

Psychosocial Intervention

Psychosocial Intervention

ISSN: 1132-0559

ISSN: 2173-4712

Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid

Almas, Shazia; Chacón-Fuertes, Fernando; Pérez-Muñoz, Alfonso
Direct and Indirect Effects of Transformational Leadership on
Volunteers' Intention to Remain at Non-profit Organizations
Psychosocial Intervention, vol. 29, no. 3, 2020, pp. 125-132
Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5093/pi2020a17>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=179864006001>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in [redalyc.org](https://www.redalyc.org)

 **redalyc.org**

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative



Direct and Indirect Effects of Transformational Leadership on Volunteers' Intention to Remain at Non-profit Organizations

Shazia Almas, Fernando Chacón-Fuertes, and Alfonso Pérez-Muñoz

Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Received 24 November 2018
Accepted 21 June 2020

Keywords:

Transformational leadership
Volunteers' intention to remain
Satisfaction
Organizational commitment
Role identity

ABSTRACT

Literature on leadership has provided sufficient evidence that leadership styles increase satisfaction, commitment, and retention of employees. However, there are few studies on the effects of leadership styles on volunteers. This study aims to investigate the possible influence of transformational leadership style on retention of volunteers. Our first hypothesis is that if a volunteer coordinator has a transformational leadership style, there is a higher intention to remain. Our second hypothesis is that this relationship is mediated by the variables of the three-stage model of volunteers' duration of service (satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity). To test these hypotheses, a sample of 417 volunteers (73% women), from 17 non-profit organizations, with an average age of 44 years, was surveyed. Joint results of a multiple regression analysis and path analysis supported the proposed model (CFI, GFI and NFI = .99, RMSEA < .06). Results indicate that when volunteer coordinators have a transformational style, volunteers have a higher intention to remain and that this relationship between transformational leadership style and intention to remain is partially mediated by satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity. The results seem to indicate that a useful strategy when running programs to increase the retention of volunteers is to train their coordinators in transformational leadership skills.

Los efectos directos e indirectos del liderazgo transformacional en la intención de los voluntarios de permanecer en organizaciones sin ánimo de lucro

RESUMEN

La literatura sobre liderazgo ha proporcionado suficiente evidencia de que ciertos estilos de liderazgo incrementan la satisfacción, el compromiso y la permanencia de los empleados. Sin embargo hay pocos estudios sobre el efecto de los estilos de liderazgo en los voluntarios. El presente estudio tiene como objetivo investigar la posible influencia del liderazgo transformacional en la permanencia del voluntariado. Nuestra primera hipótesis es que un estilo de liderazgo transformacional del coordinador de voluntariado incrementa la intención de permanencia. Nuestra segunda hipótesis es que esta relación está mediada por las variables del modelo de las tres fases del voluntariado (satisfacción, compromiso organizacional e identidad de rol). Para poner a prueba estas hipótesis se encuestó a una muestra de 417 voluntarios (73% mujeres), de 17 organizaciones sin fines de lucro, con una media de edad de 44 años. Los resultados conjuntos del análisis de regresión múltiple y del path análisis respaldaron el modelo propuesto (CFI, GFI y NFI = .99, RMSEA < .06). Los resultados indican que los voluntarios que tienen coordinadores de voluntariado que aplican un estilo transformacional tienen mayor intención de permanencia y que esta relación entre estilo de liderazgo transformacional e intención de permanencia está parcialmente mediada por la satisfacción, el compromiso organizacional y la identidad. Los resultados parecen indicar que una estrategia útil de la gestión de programas para aumentar la permanencia de los voluntarios es entrenar a sus coordinadores en habilidades relacionadas con el liderazgo transformacional.

According to Penner (2002, p. 448), volunteerism can be defined as long-term planned prosocial behaviors that benefit strangers and occur within an organizational setting. Volunteers

are an enormous resource for non-profit organizations operating in different fields, such as health, education, community services, churches, and sports (Vecina et al., 2013). In 2018, around 77.3

Cite this article as: Almas, S., Chacón-Fuertes, F., & Pérez-Muñoz, A. (2020). Direct and indirect effects of transformational leadership on volunteers' intention to remain at non-profit organizations. *Psychosocial Intervention*, 29(3), 125-132. <https://doi.org/10.5093/pi2020a17>

Correspondence: salmas@ucm.es (S. Almas).

ISSN:1132-0559/© 2020 Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

million Americans performed volunteer activities (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2018). In Europe, it was estimated that 20% of the population performed some type of volunteering (European Commission, 2017). Currently, many non-profit organizations are mainly able to continue operating thanks to volunteering, with volunteers representing 71.5% of their total human resources (Ruiz, 2015). However, the decline in the number of people starting to volunteer in recent years (Kennedy & Brunold, 2015; Volunteering Australia, 2016), and high drop-out rates (Chacón et al., 2007; Garner & Garner, 2011; Smith, 2017) have made the study of the factors that encourage retention of volunteers a priority issue (Huynh et al., 2014).

