



Agronomía Colombiana

ISSN: 0120-9965

ISSN: 2357-3732

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Facultad de Agronomía

Fischer, Gerhard; Parra-Coronado, Alfonso
Influence of some environmental factors on the feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [Berg] Burret): A review
Agronomía Colombiana, vol. 38, no. 3, 2020, September-December, pp. 388-397
Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Facultad de Agronomía

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15446/agron.colomb.v38n3.88982>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=180376042010>

- ▶ How to cite
- ▶ Complete issue
- ▶ More information about this article
- ▶ Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

 redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

Influence of some environmental factors on the feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [Berg] Burret): A review

Efecto de algunos factores ambientales sobre la feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [Berg] Burret): Una revisión

Gerhard Fischer^{1*} and Alfonso Parra-Coronado²

ABSTRACT

Climatic alterations affect the physiology, growth and production of the feijoa, a native plant to the higher zone between Brazil, Uruguay, Paraguay and Argentina. In Colombia, optimal growth temperatures are between 13 and 21°C (16°C). Very high temperatures (>32°C) affect pollination and fruit set, but low temperatures down to -4°C in adult plants do not cause significant damage. Thus, feijoa is a well-adapted plant to cold conditions since 3.04°C has been found as the base (minimum) temperature for the phase between flower bud and fruit set, while 1.76°C was measured as the base temperature for fruit development. The plant requires a minimum of 1000 hours of direct sunlight/year (optimum ≥1500); the pyramidal form of the tree favors the entry of light into the crown organs. In the crown of a feijoa tree, trained with three branching levels of horizontal bent primary laterals, the outer middle quadrant produces the largest fruits, compared to those that grow in the upper quadrant. The reduced fruit growth is due to the excessive incidence of light (especially UV) and heat on these fruits on the periphery of the tree. In Colombia, altitudes between 1800 and 2700 meters above sea level (m a.s.l.) are adequate for growth and production of this fruit, while lower elevations favor the incidence of fruit flies. Precipitations between 700 and 1200 mm/year (max. 2000 mm) benefit the vegetative and reproductive performance of the tree, with an important drier season at the beginning of the reproductive season (flowering and fruit set). Due to the strength of its branches and the small, thick leaves, the tree is relatively wind resistant.

Key words: growth temperature, base temperature, cold adaption, solar radiation, altitude, wind.

RESUMEN

Las alteraciones del clima afectan la fisiología, crecimiento y producción de la feijoa, planta originaria de la zona alta entre Brasil, Uruguay, Paraguay y Argentina. En Colombia encuentra temperaturas óptimas entre 13 y 21°C (16°C), mientras que las temperaturas muy elevadas (>32°C) afectan la polinización y el cuajado de frutos, en tanto que las temperaturas hasta -4°C en plantas adultas no causan daños significativos. Así, la feijoa es una planta bien adaptada a condiciones de frío debido a que se registró 3.04°C como la temperatura base (la mínima) para la fase entre botón floral y cuajado del fruto y solamente 1.76°C como la temperatura base para el desarrollo del fruto. La planta exige mínimo 1000 horas de brillo solar/año (óptimo ≥1500) y la formación piramidal del árbol favorece la entrada de luz a los órganos de la copa. En una copa de feijoa, formada en tres pisos con ramas laterales horizontales, el cuadrante medio externo produce los frutos más grandes, comparado con los que crecen en el cuadrante superior de la copa. El crecimiento reducido se debe a la excesiva incidencia lumínica (especialmente UV) y de calor sobre estos frutos en la periferia del árbol. En Colombia altitudes entre 1800 y 2700 msnm son adecuadas para el crecimiento y la producción, mientras elevaciones más bajas favorecen la incidencia de la mosca de la fruta. Las precipitaciones entre 700 y 1200 mm/año (máx. 2000 mm) benefician el desempeño vegetativo y reproductivo del árbol, siendo un tiempo más seco importante al comienzo de la época reproductiva (floración y cuajado de frutos). Por la estructura fuerte de sus ramas y hojas pequeñas y gruesas el árbol es relativamente resistente al viento.

Palabras clave: temperatura de crecimiento, temperatura base, adaptación al frío, radiación solar, altitud, viento.

Introduction

Environmental imbalances generated by deforestation and the increase in greenhouse gases alter crop growth and productivity significantly affecting global food security (Dhankher & Foyer, 2018). Traditional cultivation

methods of crops will no longer provide optimal growing conditions, and they will increase production costs (Fischer & Melgarejo, 2020). The climatic changes that are taking place at different altitudes because of increases in temperature and radiation require additional research in order to understand the new growth conditions that will

Received for publication: 8 July, 2020. Accepted for publication: 18 November, 2020.

Doi: 10.15446/agron.colomb.v38n3.88982

¹ Independent consultant, Emeritus researcher of Colciencias, Bogota (Colombia).

² Department of Civil and Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota (Colombia).

* Corresponding author: gerrfischer@gmail.com



modify the phenological phases, maturation, quality and performance of crops (Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2015; Fischer *et al.*, 2016; Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2018a). The physiological behavior of plants and the quality of their fruits depend on the characteristics of each species and variety as well as environmental conditions and crop management (Fischer *et al.*, 2018; Fischer *et al.*, 2020).

Therefore, studying plant ecophysiology is of utmost importance for knowing how abiotic and biotic factors of the environment affect the physiology of cultivated plants (Fischer *et al.*, 2016) and how physiological mechanisms interact with these physicochemical and biotic factors (Lambers *et al.*, 2008).

The feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [O. Berg] Burret) belongs to the Mirtaceae family, known for its botanical richness and represented by some 121 genera with 5800 species, many of these with a high agro-industrial potential for their aromatic fruits (Farias *et al.*, 2020). The characteristics of feijoa are similar to those of the guava (*Psidium guajava*) and the bayberry (*Mircia acuminata*), but with a different phenotype (Perea-Dallos *et al.*, 2010; Fischer & Melgarejo, 2021).

