIOLOGY

The tools of molecular biology have not only helped to resolve the taxonomy of *Cryptosporidium*, but also have made a valuable contribution in understanding the range of hosts of different species and genotypes (18). Additionally the molecular characterization of the circulating parasites can permit the evaluation of the distribution and zoonotic potential of species and subtypes as well as their routes of transmission to humans and animals under different epidemiological situations (2).

At the start of the HIV/AIDS pandemic the reports of pathogenic opportunists focused attention on cryptosporidiosis in humans. A summary of the literature at that time found reports of 159 cases of cryptosporidiosis in immunocompetent patients and 71 cases in immunocompromised patients. In 26 cases a clear association was established between the bovine infection and humans, however the transmission from animals to humans was not confirmed in any of the 71 cases of immunodeficient patients. Additionally, the dissemination from person to person had been reported. The reports of urban transmission without evidence of zoonotic transmission provided support for the hypothesis of Casemore and Jackson, which indicated that the infection in humans was not necessarily zoonotic, leading to the recognition of two independent cycles of transmission. The molecular studies then have provided evidence that these two routes of infection for human were related through two genotypes - the "human genotype" transmitted from human to human and the "bovine genotype" transmitted from animals to humans with the bovine sources as principle reservoirs (1).

The investigations at the epidemiological level required techniques with a greater power of discrimination, that could differentiate an intraspecific level (19). The implementation of subtyping with the GP60 gene has permitted the identification of geographic and temporal differences in the transmission of *Cryptosporidium* spp., and a better appreciation of the implication of the parasite in public health (4).

In a review including databases from Elsevier, Scielo, PubMed, SpringerLink and Wiley Library, the reports related to subtyping based on GP60 of the parasite in 29 countries, 28 cities that reported 163 subtypes of *C. parvum*. Concordant with the report by Couto et al. (14) and Feng et al (20), it was found that around the world the family of *C. parvum* that appeared with the greatest frequency is IIa (41/163), followed by the family IIId (17/163), two families that have been recognized for their zoonotic implications. The subtype reported with the greatest frequency and that as well is present on every continent, with the exception of Oceania, is IIaA15G2R1 (18/28), followed by IIaA16G1R1 and IIaA18G2R1 which have been reported in 9 of 28 (Table 1, Figure1).

TABLE 1
Table 1: Families and subtypes of *C. parvum* reported in cattle and humans.

Country	Family	Subtype	Host	Reference
Germany	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(75)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
	IIa	IIaA2G1R1	Cattle	(75)
		IIaA2G1R1		
Argentina	IIa	IIaA1G1R1	Cattle	(74)
		IIaA1G1R1		
		IIaA1G1R1*		
		IIaA1G1R1		
		IIaA1G1R1		
	IIa	IIaA1G1R1	Cattle	(74)
		IIaA1G1R1*		
		IIaA1G1R1		
		IIaA1G1R1		
		IIaA1G1R1		
Australia	IIa	IIaA1G2R1	Humans	(77)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1*		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Brazil	IIa	IIaA1G2R1	Cattle	(74)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Egypt	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(76)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Spain	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle-Human Cattle	(17,30)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle-Cattle Cattle	(30)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
	IIc	IIcA1G2R1	Humans	(17)
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
United States	IIa	IIaA1G2R1	Cattle	(37)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Ethiopia	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans	(31)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
France	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(34)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Hungary	IIa	IIaA1G2R1	Cattle	(35)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
India	IIc	IIcA1G2R1*	Cattle	(36)
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
England and Wales	IIa	IIaA1G2R1	Cattle	(36)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Iran	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans & Cattle	(37)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Indonesia	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(38)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Jamaica	IIc	IIcA1G2R1	Humans	(39)
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
Japan	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(40)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Jordan	IIc	IIcA1G2R1	Humans	(41)
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
Korea	IIa	IIaA1G2R1	Humans	(42)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Lithuania	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans & Cattle	(43)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Mexico	IIa	IIaA1G2R1	Humans	(44)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Nigeria	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans	(45)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Poland	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans & Cattle	(46)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Portugal	IIc	IIcA1G2R1	Humans	(47)
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
		IIcA1G2R1		
Romania	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(48)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
South Africa	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans	(49)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Spain	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(50)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Sweden	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(51)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Switzerland	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans	(52)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Thailand	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(53)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
United States	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(54)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Vietnam	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Humans	(55)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
Zimbabwe	IIa	IIaA1G2R1*	Cattle	(56)
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		
		IIaA1G2R1		

*Subtype of *C. parvum* found in the highest frequency in the study



Figure 1. Distribution of subtypes of *C. parvum* and *C. hominis* found in the highest frequency in different parts of the world.