Volunteers' Intention to Remain and Organizational Variables

Volunteering is a planned behavior. In accordance with the Theory of Planned Behavior (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975), many studies have shown that intention to remain is the best predictor of actual retention in both employees (Biron & Boon, 2013; Harhara et al., 2015; Rahman & Nas, 2013; Wells & Peachey, 2011) and volunteers (Chacón et al., 2007; Hyde & Knowles, 2013; MacGillivray & Lynd-Stevenson, 2013; Marta et al., 2014; Reuveni & Werner, 2015; Veludo-de-Oliveira et al., 2013; White et al., 2017).

Since the 1990s, various explanatory models on volunteers' retention and intention to remain have been developed (Chacón et al., 2007; Finkelstein et al., 2005; Omoto & Snyder, 1995). Most explanatory variables included in these models are personal or individual factors. Only a few of the variables are organizational variables, such as integration into the organization (Omoto & Snyder, 1995), satisfaction with the management of the organization, and organizational commitment (Alfes et al., 2015; Chacón et al., 2007).

The lack of studies on organizational variables in the field of volunteering contrasts with the numerous studies that analyze the relationship between these types of variables and the tenure of employees in companies. As reviews of the literature have shown, organizational variables significantly influence employees' real retention and intention to remain (Yahaya & Ebrahim, 2016).

Direct Effects of Transformational Leadership on Volunteers' Intention to Remain

Leadership is one of the most influential organizational factors in employees' intention to remain, absenteeism, turnover intention, satisfaction and organizational commitment (Allen & Mueller, 2013; Elpers & Westhuis, 2008; Kelloway et al., 2012; Nesbit & Gazley, 2012). However, as with other organizational variables, there are few studies that address the relationship between volunteer coordinators' leadership style and volunteers' retention (Smith, 2017). Extant studies also focus on very specific leadership styles: ethical leadership (Benevene et al., 2018), autonomy-supportive leadership (Oostlander et al., 2014), and servant leadership (Hines, 2017; Schneider & George, 2011).

One of the most studied leadership styles in multiple fields is transformational leadership. Bass (1985) introduced a leadership theory based on Burns' (1978) concept of transformational leadership. Transformational leaders are highly inspiring and motivating for followers, helping them to meet higher performance targets. Moreover, transformational leaders serve as change agents who articulate an organization's vision, create employee awareness of problems within organizations, challenge the status quo, and inspire and motivate followers to be innovative in achieving their enormous potential (Lussier & Achua, 2012).

Much of the leadership research shows that the transformational leadership style is one of the most effective in increasing employees' intention to remain in profit-making organizations (Tse & Lam, 2008;

Wells & Peachey, 2011). If we generalize these results to non-profit organizations, we can infer that transformational leadership attributes will be stimulating and influence volunteers' intention to remain.

Thus, the first hypothesis of this study is:

H1: The transformational leadership style predicts volunteers' intention to remain.

Indirect Effects of Transformational Leadership on Intention to Remain

The literature on the corporate sector has shown that job satisfaction and organizational commitment influence employees' turnover intentions (Chan et al., 2010; Wells & Peachey, 2011; Yucel, 2012; Zimmerman & Darnold, 2009). Identity also seems to have a high predictive value on employees' drop-out intention (Van Knippenberg et al., 2007).

Many studies have found that transformational leadership predicts satisfaction, organizational commitment, and identity of employees (Abouria & Othman, 2017; Braun et al., 2013; Dwyer et al., 2013; Nguni et al., 2006). Moreover, in relation to transformational leadership and employees' intention to remain, satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity have been found to have significant mediation effects (Cheng et al., 2016; Gyensare et al., 2016; Wells & Peachey, 2011).

In volunteering, Chacón et al. (2007) developed the three-stage model, suggesting that the best predictors of volunteers' intention to remain are satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity. The relationships between variables proposed by this model have been empirically tested (Fuller, 2011; Hyde et al., 2016; Larkin, 2015; Malinen & Harju, 2017; Miller et al. 2014; Vecina & Chacón, 2017). However, the role of transformational leadership as an antecedent of satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity, and the possible indirect effect of transformational leadership on volunteers' intention to remain through these three variables, have not been sufficiently investigated.

The second objective of this study is to verify, using a sample of volunteers, whether the relationship between transformational leadership and intention to remain is mediated by satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity.

The following hypothesis was formulated to achieve this objective:

H2: Components of the three-stage model of volunteers' duration (satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity) mediate the relationship between the transformational leadership style and volunteers' intention to remain.

H2a: Satisfaction mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and intention to remain.

H2b: Organizational commitment mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and intention to remain.

H2c: Role identity mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and intention to remain.




Figure 1. Theoretical Model

Moreover, two hypotheses from the three-stage volunteering model will also be tested in this study:

H3: Satisfaction predicts organizational commitment.

H4: Organizational commitment predicts role identity.

Finally, data adjustment to the theoretical model that underlies these hypotheses as a whole will be tested (see Figure 1).

Method

Participants

Data were collected from volunteers ($N = 417$) providing their services voluntarily in different non-profit organizations ($N = 17$) working mainly in health (36%), education (14%), environment (19%), social welfare (16%), psychological services (5%), sports (8%), and immigration (12%). Information regarding gender depicted a higher number of female participants (73%) than male participants (27%). Average age for the total sample was 44 ($SD = 17.50$), depicting an age range between 15 to 86 years old. Average time dedicated to volunteering was 3.5 hours per week ($SD = 2.5$, range 1-20), with an average experience of 5 years ($SD = 4.5$, range = 1-32). For further demographics details, see Table 1.

