

Norteamérica

ISSN: 1870-3550

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Centro de Investigaciones sobre América del Norte

Tzili-Apango, Eduardo; Legler, Thomas
Mexico's Elusive Partnership with China: The Search for Explanations
Norteamérica, vol. 15, no. 2, 2020, July-December, pp. 127-154
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Centro de Investigaciones sobre América del Norte

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22201/cisan.24487228e.2020.2.406

Available in: https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=193771584006



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's webpage in redalyc.org



Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

# Mexico's Elusive Partnership with China: The Search for Explanations

La difícil asociación de México con China: búsqueda de explicaciones

EDUARDO TZILI-APANGO\*
THOMAS LEGLER\*\*

#### **A**BSTRACT

This article examines why Mexico has been unable to develop and sustain a closer bilateral relationship with China. The authors argue that three sets of interconnected historical and relational factors help explain this: a social relationship marked by distrust, stigmatization, and discrimination of the Chinese; the persistent perception of China as an economic threat; and, the weight of the United States, which makes the bilateral relationship in effect triangular. These elements form a three-part historical narrative that has operated against a sustained and stronger partnership between these two countries.

Key words: Mexico, China, bilateral relations, foreign policy, association

#### RESUMEN

Este artículo examina por qué México no ha podido desarrollar y mantener una relación bilateral más estrecha con China. Sostenemos que tres conjuntos de factores históricos y relacionales interconectados ayudan a explicar la incapacidad de México para profundizar sus lazos con China: una relación social marcada por la desconfianza, la estigmatización y la discriminación hacia los chinos; la persistente percepción de China como una amenaza económica; y el peso de Estados Unidos que hace triangular la relación bilateral. Estos elementos forman una narrativa histórica de tres partes que ha operado contra una asociación sostenida y más fuerte entre estos dos países.

Palabras clave: México, China, relaciones bilaterales, política exterior, asociación.

<sup>\*</sup> Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco; http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8394-1767; e.tzili.a@gmail.com.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Universidad Iberoamericana, Ciudad de México; thomas.legler@ibero.mx.

# INTRODUCTION

Mexico and China appear to share a number of elements in favor of building a strong bilateral relationship. One is the longevity of that connection: the first contacts between the two date back to the sixteenth-century trans-Pacific voyages of the Manila galleons (Phelan, 2010; Schurz, 1939). During that time, the ancient Asian Silk Road encountered the Mexican Silver Road and established the foundation for current Mexico-China relations. In 1899, the Porfirio Díaz government established formal diplomatic relations with Qing Dynasty authorities through the Treaty of Friendship, Trade, and Navigation, an important turning point for the increasing complexity of bilateral relations between the two countries.

The two societies also have important similarities or affinities. For example, both have historically valued the family as the main pillar of society and possess ancestral cultures that include a very rich and complex gastronomy. Mexico and China have each experienced lengthy periods of colonialism and imperialism, as well as important social revolutionary episodes in the twentieth century.

Rhetorically, in recent decades each country has expressed its desire to deepen ties. In recognition of the growing importance that it placed on the bilateral relationship, in 2003, the Chinese government assigned Mexico the status of strategic partner, which it upgraded to comprehensive strategic partner in 2013. For its part, in the 2013-2018 National Development Plan, the former Peña Nieto government identified the priority of fostering a new paradigm of cooperation and dialogue with China (Gobierno de la República, 2013: 94). Xi Jinping and Enrique Peña Nieto met seven times during the course of the latter's term in office (Cornejo, 2019). Since the Zedillo presidency (1994-2000), the two countries have gradually constructed an impressive architecture for both high-level and daily promotion and management of the bilateral relationship.

Nonetheless, this rhetoric thus far has not been matched in reality by a stronger and sustained political and economic relationship. On the political side, Mexico's links with China have been characterized by ups and downs, that is, by periods of rapprochement and promise interspersed with others of distancing between the two countries. On the economic side, the limits of Mexico's ties stand in stark contrast to the dramatic deepening of trade and investment ties in recent years between China and other Latin American countries, such as Brazil, Chile, and Peru. Given Mexico's overwhelming economic reliance on the United States, it would seem to make sense that Mexico sought to diversify its external relations with China, particularly with respect to investment. However, Chinese investment in Mexico has remained relatively modest.