FIGURE1

Figure1

In 18 of 29 countries, 6 families and 67 subgenotypes of *C. hominis* were reported. With relation to this species, the most frequent found in the articles consulted were family Ib (28/89), followed by the family Ia (24/89), the subtype IbA10G2 being most frequently reported in the countries (9/18), followed by the subtype IbA9G3 (Table 2, Figure 1).

TABLE 2
Table 2: Families and subtypes of *C. hominis* reported in humans.

Country	Family	Subtype	Reference
Australia	Ia	IaA23	(27)
	Ib	IbA5G2T3 IbA9G2 IbA9G2T1 IbA10G2*	(27)
	Id	IdA15G1 IdA16 IdA25	(27)
	If	IfA11G1T1 IfA12G1	(27)
China	Ia	IaA9R3	(51)
	Ib	IbA16G2 IbA19G2 IbA20G2*	(51)
	Id	IdA21	(51)
Spain	Ia	IaA21G1R1	(12)
	Ib	IbA10G2R2	(12)
Ethiopia	Ib	IbA9G3	(33)
India	Id	IdA15G1 (Cattle)	(31)
	Ia	IaA18R3 IaA19R3 IaA21R3 IaA26R3 IaA27R3 IaA29G1T3R3	(6)
	Ib	IbA9G3	(6)
	Id	IdA14G1 IdA15G11 IdA16G1	(6)
	Ie	IeA11G3T2 IeA11G3T3*	(6)
	If	IfA13G1	(6)
Iran	Id	IdA20	(37)
	If	IfA22G1	(37)
Jamaica	Ib	IbA10G2*	(39)
	Ie	IeA12G3T3	(39)
Jordan	Ib	IbA6G3 IbA9G3 IbA10G2 IbA20G2	(41)
	Id	IdA21 IdA24*	(41)
Kuwait	Ib	IbA9G3 IbA10G2	(3)
	Id	IdA14	(3)
	Ie	IeA11G3T3	(3)
Malaysia	Ia	IaA14R1	(42)
	Ib	IbA10G2R2	(42)
	Id	IdA15R2	(42)
	Ie	IeA11G2T3R1	(42)
	If	IfA11G1R2	(42)
Mexico	Ia	IaA15R3 IaA14R3*	(43)
	Ib	IbA10G2	(43)
	Id	IdA17	(43)
	Ie	IeA11G3T3*	(43)
Nigeria	Ia	IaA14R3 IaA16R3 IaA24R3 IaA25R3 IaA23R3 IaA25R3	(44)(45)
	Ib	IbA13G3	(44)
	Ie	IeA11T3G3	(44)
Netherlands	Ib	IbA10G2*	(9)
	Id	IdA17 IdA14	(9)
	Ic	IcA5G3R2	(9)
Peru	Ia	IaA11R4 IaA12R4 IaA13R4 IaA13R7 IaA14R6 IaA15R3	(46)
	Ib	IbA10G2*	(46)
	Id	IdA10 IdA15 IdA20	(46)
	Ie	IeA11G3T3	(46)
Portugal	Ia	IaA19R3	(47)
	Ib	IbA10G2*	(47)
	Id	IdA15	(47)
	Ie	IeA11G3T3	(47)
	If	IfA14G1	(47)
Switzerland	Ib	IbA10G2	(27)
	Id	IdA15G1	(27)
United Kingdom	Ib	IbA10G2 IbA9G3 IbA12G3T3 IbA10G2	(27)

*Subtype of *C. hominis* found in the highest frequency in the study.

EVOLUTIONARY GENETICS

Cryptosporidium spp. belongs to the phylum Apicomplexa, class Sporozoa, subclass Coccidia, order Eucoccidiida, suborder Eimeriina, family Cryptosporidiidae (4).

In 2003 the complete genome of *C. parvum* as well as *C. hominis* was published in CryptoDB * demonstrating a high degree of similarity ranging between 95 y 97% . The genome of *Cryptosporidium parvum* is about 9 million base pairs in 8 chromosomes (17).

The organisms belonging to the phylum Apicomplexa, like the majority of the protists, diverged relatively early in the eukaryotic lineage and have many biological characteristics that are not shared with the principle models of eukaryotic systems (intracellular parasitism for example, or the possession of secondary plastids). Several large-scale sequencing efforts have drastically increased the number of genes known from the Apicomplexa. However, assigning biological function to many of these genes continues to be a major challenge. The generation of loss-of-function mutants is greatly facilitated by the fact that the parasites have a haploid genome over the majority of their life cycles (21).