Response rate was 28%, a relatively low rate, but similar to that found in different studies for the method used in this study (Fernández et al., 2009).

With regard to sample representativeness, it is worth highlighting the heterogeneity of the different types of organization participating in this study, the fact that main areas of volunteering have been included (education, health, social, environmental, immigration, etc.), and similarity between socio-demographic age and gender data in the sample and those in the 2018 Report: portrait of volunteering in Spain by the Volunteering Platform in Spain (60% women and average age of 45 years).

Table 1. Frequency and Percentages of Demographic Variables ($N = 417$)

Variable	<i>f</i>	%
Age (in years)		
Young (15-25 years)	89	21.3
Young adulthood (26-35)	119	28.5
Middle adulthood (36-45)	87	20.9
Late adulthood (> 45 years)	122	29.3
Gender		
Male	113	27.0
Female	304	73.0
Education		
Primary	34	8.2
High school	143	34.3
Graduate		
Postgraduate	174	41.8
Dedicated hours (weekly)	66	15.8
Fewer than 5 hours	364	87.3
6-10	46	11.0
11 or more	7	1.7
Job situation		
Unemployed	237	57.4
Part time	58	28.7
Full time	121	13.9

Instruments

The following instruments were used to gather information from participants.

General group characteristics. A checklist was included to examine socio-demographic characteristics of the sample. Respondents were asked to provide information regarding age, gender, education, hours dedicated to volunteering and experience in years working as a volunteer.

Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ; Bass & Avolio, 1995). The Spanish version of MLQ, adapted by Molero et al. (2010), was used. This is a five-point Likert type scale with a total of 36 items. MLQ scales are scored as follows: 1 = *not at all*, 2 = *once a while*, 3 = *sometimes*, 4 = *fairly often*, 5 = *frequently, if not always*. In data analysis, 20 items were used for measuring transformational leadership. Examples of items include "My leader goes beyond self-interest for the good of the group." Reliability, as measured by Cronbach's alpha value, was .93.

Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ; Mowday et al., 1979). The OCQ version used for this study is a reduced version of 9 items adapted for samples of volunteers in the Spanish population by Dávila and Chacón (2003). It is a 7-point Likert scale with responses ranging from 1 for *totally disagree* to 7 for *totally agree*. Examples of items include "I worry about the future of this organization," "My values and the values of the organization are very similar." Reliability, as measured by Cronbach's alpha, was .87.

Satisfaction. It was measured using three sub-scales containing 18 items measuring three types of satisfaction: task satisfaction, organizational satisfaction, and motivation satisfaction (Vecina et al., 2009) in a Likert-type response format, with choices ranging from 1 (*not at all satisfied*) to 7 (*totally satisfied*). An example of an item is "When I am working as a volunteer, the time just flies by." Reliability, as measured by Cronbach's alpha, was .84.

Role identity. In order to operationalize the role identity concept, a Spanish translated and adapted version of the scale by Grube and Piliavin (2000) was used. This instrument was adapted to Spanish samples by Dávila and Chacón (2004). It consists of five items, with examples including "Volunteerism is something I often think about" and "Volunteerism is an important part of my own identity" in a 7-point Likert-type scale with responses ranging from 1 (*totally disagree*) to 7 (*totally agree*). Reliability, as measured by Cronbach's alpha, was .81.

Intention to remain. It was measured by a single item based on the theory of planned behavior from Ajzen (1985). The item asked volunteers specifically about the probability of continuing with their volunteer work within the organization after two years, in the scale of 1 to 7, where 1 meant *zero probability* and 7 meant *maximum probability*. Following Hayduk (1996) and Hayduk and Glaser (2000) it is assumed that a latent construct can be measured with a single observable indicator if that item is sufficiently representative of the underlying latent construct. Two-year intention was been selected because the effect of organizational commitment and role identity on long-term intention is greater than on short-term intention (Chacón et al., 2007).

Procedure

Twenty non-profit organizations working mainly in the education, environment, social service, and health sectors were contacted to ask them to participate in the study. Consent to collect data was received from 17 of the organizations. The objectives of the study were explained to authorities and volunteers in question. Specific online web pages were developed for 13 non-profit organizations included in this study. Volunteers completed an anonymous online questionnaire hosted on specific web pages (approximate time for completing the questionnaire was 10-15 minutes). Rating forms were delivered by hand and by mail to the remaining four organizations for whom it was not appropriate to develop web links.

Data Analysis

Data analyses included correlation analysis, multiple regression analysis and analysis of structural equations (path analysis). Data analyses were performed with the SPSS-AMOS program version 25.

Results

Correlations

Results of correlations between all the study variables are shown in Table 2. Most of the variables have reliabilities close to or greater than .80.

Table 2. Correlations among Study Variables

	α	1	2	3	4	5
1. Transformational leadership	.93	-	.41**	.30**	.20**	.19*
2. Satisfaction	.84		-	.70**	.50**	.21**
3. Organizational commitment	.87			-	.60**	.26**
4. Role identity	.81				-	.36**
5. Intention to remain						-

** $p < .01$.

As can be seen from Table 2, all the relationships between the study variables are correlated significantly, with moderate to high levels of correlation. This allows us to continue to the subsequent multiple regression analysis. In addition, the magnitude and signs of the correlations coincide with what was expected given our hypotheses. Main socio-demographic variables (age, gender, and type of organization) were also included in a first analysis of correlations and in a first multiple regression analysis, to check and control their possible significant effect on relationships and predictions of main variables in the study. However, non-significant results were found between socio-demographic variables and main variables in all cases.