Why has Mexico thus far been unable or unwilling to develop and sustain a closer relationship with China? In what follows, we take a look at the Mexican rather than the Chinese side of this equation, that is, the factors that have impeded maximizing the potential of Mexican connections with China. We offer an historical, holistic argument in response to this question. A perusal of the pertinent literature suggests that three sets of interconnected relational factors help explain Mexico's inability to deepens its ties with China: a social relationship that has been historically marked by recurring distrust, stigmatization, and discrimination toward the Chinese; the persistent perception among Mexicans of China as an economic threat; and, the weight of the U.S., a factor that has made the bilateral relationship effectively triangular. As we shall present in the following pages, these elements add up to a three-part historical narrative about the evolution of the interactions between these two countries that has operated against a stronger sustained partnership. It is important to bear in mind that our article assesses the limits and impediments to a more significant Mexico-China partnership from the vantage point of Mexican agency and perceptions.

Our analysis is divided into four parts. First, we explore the social dimensions of the Mexico-China relationship and how these have impeded the strengthening of ties over the long run. Second, we examine the role of the United States as a crucial factor that has conditioned the evolution and possibilities of Mexico's bilateral relations with China. Third, we investigate how Mexico's problematic economic interactions with China have hindered Mexicans' willingness to seek stronger ties with that country. In our concluding section, we consider the implications of our analysis for the prospects of Mexico-China relations under the current López Obrador government.

# DISTRUST, STIGMATIZATION, AND DISCRIMINATION: ENDURING MEXICAN PERCEPTIONS OF THE CHINESE

Extensive multidimensional analyses of the Mexico-China social relationship already exist. Scholars have studied the Chinese diaspora and immigration to Mexico (Chao, 2010; Jingsheng, 2006; Gómez, 1991; Peña, 2012; Puig, 1992; Schiavone, 2012), the ideological influence of Communist China on Mexican society during the Cold War (Barandica, 2013; Haber, 2016; Puma, 2016; Rothwell, 2010), and, more recently, changes in social perceptions (Cornejo, Haro, and León, 2013), as well as the influence of the Confucius Institutes in the Mexican imaginary and as a Chinese soft power tool (Cornejo and Martínez, 2018). As we sketch in the following pages, a common thread in much of this literature has been the unfortunate persistence of negative attitudes

and perceptions among Mexicans about the Chinese. Various historical episodes have contributed to a sense of distrust, stigmatization, and discrimination.

As mentioned in the introduction, the first social contacts between China and Mexico occurred thanks to the Manila galleons trans-Pacific trade. In New Spain, the consumption of Chinese luxury items carried with it a certain prestige among elites in colonial Mexico. This commerce contributed to the formation of a New-Spanish identity that integrated Chinese cultural elements into everyday Mexican practices. However, after independence and throughout much of the nineteenth century, nation-building efforts consciously rejected almost all New-Spain heritage, including the aforementioned New-Spain identity that had included certain Asian cultural elements. Post-independence political elites turned toward Europe and the United States as points of reference for the state, nation-building, and culture (Brading, 1973).

From 1880 to 1940, Chinese economic prowess and the global influence of social Darwinism helped drive an unfortunate period of anti-Chinese racism that lasted 60 years. The Mexican national project, as an "excluding nationalism" in which the perception of "otherness" was applied to the Chinese, deliberately left the Chinese population out of the Mexican nation (Gómez, 1991; Sato, 2006). Indeed, the Mexican nation was constructed on racial notions that fostered an imagined community based on the mestizo nation and the concept of *raza* and found in the Chinese community an adversary (Chang, 2017; Treviño, 2005; 2008). Although some meaningful achievements in Mexican-Chinese cooperation are registered in this turbulent period (Lim, 2010), nevertheless it is safe to say that this period's systemic racism has served as a social underpinning for the longer-term perception of the Chinese people as a threat to Mexico.

With the outbreak of the Mexican Revolution in 1910, the political strategies of revolutionary groups, such as the Sonora Group in northern Mexico, and the international influence of social Darwinism fueled a period of racist discourse and aggressive actions against the Chinese communities in Mexico. The academic literature (Chang, 2017; Gallo, 2006; Sato, 2006) named this period the "Anti-Chinese Movement in Mexico." It was characterized by social exclusion and discrimination, policies against marriages between Chinese and Mexicans, lack of legal protection, the massacres of Torreón (1911) and Chihuahua (1916), and the eventual expulsion of Chinese migrants from the country (Botton, 2008; Cinco, 2018). It is important to note that this social phenomenon was prevalent across the entire Western Hemisphere, associated with what Lee (2007) calls "hemispheric Orientalism."

The beginning of the Cold War altered social ties between China and Mexico. From 1945 to 1971, the Mexican government officially recognized the Republic of China (hereafter Taiwan), while the People's Republic of China (hereafter China) was

excluded from the United Nations System. Social contacts between Mexico and Taiwan were very few throughout this period. As a reflection of this, studies about the Mexico-Taiwan relationship during this period were almost nonexistent (Haro, León, and Ramírez, 2011: 219-242).