The feijoa is native to South America, in the southern zone of Brazil and Uruguay, where it is known as “goiabeira-serrana” and “guayabo del país” (Puppo *et al.*, 2014; Donazzolo *et al.*, 2015). It is also known from the upper region of western Paraguay and northeast Argentina (Pachón & Quintero, 1992; Parra-Coronado & Fischer, 2013; Borsuk *et al.*, 2015). It was introduced to other countries in the world, where it is known as feijoa or pineapple guava (Moretto *et al.*, 2014). The most extensive production is found in Colombia, New Zealand, Georgia, Ukraine, but also in the United States, Australia, Turkey, China and with an increasing area of cultivation in Brazil (Sachet *et al.*, 2019; Sánchez-Mora *et al.*, 2019). This distribution in such varied countries and climates demonstrates the great potential for the plasticity that this species possesses for adaptation to very diverse environmental conditions (Donazzolo *et al.*, 2019). Ouafaa *et al.* (2019) not only highlight the fruit's easy adaptation to subtropical climates but also the sweet aromatic characteristics of the feijoa fruit that favor its distribution in so many countries. However, Phan *et al.* (2019) state that this fruit has remained relatively unknown to many people in the world until today.

Two different populations of feijoa have been described (Ducroquet *et al.*, 2000). One population is found in the higher altitude regions of the basalt plateau of Southeastern

Brazil. This population has long and hard seeds, often with a bitter pulp and hard peel fruits, called “Brazil group” (Cabrera *et al.*, 2018). The other population is found on the acid soils of Uruguay and the south of the Rio Grande do Sul state in Brazil. These fruits (called “Uruguay group”) are sweet and contain small, soft seeds that have been distributed in many countries (Schotsmans *et al.*, 2011). Ducroquet *et al.* (2000), describing these two groups and zones where the feijoas were found, mentioned that these were sites with a medium temperature of 16°C. However, the researchers also affirmed that they had no information of naturally occurring feijoas in Paraguay which were mentioned by other authors (e. g. Pachón & Quintero, 1992).

Feijoa germplasm banks are mainly concentrated in three centers: 1) the Feijoa Active Germplasm Bank (BAG) in São Joaquim-SC (Brazil), with 313 accessions, 2) the Feijoa National Center (CENAF) in La Vega (Cundinamarca, Colombia), with some 1500 accessions, and 3) the Nikitsky Botanical Garden (Crimean peninsula) that has 400 accessions (Sánchez-Mora *et al.*, 2019). In Colombia, Parra-Coronado *et al.* (2016) reported commercial varieties such as clone 41 (Quimba), clone 8-4, Mammouth, Apollo, Gemini, Triumph, Rionegro, Tibasosa and some others.

In tropical areas, the tree can produce throughout the year, while in subtropical areas, production predominates at a unique time of year (Quintero, 2012). In Colombia, feijoa is a very promising fruit due to its adaptation to areas between 1800 and 2700 m a.s.l. (Quintero, 2012). Feijoa production in Colombia reached 9290 t in the first half of 2019, surpassing fruits such as cape gooseberry and pitaya (DANE, 2020), with the most important cultivation in the Cundinamarca-Boyaca mountain valleys.

Feijoa is a subtropical shrub or small tree with a maximum height of 3.5 to 5 m. It is a long-lived perennial fruit species that can produce, in technically controlled crop systems, 20 t ha⁻¹ or more (Fischer, 2003; Quintero, 2012). The species was previously considered to be an ornamental plant (Pachón & Quintero, 1992). In highly controlled cultivation, the feijoa grows erectly with a central leader (Omarova *et al.*, 2020), forming between three and four levels of horizontal bent laterals (Quintero, 2012). The plant has elliptical to oval shaped leaves, bright green on the upper side and 6×4 cm whitish color on the underside (Fischer, 2003).

The hermaphrodite flowers of 3-4 cm are composed of four greenish-gray sepals and a corolla formed of four white petals. In the center, there are 60-120 stamens of red filaments

with white anthers, according to the particular cultivar (Fischer, 2003; Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2017). In general, *A. sellowiana* has barriers to self-fertilization such as the dichogamy through protogyny and self-incompatibility (Stewart & Craig, 1987), and Finatto *et al.* (2011) found a late-acting self-incompatibility in this species.

In Colombian plantations, the authors of this review observed that the flowers develop mainly 1) in the leaf axils of shoots of the same year, 2) on the branches bent horizontally (up to several years), 3) on the thin and mostly hanging branches in the inner crown and 4) on stump sprouts emerging after cuttings in the lower and middle part of the crown. In a study near Bogota (Colombia), Ramírez and Kallarackal (2018b) characterize two feijoa cultivars, with six different phenological stages according to the scale of the BBCH (Biologische Bundesanstalt, Bundessortenamt und Chemische Industrie): 1) bud development, 2) leaf development, 3) stem development, 4) flower emergence, 5) flowering and 6) fruit development.

Many cultivars are self-fertile, but they also allow cross-pollination by insects or birds (Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2017). The most important ornithological agent in Colombia is the blackbird (*Turdus fuscater*), *Turdus merula* in New Zealand and *Acridotheres tristis*, and *Turdus* spp., *Thraupis* spp. and *Tangara* spp. in Brazil. All these species eat the petals, which increase their sugar content immediately before and during the opening of the flower (Duarte & Paull, 2015). This fact is very important for the control of *Botrytis* in petals during flowering (Quintero, 2012).

For humans, the flower petals are edible and contain, according to the variety, high concentrations of anthocyanins, flavonoids, total phenols, increased antioxidant activity and high soluble solids content (Amarante *et al.*, 2019). Magri *et al.* (2020) found that the petals attain the highest peak of polyphenols, anthocyanins and ascorbic acid in the F2 state, when the petals continue to open and the anthers and filaments have a dark red color, according to the BBCH scale (Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2018b).

The fruit is a berry, mainly ovoidal in shape and with a bright green or grayish green color, smooth to rough skin. Fruit dimensions are 3-5 cm in diameter, 4-10 cm in length, weight between 20 and 250 g and contains between 20 and 40 seeds (Fischer, 2003; Schotsmans *et al.*, 2011). Apart from fresh, un-processed consumption (with a very sweet and aromatic flavor), fruits can be processed for juices, jellies, drinks, ice creams and yogurts (Mitra, 2010; González-García *et al.*, 2018).

Zhu (2018) highlights the effects of the fruit on health as an important source of polyphenols, vitamin C, fiber, sugars and minerals, mainly potassium. It also has medicinal value for its antibacterial, antioxidant and antiallergic activity, with a higher content of antioxidants, such as flavonoids and ascorbic acid in the fruit skin rather than in the pulp (Phan *et al.*, 2020).