Table 3. Stepwise Multiple regression

Predictors	β	Intention of permanence R^2	ΔR^2
Model 1		.036***	.038***
Constant			
Transformational leadership	.19***		
Model 2		.140***	.110***
Constant			
Transformational leadership	.13**		
Satisfaction	-.06		
Organizational commitment	.09		
Role identity	.31***		
$\Delta F = 17.94^{**}$			

Note. β = standardized regression coefficient; R^2 = adjusted R square; $\Delta R^2 = R^2$ change; ΔF = change in F .

** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$.

Multiple Regression Analysis

A two-stage multiple regression analysis was performed (see Table 3). This followed the hypotheses in which we expect to find a significant predictive effect for transformational leadership in relation to intention to remain (model 1) and a mediating effect for the variables in the three-stage model (satisfaction, organizational commitment, and role identity), between transformational leadership and intention to remain (model 2).

As observed in the results shown in Table 3, in addition to the already discussed result of the significant predictive effect of

transformational leadership on the intention to remain ($\beta = .195$, $p < .001$), there are indications of the possible mediating effect of role identity (partial reduction in model 2 of the standardized beta between transformational leadership and intention to remain). Predictive effects of satisfaction and organizational commitment on intention to remain are not significant.

Model Testing

An analysis of structural equations, specifically through a path-analysis (Duncan, 1975) was carried out using the maximum likelihood method. The model was designed to jointly test the role of transformational leadership, satisfaction, organizational commitment, role identity, and volunteers' intention to remain (see Figure 2).




Figure 2. Final Adjusted Model.

The results of path analysis (Figure 2) shows that transformational leadership predicts intention to remain directly ($\beta = .13$, $p < .01$) but also indirectly through the mediating impact of satisfaction ($\beta = .41$, $p < .001$). The results also indicate that satisfaction predicts organizational commitment, and organizational commitment together with the influence of satisfaction predicts role identity. However, among the three components of the three-stage model, only role identity directly predicts intention to remain and proves to be a relatively more reliable predictor ($\beta = .31$, $p < .001$). This model explains 15% of the variance of intention to remain. The goodness of fit indices for the model measuring the best acceptable range for CFI, NFI, GFI is $> .90$, and for RMSEA is $< .06$ (Steiger & Lind, 1980). All indices for the model are adequate ($p < .05$), affirming that the model fits the data (Table 4). Table 5 shows all the total, direct, and indirect effects of the statistical model being tested.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to evaluate the direct and indirect effects of transformational leadership on the intention of volunteers to continue volunteering. The results confirm $H1$, that transformational leadership has a significant predictive effect on volunteers' intention to remain.

These results for the direct effect suggest that volunteer coordinators who adopt a transformational leadership style (serve as change agents who articulate the vision of the organization and inspire and motivate followers to be innovative in achieving their enormous potential) generate a higher intention to remain long-term (2 years). These results on transformational leadership coincide with those obtained with other types of people-centered leadership, such as ethical leadership (Benevene et al., 2018), leadership that promotes leader-member exchanges - LMX (Usadolo et al., 2019), and

Table 4. Model Fit Indices

	$\chi^2(df)$	CFI	GFI	NFI	RMSEA
MFI	2.05(4) $p = .73$.99	.99	.99	.000 (Lo 90 = .000 – Hi 90 = .054)

Table 5. Total, Direct and Indirect Effects of the Model Variables

Total effects	Transformational lead.	Satisfaction	Org. commitment	Role identity
Satisfaction	.408	.000	.000	.000
Org. commitment	.285	.699	.000	.000
Role identity	.205	.501	.442	.000
Intention to remain	.197	.168	.148	.334
Direct effects	Transformational lead.	Satisfaction	Org. commitment	Role identity
Satisfaction	.408	.000	.000	.000
Org. commitment	.000	.699	.000	.000
Role identity	.000	.193	.442	.000
Intention to remain	.129	.000	.000	.334
Indirect effects	Transformational lead.	Satisfaction	Org. commitment	Role identity
Satisfaction	.000	.000	.000	.000
Org. commitment	.285	.000	.000	.000
Role identity	.205	.309	.000	.000
Intention to remain	.068	.168	.148	.000

servant leadership (Smith, 2017), all of which enhance a volunteer's willingness to continue volunteering.

The direct effect of transformational leadership on volunteers' intention to remain is partially mediated by satisfaction, thus supporting *H2a*. However, satisfaction does not directly influence long-term intention to remain, but rather does so through organizational commitment (*H3*), which in turn influences role identity (*H4*). Only role identity has a direct effect on long-term intention to remain (2 years). Role identity also mediates relationships between satisfaction and intention to remain, and between organizational commitment and intention to remain. In contrast to what was hypothesized in hypotheses *H2b* and *H2c*, neither organizational commitment nor role identity mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and intention to remain. The number of highly experienced volunteers may explain these results in the sample (more than 5 years).