However, China and Mexico did not enjoy significant links of any kind between 1940 and 1970. To some extent, the ideological confrontation of the Cold War politicized social interactions, as we will discuss in the next section. Nevertheless, what is important to emphasize is that ideological considerations and tensions replaced social perceptions that had formed during the "Mexican Anti-Chinese Movement" era.

Following Mexican diplomatic recognition in 1972, the China-Mexico relationship was based almost exclusively on commercial ties. Since the launch of China's post-Mao modernization program in 1979, the increasing trade deficit with China has caused concerns among Mexican political elites, and after the disintegration of the Soviet Union and the end of the Cold War, this has fed social perceptions similar to those that were formed during the Mexican Anti-Chinese Movement era (Treviño, 2005).

As Cornejo, Haro, and León-Manríquez recognized, "Mexican perceptions of China have become a hindrance to better bilateral ties" (2013: 58). Trade competition and historical social discrimination have fostered a "political fear" within Mexican society, which can be understood as a combination of rationality and passion, that has encouraged collective actions by individuals, social groups, and elites for political purposes (Robin, 2004: 31-50). In this sense, at the beginning of the twenty-first century, actions against China's trade with Mexico became a source of political legitimacy among the Mexican political elite. For example, the Fox administration (2000-2006) aligned with angry Mexican manufacturers facing "unfair" Chinese competition after China's admission to the World Trade Organization, instead of promoting industrial policies and better dialogue with their Chinese counterparts (Hearn, 2016: 72-74).

The Mexican media have played their part in the political construction of negative social perceptions about China. On the one hand, there has been a sort of "information dependency" on the part of the Mexican media due to a lack of communication infrastructure necessary to broadcast news about China (Haro, 2007: 460). This often implies information triangulation from Western and Chinese sources without critical selection and filtering of the news. On the other hand, Mexican media and websites have frequently portrayed China as their "favorite villain" by publishing news with alarming headlines, such as "China 'swallows' the Mexican market," "Mexico at war against China in the wto," or even "China threatens national security" (Cornejo, Haro, and León-Manríquez, 2013: 63). Mexican social media have been complicit in forms of "cyber-discrimination" through the Internet, in which Mexicans have perpetuated stereotypes about the Chinese

through memes and other types of satirical images (Lisbona and Rodríguez, 2018). All of this has influenced political, economic, and social actions to the detriment of improving relations with China. To borrow an illustration from Hearn (2016: 89), "Politicians and business executives have been reluctant to endorse events like ExpoChina for fear of popular criticism."

A series of incidents in recent years underscores the fact that old social attitudes and perceptions have resurfaced or have been unfortunately reinforced. For instance, Mexicans became angry when Chinese authorities quarantined significant numbers of their compatriots who had travelled to China at the outbreak of the A/H1N1 pandemic in April and May 2009. (Cornejo, 2013: 654-655)

In 2012-2013, determined local resistance thwarted the efforts of a consortium of Chinese and Mexican business interests to create a large merchandise distribution center in Cancún called Dragon Mart that would have been the largest of its kind in Latin America. In addition to concerns about the invasion of Chinese goods, local objections included the fact that the arrival of 2500 Chinese merchants would eventually lead to the creation of a population hub of 100 000 Chinese (Cornejo, 2019).

In 2014, Chinese stereotypes were possibly reinforced when a corruption scandal erupted concerning the bidding process for the construction of a high-speed train connection between Mexico City and Queretaro. Investigative journalists uncovered links between the Mexican president, the minister of finance, and Mexican businesses connected with the winning bid by the China Railway Construction Corporation that amounted to conflicts of interest. As a result of the controversy, the contract was rescinded (Cornejo, 2019).

It is important to mention that both countries have periodically turned to public and cultural diplomacy to promote cross-cultural awareness and mutual understanding, with the possibility of combating ignorance and stereotypes on both sides. For example, during its long period of diplomatic isolation (1949-1971), Communist China promoted what the academic literature has identified as "people-to-people diplomacy," "people's diplomacy," "cultural diplomacy," or "revolutionary diplomacy" (Kirby, 1960; Kuisong, 2009). In Latin America, its aim was to spread anti-Americanism among Latin American societies, to propagate the Chinese model for overcoming social and economic problems, and to improve China's image among Latin American countries, but not to promote revolutionary activities in Latin America as it did in other parts of the globe (Ratliff, 1969: 57). Along these same lines, from 1949 to 1971, a number of Mexican political and cultural personalities visited China, such as Vicente Lombardo Toledano (union leader), Diego Rivera (painter), David Alfaro Siqueiros (painter), Ismael Cosío Villegas (physician), Lázaro Cárdenas and Emilio

Portes Gil when they were ex-presidents, as well as various journalists and representatives of left-wing political parties (Ratliff, 1969).

