



Linhas Críticas
ISSN: 1516-4896
ISSN: 1981-0431
rvlinhas@unb.br
Universidade de Brasília
Brasil

Severo, Ricardo Gonçalves; Weller, Wivian; Araújo, Gabrielle Caseira
Right-wing and far-right youth: political positions in high school
Linhas Críticas, vol. 27, e36319, 2021, January-December
Universidade de Brasília
Brasília, Brasil

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26512/lc27202136531>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=193567258105>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

Right-wing and far-right youth: political positions in high school

Jovens de direita e extrema-direita: posicionamentos políticos no ensino médio

Jóvenes de derecha y extrema derecha: posiciones políticas en la escuela secundaria

Ricardo Gonçalves Severo

Federal University of Rio Grande, Brazil

Wivian Weller

University of Brasília, Brazil

Gabrielle Caseira Araújo

Federal University of Rio Grande, Brazil

Received in: 02/02/2021

Accepted in: 05/27/2021

Abstract

The article analyzes young people self-identified as right-wing and far-right, based on Karl Mannheim's theory of generations and his analysis of conservatism as a style of thought. The data originate from a quantitative research conducted with 2,169 respondents from high schools in three municipalities in Rio Grande do Sul/Brazil. The outcome of the study is based on the response of individuals to political self-identification, on a scale of 1 (far-left) to 10 (far-right). It is statistically significant that the identification as right-wing or far-right a male and white profile was significantly observed in private Catholic or Evangelical schools.

Keywords: Youth. Generation. High school. Political culture. Right-wing.

Resumo

O artigo analisa jovens autoidentificados como direita e extrema direita, tendo como base a teoria das gerações de Karl Mannheim e suas análises sobre o conservadorismo como um estilo de pensamento. Os dados originam-se de pesquisa quantitativa realizada em escolas de ensino médio de três municípios do Rio Grande do Sul, com 2.169 respondentes. O desfecho do estudo parte da resposta à autoidentificação política, na escala de 1 (extrema esquerda) a 10 (extrema direita). Identificou-se como estatisticamente significativos para a identificação como direita ou extrema direita o perfil masculino, branco, presente sobretudo em escolas privadas e que professam a religião católica ou evangélica.

Palavras-chave: Juventude. Geração. Ensino médio. Cultura política. Direita.

Resumen

El artículo analiza a los jóvenes autoidentificados como de derecha y extrema derecha, basándose en la teoría de las generaciones de Karl Mannheim y su análisis del conservadurismo como estilo de pensamiento. Los datos provienen de una investigación cuantitativa realizada en escuelas secundarias de tres municipios de Rio Grande do Sul, con 2.169 encuestados. El resultado del estudio parte de la respuesta a la autoidentificación política, en una escala de 1 (extrema izquierda) a 10 (extrema derecha). Se identificó como estadísticamente significativo para la identificación de derecha o extrema derecha el perfil masculino, blanco, presente principalmente en colegios privados y que profesan la religión católica o evangélica.

Palabras clave: Juventud. Generación. Bachillerato. Cultura política. Derecha.

Introduction

Although history has always registered the existence of collectives that identify with right-wing ideological thinking, the performance of these groups has received little attention in academic research on youth in Brazil throughout the 20th and early 21st centuries. According to Soares (1968, p. 243), the end of the World War II and the downfall of Nazism led the far-right to lose "its conceptualization in academic circles"¹, which can be interpreted as a possible reason for the lack of research on the subject. In Brazil, there was a gap in the field of studies on youth between the 1970s and 1980s, which only began to be filled in the 1990s (Sposito, 2018). In this new era that began in the late 1980s and extends to the first decade of the 21st century, youth cultures associated with musical styles, such as punk, rock and rap (Groppo, 1996; Herschmann, 2000; Dayrell, 2005) or with the use of violence, such as gangs, *carecas*² and organized crews (Costa, 1992; Diógenes, 1998), attracted more attention from academic researchers than studies on the ideology and political participation of young people. Another field that began to be

¹ All original quotes in Portuguese were translated to English by the authors of this article.

² Brazilian fascist youth groups.

established in the 1990s, creates a connection between the Sociology of Youth and the Sociology of Education, focusing on the relations between youth, school, work and access to higher education (Sposito, 2018). Also in this field, the construction of right-wing thinking among young people did not become a research topic.

Over the past decade, with the advance of communication technologies and mobile internet, an intense process of connectivity that changed the forms of social interaction, especially for younger generations, emerged. Through access to cyberspace, a new type of interaction is built, where ideas, opinions and positions are shared by many young people who use the language of social networks (Weller & Bassalo, 2020). In this wave, right-wing youth groups also found space to put their political and ideological protests in evidence, creating forms of action, management and participation that do not submit to the traditional media mechanisms and their selection and ranking criteria.

This article follows other studies other studies that seek to understand the emergence of these groups as well as social markers of young people who identify with right-wing thinking (Fuks, 2012; Melo, 2018; Nascimento, 2019; Pinheiro-Machado & Scalco, 2018). Therefore, the authors are based on the conceptual definition of conservatism as a style of thought (Mannheim, 1981; 1986). In this sense, a style of thought is expressed in a specific political culture as an intentional and purposeful movement in relation to the circumstances of a given period, i.e., as a style of thinking that is not static, thus assuming new appropriations and characteristics every new generation (Mannheim, 1993). In this sense, we also assume the Mannheimian premise that the generation units develop different perspectives, reactions and political positions in relation to a similar problem that, to its turn, is materialized in actions and in the adoption or creation of distinct lifestyles and thoughts within the same generational connection, even between individuals who belong to the same social environment and attend, for example, the same school. From this perspective, right-wing youths can be taken as a generational unit, or, in other words, as a more concrete adherence in relation to the one established with coevals belonging to the same generational connection (Weller & Bassalo, 2020).

We also emphasize, based on the above assumptions, that the conceptualization of the right and the far right is a complex task, since there is no universal concept that might explain different realities. We temporarily adopt the definition offered by Bobbio (2011), who perceives the naturalization of differences as a fundamental feature of the right. However, it is also necessary to consider differences that can be observed between right-wing and far-right political groups: the first is characterized by its proximity to neoliberal values and its acceptance of liberal democratic norms; whereas the second identifies with authoritarian values and rejects democratically established norms (Severo & Campos, 2020). In contemporary Brazil, far-right groups, especially throughout K-12 education are also characterized by the rejection of discussions on human rights,

diversity, difference and sexuality within the school, usually presenting religious reasons as justification. Another characteristic of these far-right groups, that operate in extreme situations, but which are not rare, is the persecution of education professionals (Severo & Gonçalves & Estrada, 2019). These considerations help us to establish a theoretical approach to *what are* right-wing and the far-right. The discussion of the Brazilian context in the next section of the article helps us to understand this phenomenon nowadays. We emphasize, however, that in this article we do not seek to define *what it means to be* right-wing or far-right, but *who* the young people in this spectrum are.