The results on the indirect effect show the process through which transformational leadership increases intention to remain. Transformational leadership of volunteer coordinators increases volunteers' satisfaction, which coincides with the results obtained in employee samples (Dumdum et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2017; McMurray et al., 2010). In turn, volunteers with higher levels of satisfaction develop more significant organizational commitment (Alfes et al., 2015) and role identity. Finally, volunteers with a higher role identity are those who show a higher intention to remain long-term. These results coincide with the forecast of the three-stage model of volunteers' duration of service (Chacón et al., 2007) and, as argued by Penner (2002), the best predictor of intention to remain long-term (two years) is role identity.

These findings allow us to understand the mechanism of volunteers' role identity. Researchers such as Nencini et al. (2016) support the influence of role identity. They identified that volunteers' role identity fosters satisfaction, commitment, and organizational experiences related to managers, board members, or other social networks in non-profit organizations, associated with voluntary work. Moreover, transformational leaders ideally influence and intellectually stimulate volunteers, which creates satisfaction and commitment through internalization of organizational goals. Consequently, such leadership indirectly facilitates the process of achieving role identity from the initial phase through increased satisfaction and organizational commitment until the final phase of sustainability and continuity of prosocial work as a volunteer. In addition, as long as volunteers confidently perform their role and gain skills, they can internalize an organization's mission and continue their contribution to achieving objectives (Saksida et al., 2017).

The present study highlights the influence of transformational leadership in volunteering and its impact on volunteers' intention to

remain. In addition to its direct effect, transformational leadership seems to have an indirect effect on intention to remain, through role identity, organizational satisfaction, and commitment.

Practical Implications

Our research findings have considerable practical implications for non-profit organizations. At a time when non-profit organizations are finding it a challenge to attract and retain volunteers (Benevene et al., 2018; Hidalgo & Moreno, 2009; Taylor & McGraw, 2006), the current study highlights measures that leaders or those in managerial positions in non-profit organizations can employ to ensure that their volunteers stay. For instance, in-time facilitation of volunteers after recruitment into their role is essential. Non-profit organizations should invest in training volunteers by carrying out induction programs, as suggested by Saksida et al. (2017). As part of the training, group meetings are helpful where leaders can play their role while introducing volunteers and explaining organization's mission to them. Moreover, introductory and skill enhancement workshops are ideal for newly recruited and experienced volunteers in order to develop communication and teamwork. The idea is that such meetings and training events should not be limited only to the start of the project. In contrast, brief volunteer training sessions are helpful up to the end of the project to deal with issues that may arise and to clarify any ambiguities during volunteer work. Newton et al. (2014) also support the idea that ongoing training and professional development could influence volunteers' decisions to stay with the volunteer organization.

Furthermore, the leadership role carried out properly is essential in energizing, motivating, and improving volunteers. The fact that an organization's management takes into account volunteers' concerns results in greater satisfaction among volunteers and a strengthening of their commitment to the organization. This leads to role identity, which ensures their retention in the organization. In addition, retaining volunteers is the goal of successful volunteer management.

Our findings show that the role of leadership is a significant component in managing and retaining volunteers. While taking advantage of this finding, non-profit organizations may wish to reconfigure their human resources management (HRM) departments to include leadership positions that will be responsible entirely for managing volunteers within an organization. This is significant because volunteers are typically not managed strategically within the HRM function (Saksida et al., 2017). Instead, their management is distributed across such functions as marketing, fundraising and campaigning, administration, and service delivery (Brewster & Lee, 2006). As a result, leadership may assume a more central role in volunteer management, treating volunteers as valuable and essential members of the organization. This may help develop

their role identity through gleaning satisfaction and enhance their commitment, which in turn will strengthen their intention to remain as well as their actual behavior.

Limitations

Despite its contribution in the context of volunteering, this study suffers from a few limitations. Firstly, the cross-sectional nature of the study and the self-reporting nature of data collection process may lead to a risk of bias due to common method variance. However, following recommendations by Podsakoff et al. (2003), and similarly to the study by Saksida et al. (2017), the following actions were carried out to minimize this slant: the procedure was clearly explained to participants, anonymity and confidentiality were ensured, and widely validated scales were used. Furthermore, confirmatory factor analysis could be performed in future cross-validation studies (Conway & Lance, 2010).

Secondly, a relatively low response rate is another limitation of the current study. Various factors could contribute to a web-based survey's low response rate, such as less information regarding respondents' computers or the feasibility of using webpages relating mainly to adults (Fan & Yan, 2010). Unlike the method used in this study, when instruments are sent in a personalized way, with incentives and weekly follow-ups, higher retention and response rates can be achieved (Fernández et al., 2009). For the purposes of this study, the rate achieved was considered adequate, although in subsequent cross-validations of the results of this research it will be necessary to achieve a higher rate.

Our sample also consisted mostly of adults of various ages volunteering in different non-profit organizations (e.g., health, education, environment, sports, social services). It was not feasible to identify how comfortable participants were by using web browsers and navigating between or within websites. However, we did not find evidence of non-response bias, and the study sample was representative of the volunteer population in this particular domain. Nevertheless, more studies in the future may address this issue by employing more demographically diverse samples to examine phenomena under study here.