In the aftermath of the 1968 student movement, the Mexican government promoted initiatives to deal with student demands and ease social tensions. One of these was to promote international cultural relations. In this sense, the Mexican government initiated an academic program to invite Chinese students to Mexico to study Mexican and Latin America history, Latin American literature, and Mexican economics and politics. After the formal establishment of diplomatic relations between Mexico and the People's Republic of China in 1972, a group of 25 Chinese students travelled to Mexico to study at the Colegio de México. This program was a pioneering effort in matters of "Mexican soft power," as a number of the Chinese students would later become diplomats and specialists in Latin America with a certain vision of the region (Martínez, 2014: 56-59).

Over the past decades, Mexico has made various important efforts to understand China better. For instance, there has been a proliferation of academic centers with a mandate to study China: the Center for Asian and African Studies at the Colegio de México; the Asian and African Studies Program of the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM); the UNAM China-Mexico Studies Center; the China-Veracruz Center at the University of Veracruz; the Department of Pacific Studies at the University of Guadalajara; the Asia-Pacific Studies Program of the Technological Autonomous Institute of Mexico (ITAM); the Asia-Pacific Center of the Technological Institute and of Higher Studies of Monterrey (ITESM); and the University of Colima. There has also been a multiplication of civil society organizations, such as the Cultural Association for Research about Asia, the Cultural Center of China in Mexico, and nearly 60 Chinese associations that promote Chinese culture (Martínez and Dussel, 2016).

The Confucius Institutes were born as a Chinese government effort to reduce negative perceptions about China around the world at the beginning of the twenty-first century, and Mexico has not been the exception. In fact, the negative Mexican perceptions stated above could be considered part of what some scholars have named the "China threat theory" (Harting, 2015: 16-22). In addition to Chinese invitations to Mexican opinion leaders to visit the Asian country and generous scholarships granted to Mexican students and universities, the Chinese government has set up Confucius Institutes in Mexico since 2006 (Cornejo and Martínez, 2018: 127-128). It is noteworthy that the agreements to establish a Confucius Institute in Mexican universities state that the host university should finance more than the 50 percent of the total cost of the project, which involves physical infrastructure and maintenance personnel, support in migration procedures for Chinese teachers, funding to ensure

the institute's performance, and, in some cases, accommodations and health care (Cornejo and Martínez, 2018: 132). This implies that Mexico finances much of Chinese "soft power." To date, five Confucius Institutes and one Confucius Classroom are operating in Mexico.

Despite recurring political and media efforts to construct a certain image of China, it appears that it has improved its reputation among Mexicans. For this, we took into consideration the annual survey of the Center for Economic Research and Teaching (CIDE), a Mexican government think tank, about Mexican perceptions of the world.

From 2004 to 2016, positive Mexican sentiment toward China increased from 59 percent to 68 percent. This was mainly related to the important role that the Chinese economy played during the 2008 global financial recession and to the role of Mexico as a trade platform from which Chinese products are manufactured and exported to the United States (Maldonado, Marín, González, and Schiavon, 2018: 67-71).

However, it is important to highlight that Mexican sentiments toward China are contradictory. On the one hand, Mexicans admire Chinese economic development; on the other, there is a lack of interest, ignorance, and mistrust within Mexican society that impedes deeper social relations with China. Tainted by episodes of distrust, violence, and remoteness, the historical trajectory of the complex China-Mexico social relationship thus far has not lent itself to better and deeper bilateral ties. Rather, mutual ignorance and mistrust have influenced economic exchanges and political actions, making them vulnerable to misunderstandings.

# THE U.S. FACTOR AND THE EVOLUTION OF MEXICO'S POLITICAL RELATIONSHIP WITH CHINA

Long before the end of the Cold War and the North American Free Trade Agreement, Mexico's political and economic relations with China were affected by the weight of its powerful northern neighbor, the United States. Historically, behind the Mexico-China bilateral relationship has lurked a triangular relationship with the United States, which has made its presence felt repeatedly and constrained Mexico's room for manoeuvre  $vis-\`a-vis$  China. As we highlight below, the United States has played a recurring role in the evolution of Mexico's political and economic links to China.

After Mexico's independence, the new Mexican government considered that in order to safeguard the country's independence it was imperative to maintain a presence in the old Spanish empire as well as to insert the country into the international system in the second half of the nineteenth century. Nevertheless, the domestic political convulsions between 1820 and 1870 did not allow Mexico to design an effective

Asian foreign policy. It was not until the emergence of the United States as a hemispheric power and the centralization of political power during the Díaz administration or *Porfiriato* (1884-1911) that a stable framework was established for approaching China diplomatically. It was in this context that the 1899 Treaty of Friendship, Trade, and Navigation was signed, establishing formal diplomatic relations between Mexico and China.

