



Revista EIA
ISSN: 1794-1237
revista@eia.edu.co
Escuela de Ingeniería de Antioquia
Colombia

PROPOSAL FOR GAIT ANALYSIS USING FUSION OF INERTIAL-MAGNETIC AND OPTICAL SENSORS

Callejas Cuervo, Mauro; Vélez Guerrero, Manuel A.; Alarcón Aldana, Andrea C.

PROPOSAL FOR GAIT ANALYSIS USING FUSION OF INERTIAL-MAGNETIC AND OPTICAL SENSORS

Revista EIA, vol. 17, núm. 34, 2020

Escuela de Ingeniería de Antioquia, Colombia

Disponible en: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=149264860031>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24050/reia.v17i34.1472>

PROPOSAL FOR GAIT ANALYSIS USING FUSION OF INERTIAL- MAGNETIC AND OPTICAL SENSORS

PROPUESTA PARA EL ANÁLISIS DE LA MARCHA
MEDIANTE FUSIÓN DE SENSORES INERCIALES-
MAGNÉTICOS Y ÓPTICOS

PROPOSTA PARA A ANÁLISE DA MARCHA
ATRAVÉS DA FUSÃO DE SENSORES INERCIAL-
MAGNÉTICOS E ÓPTICOS

Mauro Callejas Cuervo mauro.callejas@uptc.edu.co
Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Colombia

Manuel A. Vélez Guerrero
Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Colombia

Andrea C. Alarcón Aldana
Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Colombia

Revista EIA, vol. 17, núm. 34, 2020

Escuela de Ingeniería de Antioquia,
Colombia

Recepción: 18 Junio 2020
Aprobación: 18 Septiembre 2020

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.24050/
reia.v17i34.1472](https://doi.org/10.24050/reia.v17i34.1472)

Redalyc: [https://www.redalyc.org/
articulo.oa?id=149264860031](https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=149264860031)

Abstract: A proposed measurement protocol for the lower limbs movement analysis during walking is presented, with the use of a measurement system based on inertial-magnetic motion processing units and an optical system. Initially, the state of the art in terms of methods and tools for the biomechanical capture of movements is shown, to finally explore the protocols used in the health sciences for the gait analysis. The measurement proposal made in this document uses robust features of inertial-magnetic and optical technology that can be used in medical diagnosis. The application of this proposal can generate tools that have a positive impact in the fields of health and medicine.

Keywords: Inertial magnetic sensor, gait analysis, human motion, depth cameras, sensor fusion.

Resumen: Se presenta una propuesta para el desarrollo de un protocolo de medición para el análisis del movimiento de las extremidades inferiores durante la marcha, con el uso de un sistema de medición basado en unidades de procesamiento de movimiento inercial-magnético y un sistema óptico. Inicialmente, se presenta el estado del arte en términos de métodos y herramientas para la captura biomecánica de movimientos, para finalmente explorar los protocolos utilizados en las ciencias de la salud para el análisis de la marcha. La propuesta de medición realizada en este documento utiliza características robustas de la tecnología inercial-magnética y óptica que puede ser usado en el diagnóstico médico. La aplicación de esta propuesta puede generar herramientas que impactan positivamente en los campos de la salud y la medicina.

Palabras clave: Sensor magnético inercial, análisis de la marcha, movimiento humano, cámaras de profundidad, fusión de sensores.

Resumo: É apresentada uma proposta para o delineamento de um protocolo de medição para a análise do movimento das extremidades inferiores durante a marcha, com a utilização de um sistema de medição baseado em unidades de processamento de movimento inercial-magnético e um sistema óptico. Inicialmente é apresentada o estado da arte em termos de métodos e ferramentas de captura biomecânica de movimentos, para finalmente explorar os protocolos utilizados nas ciências da saúde para a análise da marcha. A proposta de medição apresentada neste documento

utiliza características robustas de tecnologia inercial-magnética e óptica que podem ser utilizadas no diagnóstico médico. A aplicação desta proposta pode gerar instrumentos que têm um impacto positivo nos domínios da saúde e da medicina.