Conclusion

This study represents an advance in the current understanding of volunteers' sustained prosocial behavior. Findings may further assist the establishment of effective human resource management strategies in volunteering programs. The underpinning contribution of transformational leadership in the retention of volunteers seems to be significant. In general, our results indicate that leaders of non-profit organizations, particularly those with a transformational leadership style, predict the intention of volunteers to stay while influencing their level of satisfaction. Moreover, to achieve sustained volunteerism, volunteers' socialization into their roles within a non-profit organization is essential. Unless and until volunteer role becomes part of a volunteer's identity over the years through deriving satisfaction and commitment to the organization in which they work, there will be fewer chances for volunteer behavior to continue for a long time into the future.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abouraira, M. K., & Othman, S. M. (2017). Transformational leadership, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intentions: the direct effects among bank representatives. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 7(4), 404-423. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2017.74029>
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior. In J. Jul & J. Beckmann (Eds.), *Action-control: From cognitions to behaviors* (pp. 11-39). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3_2
- Alfes, K., Shantz, A., & Saksida, T. (2015). Committed to whom? Unravelling how relational job design influences volunteers' turnover intentions and time spent volunteering. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 26(6), 2479-2499. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-014-9526-2>
- Allen, J. A., & Mueller, S. L. (2013). The revolving door: A closer look at major factors in volunteers' intention to quit. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 41(2), 139-155. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.21519>
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press Collier Macmillan.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1995). *MLQ multifactor leadership questionnaire for research*. Mind Garden.
- Benevene, P., Dal Corso, L., De Carlo, A., Falco, A., Carluccio, F., & Vecina, M. L. (2018). Ethical leadership as antecedent of job satisfaction, affective organizational commitment, and intention to remain among volunteers of non-profit organizations. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 2069. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02069>
- Biron, M., & Boon, C. (2013). Performance and turnover intentions: A social exchange perspective. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 28(5), 511-531. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-09-2011-0054>
- Braun, S., Peus, C., Weisweiler, S., & Frey, D. (2013). Transformational leadership, job satisfaction, and team performance: A multilevel mediation model of trust. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 24(1), 270-283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2012.11.006>
- Brewster, C., & Lee, S. (2006). HRM in not-for-profit international organizations: Different, but also alike. In H. H. Larsen & W. Mayrhofer (Eds.), *European Human Resource Management* (pp. 131-148). Routledge.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2018). *Volunteering in America*. <https://www.nationalservice.gov/serve/via/rankings>
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
- Chacón, F., Vecina, M. L., & Dávila, M. C. (2007). The three-stage model of volunteers' duration of service. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 35(5), 627-642. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2007.35.5.627>
- Chan, B. Y., Yeoh, S. F., Lim, C. L., & Osman, S. (2010). An exploratory study on turnover intention among private-sector employees. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(8), 57-64.
- Cheng, C., Bartram, T., Karimi, L., & Leggat, S. (2016). Transformational leadership and social identity as predictors of team climate, perceived quality of care, burnout, and turnover intention among nurses. *Personnel Review*, 45(6), 1200-1216. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-05-2015-0118>
- Conway, J. M., & Lance, C. E. (2010). What reviewers should expect from authors regarding common method bias in organizational research. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 25(3), 325-334. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-010-9181-6>
- Dávila, M. C., & Chacón, F. (2003). Adaptación de instrumentos para la evaluación de aspectos organizacionales en ONG. *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones*, 19(2), 159-179.
- Dávila, M. C., & Chacón, F. (2004). Variables sociodemográficas y permanencia de diferentes tipos de voluntariado. *Papeles del Psicólogo*, 25(89), 44-57.
- Dumdum, U. R., Lowe, K. B., & Avolio, B. J. (2013). A meta-analysis of transformational and transactional leadership correlates of effectiveness and satisfaction: An update and extension. In *Transformational and charismatic leadership: The road ahead 10th anniversary edition* (pp. 39-70). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-357120130000005008>
- Duncan, O. D. (1975). *Introduction to structural equation models*. Academic Press.
- Dwyer, P. C., Bono, J. E., Snyder, M., Nov, O., & Berson, Y. (2013). Sources of volunteer motivation: Transformational leadership and personal motives influence volunteer outcomes. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 24(2), 181-205. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.21084>
- Elpers, K., & Westhuis, D. J. (2008). Organizational leadership and its impact on social workers' job satisfaction: A national study. *Administration in Social Work*, 32(3), 26-43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03643100801922399>
- European Commission. (2017). *Social participation and integration statistics*. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Social_participation_and_integration_statistics#Context
- Fan, W., & Yan, Z. (2010). Factors affecting response rates of the web survey: A systematic review. *Computers in human behavior*, 26(2), 132-139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.10.015>
- Fernández, J. S., Leiva, F. M., & Montoro, F. (2009). ¿Cómo mejorar la tasa de respuesta en encuestas on line? *Revista de Estudios Empresariales. Segunda Época*, 1, 45-62.
- Finkelstein, M. A., Penner, L. A., & Brannick, M. T. (2005). Motive, role identity, and prosocial personality as predictors of volunteer activity.

- Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 33(4), 403-418. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2005.33.4.403>
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Addison-Wesley.
- Fuller, E. S. (2011). *Volunteers leading volunteers: How leader commitment relates to follower's commitment, turnover, and recruitment* (Doctoral thesis) (Publication No. 3481358). Capella University. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Open. <https://pqdtopen.proquest.com/pubnum/3481358.html>
- Garner, J. T., & Garner, L. T. (2011). Volunteering an opinion: Organizational voice and volunteer retention in nonprofit organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 40(5), 813-828. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764010366181>
- Grube, J. A., & Piliavin, J. A. (2000). Role identity, organizational experiences, and volunteer performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 26(9), 1108-1119. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672002611007>
- Gyensare, M. A., Anku-Tsede, O., Sanda, M. A., & Okpoti, C. A. (2016). Transformational leadership and employee turnover intention: The mediating role of affective commitment. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management, and Sustainable Development*, 12(3), 243-266. <https://doi.org/10.1108/WJEMSD-02-2016-0008>
- Harhara, A. S., Singh, S. K., & Hussain, M. (2015). Correlates of employee turnover intentions in oil and gas industry in the UAE. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 23(3), 493-504. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-11-2014-0821>
- Hayduk, L. A. (1996). *LISREL Issues, debates, and strategies*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Hayduk, L. A., & Glaser, D. N. (2000). Jiving the four-step, waltzing around factor analysis, and other serious fun. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 7(1), 1-35. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0701_01
- Hidalgo, M. C., & Moreno, P. (2009). Organizational socialization of volunteers: The effect on their intention to remain. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 37(5), 594-601. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.20317>
- Hines, C. A. S. (2017). *Impact of servant leadership principles on volunteer satisfaction in a nonprofit organization* (Doctoral dissertation). Capella University. ProQuest No. 10601232. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing. <https://search.proquest.com/openview/e91385463ddc16b1999c6a7cc57ac5c6/1.pdf?pq-origsite=gscholar&cb1=18750&diss=y>
- Huynh, J. Y., Xanthopoulos, D., & Winefield, A. H. (2014). The job demands-resources model in emergency service volunteers: Examining the mediating roles of exhaustion, work engagement, and organizational connectedness. *Work & Stress*, 28(3), 305-322. <https://doi.org/10.1080/002678373.2014.936922>
- Hyde, M. K., Dunn, J., Bax, C., & Chambers, S. K. (2016). Episodic volunteering and retention. An integrated theoretical approach. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 45(1), 45-63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764014558934>
- Hyde, M. K., & Knowles, S. R. (2013). What predicts Australian university students' intentions to volunteer their time for community service? *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 65(3), 135-145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajpy.12014>
- Kelloway, E. K., Turner, N., Barling, J., & Loughlin, C. (2012). Transformational leadership and employee psychological well-being: The mediating role of employee trust in leadership. *Work & Stress*, 26(1), 39-55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/002678373.2012.660774>
- Kennedy, K. J., & Brunold, A. (2015). *Regional contexts and citizenship education in Asia and Europe*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315694795>
- Larkin, I. M. (2015). *Job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention of online teachers in the K-12 setting* (Paper 2) [Doctoral Dissertation, Kennesaw State University]. DigitalCommons@Kennesaw State University. https://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1003&context=instruceddoc_etd
- Lim, A. J. P., Loo, J. T. K., & Lee, P. H. (2017). The impact of leadership on turnover intention: The mediating role of organizational commitment and job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 1(1), 27-41.
- Lussier, R. N., & Achua, C. F. (2012). *Leadership: Theory, application, & skill development*. South Western College Publication.
- MacGillivray, G. S., & Lynd-Stevenson, R. M. (2013). The revised theory of planned behavior and volunteer behavior in Australia. *Community Development*, 44(1), 23-37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2012.675578>
- Malinen, S., & Harju, L. (2017). Volunteer engagement: Exploring the distinction between job and organizational engagement. *Voluntas*, 28(1), 69-89. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-016-9823-z>
- Marta, E., Manzi, C., Pozzi, M., & Vignoles, V. L. (2014). Identity and the theory of planned behavior: Predicting maintenance of volunteering after three years. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 154(3), 198-207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2014.881769>
- McMurray, A. J., Pirola-Merlo, A., Sarros, J. C., & Islam, M. M. (2010). Leadership, climate, psychological capital, commitment, and wellbeing in a non-profit organization. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 31(6), 436-457. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437731011056452>
- Miller, B. K., Adair, E. A., Nicols, K. M., & Smart, D. L. (2014). Hindering the help: Politics and engagement in volunteer service organizations. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 26(4), 365-387. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2015.11555abstract>
- Molero F., Recio P., & Cuadrado I. (2010). Liderazgo transformacional y liderazgo transaccional: un análisis de la estructura factorial del Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ) en una muestra española [Transformational and transactional leadership: Analysis of the factor structure of the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ) in a Spanish sample]. *Psicothema*, 22, 495-501.
- Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., & Porter, L. W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 14(2), 224-247. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791\(79\)90072-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791(79)90072-1)
- Nencini, A., Romaioli, D., & Meneghini, A. M. (2016). Volunteer motivation and organizational climate: Factors that promote satisfaction and sustained volunteerism in NPOs. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 27(2), 618-639. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-015-9593-z>
- Nesbit, R., & Gazley, B. (2012). Patterns of volunteer activity in professional associations and societies. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 23, 558-583. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-011-9218-0>
- Newton, C., Becker, K., & Bell, S. (2014). Learning and development opportunities as a tool for the retention of volunteers: A motivational perspective. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 24(4), 514-530. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12040>
- Nguni, S., Slegers, P., & Denessen, E. (2006). Transformational and transactional leadership effects on teachers' job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior in primary schools: The Tanzanian case. *School effectiveness and school improvement*, 17(2), 145-177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09243450600565746>
- Omoto, A. M., & Snyder, M. (1995). Sustained helping without obligation: Motivation, longevity of service, and perceived attitude change among AIDS volunteers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68(4), 671-686. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.68.4.671>
- Oostlander, J., Guntert, S. T., & Wehner, T. (2014). Linking autonomy-supportive leadership to volunteer satisfaction: A self-determination theory perspective. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 25(6), 1368-1387. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-013-9395-0>
- Penner, L. A. (2002). Dispositional and organizational influences on sustained volunteerism: An interactionist perspective. *Journal of Social Issues*, 58(3), 447-467. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-4560.00270>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of applied psychology*, 88(5), 879. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Rahman, W., & Nas, Z. (2013). Employee development and turnover intention: Theory validation. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 37(6), 564-579. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-May-2012-0015>
- Reuveni, Y., & Werner, P. (2015). Factors associated with teenagers' willingness to volunteer with elderly persons: Application of the theory of planned behavior (TPB). *Educational Gerontology*, 41(9), 623-634. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601277.2015.1029768>
- Ruiz, R. (Dir.). (2015). *El tercer sector de acción social en 2015: impacto de la crisis*. https://www.plataformaong.org/ciudadaniaactiva/tercersector/estudio_completo_el_TSAS_en_2015_impacto_de_la_crisis.pdf
- Saksida, I., Alfes, K., & Shantz, A. (2017). Volunteer role mastery and commitment: Can HRM make a difference? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(14), 2062-2084. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1126335>
- Schneider, S. K., & George, W. M. (2011). Servant leadership versus transformational leadership in voluntary service organizations. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 32(1), 60-77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/0143773111099283>
- Smith, M. E. (2017). *The relationship between leadership style and volunteer intention to remain* (Dissertation). Olivet Nazarene University. (Publication No. 110). Digital Commons @ Olivet. http://digitalcommons.olivet.edu/edd_diss/110
- Steiger, J. H., & Lind, J. (1980). *Statistically based tests for the number of factors*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Psychometric Society, Iowa City, IA.
- Taylor, T., & McGraw, P. (2006). Exploring human resource management practices in nonprofit sports organizations. *Sport Management Review*, 9(3), 229-251. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1441-3523\(06\)70027-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1441-3523(06)70027-4)
- Tse, H. M., & Lam, W. (2008). Transformational leadership and turnover: The roles of LMX and organizational commitment. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 8(13), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2008.33723870>
- Usadolo, S. E., Usadolo, Q. E., & Makwambeni, B. (2019). Influence of leader-member exchange on teachers' workplace outcomes at vocational colleges in South Africa. *Journal of African Business*, 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2019.1641303>
- Van Knippenberg, D., Van Dick, R., & Tavares, S. (2007). Social identity and social exchange: Identification, support, and withdrawal from the