From an empirical point of view, we seek to reconstruct the social markers related to the production of right-wing and far-right thinking of the young people who participated in the research. We understand the participants can be taken as possible producers of social experiences that are related to the school life period, and more specifically to high school. We have adopted self-identification in an ideological scale (from the far-left to the far-right) as a means of approximation with the generational units in Mannheim's thought. We believe that the analysis of the ways of thinking of these generational units based on the self-identification in an ideological scale can help understand their political behavior beyond the vote decision (Fuks & Marques, 2020; Singer, 2000).

Brazilian socio-historical context and conservative reorganization

The analysis of a given generation requires apprehending the socio-historical aspects that describe which the relevant elements to produce experiences of the subjects who participate in it are (Woodman & Wyn, 2013). It is not only necessary to identify the elements, but also to verify how they enable, retain or determine the possible ways for producing these experiences, which will culminate in styles of thinking linked to different generational units.

Based on this assumption, it is necessary to understand how a given situation, which is presented in "economic, social, historical, cultural and demographic happenings or events" (Miranda, 2016, p. 133), affects people's lives and social groups, especially the younger generations and their styles of thinking. This way, the configuration of political culture, understood as a "set of subjective orientations of a social group, which could be a national population or its subgroup, about its political system" (Nishimura, 2009, p. 12), is associated with hegemonically established forms of such events or happenings, as well as the state and economic model of the country.

The construction of Brazilian society was predominantly authoritarian in all its historical periods (Chauí, 2019). From our enslaving past, throughout several dictatorships and even

in democratic periods, the country has maintained segregation forms that are based on ethnic-racial, class and gender belonging (Souza, 2017; 2018). Nevertheless, these characteristics are not static and they depend on conjunctural arrangements, which end up constituting a "pendular movement" between democratic and counter democratic periods (Avritzer, 2019). Considering these characteristics and having spent a period of 21 years of military dictatorship, during the redemocratization, and, even in the present, conservatism appears as a "shameful" element of Brazilian political culture (Pierucci, 1987), not assuming itself as such, but persisting as a dominant practice. In this context:

The traditional, authoritarian right-wing would start coexisting with the contemporary, more democratic right-wing. Both would see democracy as a strategic commitment that could ensure their survival in that political scenario [...]. Although a New Right has not been developed in Brazil considering the existing organizations or political parties in the United States and Europe, it was possible to notice similarities in the discourses, demands and in the way politicians, parties and governments take action since the 1990s. (Nishimura, 2009, p. 40)

Thus, still in an incipient importation process, neoliberalism begins to emerge as a proposal for state management. It appears as a modernizing discourse that begins to be implemented during the government of former president Collor (from 1990 to 1992) and becomes one of the main positions of the Fernando Henrique Cardoso government (from 1994 to 2001), especially after privatization and reduction of state investment in public services. At the beginning of the first decade of the 21st century, this political economic cycle, added to the straining of FHC's second term and the mobilization of popular movements, comes to an end. In 2002 Luís Inácio Lula da Silva (from 2002 to 2011) was elected, starting a new political cycle that can be denominated as social-liberalism or weak reform movement (Singer, 2012).

Such definitions considers that there was, on the one hand, the maintenance of economic agreements with economic elites, enabling the high profitability of the financial sector and the maintenance of primary surpluses; on the other hand, the implementation of social policies to low-income population, such as the "*Bolsa-família*", "*Minha casa, minha vida*" ("*My Home, My Life*") programs, and investment on infrastructure, besides the creation of new public universities and vocational institutes. This arrangement was possible due to an international economic moment that enabled national economic growth and the maintenance of a regime called "coalition presidentialism" (Limongi & Figueiredo, 1998), which is represented by an effort to keep center and right-wing parties close to Lula's government base. This cycle remained stable until approximately 2012, and the government managed to maintain the country's economic stability as a result of income transfer policy, thus avoiding the internal contamination of local markets due to the international crisis of 2008.

The Dilma Rousseff's government (from 2012 to 2016) managed, to some extent, to continue the institutional design started during Lula's government, inheriting, however, the

straining of two successive governments of the same party, an economic crisis that finally arrives in Brazil and the chauvinist attacks she receives from the opposing parties, which is based on the national authoritarian culture. At this circumstances, new contextualization of the ideological disputes that result from the cycle of Lula's public policies starts being presented.

In summary, the rise of the poorest class, made possible by economic growth, generated an increase in the consumption capacity of this population, access to public services and also reduced the labor supply that was previously absorbed by household services (Singer, 2018; Tomizaki & Daniliauskas, 2018). This generated, on the one hand, a reactionary resentment of the "middle classes" that sought to differentiate themselves by keeping a social distance from the lower-income classes especially through a type of economic apartheid that is expressed by the search for exclusivity in services (Souza, 2018).

On the other hand, the characteristics of Brazilian developmentalism enabled a restricted social mobility to jobs that were considerably better paid than the previous situation, but which did not place them in a position of a "new middle class", a term that has become common both in the media and in the government. Therefore, when this sector, which experienced an improvement in their living conditions for approximately a decade, noticed the stagnation of their condition (even if ideally perceived), they began to feel the limitations and the decline of this ascension model.

Added to these elements, the demonstrations in 2013, that began with the demand for improvements in public transportation, turned to be controlled by right-wing forces that started dominating the agenda and chose the Dilma government as a target. Still, President Dilma, by seeking to establish more republican relations with the parliament (Singer, 2018), in an attempt to abandon "coalition presidentialism", lost a significant portion of her base support in the House of Representatives and in the Senate. In institutional terms, the non-recognition of the electoral defeat by Aécio Neves (PSDB) in 2014 is also an important marker for the production of a feeling of insecurity and fragilization of the president's second term, generating new public demonstrations in 2015, this time fully captained by an already shameless and reorganized right-wing, which resulted in the 2016 coup and in PEC 95, both of which culminated in the election of Jair Bolsonaro as president of the country (Avritzer, 2019). In an ascendant movement since 2013, therefore, right-wing groups have developed and started dominating political mobilizations and took over public and virtual spaces, having social media as one of their most powerful tools to form public opinion. It is possible to perceive a common *style of thinking* (Mannheim, 1981; 1986) based on their demands and their ways of acting. An anti-communism posture resurges as a way of determining its antagonists, identifying as

such all individuals or groups that are favorable to human rights, LGBT movements, feminists, etc., and, in extreme cases, the label as communist was used by center-right politicians. In summary, these individuals rely on a moral definition of what communism would be, and understand their oponents as subjects who put the notions of “family” at risk. One of the most important groups that carry these banners out both in the civil society and in the House of the Representatives are the “neo-Pentecostals”. This group, of which the current president was a member, has a significant number of Deputies and their central questions are concerned with moral issues (Lacerda, 2019).

The young people who are the object of analysis of this article were high school students in the year 2019 and are in direct or indirect contact with political demonstrations, having also taken a more active participation in politics since 2018 and because of the presidential elections. However, even though they did not participate in mobilizations and debates in the years of 2013, 2015 and 2016, they are heirs of “collective orientations” (Mannheim, 1982), that were outlined in this period and that marked the transition of these young people from middle school to high school.