Palabras-chave: Sensor magnético inercial; análise da marcha; movimento humano; câmeras de profundidade; fusão de sensores.

1. INTRODUCTION

alth science, especially those related to movement. In many cases, these measurements are conducted by highly trained professionals through traditional techniques, but gradually, a large part

Measurements of the human body are essential to aid diagnostic processes in various areas of health science, especially those related to movement. In many cases, these measurements are conducted by highly trained professionals through traditional techniques, but gradually, a large part of them have migrated to technological systems.

Gait analysis supported by technological elements is usually carried out in specialized laboratories that contain a variety of advanced technological devices that are accessible and affordable for a small part of the population. Due to this reality, the capture and analysis of kinematic gait information is a limited and expensive process, coupled with the fact that these laboratories are not available locally in most situations.

Currently, the systems used to capture mechanical and kinematic movements in the human body are used in several diagnostic processes Shiotani. (2019), Xie, Mok. (2018). They are based on various types of technology El Maachi, et al. (2020); Sun, et al. (2019), offering a broad set of characteristics. Within these types of technology, the magnetic-inertial based systems and optical systems stand out, widely used in different environments and case studies.

In order to identify and recognize the different technologies used for gait characterization, a chronological review of these systems is carried out from 2015 to 2018, a period in which the most important advances for the interest area have been documented.

In the same way, this work uses a commercial optical system (Microsoft Kinect) together with an inertial-magnetic measurement platform (Imocap) that will be applied in the gait analysis to assist in the diagnostic processes and creating rehabilitation plans. This last inertial-magnetic capture system (Imocap) was proposed, designed and developed by the GIS Software Research Group of the Pedagogical and Technological University of Colombia Callejas-Cuervo, et al. (2017).

First, this article presents some basic concepts on the subject related to the research, describing the previous work on motion capture processes for the lower limbs of the human body and motion capture specifically in the gait cycle.

Afterward, a gait evaluation proposal by means of inertial-magnetic sensors and optical-based systems is presented, to finally achieve the discussion and conclusion derived from this investigation.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The aim is to present a review of the literature on current systems for collecting biomechanical information, based on different types of technologies such as inertial or magnetic sensors and optical recognition systems. This section is divided into subtopics that group similar works, facilitating the recognition of the main authors and their contributions.

2.1. METHOD

Some articles were selected that reported on the incorporation of technology into the motion capture for rehabilitation purposes (motion capture systems, rehabilitation motion capture systems) between the years 2017 to early 2020. Subsequently, publications that reported on the use a

Some articles were selected that reported on the incorporation of technology into the motion capture for rehabilitation purposes (motion capture systems, rehabilitation motion capture systems) between the years 2017 to early 2020. Subsequently, publications that reported on the use and/or combination of sensors and other technologies, such as video cameras, infrared cameras and other types of optical and inertial-magnetic motion capture systems, were considered.

Then, some papers that reported motion capture processes with rehabilitation purposes focused on the lower limbs of the human body were selected. Finally, the works which reported on motion capture processes for rehabilitation, specifically in the gait cycle, were preferred.

Publication search was done in IEEE Xplore Digital Library (239 publications), Scopus (232), ScienceDirect (121), EMBASE (108), PubMed (86) and EBSCO (76). This search was related to the term "Mocap", "movement/motion capture", "lower limbs" and "rehabilitation". The scope of the search was limited to 2017-2020. The information filtering process was based on a search with the same terms in the title, abstract or keywords.

From the collected documents, 192 papers were obtained. Subsequently, duplicated documents were eliminated, reducing the sample to 83 documents with full-text availability. Then, using the Mendeley reference management tool, the documents were classified according to the technological tools used in the rehabilitation process, and a complete analysis of the literature was performed, grouped by the type of technology used. In the course of this section, the most relevant contributions of the selected documents are reflected to form this scientific literature review.

2.2. MOTION CAPTURE SYSTEMS.

Technologies associated with medical diagnostics usually use motion capture systems. When the gait analysis is performed, the acquisition

of biomechanical data from the lower limbs of the human body is imperative. Biomechanical information capture systems can be of three different types: optical, inertial, or both. The main technologies used to capture movements are shown in Figure 1, as described by Cuervo, et al. (2013).