However, due to the *Porfiriato*'s lack of a China policy, Mexico's diplomatic ties with China were minimal and indirect. Pardinas (1982a, 1982b) records that the Mexican diplomatic representation in China was not set up until 1904 and that briefings by Mexican diplomats were essentially a set of superficial readings about daily life in the Chinese court and diplomatic intrigues concerning Western diplomatic personnel. It appears that the principal aims of the 1899 treaty were mostly to assert Mexico's political independence in international affairs, and, in the domestic arena, to ensure a flow of Chinese immigration in support of the economic development model promoted by the political elite (Cott, 1987). The incipient bilateral relationship suffered further because of the lack of policy instruments and the social revolutions that erupted in both countries. As previously mentioned, the anti-Chinese movement that arose in the context of the Mexican Revolution seriously damaged the fledgling ties between the two countries. In 1921, the Mexican government decided to withdraw from the 1899 treaty, primarily to restrict Chinese immigration.

From this point onward, the U.S. factor has influenced heavily in Mexico's relations with China. In 1922, the United States invited Mexico to sign the 1922 Treaty between the Nine Powers Relating to Principles and Policies to be Followed in Matters Concerning China. The ascent of Yuan Shikai as leader of the Chinese government marked an important phase of Sino-Mexican relations due to the influence of the United States (Haro, León, and Ramírez, 2011: 81-83). In fact, after this episode, one of the Mexican government's conundrums was diplomatic recognition, and this was easily solved by aligning Mexico's position with U.S. diplomatic policies.

During the ideological confrontation of the Cold War, the Mexican government aligned with the United States, which implied diplomatic recognition of the nationalist government based in Taiwan and non-recognition of the People's Republic of China. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that the Mexican government never established an embassy in Taiwan due to a lack of material interests, the problematic U.S. presence in Asia, especially during the Vietnam War, and the political rapprochement between Washington and Communist China during the 1970s (Haro, León, and Ramírez, 2011: 210).

At the beginning of the 1970s, the People's Republic of China and the United States initiated political exchanges through "Ping-Pong Diplomacy" and other actions

that would culminate in the diplomatic recognition of the former by the latter. In the framework of its "Third World Diplomacy" foreign policy, the Mexican government took advantage of this context to undertake its own rapprochement with China. On February 14, 1971, Mexico and China restored diplomatic relations, and in 1973, then-Mexican President Luis Echeverría Álvarez visited China.

As Huang (2018) recalls, the first visit of a Mexican president to Communist China showed what topics could sustain the bilateral relationship. During this visit, Mexican officials engaged in five rounds of conversations with Zhou Enlai and other high-ranking Chinese officials about the Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States, the second additional protocol of the Treaty of Tlatelolco, and economic development. The first Mexican ambassador to China, Eugenio Anguiano, remembers that the Chinese signing of the Treaty of Tlatelolco was very important politically for strengthening diplomatic ties because it granted Mexico a "Third World" identity and leadership, and China a "good will" identity to the world process of denuclearization (Anguiano, 2012: 40-41; Botton, 2018: 84-85). Nonetheless, from a Mexican perspective, the potential for a strong Mexico-China partnership was clearly conditioned by U.S. interests *vis-à-vis* China in the context of the Cold War, as well as the evolution of Mexican economic competition with the Asian country.

With Mexico's accession to the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs in 1986, the end of the Cold War, the emergence of the pro-market Washington Consensus, and the rise of regional trading blocs across the planet, the Salinas presidency (1988-2004) undertook a dramatic reorientation of Mexico's foreign relations in favor of strengthening its political and economic ties with the United States and North America in general. Launched in 1994, the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) that resulted from this process deepened Mexico's interdependence with the United States and Canada in an unprecedented fashion and would eventually come to represent a real constraint to pursuing stronger ties with China.

Even so, the Zedillo administration (1994-2000) sought to strengthen ties with China. To this end, it joined with China in creating the Parliamentary Group for China-Mexico Friendship in 1995 and the China-Mexico Mechanism for Policy Consultations in 1996 (Cornejo, 2001: 884; Cornejo, 2010: 606-607). It even supported key Chinese interests in the international arena, such as the "One China Principle" and the Tibet issue.

The Fox administration (2000-2006) also experienced a short but substantial period of political rapprochement with China. Symbolically, in 2001, following arduous negotiations, Mexico as the last holdout finally relented to China's accession to membership in the World Trade Organization. In 2003, China and Mexico launched a strategic partnership, and in August 2004, both parties created the Mexico-China

Permanent Bilateral Commission. One month later, the High-Level Bilateral Group was also established. These institutions aimed to enhance bilateral relations through trade, educational exchanges, and political consultations and created a new *status quo* in the bilateral relationship. Finally, in 2006 the two countries signed the 2006-2010 Joint Action Program (Cornejo, 2010: 611-612).