- job. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 37(3), 457-477. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2007.00168.x>
- Vecina, M. L., & Chacón, F. (2017). Dropout predictors for volunteers in non-profit organizations: A 7-year survival analysis. *Revista Mexicana de Psicología*, 34(1), 13-23.
- Vecina, M. L., Chacón, F., Marzana, D., & Marta, E. (2013). Volunteer engagement and organizational commitment in nonprofit organizations: What makes volunteers stay? *Journal of Community Psychology*, 41(3), 291-302. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.21530>
- Vecina, M. L., Chacón, F., & Sueiro, M. A. (2009). Volunteer satisfaction: Internal structure and relationship with permanence in organizations. *Psicothema*, 21, 112-117. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.21530>
- Veludo-de-Oliveira, T., Pallister, J. G., & Foxall, G. R. (2013). Accounting for sustained volunteering by young people: An expanded TPB. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 24(4), 1180-1198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-012-9317-6>
- Volunteering Australia. (2016). *State of volunteering*. <https://www.volunteeringaustralia.org/wp-content/uploads/State-of-Volunteering-in-Australia-full-report.pdf>
- Wells, J. E., & Peachey, J. W. (2011). Turnover intentions: Do leadership behaviors and satisfaction with the leader matter? *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 17(1/2), 23-40. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13527591111114693>
- White, K. M., Poulsen, B. E., & Hyde, M. K. (2017). Identity and personality influences on donating money, time, and blood. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 46(2), 372-394. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764016654280>
- Yahaya, R., & Ebrahim, F. (2016). Leadership styles and organizational commitment: Literature review. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(2), 190-216. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-01-2015-0004>
- Yucel, I. (2012). Examining the relationships among job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention: An empirical study. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(20), 44-58. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v7n20p44>
- Zimmerman, R. D., & Darnold, T. C. (2009). The impact of job performance on employee turnover intentions and the voluntary turnover process: A meta-analysis and path model. *Personnel Review*, 38(2), 142-158. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480910931316>