The availability of different technological tools for diagnostic assistance allows an objective evaluation of patients, and therefore, this process will not be limited to the experience of the medical team or the technical evaluation team.




Fig. 1

Motion capture technologies classification, adapted from the original figure by Callejas, et al. (2013).

2.3. MOTION CAPTURE BASED ON OPTICAL SYSTEMS.

One of the most relevant works found in the area of information gathering on biomechanical movements belongs to Dawe's team Dawe, et al. (2019). The accuracy and feasibility of using an optical system based on depth detection is tested, quantifying the movement of the hip and knee joints of older adults. The results showed that the accuracy of the depth camera varies according to the analyzed gait parameter. For example, for the knee and hip joints, the system can follow a path tendency of the joints. In this work, no comparison was made between various optical devices with a reference system of another technology.

As a complement, a specific work highlights the validity of a Kinect-based system for the clinical evaluation of the knee kinematics Bilesan, et al. (2019). In this case, the Kinect device was compared to another optical motion capture system. The results showed that it is possible to use a commercial device like Kinect for the study of this type of movement applied in robotics and biomechanics applications. In conclusion, the results shown are satisfactory, and a commercial system could be used for kinematic evaluations at a fraction of the conventional marker-based optical motion capture system.

Kim and Bae's work Baek, et al. (2018), proposes the use of advanced techniques to capture the joint movements of multiple users using only Microsoft Kinect. The results show that it is possible to capture motion

using this low-cost system, but with some limitations, such as obstruction and localization problems of human body rotations.

Specifically for gait analysis, Tuan Vo, et al. (2018) found promising results using optical depth systems (Microsoft Kinect 2) and data fusion processing with hidden Markov models. More advanced models for motion pattern recognition use activity-recognition sensors fused with simple optical systems, such as the work shown in Deligianni, et al. (2018).

2.4. MOTION CAPTURE BASED ON INERTIAL-MAGNETIC SYSTEMS.

The advent of inertial-magnetic systems has accelerated the development of several low-cost devices, revolutionizing the way motion capture is used. These systems are now available for multiple applications including gait analysis and others in the field of health and medicine LeMoyne, et al. (2018); Kim, et al. (2017). In these works, it is emphasized that universities and institutions are committed to investing in inertial-magnetic technology over optical recognition systems since their multiple advantages have been demonstrated in various applications.

Likewise, the problems associated with inertial-magnetic systems have been solved more easily using mathematical tools and digital signal processing than in optical systems, laying the groundwork for the use of this technology in motion capture systems.

A comprehensive analysis of motion capture systems based on inertial units, including six specific diagnostic areas, is presented in Iosa, et al. (2017). The results show that the gait analysis carried out with this system allows to evaluate adequately the stability of the upright gait and its spatial-temporal variables.

Conversely, the main problems are concentrated in the numerical drift that affects the integration in the estimation of parameters that are not measured directly, the deviations due to gravity acceleration, and the need for the correct alignment between the sensors and the anatomical axes. The results of this research are confirmed by academics from the University of Beijing Xu, et al. (2018), where the use of special data fusion is proposed to correct the drift of the inertial sensors.

There is also a study that relates the pathologies that directly affect the gait cycle and its evaluation with different motion capture system architectures based on electromyographic signals Bevilacqua, et al. (2018), where three characteristics of each muscle involved in gait were extracted. This method allows them to summarize, quantify and simplify the evaluation protocol.

In the study of the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China Ren, et al. (2019) different movement frequency components were evaluated to detect degenerative neuropathies exposed in the gait. The results showed that other types of diseases could be characterized by measuring the gait cycle with inertial motion capture systems.