The analytical path of this research³ considered the observations of Tomizaki & Daniliauskas that:

The study of the relations between youth and politics requires a focus on the effects of different educational processes and groups (family, school, church, network associations, workplace), in order to understand what would be their weight on the development of political positions and actions of young people (2018, p. 217).

In doing so, we sought to identify and analyze the social markers of those who identify with the right-wing thinking that has become expressive in the current political situation, considering the forms of sociability of these young people.

About the research and sample profile

The field research was carried out from September until November 2019⁴. The first step of the research consisted in a survey in printed format, applied to a representative sample of students enrolled in regular high schools (private and public institutions run by the State or by the Federal Government). The investigations were concentrated in the cities of Pelotas (which has 10,309 high school students), Caxias do Sul (14,745 students), and Porto Alegre (38,979 students), an amount of 64,033 students, according to the school census of the

³ This article is bounded to the research project “Análise das experiências de socialização e construção de valores da juventude do Rio Grande do Sul”, funded by CNPq (Process number 429036/2018-3).

⁴ We are grateful to Charlene Origuela Gaspar de Pinho, Cristiane Mortágua Oliveira, Fernanda Alves Rocha, Giovanna Lazzeri Franqui, Marília Zuchoski Neves, Naiara de Cássia Cardoso de Paiva, Sarys Amanda Avila Petito and Thaiane das Silva Davia, the field team that applied the questionnaires, without whom this work could not be performed.

Instituto Nacional de Estudos e Pesquisas Anísio Teixeira (Inep, 2018). Considering this universe and the need of a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 2 percentage points, the number of questionnaires designed for application was 2,315. Due to the cancellation of visits to two state schools, we reached the number of 2,169 questionnaires, applied in 19 schools. The distribution of the questionnaires among the municipalities was 441 for Pelotas, 538 for Caxias do Sul, and 1,190 for Porto Alegre, considering the proportion of enrollments in state, federal and private schools (1,464, 281, and 423 questionnaires⁵, respectively). The selection of these cities was made in search of greater representation in the distribution of Rio Grande do Sul, besides the capital, in municipalities with a population greater than 200,000 inhabitants. The schools were randomly selected, and we sought to keep the proportionality in the three different education environments, but discretely increasing the number of students from the federal schools in order to provide statistical relevance within the sample.

General aspects of the sample

Considering the general data of the sample, the average age of the interviewees was 16.7 years, with a little more than half of the sample composed by women (50.8%). Seven out of ten students declared their skin color to be white. Two thirds of young people (65.4%) declared that they only study and do not work, and more than half live with parents (52.4%). Three out of ten declared having no religion (35.7%); Catholic (30.4%) and Evangelical (17%) were the most cited religions. They also declared to be children of parents who are formally employed (35.9% and 38.3% for father and mother, respectively). WhatsApp was the most used social network by almost half of young people (48.5%), and the most frequently reported reasons for accessing the internet were chatting on WhatsApp/Messenger or accessing profiles on social networks (26.2% and 29, 1%, respectively). For 34.1% of young people, family members are the most reliable source of information and social networks were reported as the less reliable source of information for 69.3% of respondents.

Analysis by ideology outcome: right-wing and far-right

Based on the sources we studied (Mannheim, 1981; 1986; Singer, 2000), ideology is an important characteristic for the understanding and identification of political behaviors, which are based on social experiences that, on the other hand, are related to the social

⁵ We chose to discretely increase the number of questionnaires applied to private and federal schools in order to make the comparison statistically relevant.

spaces of the subjects. These social spaces are also shaped by social markers such as class, race, gender, generation, religion, among others. The outcome of this study, focused on understanding the political identification and ideological disposition of young people, was constituted from the answer to question 20 of the questionnaire applied to students: "How do you identify yourself politically, considering that on the scale below, 1 would be the far-left and 10 is the far-right?" Besides the numerical scale, respondents could also choose the alternative "I do not identify with any" or "I do not know how to reply". For differentiation, based on question 20, the answers were grouped into: far-left (1 and 2), left-wing (3 and 4), center (5 and 6), right-wing (7 and 8) and far-right (9 and 10). Within this scale, we obtained 1,567 (72.25%) respondents and they constitute the outcome of this study.

Students who said that they didn't know the answer or didn't answer (27.75%) were excluded from the analysis. The ideological distribution of those who remained in the analysis, i.e., 72.25%, has the following configuration: 8.8% on the far-left, 21.4% on the left-wing, 21.5% on the center, 15.8% on the right-wing, 5.5% on the far-right, and, finally, 27% who do not identify themselves at any point within the scale. For this article, we elect the right-wing and far-right groups, considering the sociodemographic profile, the questions related to information consumption and, finally, aspects regarding sociability and political culture based on the statistical analysis model used, as detailed below.

It might be easier to understand the analysis and results presented here if we explain some basic concepts. The *p-value*, represented by the letter "p" that appears at the beginning of each crude or adjusted analysis cell, refers to the probability of the result being randomly given. It is agreed that a $p < 0.05$ demonstrates that a result is statistically significant, i.e., that the values were not random and that the outcome and the analyzed characteristic are associated. When $p > 0.05$, it is not possible to mathematically state that the outcome is associated with the variable. Crude and adjusted analyses refer to the study of the outcome in relation to each of the characteristics, also called variables. Crude analysis shows the relation between variable and outcome without considering the other variables studied; the adjusted analysis shows whether the relation between variable and outcome remains when analyzed together with the other variables. These analyses are possible with the use of specific statistical tools, in this case the Poisson Regression. Poisson Regression results show the probability of the outcome occurring in relation to the comparison group and its effect measure is the prevalence ratio. The number 1.00 indicates the comparison category, and the values presented in the other(s) demonstrate or not a higher or lower probability of occurrence of the outcome when compared to the comparison group, with the numbers in parentheses being the confidence interval of the obtained measure.

For example, in Table 1, we will take as an example the gender characteristic (which will be presented below). In the last column, adjusted analysis, the effect measure presented was 3.56 (2.50-4.52) for male gender, with the female gender as reference category and $p < 0.001$. The information can be read as following: males presented 3.56 times greater probability of political identification with right-wing, or even 256% (3.56-1.00) greater probability of the outcome when compared to females.

The association, expressed by the $p < 0.05$ value and by the value of the confidence interval that does not pass through the unit, may exist in the crude analysis and disappear in the adjusted analysis. In this case, it is understood that the effect of the variable on the outcome was confounded or mediated by another variable, which was expressed with the adjustment. We consider factors associated with the outcome those that remain with significant values after the adjusted analysis, and these are the results we will discuss in this article.