Sachet *et al.* (2019) confirm that ecophysiological studies are necessary to improve the cultivation of feijoa. Furthermore, Germanà and Continella (2004) clearly state that ecophysiological evaluation is important for understanding the possibilities of acclimatization and the diffusion of species, including feijoa. The objective of this review is to present the results of the literature on ecophysiological topics of the feijoa, including temperature, light, altitude, water, and wind. These data should be very useful for researchers and plant breeders as well as for fruit growers.

Ecophysiological factors and their effect on feijoa

Some research exists describing the influence of temperature, solar radiation, altitude, water (precipitation, drought) and wind factors on this crop. It should be understood that in the different areas of cultivation there is not a single climatic factor that acts alone. It is the interaction of several factors that influence the plant, causing stress conditions such as drought, waterlogging, very high and low temperatures or ultraviolet light that can be detrimental to plant performance (Mittler, 2006).

Temperature

In temperate or subtropical zones, feijoa adjusts its physiology to the seasonal temperatures. Low winter temperatures inhibit the sprouting of branches and flowers are reactivated with the increase of temperatures in spring (Fischer, 2003). In temperate zones, when soil temperatures reach 8-10°C in spring, root growth begins and continues until autumn when soil temperatures drop below 8°C (Thorp, 2008). Morley-Bunker (1999) reports that feijoa in temperate zones produce larger fruits and yields in the sites with higher temperatures compared to those with lower winter temperatures.

Flowering and ripening of the fruit can be advanced by eight weeks in warmer climates compared to cold climates (Duarte & Paull, 2015). Furthermore, climate change impacts tree phenology (Ramírez & Kallarackal, 2017). However, fruits produced in cold weather are supposedly of better flavor than those produced in hot climates

(California Rare Fruit Growers, 1996). This was confirmed in a study carried out in Colombia by Parra-Coronado *et al.* (2015a) for feijoa fruits from clone 41 (Quimba), where they found that the fruits produced at higher altitudes (cold weather) are sweeter than those produced at low altitudes (warm weather). Barrero (1993) reports that adequate temperatures for feijoa production in Colombia are between 13 and 21°C (average 16°C).

In temperate areas some authors report that feijoa requires a certain number of chilling hours (temperatures below 7 or 7.2°C according to author) to increase flower production, and this would be deficient in areas with less than 50 chilling hours (Fischer, 2003). Sharpe *et al.* (1993) indicated that the feijoa needs between 100 and 200 chilling hours below 7°C to sprout. For this situation, Schotsmans *et al.* (2011) summarize that feijoa reacts not only to changes in temperature that characterize the season in subtropical and temperate zones, but they also arise from the changes in the pattern of rainfall and as a reaction to pruning, as in Colombia (Quintero, 2012).

According to Rom (1996), the effects of temperature on a fruit tree should not be isolated from other agroecological factors, especially water and light stress. Temperature tolerance depends on the concentration of carbohydrates stored in the tissues and the level of nutrient ions in the plant. The feijoa plant is highly susceptible to very high temperatures (>32°C), combined with low relative humidity during pollination and fruit set (Duarte & Paull, 2015). In general, Quintero-Monroy (2014) observes that high temperatures in Colombia cause damage to apical and juvenile sprouts, causing a folding of the leaves. As the authors of this review presume, the fruit development time of the feijoa will decrease due to global warming (Duarte & Paull, 2015). This can affect the fruit quality increasing the concentration of sugars and decreasing that of acids (Ubeda *et al.*, 2020). This causes the fruit to be tasteless, without a good sugar/acid ratio; therefore, varieties that do not show this behavior should be selected (Parra-Coronado & Fischer, 2013).

Feijoa is considered a subtropical fruit tree that tolerates winter frosts. It tolerates temperatures down to -10°C that can occur in the Bogota High Plateau (Quintero-Monroy, 2014) and temperatures down to -4°C do not cause significant damage in adult plants (Pachón & Quintero, 1992). In the Bogota High Plateau, temperatures lower than -4°C for more than 1 h cause losses of flowers and juvenile re-growth (Quintero-Monroy, 2014). Therefore, the authors of this review do not recommend pruning feijoa trees for 1-2 months before the frost season to avoid new (tender)

shoots. Fischer (2003) observed that frost can cause abortion of leaves and small fruits, but frost also damages the fruit during its ripening, with premature browning of the affected tissues (Schotsmans *et al.*, 2011). In a study with five feijoa varieties, Stanley and Warrington (1984) found that trees are damaged by frost with temperatures below -3°C in the summer season and below -8°C in the winter season, and the temperature can be lethal to the plant still at 4-6°C lower than these values mentioned.

Adult plants are more resistant to low temperatures (Tocornal, 1988), while juveniles (<1 year) can die during longer frosts. In Tenjo (Cundinamarca, Colombia) in a frost that reached -10°C, Quintero-Monroy (2014) observed that half the 1-year-old plants lost all their leaves and resprouted only at the base of the stem, while the 2-year-old plants showed slight damage and the 10-year-old plants only had some flowers burned.

In a study carried out in Cundinamarca (Colombia), Parra-Coronado *et al.* (2015b) found that temperature influences the growth and quality of feijoa fruits (clone 41 'Quimba'). Thus, in San Francisco de Sales with a registered average temperature of 18.3°C (1800 m a.s.l.), fruit development advanced much faster (155 d) than in Tenjo (180 d) with a registered average temperature of 12.3°C (2580 m a.s.l.). This was similar to that observed by Fischer *et al.* (2007) in cape gooseberry with 66 d at 17.0°C compared to 12.5°C and 75 d in the neighboring department of Boyaca. Interestingly, Parra-Coronado *et al.* (2016) recorded much less growing degree days (GDD) (Eq. 1) for the fruit at 12.3°C (1972 GDD) rather than at 18.3°C (2677 GDD). This can be seen as an adaptation to these colder conditions. Also, a temperature of 18.3°C produced smaller fruits (38 g) than those that grew at 12.3°C (69 g), indicating that feijoa is rather a cold-weather crop of cool Andean highland conditions. It should not be forgotten that in this study lower temperature was accompanied by higher cumulative radiation (11082 W m⁻²) during the development of the fruit rather than at the lower elevation and, thus, hotter site (8918 W m⁻²) (Parra-Coronado *et al.*, 2015a).

$$GDD = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i - nBt \quad (1)$$

where GDD are the growing-degree days (°C) accumulated during n days of fruit development, T_i is the average daily temperature (°C) for day i and Bt is the base temperature for fruit growth (1.76°C). $T_i = (T_{max} + T_{min}) / 2$.