Park's team at the University of Ulsan Park, et al. (2019) managed to control a robotic exoskeleton to assist in the recovery process for the proper gait cycle. In this study, an inertial motion capture system collected the motion data from five patients to create rehabilitation plans, validating the motion capture system for this rehabilitation application. On the other hand, the study of Wang and his team Wang, et al. (2019) compiles several works that focus their development on active monitoring systems for daily activities. It highlights a wide selection of Sensor-based human activity recognition (HAR) devices for the field of rehabilitation.

Many complementary works use inertial-magnetic technology for the detection of the movement parameters during the running cycle Azman, et al. (2018), Zago, et al. (2018). It stands out as the main quality, the speed of information collection, the efficiency in the application of various digital processing algorithms and the high precision of the sensors.

2.5. MOTION CAPTURE BASED ON INERTIAL-MAGNETIC AND OPTICAL SYSTEMS.

Researchers from the University of British Columbia in Canada Charlton, et al. (2019), developed a single shoe-embedded sensor module that can measure the foot progression angle (FPA) during walking and providing all-inclusive information for the analysis of the foot movement. As highlighted in the conclusions of the study, the shoe-embedded sensor module is a valid and reliable method of measuring FPA during over-ground walking without the need for laboratory equipment.

In addition, a comparison of motion capture technologies was performed in Wagner, et al. (2017), where the characteristics of radar-based motion capture systems were compared with optical-depth motion capture systems (augmented optics) and some wearable devices. They show that the use of enhanced optical systems is favorable, excluding radar-based systems due to the multiple constraints found.

Marxreiter and other researchers Marxreiter, et al. (2019) proposed the use of their inertial sensors for the gait parameters measurement in patients with Parkinson's disease. The team has successfully demonstrated that gait analysis based on inertial sensors achieves a clinical reliability degree, providing high-resolution biomechanical information for the gait cycle recording in patients with the pathology mentioned above, and without the need to have a specialized gait analysis laboratory.

Watanabe, et al. (2018) conducted a study in which their prototype based on inertial sensors was used for gait analysis, focusing on stride length and joint angle measurement. As in the review made by Petraglia, et al. (2019), the results showed that the angles of the lower limb joint are accurately estimated using inertial sensor-based system, exhibiting a high correlation between the joint angles in some characteristic points and the striding speed. These results suggested that systems based on inertial sensors can detect sufficient characteristics for an adequate analysis of the gait.

Additionally, in a later work carried out by Hanawa and his work team Hanawa, et al. (2019), it was demonstrated that inertial sensors are efficient to find movement estimations and reaction forces in lower limbs. It should be noted that the method based on inertial sensors is suggested as an option to estimate the junction moments of the trunk segments.

An interesting job is the one developed by Fleron, et al. (2018), where the objective was to determine the accuracy of trunk speed while walking, using an inertial motion system compared to a gold standard optical motion system. It was concluded that trunk speed extracted from inertial systems has a reasonable precision during the gait movement, but the accuracy was reduced during the transition periods.

Finally, the work of Tjhai is presented Tjhai, et al. (2018), since it makes a comparison between an optical motion capture system versus low-cost inertial sensors in the gait movement on a treadmill. The results were satisfactory for the inertial sensors, where the result shows that the average errors of pitch angles and step lengths are 3 degrees and 6 cm, respectively.

2.6. RESULTS OF THE REVIEW.

Research on human movement and gait analysis is expected to use sensor fusion technologies to obtain more accurate estimates. Digitally captured data is intended to be as close as possible to actual movements with the inclusion of complementary technologies. Furthermore, the use of portable and reliable platforms is required in different environments and locations, decentralizing the use of these systems to have a more direct reach to the population.

It is emphasized that the conduction of technological diagnostic techniques using motion capture devices based on inertial systems can reduce costs and evaluation times compared to optical technologies. The use of inertial technologies can be widely available as a diagnostic alternative that assists or replaces traditional evaluation processes that do not have the support of biomedical devices.

Finally, as can be seen in the literature review, there is no specific point of comparison between motion capture technology based on inertial sensors and motion capture with optical systems. For this reason, it is considered conducive to the development of this research, considering the movement of the gait in the lower limbs of the human body.