The initial analysis consisted in verifying the frequency of all variables included in the hierarchical analysis model. Bivariate analysis was performed using the chi-square test and crude and adjusted multivariate analysis was performed through Poisson Regression with robust variance adjustment. The hierarchical model used was of three levels, in order to control for possible confounding factors. At the distal level, sociodemographic variables were included, at the intermediate level questions related to information consumption and the use of networks, and at the proximal level, questions related to students' sociability, culture and education. All variables that, adjusted for those of the same and previous levels, presented a p value ≤ 0.20 remained in the model. The measure of effect used was the prevalence ratio (PR). All analyses were performed using STATA 14.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). We will present in each of the following sections, in order, the most significant characteristics and the adjusted analysis, also bringing the absolute values when pertinent.

Right-wing students

Table 1

Crude and adjusted analysis for factors associated with political identification among high school students interviewed in the municipalities of Caxias do Sul, Pelotas and Porto Alegre, RS, 2019. (n=1567)

Level	Characteristic	Outcome prevalence		Analysis (Prevalence ratio and IC 95%)	
		N	%	Crude	Adjusted
I ^a	Gender (n=1566)			$p < 0,001$	$p < 0,001$
	Female	50	6,8	1,00	1,00
	Male	197	23,8	3,50 (2,61-4,70)	3,56 (2,50-4,52)

Race/color (n=1562)			p=0,001	p=0,03
White	208	18,2	1,00	1,00
Black	08	5,3	0,29 (0,15-0,58)	0,39 (0,20-0,76)
Person of Color / Mixed race	28	11,9	0,65 (0,45-0,94)	0,76 (0,53-1,09)
Indigenous	01	5,6	0,30 (0,04-2,06)	0,28 (0,04-1,78)
Asian	02	11,8	0,64 (0,17-2,39)	0,89 (0,24-3,32)
Type of school			p<0,001	p<0,001
State	130	13,0	1,00	1,00
Private	96	28,8	2,22 (1,76-2,81)	1,77 (1,39-2,25)
Federal	21	9,1	0,70 (0,45-1,09)	0,73 (0,48-1,13)
Year of high school			p=0,01	p=0,31
First	124	17,6	1,00	1,00
Second	83	17,3	0,98 (0,76-1,26)	0,98 (0,77-1,26)
Third	40	10,9	0,61 (0,44-0,86)	0,77 (0,55-1,08)
Fourth	0	0,0		
With whom they live (n=1552)			p=0,005	p=0,40
Father and mother	156	19,1	1,00	1,00
Parents and grandparents	14	19,2	1,00 (0,61-1,64)	1,15 (0,71-1,85)
Just the father/just the mother	38	10,8	0,57 (0,41-0,79)	0,78 (0,56-1,09)
Mother and stepfather/father and stepmother	24	13,0	0,68 (0,45-1,01)	0,98 (0,65-1,46)
With grandparents	02	5,0	0,26 (0,07-1,02)	0,55 (0,15-2,06)
With siblings	03	30,0	1,57 (0,60-4,09)	2,04 (0,84-4,95)
With other relatives	05	11,9	0,62 (0,27-1,44)	1,26 (0,56-2,82)
With friends and colleagues	0	0		
Alone	01	5,0	0,26 (0,04-1,78)	0,40 (0,05-3,31)
With spouse	0	0		
Religion (n=1545)			p<0,001	p=0,007
Agnostic/No religion	78	11,7	1,00	1,00
Catholic	105	23,1	1,98 (1,52-2,59)	1,57 (1,21-2,05)
Spiritist	09	11,5	0,99 (0,52-1,89)	1,32 (0,74-2,36)
Evangelical	37	15,9	1,36 (0,95-1,95)	1,57 (1,10-2,24)
Muslim	02	40,0	3,43 (1,15-10,24)	4,03 (1,42-11,46)
Umbandist	05	6,2	0,54 (0,22-1,28)	0,90 (0,38-2,13)
Lutheran	0	0,0		
Other religion	05	20,8	1,79 (0,80-4,01)	1,48 (0,69-3,16)
Occupation			p=0,08	p=0,60
Studying	182	17,5	1,00	1,00
Studying and in an internship	23	12,0	0,68 (0,46-1,03)	1,06 (0,72-1,55)
Studying and in a regular job	23	13,4	0,76 (0,51-1,14)	0,87 (0,58-1,31)
Studying and having free lance jobs	19	11,7	0,67 (0,43-1,04)	0,77 (0,49-1,20)
Most used social network (n=1533)			p<0,001	p=0,07
Facebook	12	10,7	1,00	1,00
Instagram	62	19,4	1,81 (1,01-3,24)	1,53 (0,83-2,83)
Twitter	08	5,1	0,48 (0,20-1,13)	0,51 (0,21-1,26)
WhatsApp	111	15,6	1,46 (0,83-2,55)	1,42 (0,79-2,55)
YouTube	45	22,8	2,13 (1,18-3,86)	1,57 (0,85-2,91)
Other	02	11,1	1,04 (0,25-4,26)	0,88 (0,22-3,42)

	Do not use social networks	01	5,0	0,47 (0,06-3,39)	0,53 (0,07-4,18)
	Most reliable source of information (n=1513)	92	19,5	p=0,05 1,00	p=0,16 1,00
	Relatives	48	11,7	0,60 (0,43-0,82)	0,67 (0,48-0,95)
	Teachers	08	19,0	0,97 (0,51-1,87)	0,82 (0,44-1,51)
	Friends/colleagues	27	14,7	0,75 (0,51-1,11)	1,02 (0,70-1,49)
	TV/Radio	07	20,6	1,05 (0,53-2,09)	1,06 (0,50-2,25)
	Social networks	59	15,9	0,81 (0,60-1,10)	1,03 (0,76-1,39)
	News websites				
	Less reliable source of information (n=1530)	06	9,1	p=0,003 0,67 (0,28-1,59)	p=0,28 0,68 (0,27-1,74)
	Relatives	04	36,4	2,67 (1,11-6,45)	1,40 (0,52-3,79)
	Teachers	25	16,8	1,23 (0,72-2,12)	1,17 (0,67-2,06)
	Friends/colleagues	24	27,9	2,05 (1,21-3,49)	1,58 (0,92-2,70)
	TV/Radio	163	15,2	1,12 (0,73-1,72)	1,05 (0,67-1,63)
	Social networks	20	13,6	1,00	1,00
	News websites				
	Participation in sports, musical, performing arts, dance or CTG group			p<0,001	p=0,02
	No	112	11,8	1,00	1,00
	Yes	135	21,7	1,83 (1,46-2,30)	1,34 (1,04-1,73)
	Participation in political organization			p=0,26	p=0,81
	No	240	16,0	1,00	1,00
	Yes	07	10,6	0,66 (0,33-1,35)	1,09 (0,53-2,26)
	Talk about politics (n=1561)			p<0,001	p=0,003
	Always	222	10,1	1,00	1,00
	Sometimes	139	19,9	1,97 (1,29-3,00)	1,38 (0,93-2,06)
	Rarely	75	16,5	1,62 (1,04-2,54)	1,13 (0,73-1,74)
	Never	10	5,2	0,51 (0,25-1,06)	0,44 (0,21-0,94)
	Places where they talk about politics (n=1515)	107	18,0	p=0,009 2,76 (1,48-5,15)	p=0,22 1,61 (0,69-3,75)
	Home	103	15,5	2,37 (1,27-4,43)	1,39 (0,59-3,27)
III ^c	School	21	20,0	3,06 (1,50-6,23)	1,98 (0,78-5,00)
	Internet	10	6,5	1,00	1,00
	Nowhere				
	Participation in a political demonstration (n=1559)			p=0,01	p=0,07
	No	170	17,5	1,00	1,00
	Yes	75	12,7	0,72 (0,56-0,93)	0,78 (0,60-1,02)
	Family participated/participates in political activities			p=0,63	p=0,92
	No	100	15,2	0,94 (0,75-1,19)	1,01 (0,79-1,30)
	Yes	147	16,1	1,00	1,00
	All issues should be discussed at school	171	21,9	p<0,001 1,00	p<0,001 1,00
	No	76	9,7	0,44 (0,19-0,25)	0,55 (0,42-0,71)
	Yes				