Similar to other parasites in the phylum Apicomplexa, the life cycle of *Cryptosporidium* spp. has a sexual phase during which recombination between genetically different strains facilitated not only the evolution and appearance of subtypes but also the adaptation of *Cryptosporidium* spp. (5) and generation of genetic variation between different populations in agreement with the ecological demands and epidemiological conditions of a region (22).

The species of *C. parvum* that infect humans and some animals could undergo meiotic recombination between different lineages. This could play an important role in the evolution of virulent subtypes (5). Genetic recombination appears to be associated with the high frequency of polymorphism in the gene for GP60. For this, standardized association indices have been used, measured between alleles, which is zero in panmitic populations and with positive values in non-panmitic populations, alternating these behaviors due to the presence of different genotypes and their subsequent recombination generating impact on the genetic equilibrium (22). Markers like the actin gene, heat shock gene and the small ribosomal subunit have been used for phylogenetic investigations and the construction of the current classification (10) however the comparison has been criticized for the lack of a system of genotypic standardization (22).

Recent studies have suggested that the telomeric/subtelomeric regions are highly polymorphic and could carry putative virulence factors. When a locus shows extraordinary levels of genetic differentiation in the population, compared with other loci, it could be interpreted as evidence of positive selection (23). The identification of the grade of intraspecies variation using multilocus methods depends on three factors: the characteristics of the sampling, the types of techniques and the structure of the local population of the parasite (17). Cacciò et al (22) analyzed different geographic zones evaluating the relation between those zones and GP60 polymorphisms. This study produced no evidence consistent with geographic isolation and the presence of mutations (22). However Del Coco et al (24) found an association between subtypes and the location, possibly indicating a geographic segregation, concluding that it was necessary to do more studies to evaluate the degree of association of subtypes and pathogenicity, including the postulate that more genes may be associated with this condition, suggesting the evaluation of the relationship between different geographical situations where *Cryptosporidium* spp. is present (24).

CONCLUSION

Molecular diagnosis of the parasite allows the design of strategies to avoid contamination of the environment, human and animal populations of the studied region. This type of diagnosis can be the most successful tool in the preventive management of cryptosporidiosis.

The phylogenetic studies allow to know the distribution, zoonotic potential and the genetic variation of *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes. The variations among the different subtypes of the GP60 gene often occur as a consequence of synonymous or silent mutations in the microsatellite region, where they do not affect the coding capacity of the codon for serine. These mutations must be the end result of a purifying process of negative, positive, or neutral selection and may or may not be related to the virulence of the parasite.

Geographic area and its specific environmental conditions could affect the genetic composition of parasite, acting as an inductor agent of mutations and differentiating subtypes worldwide.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors of this paper declare no conflict of interest that may jeopardize its validity.

REFERENCES

1. Fayer R. Taxonomy and species delimitation in *Cryptosporidium*. *Exp Parasitol* 2010;124(1):90–7.
2. Tomazic ML, Maidana J, Dominguez M, Uriarte EL, Galarza R, Garro C, et al. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from calves in Argentina. *Vet Parasitol* 2013;198(3–4):382–6
3. Sulaiman I, Hira P, Zhou L, Al-ali FM, Al-shelahi FA, Shweiki HM, et al. Unique Endemicity of Cryptosporidiosis in Children in Kuwait. *Society* 2005;43(6):2805–9.
4. Plutzer J, Karanis P. Genetic polymorphism in *Cryptosporidium* species: an update. *Vet Parasitol* 2009;165(3–4):187–99.
5. Rzeżutka A, Kaupke A. Occurrence and molecular identification of *Cryptosporidium* species isolated from cattle in Poland. *Vet Parasitol* 2013;196(3–4):301–6.
6. Sharma P, Sharma A, Sehgal R, Malla N, Khurana S. International Journal of Infectious Diseases Genetic diversity of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from patients in North India. *Int J Infect Dis* 2013;17(8):e601–5
7. Jex AR, Gasser RB. Genetic richness and diversity in *Cryptosporidium hominis* and *C. parvum* reveals major knowledge gaps and a need for the application of “next generation” technologies--research review. *Biotechnol Adv* 2010;28(1):17–26.
8. Helmy YA, Krücken J, Nöckler K, Samson-himmelstjerna G Von, Zessin K. Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* in livestock animals and humans in the Ismailia province of Egypt. *Vet Parasitol* 2013;193(1–3):15–24.
9. Wielinga PR, de Vries A, van der Goot TH, Mank T, Mars MH, Kortbeek LM, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* in humans and cattle in The Netherlands. *Int J Parasitol* 2008;38(7):809–17.
10. Jex AR, Smith H, Monis P, Campbell B, Gasser R. *Cryptosporidium*--biotechnological advances in the detection, diagnosis and analysis of genetic variation. *Biotechnol Adv* 2008;26(4):304–17
11. Xiao L. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis: an update. *Exp Parasitol* 2010;124(1):80–9.
12. Navarro-i-Martinez L, Del Águila C, Bornay-Llinares FJ. *Cryptosporidium*: a genus in revision. The situation in Spain. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2011;29(2):135–43.
13. Kváč M, McEvoy J, Loudová M, Stenger B, Sak B, Květoňová D, et al. Coevolution of *Cryptosporidium tyzzeri* and the house mouse (*Mus musculus*). *Int J Parasitol* 2013;43(10):805–17.