3. GAIT MEASUREMENT AND EVALUATION PROPOSAL

The following section describes the architecture of a biomechanical inertial-magnetic motion capture platform and an optical based system, coupled with a methodological proposal that allows to evaluate the gait cycle.

3.1. MOTION CAPTURE ARCHITECTURE PROPOSAL

The motion capture system used in this research is based on two components: an inertial-based motion capture system and an optical-based motion capture system. The first system, called Imocap Callejas-Cuervo, et al. (2017), was developed in the GIS Software Research Group (by its acronym in Spanish). Imocap uses a variety of sensors that operate with inertial technology (accelerometers and gyroscopes) together with magnetic technology (magnetometer) which, using the appropriate data processing technique, provide three-dimensional motion information performed by a patient.

In addition, the Microsoft Kinect device is also used, which is complementary to the previously described systems. This device is included since it has a set of cameras and depth sensors that allow motion detection. With adequate data fusion, the use of these two systems collects biomechanical data in simultaneous processes and provides the necessary information for a complete gait analysis. The proposed measuring architecture is shown in Figure 2.




Fig. 2

Proposed measurement architecture using inertial and optical motion capture systems. Source: The authors.

3.2. METHODOLOGICAL PROPOSAL

The gait evaluation is carried out systematically following the proposed stages, which are based on the works of various researchers Wolosker, et al. (2003); Whittle. (2007). The use of the optical system (Microsoft Kinect) stands out in stage 3 measurements since this system has a better performance in the measurement for the spatial parameters related to distances. The Imocap motion capture system (inertial-magnetic technology) will be used to capture the biomechanical movement and to extract the kinetic variables and articular amplitudes related to the lower limbs, as proposed in stage 5. The following is a detailed description of the proposed stages.

Stage 1: Identification of motor dysfunctions shown by the study subjects. It is important to make a prior medical assessment to know the patient's overall health status, and to identify the origins of their possible motor dysfunction.

Stage 1 activities:

- To typify patients with mild motor injuries in lower limbs, on which the evaluation will focus.
- To define the motor disorders and dysfunctions of the patient, as well as the possible pathological neuromotor origins.
- To register the results obtained in the patient's medical record.

Stage 2: Determination of anthropometric variables and characteristics presented by study subjects.

Stage 2 activities:

- To record the patient's age, overall height, left leg length, right leg length, left foot sole length, right foot sole length, left leg length up to the knee, right leg length up to the knee, and body weight.

Stage 3: Determination of preliminary spatial variables in the gait cycle, using the Microsoft Kinect optical system as a motion capture system. Preliminary variables of gait movement describe general cyclical behaviors, which must be recorded for a thorough evaluation

Stage 3 activities:

- To measure the overall length of the gait cycle, in centimeters.
- To measure the step length, on both the left and right side, in centimeters.
- To observe the ankle movement, specifically the plantar/dorsal flexion and foot support in the ground. Record if heel shock occurs.

Stage 4: Anthropometric location of the inertial-magnetic motion capture system. The biomechanical information capture system is accurate if it is used correctly, and its sensors are located in the correct position.

Stage 4 activities:

- To select the side of the human body where the measurements will be made.
- To place the first sensor on the dorsal side of the foot, at the height of the metatarsal bones, seeking sensor stability. The measuring Z-axis must be aligned with a perpendicular plane to the leg center axis or the gait progression axis. The X-axis will measure the foot displacement movement in the support and takeoff phases. The Y-axis will measure the movement of the foot sideways.
- To place the second sensor in the leg's tibial tuberosity, just below where the anterior surfaces of the lateral and medial tibial condyles end, with the Z-axis aligned in parallel with the sagittal plane. The X-axis will measure the angle of the leg in conjunction with Sensor 3. The Y-axis will measure the lateral displacement when the patient rests the foot on the ground.
- To place the third sensor on the leg above the knee, resting on the front of the thigh. The X-axis will measure the angle of the leg in conjunction with Sensor 2.

- To place the fourth sensor on the lower back, resting on the lumbar area, aligned with the spine. The X-axis will measure the flexion-extension movement of the back. The Y-axis will measure the lateral displacement of the hip.