With the benefit of hindsight, we can also see that, notwithstanding the opening of new institutional spaces for managing and promoting the bilateral relationship with China during the Zedillo and Fox administrations, Mexico's ties with the United States and North America also grew stronger and more multidimensional. The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the United States created an imperative for the United States, with its Mexican and Canadian NAFTA trade partners, to bolster North America as a security framework. Accordingly, on March 23, 2005, the leaders of the three countries created the Security and Prosperity Partnership at a North American Leaders Summit held in Waco, Texas.

With the launching of the Mérida Initiative in 2008, the Calderón administration sought even closer security cooperation with the United States in support of its war on drugs, tying Mexico's destiny to that of the United States as never before. The Calderón presidency marked a period of distancing <code>vis-à-vis</code> China, due largely to some unfortunate incidents. For example, the visit of the Dalai Lama to Mexico in 2008 upset Chinese authorities. The Calderón government advocated human rights and democracy promotion as general foreign policy principles, which affected the prospects of enhancing the political relationship with China.

The Peña Nieto administration (2012-2018) began with promising signs for the future of Mexico-China affairs. Peña Nieto and Xi Jinping coincided temporarily in their ascendancy to political power and some news headlines identified a good dynamic between the two leaders (Elvira, 2013). In 2013, the strategic partnership that was launched in 2003 was promoted to a comprehensive strategic partnership, 12 memorandums of understanding were signed, and both leaders made high profile visits (Ibarra, 2013). Nevertheless, the emergence of several domestic crises in Mexico, like the Ayotzinapa issue, distracted political attention from the political relationship. Likewise, as mentioned above, the cancellation of the Mexico City-Queretaro High Speed Train was yet another contributor to political distancing. Until Mexico's first formal invitation to attend a BRICS summit, in Xiamen, China, in September 2017, bilateral ties were maintained at a minimum.

Additional trade arrangements involving the United States have also affected the prospects for stronger Mexican economic and political ties with China. In 2008, Mexico and the United States joined ten other countries in the trade and investment negotiations for what became known as the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP).

Importantly, the TPP represented for Mexico and the other negotiating countries not only a future bet for trade and investment ends but also a strategic geopolitical commitment. That is, conspicuous by its absence in the TPP was China. From the vantage point of the United States, the TPP represented a non-military means with the potential to counterbalance, soft balance, and contain Chinese expansion in the Asia-Pacific region.

The new López Obrador administration is perhaps the Mexican government that has been most constrained by the U.S. factor in terms of its room for maneuver to define a more meaningful bilateral relationship with China. It has coincided with the Trump presidency, which has sent clear signals concerning its perception of China as a security threat. For example, the December 2017 National Security Strategy identifies China and Russia as the United States' principal challengers for power "that want to shape a world antithetical to U.S. values and interests" (Trump, 2017: 25). In the case of the Western Hemisphere, the document states, "China seeks to pull the region into its orbit through state-led investments and loans" (Trump, 2017: 51).

U.S. authorities pressured both Canada and Mexico to renegotiate NAFTA in favor of a new trade agreement, the U.S. Mexico Canada Trade Agreement, or USMCA, which includes a provision that deters the signatories from pursuing preferential trade arrangements with China. Although China is not mentioned explicitly, USMCA Article 32.10 can be perceived as an anti-China clause. It stipulates that if any of the three trade partners intends to enter into a free trade agreement with a "non-market economy," it must inform the other partners at least three months prior to commencing negotiations, and that if it reaches such an agreement, the other partners can abrogate the USMCA in favor of a bilateral free trade agreement (USMCA, 2018). But, as Vidigal (2020) recognizes, the so-called "anti-China" clause is more about reinforcing a geoeconomic sphere than legal consequences for the signatories. In this sense, triangulation with the United States becomes relevant as it remains the main priority for China's current relations with Mexico (Chen and Goodman, 2019; Fierro, 2020). Accordingly, Mexico cannot avoid frictions between China and the United States.

Finally, according to one Mexican diplomatic veteran of relations with China, U.S. authorities and diplomats have warned Mexico that the United States would take reprisals against the country if it supported Chinese initiatives such as the Belt and Road Initiative, and asked former President Peña Nieto not to join the Asian Investment Infrastructure Bank (Anguiano, 2019: 154). The incipient U.S.-China trade war will further pressure Mexico to align with the United States.