Parra-Coronado *et al.* (2015b) also studied the base temperature (Bt) in 'Quimba', that is, the minimum for the growth of the reproductive organs of feijoa, and they find

that feijoa is a plant well-adapted to cold conditions. They register 3.04°C Bt for the flower bud phase before anthesis and from anthesis to fruit set, while the Bt for the phase from fruit set until its harvest is only 1.76°C, lasting 189 d from flower bud until the fruit harvest at 2651 GDD. Also, in other fruit trees from Andean areas, Bt was calculated for fruit development as low as that for cape gooseberry with 1.9°C (Salazar *et al.*, 2008) and 0.01°C for that of curuba (banana passion fruit) (Mayorga *et al.*, 2020). Parra-Coronado *et al.* (2015b) recommend that the prediction of a time course based on the growing-degree days (in this case from flower bud to fruit maturity) can be applied to schedule harvest and crop management practices related to the phenological phases of the plant.

Solar radiation

Reproductive stages like flowering, pollination, fruit set, and fruit fillings are favored in free exposure to solar radiation (≥ 1500 h of direct sunlight per year), since they adapt well to full luminosity, as long as there are no dry and high temperature conditions (Fischer, 2003; Fischer *et al.*, 2020). According to Quintero-Castillo (2003), in sites where there are only 1000 h of direct sunlight/year, but with very favorable agro-ecological and crop management conditions (irrigation, fertilization, pruning, pollination), it is possible to obtain a good production. Parra-Coronado *et al.* (2015a) harvested 44% larger fruits at higher altitudes (2580 m a.s.l.), at which the accumulated solar radiation before harvest was 20% higher than at the lower site (1800 m a.s.l.).

Despite the fact that feijoa tolerates partial shade and at sites with < 1500 h of direct sunlight/year, Duarte and Paull (2015) recommended training the tree canopy to a central leader (in a pyramid shape) to take full advantage of the light. Quintero (2012) also highlights the advantages of forming pyramidal-shaped tree crowns with three branching levels of horizontal bent primary laterals, allowing a better incidence of light on the flower buds and higher photosynthetic rates of the leaves, compared to a tree using straighter branches or a free growth like a bush. Martínez-Vega *et al.* (2008) harvested smaller fruits in the inner and outer crown of the basal part of the feijoa tree, where only 35 and 54% of the external solar radiation falls on a full sunny day (Fig. 1).

Times of high and prolonged solar radiation that commonly occur with excessive heat and drought (as during the “El Niño Phenomenon” in northern South America) can cause fruit burning when not protected by the foliage (Fischer, 2003).

Martínez-Vega *et al.* (2008) studied the effect of fruit position on 6-year-old feijoa trees, cv. Quimba, trained in three branching levels with horizontal bent laterals (Fig. 1), in an orchard located at 2350 m a.s.l. in the municipality of La Vega (Cundinamarca, Colombia). The physiologically ripe fruits harvested in the outer middle quadrant (Fig. 1) showed higher fresh weight, while fruit color was more intense green at the base and inside the crown. This confirms that the fruits growing in the outer middle quadrant stand out for good overall features. The fruits of the upper quadrant develop a higher maturity ratio (total soluble solids/total titratable acidity) but with a lower weight. This confirms the large effects of the differential microclimates on the tree canopy and on the quality of the fruits, taking into account that the leaves on the upper periphery of the feijoa tree have a greater number of stomata (Naizaque *et al.*, 2014). However, trees are exposed to elevated solar radiation (especially UV) in the high tropics and must adapt to stress conditions due to very high temperatures that can cause situations of stomatal closure and photoinhibition that also limit fruit filling (Fischer & Orduz-Rodríguez, 2012). On cloudy days, Martínez-Vega *et al.* (2008) recorded differences in incident radiation in the different parts of the canopy that are much less pronounced than on a sunny day (Fig. 1).




FIGURE 1. Distribution of the quadrants in the crown of the feijoa tree: 1) internal base, 2) external base, 3) internal medium, 4) external medium, and 5) superior, including the fresh weight of the fruits (g) and the percentages of the incident radiation on a sunny day (on average 1920 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and (in parentheses) on a cloudy day (on average 348 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). Modified from Martínez-Vega *et al.* (2008) with permission of the Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Hortícolas.

Altitude

Since the tropics are areas with thermal uniformity (i.e., without seasons of temperature), thermal zones are formed with a reduction in temperature as altitude increases, in

which the only contrast is between day and night. Some authors describe for the high tropical zones the daytime as summer and the nighttime as winter (Fischer & Orduz-Rodríguez, 2012). These authors define the climatological changes that occur with increasing altitude as a reduction in temperature of 0.6°C on average per 100 m, a decrease in the partial pressure of gases such as O₂, CO₂, N₂ and water vapor as well as decreased precipitation (from 1300-1500 m a.s.l.), while UV, visible and infrared radiation, and wind increase with tropical elevation.

The adaptation of the feijoa to the tropical highland climate comes from its area of origin (Jackson & Looney, 1999) at about 1000 m a.s.l. in southern Brazil. In Colombia, commercial feijoa plantations adapt well to a range between 1800 and 2700 m a.s.l. (Parra-Coronado *et al.*, 2019), as do deciduous fruit trees and strawberries (Fischer & Orduz-Rodríguez, 2012). The optimal range between 2100 and 2600 m a.s.l. is apparently the best for feijoa (Duarte & Paull, 2015). Quintero-Monroy (2014) mentions that plantations >2700 m a.s.l. lack economic profitability because plants grow too slowly and show inferior production and fruit quality.

For Barrero (1993), the ideal altitudinal zone for commercial feijoa production in Colombia lies between 2000 and 2400 m, while areas lower than 1800 m are not consistent for correct crop behavior. Pachón and Quintero (1992) point out that at altitudes below 1600 m (e.g. the Colombian coffee zone) the growth of the plant is limited by the *Anastrepha* sp. fruit fly. However, Fischer (2003) reports that the fruit fly has been found up to altitudes of 2600 m in Tibasosa (Boyaca). In general, Quintero (2012) states that the efficient control of fruit flies (the most restrictive pest of feijoa) is easier in orchards in tropical highland areas above 2000 m a.s.l. and recommends the production of organic feijoas at altitudes higher than 2500 m.