Plans after high school (n=1540)			p=0,001	p=0,20
Haven't thought about it yet	24	19,5	1,00	1,00
To work	19	18,8	0,96 (0,56-1,66)	1,04 (0,61-1,77)
To work and go to college	95	12,4	0,63 (0,42-0,95)	0,63 (0,43-0,92)
To attend a vocational institution	06	10,3	0,53 (0,23-1,23)	0,63 (0,29-1,39)
Go to college	67	18,5	0,95 (0,62-1,44)	0,78 (0,52-1,16)
Take a civil service entrance examination	07	20,0	1,02 (0,48-2,18)	0,83 (0,37-1,84)
Go to military service	23	29,5	1,51 (0,92-2,48)	0,91 (0,55-1,51)
To help the parents at home	02	13,3	0,68 (0,18-2,61)	0,91 (0,28-2,97)
Total	1567	100%		

Source: produced by the authors

Model^a: adjusted for gender, skin color, type of school and religion;

Model^b: adjusted for the model^a + most used social network and most reliable source of information;

Model^c: adjusted for the model^a + participation in sports, musical, performing arts, dance or CTG groups, talk about politics, participation in political demonstration and all issues should be discussed at school.

Considering the number of students who declared some political identification (1567), 15.8% of students (247) placed themselves in number 7 or 8 on the scale of question 20, which we characterize as right-wing. In the adjusted analysis⁶, the variables gender, race/color, type of school, religion, participation in sports, musical, performing arts, dance or CTG (*Centro de Tradições Gaúchas*)⁷ group were significantly associated with the outcome. The indicator that all issues should be discussed at school⁸, highlights the acceptance for debates about politics in school. At the same time, they pointed to the relevance of other spaces of sociability, besides family and school, as relevant for talking about politics⁹.

At the distal level, multivariate analysis showed that male students were 3.56 times more likely to have political identification with the right-wing than female students¹⁰. Black students were 61% less likely to identify politically with the right-wing when compared to white students¹¹. Private school students, when compared to state school students¹², presented 77% higher probability of the outcome and students who declared to be

⁶ We chose to use multivariate analysis due to the possibility of evaluating the direct effect of each variable on the outcome, eliminating the indirect effect of other variables analyzed in relation to the study outcome.

⁷ Regarding the block on sociability presented as follows: "Are you part of any group inside or outside your school (check up to two options)?"

⁸ Regarding the block on education presented as follows: "What issues do you think should be discussed at school (choose up to two options)?"

⁹ Regarding the block of questions about politics presented as follows: "How often do you talk about politics?"

¹⁰ In absolute numbers, 197 young men and 50 women identify with the right-wing.

¹¹ The absolute values of this public are: male (79.7%) and white (84.2%).

¹² Considering that the number of respondents from state schools average out at 67.5% of the sample, while those from private schools average out at 19.5%. In absolute values, 130 were from state schools and 96 from private schools.

Catholic and Evangelical¹³ presented greater probability of identification with right-wing positions when compared to agnostic or non-religious students (57% in both cases).

The predominance of this identification in the right-wing spectrum was higher among young people who said that the most used social media were YouTube, Instagram and WhatsApp (22.8%, 19.4% and 15.6%, respectively), however the adjusted analysis presented no statistically significant difference for the occurrence of the outcome.

Regarding political culture, students who said they never talk about politics were 56% less likely to identify with the right-wing when compared to those who said they always talk about politics.

Concerning the question about participation in political organization, considering the absolute values, 240 declare that they do not participate and 7 participate. In the question about participation in demonstrations, 170 declared they had not participated in demonstrations and 75 have already participated in demonstrations. Regarding the participation of the family in political activities, 100 declared that they did not participate in political activities and 147 that participated in political activities.

When asked about what they intend to do after finishing high school, in the adjusted analysis, it was not possible to notice significant differences about their life projects, with the highest incidence in absolute values being the options to work and go to college (N=95) and go to college (N= 67).

Far-right students

Table 2

Crude and adjusted analysis for factors associated with political identification with the far-right among high school students interviewed in the municipalities of Caxias do Sul, Pelotas and Porto Alegre, RS, 2019. (n=1567)

Level	Characteristic	Outcome prevalence		Analysis (Prevalence ratio and IC 95%)	
		N	%	Crude	Adjusted
I ^a	Gender (n=1566)			p<0,001	p<0,001
	Female	16	2,2	1,00	1,00
	Male	71	8,6	3,94 (2,31-6,72)	3,73 (2,19-6,37)
	Race/color (n=1562)			p=0,20	p=0,22
	White	73	6,4	1,00	1,00
	Black	01	0,7	0,10 (0,01-0,74)	0,11 (0,02-0,82)

¹³ Muslims appeared in the adjusted analysis with greater probability of identification with the right-wing, but in absolute numbers they represent only two respondents.