Under Lopez Obrador's government, the China-Mexico relation has strengthened, mostly because of the COVID-19 virus. In the months immediately following his election victory on July 1, 2018, it appeared as if President-elect Andrés Manuel

López Obrador would seek to define a new, expanded relationship with China, and he met the Chinese ambassador to discuss economic initiatives. There were offers from the Bank of China as well as speculation about Chinese investment playing a prominent role in the proposed Maya Train initiative, the new oil refinery in Tabasco, and the new Mexico City international airport initiative. The Chinese ambassador was among the first who met with Obrador in August 2018, and Foreign Ministerdesignate Marcelo Ebrard travelled to China in November 2018, prior to Obrador's inauguration on December 1 that same year.

However, a political reading of the new Lopez Obrador government's foreign policy can be summed up in the word "discretion." In its 2018-2024 Nation Project (Romo, 2017), López Obrador and the ruling party, Morena, stated, "China presents a great opportunity for our economic relations, but it also represents a great challenge due to its competition in third markets. . . . Mexico should join forces with Canada and the United States to compete commercially with China." Even more indicative, the word "China" does not appear at all in the text of the new government's 2019-2024 National Development Plan, while the new USMCA is mentioned (Presidencia de la República, 2019). At the beginning of 2020, China invested in Petróleos Mexicanos (PEMEX) (AFP, 2020) and began its participation in the construction of the "Maya Train" (Tren Maya), the López Obrador government's flagship infrastructure project (De la Rosa, 2020). In the context of the coronavirus pandemic, it also established an airlift to deliver medical supplies to Mexico (Navarro, 2020). However, just as was the case with the Peña Nieto government, the lack of solid institutionalization of bilateral ties and the U.S. factor may well limit the positive impact of these recent developments on bilateral relations. We now turn to the final stumbling block for the Mexico-China partnership, namely the asymmetrical and problematic economic ties between the two countries.

# CHINA AS AN ECONOMIC THREAT AND RIVAL TO MEXICO

A recurring theme in much of the literature is that China has represented a serious threat to Mexico's economy in the second half of the twentieth century and the first decades of the new millennium. Indeed, as epitomized in the cases of the steel, textile, and footwear industries, an enduring widespread popular perception exists that Mexico has been the victim of unfair Chinese trade practices and that the country cannot compete with cheap Chinese imports.

It is really only following the commencement of Chinese economic reforms in 1979, when commercial interactions between China and Mexico grew exponentially,

that Mexico's trade balance turned unfavorable. Prior to that, trade between the two countries was minimal on the whole. From 1593 to 1769, the colonial Silver Road contributed to a general surplus with East Asia due to silver exports on the order of two to one (Valdés, 2012). In the 1821-1866 period, bilateral trade was plied indirectly through foreign vessels due to the absence of a Mexican merchant fleet (Valdés, 1981: 63-65). Following the 1899 treaty that first established formal diplomatic relations between the two countries, Mexico sought direct trade with China, but this was impeded due to the previously stated difficulties of the historical context. As a result, it is safe to say that trade between 1820 and 1945 was relatively minor. Throughout the Cold War, economic ties between Mexico and the People's Republic of China were on the whole minimal. After diplomatic recognition, bilateral trade increased more than 200 percent between 1973 and 1978, with a surplus favoring Mexico (Cornejo, 2010: 602).

In recent years, the volume of trade between the two countries has grown dramatically. Whereas in 1993, total bilateral trade was US\$400 million, by 2001 it had reached US\$4.3 billion, and in 2017, US\$80.8 billion. In the process, China has become Mexico's second main trading partner. However, Mexico has developed a deep and growing trade deficit with its Chinese partner. The deficit has increased from approximately US\$340 million in 1993 to US\$75 billion in 2019 (Secretaría de Economía, 2020a).

Some consider that it was in the framework of the NAFTA process that Mexico's bilateral commercial imbalance with China deepened, because many inputs were acquired from China for assembly in Mexico and subsequent export to the United States (González, Mendoza, and Zhang, 2015; Lien, 2015). During the 1990s, the Mexican government imposed several countervailing duties on Chinese goods as a result of Mexican government research findings about dumping (Cornejo, 2008: 340). The perception of dumping and increasing Chinese competition prompted Mexico to delay its support for China's admission to the WTO. After China's admission to the WTO, the two countries established an adhesion protocol that included transition measures to 204 Chinese products that were valid until 2011 (Cornejo, 2013: 650).