The adaptation to these relatively high altitudes favors the thick cuticle and the small size of the leaves of the feijoa (Schotsmans *et al.*, 2011), which reduces transpiration in dry seasons and also filters UV radiation, avoiding its mutagenic effects and favoring their phytosanitary status (Fischer & Orduz-Rodríguez, 2012).

Although the cool Andean highland conditions postpone the harvest date (Mayorga *et al.*, 2020), this results in larger and better-quality fruits compared to lower growing sites (Parra-Coronado *et al.*, 2015a). Fischer and Orduz-Rodríguez (2012) report that apples from plantations in high altitude tropical regions (2400-2700 m a.s.l.) stand

out for their juiciness, coloration, aroma, compact pulp and firm texture.

Water

Precipitation between 700 and 1200 mm/year favors the production of feijoa, and the fruit tolerates up to about 2000 mm if there is good light and low relative humidity (RH) (Duarte & Paull, 2015) of around 70% (Pachón & Quintero, 1992). Fischer (2003) points out that the pattern of rainfall during the course of the year is important for flowering and fruit set. Therefore, a season without rain favors flowering, which is still improved if there is irrigation at these stages at the beginning of the reproductive phase and during fruit filling (Fischer *et al.*, 2012). Quintero (2012) states that in commercial plantations an adequate and regular water supply is essential for meeting the requirements of the tree at its full vegetative and reproductive growth, allowing two harvests per year in areas where a bimodal rainfall regime prevails (Duarte & Paull, 2015). Feijoa shows certain characteristics of drought tolerance due to its thick leaf and fruit cuticles. Intense and prolonged dry periods can generate leaf, flower and fruit fall (Jackson & Looney, 1999), but they can also interrupt plant development and delay fruit ripening (Fischer, 2003). In turn, Tocornal (1988) indicates that feijoa has a very fibrous and superficial root system that increases its sensitivity to water stress, so that additional irrigation during dry seasons favors production.

Germanà and Continella (2004) compared feijoa ecophysiological behavior with that of avocado and custard apple and found that feijoa showed low water use efficiency (WUE) and high respiration rates, primarily during flowering, when the energy demand of this tree is very high. Feijoa leaves mainly showed higher transpiration rates than the other two species, due to their low stomatal resistance that causes a WUE of only 1/3 compared to avocado and custard apple (Germanà & Continella, 2004).

Quintero-Monroy (2014) states that the most productive crops of feijoa are found in areas where the full flowering season coincides with the dry season, but if the flowering peak coincides with high rainfall, the flowers fall due to the incidence of *Botrytis cinerea*, because these organs form small concavities, in which water accumulates and causes rot. Also, elevated rainfall makes it difficult to pollinate flowers, because the avian pollinating agents do not fly much in rainy seasons to avoid wetting their plumage or the negative effects of falling water on the leaves of the plant (Quintero-Monroy, 2014). The same author points out that poorly pollinated flowers could be aborted prior to fruit set and, in this case, subsequent fruits that are formed are low-caliber and asymmetric.

Drier conditions favor floral induction in feijoa (Peña-Baracaldo & Cabezas-Gutiérrez, 2014) confirmed in plants of cv. Tibasosa (3 years old) where a deficit irrigation of only 25% for 406 d induced the highest number of flower buds compared to irrigation with 100, 75, 50 and 0% of water. A dry season clearly benefits flowering in this species, while a wet one decreases it (Quintero-Monroy, 2014). However, due to climate change, there are no longer well-defined dry seasons, because dry seasons now occur at different times of the year. To have good feijoa production, pruning should be carried out about 60 d before full blooming and this should be during the dry season. But due to the uncertainty of the climate, it is possible to increase flowering with the application of phosphorus, using KH_2PO_4 (0.5%), as found by García *et al.* (2008) in cultivar 41.

Water stress can limit the accumulation of dry matter (DM) in feijoa leaves. Therefore, Omarova *et al.* (2020) recommend selecting varieties that are more resistant to water deficiency and that do not decrease their concentration of leaf DM under the conditions of water stress. These authors registered varieties such as ‘Sentiabraskaja’ that, during water stress, accumulated a higher leaf DM than others, and the dry season coincides with the time of fruiting. Likewise, Omarova *et al.* (2020) observe that, in periods of high thermohydric stress, the studied varieties increase the content of the osmoprotector proline in leaves between 1.5 and 2.8 times. These authors also stated that the resistance of plants to adverse environmental factors is highly determined by the activation of the enzymatic system of antioxidants that can inhibit the damaging effect of oxidative stress.

In general, feijoa thrives well in regions that have a RH of around 70% (Pachón & Quintero, 1992) and RH >70% for prolonged periods increase the incidence of diseases, mainly *Botrytis* during flowering. Therefore, Duarte and Paull (2015) highlight dry conditions in combination with high luminosity as the most important for avoiding this disease and guaranteeing a high percentage of well-curdled fruits. Feijoa resists high RH during short periods (Fischer, 2003). In areas where these humid conditions are regularly prolonged, the formation of the cone-shaped (pyramidal) tree is the most productive, despite the incidence of *Botrytis* compared to trees in free growth or with an excess of branches (Quintero-Castillo, 2003). In addition, high RH favors the incidence of epiphytes on the trunk and branches of the crown as well as mosses and lichens that secrete substances affecting plant health (Quintero-Monroy, 2014).

Studies in Suba (Cundinamarca) by Galvis *et al.* (1999) and in Tibana (Boyaca) by Naizaque *et al.* (2014) show that leaf

transpiration of the feijoa is greater in the upper stratum of the crown than in the lower, with more stomata in the upper periphery ($91/\text{mm}^2$) than in the lower part ($78/\text{mm}^2$) of the plant (Naizaque *et al.*, 2014). These authors observe that the transpiratory rate increases according to increases in temperature and solar radiation in the crown but decreases with the increase of RH in the tree (Fig. 2).




FIGURE 2. Effect of the increase in relative humidity on leaf transpiration in the upper and lower stratum of the canopy of feijoa trees (Naizaque *et al.*, 2014). With permission of Revista U.D.C.A Actualidad & Divulgación Científica.