Person of Color / Mixed race	11	4,7	0,73 (0,39-1,36)	0,70 (0,39-1,29)
Indigenous	01	5,6	0,87 (0,13-5,91)	1,03 (0,16-6,68)
Asian	01	5,9	0,92 (0,13-6,24)	1,08 (0,19-6,05)
Type of school			p<0,001	p=0,01
State	52	5,2	1,00	1,00
Private	31	9,3	1,79 (1,17-2,75)	1,62 (1,05-2,50)
Federal	04	1,7	0,33 (0,12-0,91)	0,41 (0,15-1,10)
Year of high school			p=0,02	p=0,15
First	50	7,1	1,00	1,00
Second	26	5,4	0,76 (0,48-1,20)	0,79 (0,51-1,23)
Third	11	3,0	0,42 (0,22-0,80)	0,52 (0,26-1,03)
Fourth	0	0,0		
With whom they live (n=1552)			p=0,40	p=0,27
Father and mother	54	6,6	1,00	1,00
Parents and grandparents	01	1,4	0,21 (0,03-1,48)	0,21 (0,03-1,54)
Just the father/just the mother	16	4,6	0,69 (0,40-1,19)	0,95 (0,56-1,63)
Mother and stepfather/father and stepmother	06	3,2	0,49 (0,21-1,12)	0,72 (0,32-1,62)
With grandparents	02	5,0	0,76 (0,19-2,99)	1,98 (0,44-8,97)
With siblings	01	10,0	1,51 (0,23-9,89)	2,53 (0,37-17,39)
With other relatives	01	2,4	0,36 (0,05-2,54)	0,85 (0,11-6,29)
With friends and colleagues	01	10,0	1,51 (0,23-9,89)	2,36 (0,37-14,90)
Alone	02	10,0	1,51 (0,40-5,78)	3,08 (1,00-9,43)
With spouse	0	0,0		
Religion (n=1545)			p<0,001	p<0,001
Agnostic/No religion	20	3,0	1,00	1,00
Catholic	37	8,1	2,72 (1,60-4,63)	2,27 (1,32-3,91)
Spiritist	05	6,4	2,14 (0,83-5,55)	2,83 (1,11-7,23)
Evangelical	22	9,4	3,16 (1,75-5,68)	3,62 (2,03-6,46)
Muslim	0	0,0		
Umbandist	02	2,5	0,84 (0,20-3,51)	1,07 (0,25-4,59)
Lutheran	0	0,0		
Other religion	01	4,2	1,39 (0,19-9,97)	1,23 (0,18-8,45)
Occupation			p=0,17	p=0,16
Studying	57	5,5	1,00	1,00
Studying and in an internship	06	3,1	0,57 (0,25-1,30)	0,92 (0,40-2,15)
Studying and in a regular job	10	5,8	1,06 (0,55-2,04)	1,00 (0,52-1,92)
Studying and having free lance jobs	14	8,6	1,58 (0,90-2,76)	1,84 (1,05-3,21)
Most used social network (n=1533)			p=0,13	p=0,17
Facebook	06	5,4	1,00	1,00
Instagram	20	6,3	1,17 (0,48-2,84)	1,28 (0,49-2,37)
Twitter	02	1,3	0,24 (0,05-1,16)	0,47 (0,09-2,29)
WhatsApp	38	5,3	1,00 (0,43-2,31)	1,23 (0,52-2,92)
II ^b YouTube	18	9,1	1,70 (0,70-4,17)	2,05 (0,82-5,11)
Other	02	11,1	2,07 (0,45-9,50)	3,61 (0,86-15,16)
Do not use social networks	01	5,0	0,93 (0,12-7,35)	1,70 (0,24-11,93)
Most reliable source of information (n=1513)			p<0,001	p=0,01
	47	10,0	1,00	1,00

	Relatives	12	2,9	0,29 (0,16-0,54)	0,38 (0,21-0,70)
	Teachers	04	9,5	0,95 (0,36-2,52)	0,88 (0,34-2,24)
	Friends/colleagues	06	3,3	0,33 (0,14-0,75)	0,39 (0,16-0,96)
	TV/Radio	02	5,9	0,59 (0,15-2,32)	0,45 (0,15-1,34)
	Social networks	14	3,8	0,38 (0,21-0,68)	0,51 (0,27-0,97)
	News websites				
	Less reliable source of information (n=1530)	03	4,5	p<0,001 1,11 (0,29-4,32)	p<0,001 2,20 (0,54-8,92)
	Relatives	01	9,1	2,23 (0,29-16,90)	2,83 (0,52-15,37)
	Teachers	13	8,7	2,14 (0,83-5,47)	3,01 (0,14-7,31)
	Friends/colleagues	18	20,9	5,13 (2,12-12,42)	5,28 (2,37-11,78)
	TV/Radio	43	4,0	0,98 (0,42-2,27)	1,32 (0,61-2,86)
	Social networks	06	4,1	1,00	1,00
	News websites				
	Participation in sports, musical, performing arts, dance or CTG group			p=0,58	p=0,11
	No	50	5,3	1,00	1,00
	Yes	37	5,9	1,12 (0,74-1,70)	0,70 (0,45-1,08)
	Talk about politics (n=1561)			p=0,40	p=0,04
	Always	15	6,9	1,00	1,00
	Sometimes	40	5,7	0,83 (0,47-1,47)	0,70 (0,41-1,22)
	Rarely	19	4,2	0,60 (0,31-1,16)	0,42 (0,22-0,81)
	Never	13	6,8	0,98 (0,48-2,01)	1,04 (0,46-2,32)
	Place where they talk about politics (n=1515)			p=0,37	p=0,14
	Home	36	6,1	1,16 (0,55-2,45)	1,60 (0,66-3,86)
	School	31	4,7	0,89 (0,42-1,90)	1,26 (0,51-3,11)
	Internet	09	8,6	1,64 (0,65-4,11)	2,52 (0,91-6,99)
	Nowhere	08	5,2	1,00	1,00
	Participation in a political demonstration (n=1559)			p=0,04	p=0,75
III ^c	No	63	6,5	1,00	1,00
	Yes	24	4,1	0,62 (0,39-0,99)	0,92 (0,56-1,51)
	Family participated/participates in political activities			p=0,09	p=0,34
	No	43	4,7	1,42 (0,95-2,14)	1,24 (0,79-1,94)
	Yes	44	6,7	1,00	1,00
	All issues should be discussed at school			p=0,006	p=0,72
	No	56	7,2	1,00	1,00
	Yes	31	3,9	0,55 (0,36-0,84)	0,92 (0,59-1,44)
	Intend to do after high school (n=1540)			p=0,01	p=0,60
	Haven't thought about it yet	07	5,7	1,00	1,00
	To work	03	3,0	0,52 (0,14-1,97)	0,48 (0,13-1,80)
	To work and go to college	41	5,3	0,94 (0,43-2,04)	0,97 (0,47-2,01)
	To work and go to college	03	5,2	0,91 (0,24-3,39)	1,07 (0,33-3,45)
	Attend a vocational institute	17	4,7	0,82 (0,35-1,94)	0,81 (0,36-1,84)

Go to college	01	2,9	0,50 (0,06-3,95)	0,67 (0,11-4,03)
Take a civil service entrance examination	12	15,4	2,70 (1,11-6,57)	1,83 (0,74-4,56)
Go to military service	02	13,3	2,34 (0,53-10,27)	1,15 (0,31-4,25)
To help the parents at home				
Total		1567	100%	

Source: produced by the authors

Model^a: adjusted for gender, type of school, year of high school, religion and occupation;

Model^b: adjusted for the Model^a + most used social network, most reliable source of information and less reliable source of information;

Model^c: adjusted for the Model^a + participation in groups, talk about politics and place where they talk about politics.

In this segment, considering the students who seek to identify themselves in numbers 9 and 10 (far right), there are 5.5% of the sample (87 students). In the adjusted analysis, the variables gender, type of school, religion, more reliable source of information, less reliable source of information and talking about politics were significantly associated with the outcome.

At the distal level of analysis, male students presented 3.73 times greater probability of political identification with far-right when compared to female students. Studying at a private school was associated with the outcome, with these students being 1.62 times more likely to have the outcome when compared to studying at a public state school. Also at the first level of analysis, students who claimed to be Catholic, Spiritist, or Evangelical were more likely to identify with the far-right when compared to those who were agnostic or had no religion (127%, 183% and 262% higher, respectively).