Stage 5: Determination of spatial-temporal variables of the gait cycle with the Imocap (inertial-magnetic) motion capture system. The characteristic motion variables describe the complete behavior of the gait cycle.

Stage 5 activities:

- To record the gait activity for a specific time (not less than 2 minutes, not longer than 12 minutes).
- To extract the characteristic variables from the sensors.
- To find the spatial-temporal values: gait balancing speed, average gait speed and cadence.

Stage 6: Gait motion analysis. Gait motion analysis allows you to collect the information needed to generate evaluation reports and results.

Stage 6 activities:

- To provide data fusion from the motion capture system.
- To analyze the biomechanical gait motion information.
- To calculate the parameter correlation tables, given in Xie. (2018).
- To generate results and reports.

4. DISCUSSION

One of the main advantages of using motion capture systems based on inertial-magnetic technology is that the costs associated with medical diagnosis are reduced since these systems are much more affordable than those based on optical technology. In addition, measurement systems with inertial-magnetic technology are portable, require less operational infrastructure, and therefore, satisfy the demand of more population.

Some of the disadvantages of inertial-magnetic systems described by Iosa Schwartz, et al. (2008), are solved by the implementation of additional processing techniques such as Kalman filters. Other solutions can rely on effective data fusion, including Attitude and Heading Reference Systems (AHRS), that partially solve the different numerical derivations presented. Also, the use of the methodological measurement and evaluation proposals presented in this work can provide troubleshooting for sensor locations in the human body.

4. CONCLUSION

In the global context, the use of technologies for gait analysis is generally carried out by means of optical systems without the use of inertial-magnetic sensors. The proposal presented is considered to be a pioneer in the fusion of sensors to provide diagnostic assistance of gait movement. A contribution is made in the evaluation of human movement in a decentralized way, since the motion capture systems with inertial-

magnetic sensors do not require a previous technological infrastructure, their installation is simple and they are economically affordable devices.

5. REFERENCES

- Adamová, B.; Kutilek, P.; Cakrt, O.; Svoboda, Z.; Viteckova, S.; Smrcka, P. (2018). Quantifying postural stability of patients with cerebellar disorder during quiet stance using three-axis accelerometer. *Biomed. Signal Process. Control*, vol. 40. pp. 378–384.
- Azman, A.M.; Kuga, H.; Sagawa, K.; Nagai, C. (2018). Fastest Gait Parameters Estimation Precision Comparison Utilizing High-Sensitivity and Low-Sensitivity Inertial Sensor. Springer, Singapore. pp. 79–84.
- Back, S.; Kim, M. (2018). Real-Time Tracking IDs and Joints of Users. VII International Conference on Network, Communication and Computing, pp. 221-226.
- Bevilacqua, V.; Trotta, G. F.; Brunetti, A.; Caporusso, N.; Loconsole, C.; Cascarano, G. D.; Catino, F.; Cozzoli, P.; Delfine, G.; Mastronardi, A.; Di Candia, A.; Lelli, G.; Fiore, P. (2018). A comprehensive approach for physical rehabilitation assessment in multiple sclerosis patients based on gait analysis BT. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol. 590. Springer Verlag, Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, Polytechnic University of Bari, Bari, Italy. pp. 119–128.
- Bilesan, A.; Behzadipour, S.; Tsujita, T.; Komizunai, S.; Konno, A. (2019). Markerless Human Motion Tracking Using Microsoft Kinect SDK and Inverse Kinematics. 12th Asian Control Conference, ASCC 2019, vol. 12, p. 149757.
- Budzyńska, A.; Jagielski, M.; Żyliński, M.; Cybulski, G.; Niewiadomski, W. (2020). Verification of Selected Gait Parameters Derived from Inertial Sensors Using Simple Smartphone Based Optical System. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol. 1044, pp. 87-94.
- Callejas-Cuervo, M.; Gutierrez, R. M.; Hernandez, A. I. (2017). Joint amplitude MEMS based measurement platform for low cost and high accessibility telerehabilitation: Elbow case study. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.*, vol. 21, no. 3. pp. 574–581.
- Charlton, J.; Xia, H.; Shull, P.; Hunt, M. (2019). Validity and reliability of a shoe-embedded sensor module for measuring foot progression angle during over-ground walking. *Journal of Biomechanics*, vol. 89, pp. 123-127.
- Cuervo, M. C.; Olaya, A. F.; Salamanca, R. M. (2013). Biomechanical motion capture methods focused on tele-physiotherapy. 2013 Pan American Health Care Exchanges PAHCE. pp. 1–6.
- Dawe, R.; Yu, L.; Leurgans, S. E.; Truty, T.; Curran, T.; Hausdorff, J. M.; Wimmer, M. A.; Block, J. A.; Bennett, D. A.; Buchman, A. S. (2019). Expanding instrumented gait testing in the community setting: A portable, depth-sensing camera captures joint motion in older adults. *PLOS ONE*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. e0215995.
- Deligianni, F.; Wong, C.; Lo, B.; Yang, G.-Z. (2018). A fusion framework to estimate plantar ground force distributions and ankle dynamics. *Inf. Fusion*, vol. 41. pp. 255–263.