14. Couto MCM Do, Lima MDF, Bomfim TCB Do. New *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtypes of IIa subfamily in dairy calves from Brazil. *Acta Trop* 2014;130(1-2):117-22.
15. Mallon ME, MacLeod A, Wastling JM, Smith H, Tait A. Multilocus genotyping of *Cryptosporidium parvum* Type 2: population genetics and sub-structuring. *Infect Genet Evol* 2003;3(3):207-18.
16. Chalmers RM, Katzer F. Looking for *Cryptosporidium*: the application of advances in detection and diagnosis. *Trends Parasitol* 2013;29(5):237-51.
17. Robinson G, Chalmers RM. Assessment of polymorphic genetic markers for multi-locus typing of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis*. *Exp Parasitol* 2012;132(2):200-15.
18. Thompson R, Palmer C, O'Handley R. The public health and clinical significance of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in domestic animals. *Vet J* 2008;177(1):18-25.
19. Brook E, Anthony Hart C, French NP, Christley RM. Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* subtypes in cattle in England. *Vet J* 2009;179(3):378-82.
20. Feng Y, Torres E, Li N, Wang L, Bowman D, Xiao L. Population genetic characterisation of dominant *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtype IIaA15G2R1. *Int J Parasitol* 2013;43(14):1141-7.
21. Striepen B, White MW, Li C, Guerini MN, Malik S-B, Logsdon JM, et al. Genetic complementation in apicomplexan parasites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99(9):6304-9.
22. Cacciò SM, de Waele V, Widmer G. Geographical segregation of *Cryptosporidium parvum* multilocus genotypes in Europe. *Infect Genet Evol* 2015;31(April):245-9.
23. Li N, Xiao L, Cama V, Ortega Y, Gilman RH, Guo M, et al. Genetic recombination and *Cryptosporidium hominis* virulent subtype IbA10G2. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2013 Oct;19(10):1573-82.
24. Del Coco VF, Córdoba M, Bilbao G, de Almeida Castro AP, Basualdo J a, Fayer R, et al. *Cryptosporidium parvum* GP60 subtypes in dairy cattle from Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Res Vet Sci* 2014;96(2):311-4.
25. Broglia A, Reckinger S, Cacciò SM, Nöckler K. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtypes in calves in Germany. *Vet Parasitol* 2008;154(1-2):8-13.
26. Del Coco VF, Córdoba M, Basualdo J. *Cryptosporidium* infection in calves from a rural area of Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Vet Parasitol* 2008;158(1-2):31-5.
27. O'Brien E, McInnes L, Ryan U. *Cryptosporidium* GP60 genotypes from humans and domesticated animals in Australia, North America and Europe. *Exp Parasitol* 2008;118(1):118-21.
28. Ng JSY, Pingault N, Gibbs R, Koehler A, Ryan U. Experimental Parasitology Molecular characterisation of *Cryptosporidium* outbreaks in Western and South Australia. *Exp Parasitol* 2010;125(4):325-8.
29. Amer S, Zidan S, Adamu H, Ye J, Roellig D, Xiao L, et al. Prevalence and characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle in Nile River delta provinces, Egypt. *Exp Parasitol* 2013;135(3):518-23.
30. Díaz P, Quílez J, Chalmers RM, Panadero R, López C, Sánchez-Acedo C, et al. Genotype and subtype analysis of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from calves and lambs in Galicia (NW Spain). *Parasitology* 2010;137(8):1187-93.
31. Feng Y, Ortega Y, He G, Das P, Xu M, Zhang X, et al. Wide geographic distribution of *Cryptosporidium bovis* and the deer-like genotype in bovines. *Vet Parasitol* 2007;144(1-2):1-9.
32. Xiao L, Zhou L, Santin M, Yang W, Fayer R. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtypes in calves in eastern United States. *Parasitol Res* 2007;100(4):701-6.
33. Adamu H, Petros B, Hailu A, Petry F. *Acta Tropica* Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from humans in Ethiopia. *Acta Trop* 2010;115(1-2):77-83.
34. Rieux A, Paraud C, Pors I, Chartier C. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from beef calves under one month of age over three successive years in one herd in western France. *Vet Parasitol* 2014;202(3-4):171-9.
35. Plutzer J, Karanis P. Genotype and subtype analyses of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from cattle in Hungary. *Vet Parasitol* 2007;146(3-4):357-62.