At the intermediate level of analysis, the social network most used by students was not associated with identification with the far-right. Respondents who said teachers, friends or colleagues, or news websites were the most reliable source of information had lower prevalence ratios for political identification with the far-right when compared to those who considered family members the most reliable source of information. When asked about the less reliable source of information, students who said TV or radio as the less reliable source presented greater probability of identification with the far-right when compared to those who claimed that news websites were the less reliable source of news (PR=5.28 IC95%=2.37-11.78).

It discreetly differs, however, from the habit of talking about politics in relation to right-wing students. While among young people identified as right-wing the majority always talk about politics, among those on the far-right the habit is to talk sometimes (N=40), followed by rarely (N=19) and always (N=19).

In the question about participation in demonstrations, in absolute values, 63 declared they had not participated and 24 had already participated. Regarding family members

having already participated in some political activity, in absolute numbers, 43 declared no and 44 said yes.

Concerning the question of what they intend to do after finishing high school, considering absolute values, the highest incidence is those who intend to study and go to college (N=41). It is also noteworthy, in the adjusted analysis, those who intend to do military service (83%).

Discussion

Based on the data analysis, between right-wing students (7 and 8) and far-right students (9 and 10) there is a greater probability that they are men, white, and private school students. Having a religion is a significant element for this identification, especially for Catholics and Evangelicals. These characteristics are accentuated the more to the right they are located on the scale. This way, it is possible to affirm that the ideological cut and the characterization of this generational unit as right-wing and far-right, predominantly comprises white and elite (if we consider the type of school), male, and mostly evangelical young people. This last data supports the analyses about the role of neo-pentecostals groups in the current conservative era we are living in (Avritzer, 2019; Lacerda, 2019). Regarding male predominance in contemporary conservative groups, Pinheiro-Machado and Scalco (2018), in an ethnographic research conducted in the outskirts of Porto Alegre, emphasize a dominant type of masculinity associated with the spread of Bolsonaro's voters. Considering the differences in the methodological design and in the analysis of the social spaces between the authors' research and this study, this type of masculinity is similar to the profile identified as right-wing and far-right. Our results are also close to the research conducted by Klüppel (2020), who also observed the male predominance in self-identification as right-wing and far-right¹⁴.

Another relevant aspect common to the right-wing and far-right profiles concerns the fact that families are considered the most reliable source of information. Researches with young people emphasize the importance of socialization agencies in youth politicization processes, such as the family and the school (Fuks, 2011; 2012; Setton & Bozzetto, 2020). Here the data shows that there is a positive correlation between family and right-wing ideology, which is more prominent among those who identified themselves as being far-right.

Social media networks appear as the less reliable source, with the exception that for far-right students, in the adjusted analysis, the internet is the most used tool to talk about

¹⁴ In the article the author employs the differentiation of democratic right-wing and authoritarian right-wing.

politics. Such data is relevant if we consider that social media was a central tool for publicizing and organizing social mobilizations in the period from 2013 to 2018. It is also possible to observe the change of the preferred platform, with YouTube becoming more relevant, especially for the far-right. A similar trend was also observed in researches on the popularity of right-wing channels (Córdova, 2019; Silva, 2018).

Extremely low engagement in political organizations, as well as little participation in political activities in both segments was also verified. The difference between the two groups can be seen in the habit of talking about politics, which we can also relate to Klüppel's (2020) article on the low interest in politics. While right-wing students mostly declare that they always talk, with little relevance for those who never talk, among those far-right students the rule is to talk sometimes or rarely.

Regarding future projects, in both segments, the desire to enroll in higher education only or go to college and work simultaneously are the most mentioned options. In the far-right segment, the desire to join the military service stands out, which may be related both to the male predominance in this stratum and to the current political situation that increased the value of the military career and its link to the right-wing or far-right ideology.

Conclusion

As pointed out in the article, we sought to analyze the social markers related to the right-wing and far-right thinking of young people who are in high schools in three municipalities in Rio Grande do Sul. Based on Karl Mannheim, we start from the understanding that this style of thinking, identified in this research as right-wing and far-right, is representative for a generational unit that achieved greater visibility in the last decade in comparison to previous generations, who have not yet taken advantage of the new communication technologies and the mobile internet. This way, the cyberspace and the political conjuncture provided these groups with the possibility of building a more concrete adherence, which began to compete with other styles of thinking developed by other young people of the same generation and, in the case of this research, by colleagues who attend the same school.

This study showed that the identification as right-wing or far-right does not represent a majority position among young people who are in high school. On the scale of distribution of ideological positions, there is an average of 21.3%, with the highest percentage defined as right-wing (15.8%) and, to a lesser extent, as far-right (5.5%). This observation does not exclude, however, the need to dedicate more attention to these groups, both in terms of the current political conjuncture, and the need to understand the spaces of

experience in which these young people develop their orientations and their political practices, with implications aside from the sphere of these groups.

Another important aspect to be deepened and that can help in the understanding of the genesis of this style of thinking is related to the role attributed to the family as the most reliable source of information for the debate about politics and as social space where right-wing young people talk more about politics. In doing so, the study revealed the need to incorporate intergenerational relations, especially within the family. Other markers that proved to be significant in the ideological development of these young people, such as, belonging to a religious community, for example, demand more attention and analysis through other research instruments that can encompass specificities of these important social institutions.

Finally, young people's ways of communicating in relation to politics also require more attention, especially regarding the use of social media. We noticed, among both right-wing and far-right young people, a preference for non-traditional media such as the YouTube Channel, which offers a more direct and less hierarchical relation between the producer and consumer. Is there a direct influence of these social media in the construction of the style of thinking of these young people? How do these same social media networks influence the construction of different styles of thinking and distinct worldviews? These are some questions that point to the need for further studies about the political positions of these young people and their forms of participation.

References

- Avritzer, L. (2019). *O pêndulo da democracia*. Todavia.
- Bobbio, A. (2011). *Direita e esquerda: razões e significados de uma distinção política*. Unesp.
- Chauí, M. (2019). *Manifestações ideológicas do autoritarismo brasileiro*. Autêntica.
- Córdova, Y. (2019). Como o YouTube se tornou um celeiro da nova direita radical. *The Intercept Brasil*. <https://theintercept.com/2019/01/09/youtube-direita/>
- Costa, M. R. D. (1992). *Os carecas do subúrbio: caminhos de um nomadismo moderno*. Vozes.
- Dayrell, J. (2005). *A música entra em cena: o rap e o funk na socialização da juventude*. UFMG.
- Diógenes, G. M. D. S. (1998). *Cartografias da cultura e da violência: gangues, galeras e o movimento hip hop*. Annablume
- Fuks, M. (2011). Efeitos diretos, indiretos e tardios: Trajetórias da transmissão intergeracional da participação política. *Lua Nova*, 83, 145-178.