The feijoa plant shows some tolerance to salinity in the soil and irrigation water, but it reduces tree growth and production (Duarte & Paull, 2015). However, Casierra-Posada and Rodríguez (2006) do not observe differences in dry mass distribution and tree production when they add 0, 20, 40, 60 and 80 mM NaCl to the substrate (corresponding to an electrical conductivity (EC) of 2, 8, 4.6, 6.1, 8.4 and 11.0 dS m^{-1}), increasing the level weekly until reaching this concentration of salts. They only record reduced evapotranspiration with increasing salinity. The authors of this review observed leaf fall and low flowering on feijoa trees in a plot in Mosquera (Cundinamarca) with an EC between 4 and 6 dS m^{-1} . Due to the lack of research on this topic, more studies are necessary.

Wind

Feijoa trees, due to the strong structure of branches and their small and thick leaves, are relatively resistant to the wind (including salty wind from the sea), for which they are used mixed with other species in live barriers against this element (Duarte & Paull, 2015). Gentle winds are important for drying the plant after a rain, avoiding fungal diseases, cooling the leaves on hot days, and renewing

the CO₂ concentration in the crown (Fischer & Orduz-Rodríguez, 2012).

Conclusions

The study of environmental effects - now altered by climate change - is of utmost importance for finding feasible growing sites for promising fruit species. The feijoa plant in Colombia adapts to climatic conditions between medium and cold climate (1800-2700 m a.s.l.), but high temperatures affect the beginning of fruiting. Due to its site of origin in the highlands, the plant resists temperatures below 0°C and has a base temperature of 3.04°C for the initial phases of its reproductive stage. It is well-adapted to a great number of direct sunlight hours, but extremely high solar radiation intensities decrease the size and can burn the fruit. The greater radiation accumulated during fruit development produces larger fruits. For floral induction, flowering and fruit set, drier environmental conditions and a relative humidity around 70% are favorable. There exists no concluding information on the tolerance to salinity and waterlogging. The strong trunk and branch structure of feijoa favors its good wind resistance.

Author's contributions

The two authors declare that each one contributed effectively to the design of the document, the obtaining of the bibliographic material, the writing and editing of this review article.

Literature cited

- Amarante, C. V. T., Souza, A. G., Beninca, T. D. T., Steffens, C. A., & Ciotta, M. N. (2019). Physicochemical attributes and functional properties of flowers of Brazilian feijoa genotypes. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 54, Article e00445. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-3921.pab2019.v54.00445>
- Barrero, F. (1993). La ecotecnología en el contexto de la extensión y el desarrollo rural. *Agro-Desarrollo*, 4(1-2), 104-117.
- Borsuk, L. J., Saifert, L., Otálora, J. M., Sánchez, F. D., & Nodari, R. O. (2015). Phenotypic variability in feijoa fruits [*Acca sellowiana* (O. Berg.) Burret] on indigenous lands, quilombolas communities and protected areas in the south of Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, 39(1), Article e-699. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452017699>
- Cabrera, D., Vignale, B., & Pritsch, C. (2018). *Acca sellowiana* Berg Burret. Instituto Interamericano de Cooperación para la Agricultura (IICA).
- Casierra-Posada, F., & Rodríguez, S. Y. (2006). Tolerancia de plantas de feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [Berg] Burret) a la salinidad por NaCl. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 24(2), 258-265.
- California Rare Fruit Growers. (1996). *Feijoa*. <https://crfg.org/wiki/fruit/feijoa/>
- DANE. (2020). Encuesta Nacional Agropecuaria (ENA), primer semestre 2019. Boletín Técnico. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística.
- Dhankher, O. P., & Foyer, C. H. (2018). Climate resilient crops for improving global food security and safety. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 41(5), 877-884. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13207>
- Donazzolo, J., Ornellas, T. S., Bizzocchi, L., Vilperte, V., & Nodari, R. O. (2015). O armazenamento refrigerado prolonga a viabilidade de sementes de goiabeira-serrana. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, 37(3), 748-754. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-2945-179/14>
- Donazzolo, J., Turra, E. L. C., Voss, L. C., Danner, M. A., Citadin, I., & Nodari, R. O. (2019). Reproductive biology and flowering of feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* (Berg) Burret) in areas of marginal occurrence. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 11(8), 156-164. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v11n8p156>
- Duarte, O., & Paull, R. E. (2015). *Exotic fruits and nuts of the new world*. CABI Publishing.
- Ducroquet, J. P. H. J., Hickel, E. R., & Nodari, R. O. (2000). *Goiabeira-serrana (Feijoa sellowiana* Berg). Série Frutas Nativas, 5. FUNEP.
- Farias, D. P., Neri-Numa, I. A., Araújo, F. F., & Pastore, G. M. (2020). A critical review of some fruit trees from the Myrtaceae family as promising sources for food applications with functional claims. *Food Chemistry*, 306, Article 125630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125630>
- Finatto, T., Dos Santos, K. L., Steiner, N., Bizzocchi, L., Holderbaum, D. F., Ducroquet, J. P. H. J., Guerra, M. P., & Nodari, R. O. (2011). Late-acting self-incompatibility in *Acca sellowiana* (Myrtaceae). *Australian Journal of Botany*, 59(1), 53-60.
- Fischer, G. (2003). Ecofisiología, crecimiento y desarrollo de la feijoa. In G. Fischer, D. Miranda, G. Cayón, & M. Mazorra (Eds.), *Cultivo, poscosecha y exportación de la Feijoa (Acca sellowiana* Berg.) (pp. 9-26). Produmedios.
- Fischer, G., Ebert, G., & Lüdders, P. (2007). Production, seeds and carbohydrate contents of cape gooseberry (*Physalis peruviana* L.) fruits grown at two contrasting Colombian altitudes. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality*, 81(1), 29-35.
- Fischer, G., & Orduz-Rodríguez, J. O. (2012). Ecofisiología en frutales. In G. Fischer (Ed.), *Manual para el cultivo de frutales en el trópico* (pp. 54-72). Produmedios.
- Fischer, G., Ramírez, F., & Casierra-Posada, F. (2016). Ecophysiological aspects of fruit crops in the era of climate change. A review. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 34(2), 190-199. <https://doi.org/10.15446/agron.colomb.v34n2.56799>
- Fischer, G., Melgarejo, L. M., & Cutler, J. (2018). Pre-harvest factors that influence the quality of passion fruit: A review. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 36(3), 217-226. <https://doi.org/10.15446/agron.colomb.v36n3.71751>
- Fischer, G., & Melgarejo, L. M. (2020). The ecophysiology of cape gooseberry (*Physalis peruviana* L.) - an Andean fruit crop. A review. *Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Hortícolas*, 14(1), 76-89. <https://doi.org/10.17584/rcch.2020v14i1.10893>
- Fischer, G., & Melgarejo, L. M. (2021). Ecophysiological aspects of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). A review. *Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Hortícolas*, 15(2), Article e12355. <https://doi.org/10.17584/rcch.2021v15i2.12355>