36. Chalmers RM, Ferguson C, Cacciò S, Gasser RB, Abs EL-Osta YG, Heijnen L, et al. Direct comparison of selected methods for genetic categorisation of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis* species. *Int J Parasitol* 2005;35(4):397–410.
37. Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad E, Haghighi A, Taghipour N, Keshavarz A, Mohebi SR, Zali MR, et al. Subtype analysis of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium hominis* isolates from humans and cattle in Iran. *Vet Parasitol* 2011;179(1–3):250–2.
38. Thompson HP, Dooley JSG, Kenny J, McCoy M, Lowery CJ, Moore JE, et al. Genotypes and subtypes of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in neonatal calves in Northern Ireland. *Parasitol Res* 2007;100(3):619–24.
39. Gatei W, Barrett D, Lindo JF, Eldemire-shearer D. Unique *Cryptosporidium* population in HIV-infected persons, Jamaica. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2008;14(5):841–3.
40. Ichikawa-Seki M, Aita J, Masatani T, Suzuki M, Nitta Y, Tamayose G, et al. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium parvum* from two different Japanese prefectures, Okinawa and Hokkaido. *Parasitol Int* 2014;64(2):161–6.
41. Hijjawi N, Ng J, Yang R, Atoum MFM, Ryan U. Experimental Parasitology Identification of rare and novel *Cryptosporidium* GP60 subtypes in human isolates from Jordan. *Exp Parasitol* 2010;125(2):161–4.
42. Lim YAL, Iqbal A, Surin J, Sim BLH, Jex AR, Nolan MJ, et al. Infection , Genetics and Evolution First genetic classification of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* from HIV / AIDS patients in Malaysia. “Infection, Genet Evol 2011;11(5):968–74.
43. Valenzuela O, González-Díaz M, Garibay-Escobar A, Burgara-Estrella A, Cano M, Durazo M, et al. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in children from Mexico. *PLoS One* 2014;9(4):e96128.
44. Akinbo FO, Okaka CE, Omoregie R, Dearen T, Leon ET, Xiao L. Molecular Characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp . in HIV-infected Persons in Benin City , Edo State , Nigeria. *FOOYIN J Heal Sci* 2010;2(3–4):85–9
45. Maikai B V, Umoh JU, Lawal I a, Kudi AC, Ejembi CL, Xiao L. Molecular characterizations of *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia*, and *Enterocytozoon* in humans in Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Exp Parasitol* 2012;131(4):452–6.
46. Cama VA, Bern C, Roberts J, Cabrera L, Sterling CR, Ortega Y, et al. *Cryptosporidium* Species and Subtypes and Clinical. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2008;14(10):1567–74.
47. Alves M, Xiao L, Antunes F. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* subtypes in humans and domestic and wild ruminants in Portugal. *Parasitol Res* 2006;99(3):287–92.
48. Kváč M, Hromadová N, Květoňová D, Rost M, Sak B. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in pre-weaned dairy calves in the Czech Republic: absence of *C. ryanae* and management-associated distribution of *C. andersoni*, *C. bovis* and *C. parvum* subtypes. *Vet Parasitol* 2011;177(3–4):378–82
49. Imre K, Lobo LM, Matos O, Popescu C, Genchi C, Dărăbuș G. Molecular characterisation of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from pre-weaned calves in Romania: is there an actual risk of zoonotic infections? *Vet Parasitol* 2011;181(2–4):321–4.
50. Misic Z, Abe N. Subtype analysis of *Cryptosporidium parvum* isolates from calves on farms around Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro, using the 60 kDa glycoprotein gene sequences. *Parasitology* 2007;134(Pt 3):351–8
51. Wang R, Zhang X, Zhu H, Zhang L, Feng Y, Jian F, et al. Experimental Parasitology Genetic characterizations of *Cryptosporidium* spp . and *Giardia duodenalis* in humans in Henan , China. *Exp Parasitol* 2011;127(1):42–5.