- El Maachi, I.; Bilodeau, G.; Bouachir, W. (2020). Deep 1D-Convnet for accurate Parkinson disease detection and severity prediction from gait. *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 143, p. 113075.
- Fleron, M.K.; Ubbesen, N.C.H.; Battistella, F.; Dejtari, D.L. (2018). Oliveira A.S. Accuracy between optical and inertial motion capture systems for assessing trunk speed during preferred gait and transition periods, *Sport. Biomech.* pp. 1–12.
- Hanawa, H.; *et al.* (2019). Validity of inertial measurement units in assessing segment angles and mechanical energies of elderly persons during sit-to-stand motion. 58th Annual Conference of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers of Japan (SICE).
- Iosa, M.; Picerno, P.; Paolucci, S.; Morone, G. (2017). Wearable inertial sensors for human movement analysis. *Expert Rev. Med. Devices*, vol. 4444. pp. 1–19.
- Kim, M.; Lee, D. (2017). Wearable inertial sensor based parametric calibration of lower-limb kinematics. *Sensors Actuators, A Phys.*, vol. 265. pp. 280–296.
- LeMoyné, R.; Mastroianni, T. (2018). The rise of inertial measurement units. *Smart Sensors, Measurement and Instrumentation*, vol. 27. Springer International Publishing, Department of Biological Sciences, Center for Bioengineering Innovation, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, United States. pp. 45–58.
- Marxreiter, F.; *et al.* (2019). Sensor-based gait analysis of individualized improvement during apomorphine titration in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neurology*. vol. 265, no. 11. pp. 2656-2665.
- McGrath, T.; Fineman, R.; Stirling, L. (2018). An Auto-Calibrating Knee Flexion-Extension Axis Estimator Using Principal Component Analysis with Inertial Sensors. *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 6. p. 1882.
- Park, S.; Ho, Y.; Chun, M.; Choi, J.; Moon, Y. (2019). Measurement and Analysis of Gait Pattern during Stair Walk for Improvement of Robotic Locomotion Rehabilitation System. *Applied Bionics and Biomechanics*, vol. 2019, pp. 1-12.
- Petraglia, F.; Scarcella, L.; Pedrazzi, G.; Brancato, L.; Puers, R.; Costantino, C. (2019). Inertial sensors versus standard systems in gait analysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 55, no. 2.
- Qiu, S.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, H.; Qin, K.; Li, Z.; Hu, H. (2018). Inertial/magnetic sensors based pedestrian dead reckoning by means of multi-sensor fusion. *Inf. Fusion*, vol. 39. pp. 108–119.
- Rana, S.; Dey, M.; Ghavami, M.; Dudley, S. (2019). Non-Contact Human Gait Identification Through IR-UWB Edge-Based Monitoring Sensor. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 19, no. 20, pp. 9282-9293.
- Ren, P.; Hu, S.; Han, Z.; Wang, Q.; Yao, S.; Gao, Z.; Jin, J.; Bringas, M. L.; Yao, D.; Biswal, B.; Valdes-Sosa, P. A. (2019). Movement Symmetry Assessment by Bilateral Motion Data Fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 225-236.
- Schwartz, M.H.; Rozumalski A. (2008). The gait deviation index: A new comprehensive index of gait pathology. *Gait Posture*. vol. 28, no. 3. pp. 351–357.