- Fischer, G., Parra-Coronado, A., & Balaguera-López, H. E. (2020). Aspectos del cultivo y de la fisiología de la feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [Berg] Burret). Una revisión. *Ciencia y Agricultura*, 17(3), 11–24. https://doi.org/10.19053/01228420.v.17.n3_2020.11386
- Galvis, J. A., Hernández, M. S., & Fischer, G. (1999). Transpiración de la feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* Burret) en la Sabana de Bogotá. *Revista Comalfe*, 26(1–3), 56–61.
- García, O. J., Dueñez, E. Y., Fischer, G., Chaves, B., & Quintero, O. C. (2008). Efecto del nitrato de potasio, fosfato de potasio y ethephon en la inducción floral de la feijoa o goiabeira serrana (*Acca sellowiana* [O. Berg] Burret). *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, 30(3), 577–584.
- Germanà, C., & Continella, A. (2004). Physiological behaviour of some subtropical species in Mediterranean area. *Acta Horticulturae*, 632, 117–123. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2004.632.15>
- González-García, K. E., Guerra-Ramírez, D., del Ángel-Coronel, O. A., & Cruz-Castillo, J. G. (2018). Physical and chemical attributes of feijoa fruit in Veracruz, Mexico. *Revista Chapingo Serie Horticultura*, 24(1), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.5154/r.rchsh.2017.01.006>
- Jackson, D. I., & Looney, N. E. (1999). *Temperate and subtropical fruit production*. CABI Publishing.
- Lambers, H., Chapin III, F. S., & Pons T. L. (2008). *Plant physiological ecology*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-78341-3>
- Magri, A., Adiletta, G., & Petriccione, M. (2020). Evaluation of antioxidant systems and ascorbate-glutathione cycle in feijoa edible flowers at different flowering stages. *Foods*, 9(1), Article 95. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9010095>
- Martínez-Vega, R. R., Fischer, G., Herrera, A., Chaves, B., & Quintero, O. C. (2008). Características físico-químicas de frutos de feijoa influenciadas por la posición en el canopi. *Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Hortícolas*, 2(1), 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.17584/rcch.2008v2i1.1170>
- Mayorga, M., Fischer, G., Melgarejo, L. M., & Parra-Coronado, A. (2020). Growth, development and quality of *Passiflora tripartita* var. *mollissima* fruits under two environmental tropical conditions. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality*, 93(1), 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.5073/JABFQ.2020.093.009>
- Mitra, S. K. (2010). Important *Myrtaceae* fruit crops. *Acta Horticulturae*, (849), 33–38. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2010.849.2>
- Mittler, R. (2006). Abiotic stress, the field environment and stress combination. *Trends in Plant Science*, 11(1), 15–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2005.11.002>
- Morley-Bunker, M. (1999). Feijoas. In D. I. Jackson, & N. E. Looney (Eds.), *Temperate and subtropical fruit production* (2nd ed., pp. 267–269). CABI Publishing.
- Moretto, S. P., Nodari, E. S., & Nodari, R. O. (2014). A introdução e os usos da feijoa ou goiabeira serrana (*Acca sellowiana*): A perspectiva da história ambiental. *Fronteiras Journal of Social, Technological and Environmental Science*, 3(2), 67–79. <https://doi.org/10.21664/2238-8869.2014v3i2.p67-79>
- Naizaque, J., García, G., Fischer, G., & Melgarejo, L. M. (2014). Relación entre la densidad estomática, transpiración y las condiciones ambientales en feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [O. Berg] Burret). *Revista U.D.C.A Actualidad y Divulgación Científica*, 17(1), 115–121. <https://doi.org/10.31910/rudca.v17.n1.2014.946>
- Omarova, Z., Platonova, N., Belous, O., & Omarov, M. (2020). Evaluation of the physiological state of feijoa (*Feijoa sellowiana* Berg) in subtropical Russia. *Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences*, 14, 286–291. <https://doi.org/10.5219/1290>
- Ouaftaa, A. F., Maryama, E., Abakar, A. H. A., & Mohamed, B. (2019). Chemical composition of isoflavones compounds and antioxidants from *Feijoa sellowiana* leaves. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 9(1), 120–124. <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscbps.2019.9.1.0157>
- Pachón, G., & Quintero, O. (1992). La feijoa (*Feijoa sellowiana* Berg.) fruta promisoriosa para Colombia. *Acta Horticulturae*, 310, 239–248. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1992.310.29>
- Parra-Coronado, A., & Fischer, G. (2013). Maduración y comportamiento poscosecha de la feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* (O. Berg) Burret). Una revisión. *Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Hortícolas*, 7(1), 98–110. <https://doi.org/10.17584/rcch.2013v7i1.2039>
- Parra-Coronado, A., Fischer, G., & Camacho-Tamayo, J. H. (2015a). Development and quality of pineapple guava fruit in two locations with different altitudes in Cundinamarca, Colombia. *Bragantia*, 74(3), 359–366. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4499.0459>
- Parra-Coronado, A., Fischer, G., & Chaves-Cordoba, B. (2015b). Tiempo térmico para estados fenológicos reproductivos de la feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* (O. Berg) Burret). *Acta Biológica Colombiana*, 20(1), 167–177. <https://doi.org/10.15446/abc.v20n1.43390>
- Parra-Coronado, A., Fischer, G., & Camacho-Tamayo, J. H. (2016). Growth model of the pineapple guava fruit as a function of thermal time and tropical altitude. *Ingeniería e Investigación*, 36(3), 6–14. <https://doi.org/10.15446/ing.investig.v36n3.52336>
- Parra-Coronado, A., Fischer, G., & Camacho-Tamayo, J. H. (2019). Influencia de las condiciones climáticas de cultivo en la calidad en cosecha y en el comportamiento poscosecha de frutos de Feijoa. *Tecnología en Marcha*, 32, 86–92. <https://doi.org/10.18845/tm.v32i7.4264>
- Peña-Baracaldo, F. J., & Cabezas-Gutiérrez, M. (2014). Aspectos ecofisiológicos de la feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* Berg) bajo condiciones de riego y déficit hídrico. *Revista U.D.C.A Actualidad y Divulgación Científica*, 17(2), 381–390. <https://doi.org/10.31910/rudca.v17.n2.2014.241>
- Perea-Dallos, M., Fischer, G., & Miranda-Lasprilla, D. (2010). Feijoa. *Acca sellowiana* Berg. In M. Perea-Dallos, L. P. Mattallana-Ramírez, & A. Tirado-Perea (Eds.), *Biotecnología aplicada al mejoramiento de los cultivos de frutas tropicales* (pp. 330–349). Editorial Universidad Nacional de Colombia.
- Phan, A. D. T., Chaliha, M., Sultanbawa, Y., & Netzel, M. E. (2019). Nutritional characteristics and antimicrobial activity of Australian grown feijoa (*Acca sellowiana*). *Foods*, 8(9), Article 376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8090376>
- Phan, A. D. T., Chaliha, M., Bicknel, R., Sultanbawa, Y., & Netzel, M. E. (2020). Nutritional characteristics of Australian grown feijoa (*Acca sellowiana*) and its antimicrobial activity. *Proceedings*, 36(1), Article 100. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2019036100>