- <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-64452011000200006>
- Fuks, M. (2012). Atitudes, cognição e participação política: padrões de influência dos ambientes de socialização sobre o perfil político dos jovens. *Opinião Pública*, 18(1), 20. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-62762012000100005>
- Fuks, M., & Marques, P. H. (2020). Contexto e voto: o impacto da reorganização da direita sobre a consistência ideológica do voto nas eleições de 2018. *Opinião Pública*, 26, 401-430. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1807-01912020263401>
- Grosso, L. A. (1996). *O rock e a formação do mercado de consumo cultural juvenil: a participação da música pop-rock na transformação da juventude em mercado consumidor de produtos culturais, destacando o caso do Brasil e os anos 80*. [Dissertação de mestrado, Universidade Estadual de Campinas]. Repositório da produção científica e intelectual da Unicamp. <http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br/handle/REPOSIP/281418>
- Herschmann, M. (2000). *O funk e o hip-hop invadem a cena*. UFRJ.
- Instituto Nacional de Estudos e Pesquisas Educacionais Anísio Teixeira (Inep). (2018) *Censo Escolar*. Ministério da Educação.
- Kluppel, G. de S (2020). Raízes de uma “nova direita”: um estudo sobre a posição política de jovens brasileiros. *XI Encontro nacional Perspectivas do Ensino de História*. https://www.perspectivas2020.abeh.org.br/resources/anais/19/epenh2020/1606185210_ARQUIVO_a47d0fcad9d4a41f816711e01db3c467.pdf
- Lacerda, M. B. (2019). *O novo conservadorismo brasileiro: de Reagan a Bolsonaro*. Zouk.
- Limongi, F., & Figueiredo, A. (1998). Bases institucionais do presidencialismo de coalizão. *Lua Nova*, 44, 28. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-64451998000200005>
- Mannheim, K. (1981). O pensamento conservador. Em Martins, J. S. *Introdução Crítica à Sociologia Rural* (pp. 77-131). HUCITEC.
- Mannheim, K. (1986). *Conservatism: a contribution to the Sociology of Knowledge*. Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Mannheim, K. (1993). El problema de las generaciones. *REIS*, (62), 193-242.
- Melo, C. (2018). Pesquisa traça perfil conservador do jovem goianiense. *Jornal UFG*. <https://jornal.ufg.br/n/109186-pesquisa-traca-perfil-conservador-do-jovem-goianiense>
- Miranda, A. (2016). Transiciones juveniles, generaciones sociales y procesos de inclusión social en Argentina post-neoliberal. *Linhas Críticas*, 22(47), 130-149. <https://doi.org/10.26512/lc.v22i47.470>
- Nascimento, F. dos S. (2019). *Juventude e política: conversas com o jovem eleitor de Jair Bolsonaro*. [Dissertação de mestrado, Universidade Federal do Sergipe]. Repositório institucional da Universidade Federal do Sergipe. <http://ri.ufs.br/jspui/handle/riufs/12444>
- Nishimura, K. M. (2009). *Paradoxos da experiência democrática brasileira: conservadorismo na sociedade e apoio à democracia (Valores, opiniões e atitudes dos brasileiros em 2002)*. [Tese de Doutorado, Universidade Estadual de Campinas].

- Repositório da produção científica e intelectual da Unicamp.
<http://repositorio.unicamp.br/jspui/handle/REPOSIP/280846>
- Pierucci, A. F. (1987). As bases da nova direita. *Novos Estudos Cebrap*, 19, 26-45.
- Pinheiro-Machado, R., & Scalco, L. M. (2018). Da esperança ao ódio: juventude, política e pobreza do lulismo ao bolsonarismo. *Caderno IHU ideias*, 16(278).
<http://www.ihu.unisinos.br/images/stories/cadernos/ideias/278cadernosihuideias.pdf>
- Setton, M. da G. J., & Bozzetto, A. (2020). Notas Provisórias Sobre a Noção De Socialização: Uma Leitura Em Periódicos da Educação (1998–2018). *Educação & Sociedade*, 41. <https://doi.org/10.1590/es.227930>
- Severo, R. G., & Campos, R. D. E. (2020). Breves notas sobre o estudo da extrema-direita: introdução ao dossiê especial. *Revista Interações Sociais*, 4(1).
<https://periodicos.furg.br/reis/article/view/12344/8299>
- Severo, R. G., & Gonçalves, S. da R. V., & Estrada, R. D. (2019). A rede de difusão do movimento escola sem partido no facebook e instagram: conservadorismo e reacionarismo na conjuntura brasileira. *Educação e Realidade*, 44(3).
<http://doi.org/10.1590/2175-623684073>
- Silva, R. O. (2018). *Um mapa da «direita» no YouTube do Brasil através dos métodos digitais*. [Dissertação de mestrado, Universidade Nova de Lisboa]. Repositório Universidade Nova. <http://hdl.handle.net/10362/79654>
- Singer, A. (2000). *Esquerda e direita no eleitorado brasileiro*. Edusp.
- Singer, A. (2012). *Os sentidos do lulismo: reforma gradual e pacto conservador*. Companhia das Letras.
- Singer, A. (2018). *O Lulismo em crise: um quebra-cabeça do período Dilma (2011-2016)*. Companhia das Letras.
- Soares, G. (1968). Ideologia e participação política estudantil. *Sociologia da Juventude*, 1, 243-266.
- Souza, J. (2017). *A elite do atraso: da escravidão à lava jato*. LeYa.
- Souza, J. (2018). *Subcidadania brasileira: para entender o país além do jeitinho brasileiro*. LeYa.
- Sposito, M. (2018). Knowledge about youth in Brazil and the challenges in consolidating this field of study. *Handbook of the Sociology of Youth in BRICS Countries* (pp. 3-18). World Scientific. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789813148390_0001
- Tomizaki, K., & Daniliauskas, M. (2018). A pesquisa sobre educação, juventude e política: reflexões e perspectivas. *Pro-Posições*, 29(1), 214-238. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-6248-2016-0126>
- Weller, W., & Bassalo, L. de M. B. (2020). A insurgência de uma geração de jovens conservadores: reflexões a partir de Karl Mannheim. *Estudos Avançados*, 34(99).
<https://doi.org/10.1590/s0103-4014.2020.3499.023>
- Woodman, D., & Wyn, J. (2013). Youth policy and generations: Why youth policy needs to

"Rethink Youth". *Social Policy and Society*, 12(2), 265–275.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474746412000589>

Biography

Ricardo Gonçalves Severo

Doctorate in Social Sciences from the Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS). Professor at the Federal University of Rio Grande (2014). Member of the research group Generations and Youth.

E-mail: rgsevero@furg.br

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8413-7159>

Wivian Weller

Doctorate in Sociology from the Freie Universität Berlin, Germany (2001). Professor at the Faculty of Education, University of Brasília. Leader of the research group Generations and Youth.

E-mail: wivian@unb.br

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1450-2004>

Gabrielle Caseira Araújo

Master in Public Health from the Federal University of Rio Grande (2020).

E-mail: gabriellecaseira@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3249-2607>