- Shiotani, M.; Watanabe, T.; Murakami, K.; Kuge, N. (2019). Research on detection method for abnormal gait using three-dimensional thigh motion analysis with inertial sensor. Transactions of Japanese Society for Medical and Biological Engineering, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 1-7.
- Sprager, S.; Juric, M.B. (2015). Inertial Sensor-Based Gait Recognition: A Review. Sensors., vol. 15, no. 9. pp. 22089–22127.
- Sun, C.; Wang, C.; Lai, W. (2019). Gait analysis and recognition prediction of the human skeleton based on migration learning. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, vol. 532, p. 121812.
- Tjhai, C.; Steward, J.; Lichti D. (2018). O’Keefe K. Using a mobile range-camera motion capture system to evaluate the performance of integration of multiple low-cost wearable sensors and gait kinematics for pedestrian navigation in realistic environments. 2018 IEEE/ION Position, Location and Navigation Symposium (PLANS. pp. 294–300).
- Vo, N.; Tuan, A.; Van, T.V.; Vu, N.; Hau, D.; Thang, N.D. (2018). Abnormal Gait Detection and Classification Using Depth Camera. 6th Int.Conf. Dev. Biomed. Eng. Vietnam (BME6), IFMBE Proc. pp. 1–6.
- Wagner, J.; Mazurek, P.; Miękina, A.; Morawski, R. Z.; FadnesJacobsen, F.; TherkildsenSudmann, T.; TrælandBørsheim, I.; Øvsthus, K.; Ciamulski, T. (2017). Comparison of two techniques for monitoring of human movements. Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed., vol. 111. pp. 420–431.
- Wang, Y.; Cang, S.; Yu, H. (2019). A survey on wearable sensor modality centred human activity recognition in health care. Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 137, pp. 167-190.
- Watanabe, T.; Tadano T. (2018). An Examination of Stimulation Timing Patterns for Mobile FES Cycling Under Closed-Loop Control of Low Cycling Speed. Converging Clinical and Engineering Research on Neurorehabilitation III, vol. 21, pp. 1106-1110.
- Whittle, M.W. (2007). An Introduction to Gait Analysis. 4th ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann,
- Wolosker, N.; Nakano, L.; Rosoky, R.A.; Puech-Leao, P. (2003). Evaluation of walking capacity over time in 500 patients with intermittent claudication who underwent clinical treatment. Arch. Intern. Med., vol. 163. pp. 2296–300.
- Wouda, F.J.; Giuberti, M.; Bellusci, G.; Maartens, E.; Reenalda, J.; van Beijnum, B. J. F.; Veltink, P. H. (2018). Estimation of Vertical Ground Reaction Forces and Sagittal Knee Kinematics During Running Using Three Inertial Sensors, Front. Physiol., vol. 9. p. 218.
- Xie, N.; Mok, P.Y. (2018). Investigation on human body movements and the resulting body measurement variations. AHFE 2017 International Conference on Physical Ergonomics and Human Factors, vol. 602, Springer Verlag, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong, pp. 387–399.
- Xu, C.; He, J.; Zhang, X.; Yao, C.; Tseng, P.-H. (2018). Geometrical kinematic modeling on human motion using method of multi-sensor fusion. Inf. Fusion, vol. 41. pp. 243–254.
- Zago, M.; Sforza, C.; Pacifici, I.; Cimolin, V.; Camerota, F.; Celletti, C.; Condoluci, C.; De Pandis, M. F.; Galli, M. (2018). Gait evaluation using inertial measurement units in subjects with Parkinson’s disease. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol., vol. 42, pp. 44–48.