- Puppo, M., Rivas, M., Franco, J., & Barbieri, R. L. (2014). Propuesta de descriptores para *Acca sellowiana* (Berg.) Burret. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, 36(4), 957–970. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-2945-393/13>
- Quintero-Castillo, O. (2003). Selección de cultivares, manejo del cultivo y regulación de cosechas de feijoa. In G. Fischer, D. Miranda, G. Cayón, & M. Mazorra (Eds.), *Cultivo, poscosecha y exportación de la Feijoa (Acca sellowiana Berg.)* (pp. 49–71). Produmedios.
- Quintero, O. C. (2012). Feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* Berg). In Fischer, G. (Ed.), *Manual para el cultivo de frutales en el trópico* (pp. 443–473). Produmedios.
- Quintero-Monroy, O. C. (2014, April 8–10). *La feijoa en Colombia* [Conference presentation]. VI Encontro sobre Pequenas Frutas e Frutas Nativas do Mercosul, Pelotas, RS, Brazil.
- Ramírez, F., & Kallarackal, J. (2015). *Responses of fruit trees to global climate change*. Springer Briefs in Plant Science, Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14200-5>
- Ramírez, F., & Kallarackal, J. (2017). Feijoa [*Acca sellowiana* (O. Berg) Burret] pollination: A review. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 226, 333–341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.08.054>
- Ramírez, F., & Kallarackal, J. (2018a). *Tree pollination under global climate change*. Springer Briefs in Agriculture, Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73969-4>
- Ramírez, F., & Kallarackal, J. (2018b). Phenological growth stages of feijoa [*Acca sellowiana* (O. Berg) Burret] according to the BBCH scale under tropical Andean conditions. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 232, 184–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.12.059>
- Rom, C. R. (1996). Environmental factors regulating growth: light, temperature, water and nutrition. In K. M. Maib, P. K. Andrews, G. A. Lang, & K. Mullinix, (Eds.), *Tree fruit physiology: growth and development - A comprehensive manual for regulating deciduous tree fruit growth and development* (pp. 11–30). Washington State Fruit Commission and Good Fruit Growers.
- Sachet, M. R., Citadin, I., Guerrezi, M. T., Pertille, R. H., Donazzolo, J., & Nodari, R. O. (2019). Non-destructive measurement of leaf area and leaf pigments in feijoa trees. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*, 23(1), 16–20. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v23n1p16-20>
- Salazar, M. R., Jones, J. W., Chaves, B., Cooman, A., & Fischer, G. (2008). Base temperature and simulation model for nodes appearance in cape gooseberry (*Physalis peruviana* L.). *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, 30(4), 862–867.
- Sánchez-Mora, F. D., Saifert, L., Ciotta, M. N., Ribeiro, H. N., Petry, V. S., Rojas-Molina, A. M., Lopes, M. E., Lombardi, G. G., Santos, K. L. D., Ducroquet, J. P. H. J., & Nodari, R. O. (2019). Characterization of phenotypic diversity of feijoa fruits of germplasm accessions in Brazil. *Agrosystems, Geosciences and Environment*, 2(1), Article 190005. <https://doi.org/10.2134/age2019.01.0005>
- Schotsmans, W. C., East, A., Thorp, G., & Woolf, A. B. (2011). Feijoa (*Acca sellowiana* [Berg] Burret). In E. M. Yahia (Ed.), *Postharvest biology and technology of tropical and subtropical fruits. Volume 3: Cocona to mango* (pp. 115–133). Woodhead Publishing.
- Sharpe, R. H., Sherman, W. B., & Miller, E. P. (1993). Feijoa, history and improvement. *Selected Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society*, 106, 134–139.
- Stanley, J., & Warrington, J. (1984). Seasonal frost tolerance of feijoa (*Feijoa sellowiana*). *New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 12(4), 315–317.
- Stewart, A. M., & Craig, J. L. (1987) Factors affecting pollinator effectiveness in *Feijoa sellowiana*. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, 17, 145–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0/01140671.1989.10428023>
- Tocornal, G. (1988). La feijoa. In *Frutales no tradicionales: Kaki, feijoa, níspero, zarzaparrilla*. *Publicaciones Misceláneas Agrícola No. 20* (pp. 125–153). Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias y Forestales.
- Thorp, G. (2008). Feijoa *Acca sellowiana* (Berg) Burret, Myrtaceae. In Janick, J., & Paull, R. E. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of fruit and nuts* (pp. 526–533). CAB International.
- Ubeda, C., Hornedo-Ortega, R., Cerezo, A. B., García-Parrilla, M. C., & Troncoso, A. M. (2020). Chemical hazards in grapes and wine, climate change and challenges to face. *Food Chemistry*, 314, Article 126222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126222>
- Zhu, F. (2018). Chemical and biological properties of feijoa (*Acca sellowiana*). *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 81, 121–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.09.008>