In contrast to trade statistics, Chinese investments in Mexico are not significant, although this situation has begun to change recently. Whereas in 1999, Chinese foreign direct investment (FDI) in Mexico was US\$5.3 million; in 2009, US\$75.7 million; and in 2018, US\$260.5 million, reaching a cumulative FDI of US\$1.333 billion by the end of 2019 and the beginning of 2020 (Secretaría de Economía, 2020b). The high volume of Chinese FDI in 2017-2018 is related mainly to the establishment of Jianghuai Anhui Automobile JAC Motors in the Mexican state of Hidalgo (*Milenio*, 2017). However, generally speaking, China's investments are concentrated in the energy, mining, and transport sectors (The American Enterprise Institute, 2018).

Literature abounds about the persistently problematic economic relations between Mexico and China. This negative perception has been reinforced because of insufficient direct sources of knowledge about China, biased information presented in the media, and the lack of linkages among the academic and political sectors (Carrillo, Chen, and Goodman, 2011; Cornejo, 2008, 2013; Escalante, 2015; Haro, 2007; Hearn, 2015).

Some analysts have focused on understanding trade asymmetries and their tendency to increase. This asymmetry stems from similar productive structures that lack complementarity in the two countries' industrial profiles and economies. Moreover, the problem tends to increase because China has enhanced the productivity of its industrial processes by escalating its position in global value chains, while Mexico's industry by contrast has stagnated. The dearth of investment in education, science, and technology together with the absence of industrial policies and comprehensive economic development plans have hurt Mexico (Iacovone, Rauch, and Winters, 2013; Haros, 2015; Dussel and Gallagher, 2016; González, Calderón, and Gómez, 2015; Hernández, 2012; Laborde, 2018; Liu and Zhao, 2015).

Scholars have also focused their attention on the influence of the Chinese economy on Mexico-United States commercial ties. There is a consensus that the parallel evolution of the Chinese and the Mexican productive structures focused on manufactures exports has caused economic competition in third markets. This situation has reached an alarming point because China has replaced Mexico as the United States' main manufactures supplier, despite the existence of NAFTA. This has reinforced negative perceptions about China as a trade rival within the triangular trade structure with the United States (Correa and Micona, 2019: 90; Gómez and Gonzalez, 2017; Gonzalez, Mendoza, and Zhang, 2015; Lien, 2015; Dussel and Gallagher, 2013; López, Rodil, and Valdéz, 2014).

Recent academic attention has focused on the study of the China-Mexico economic relationship in light of the recent challenges presented by the Trump administration. Some authors argue that Donald Trump's aggressive rhetoric against China and Mexico will inevitably cause these two actors to move closer (Bravo, 2018; Hongxia, 2018). Nonetheless, this argument ignores the complex interdependence between Mexico and the North American region that we underscored in the previous section.

It is important to highlight that Mexico has promoted an incipient diversification of its foreign economic relations in the new millennium beyond NAFTA, but with little evidence of closer ties with China. In this sense, Mexico has signed bilateral economic agreements with Australia, Brunei, Malaysia, New Zealand, Singapore, and Vietnam. Following Trump's withdrawal from the now-defunct Trans-Pacific Partnership, in April 2018 Mexico ratified the new Comprehensive and Progressive

Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP). However, it has not revealed any intentions to join the Chinese-led Belt and Road Initiative (Laborde, 2018), the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (Xinhua, 2017), or any other China-led world economic project. Moreover, as we discussed in the previous section, the USMCA will likely hinder any deepening of bilateral economic relations (see also Anguiano, 2019: 154). In other words, the Mexican political elites will most likely maintain and protect closer ties with the United States, rather than expand economic interactions with China.

A common thread in economic research is China's strong influence in Mexican global trade and Mexican trade with North America, in addition to the general Mexican trade deficit with the Asian country. In fact, a significant share of China-Mexico trade is re-directed into trade with the United States, such that the trade deficit that Mexico has with China in reality feeds the Mexican trade surplus with the United States (Dussel, 2018). This may change in the years to come due to restrictions within the USMCA that inhibit trade with China.

The Mexico-China economic relationship is sensitive due to the lack of a general economic policy framework. In other words, progress has been made in matters of institutionalizing Mexican exports of commodities, but no comprehensive framework exists for promoting trade of high value-added goods or more intensive economic cooperation. The parallel evolution of their productive structure has led to similarities in Chinese and Mexican industrial output, and as a result, the two countries compete for position in third markets. This, coupled with the fact that almost 70 percent of Mexico's total trade is with the United States, has made the Mexican economy more vulnerable to external developments, as has been shown by the USMCA process.

#### Conclusions

History has weighed in against the sustained strengthening of Mexico's political and economic relations with China. Focusing on the actions and perceptions of Mexican actors, we have argued that a combination of three sets of interconnected factors have historically obstructed this bilateral relationship from achieving its full potential and rather have underpinned an oscillation between periods of promising engagement and other moments of relative distancing and disinterest. First, social interactions between the two countries have been marked by persistent negative Mexican attitudes and perceptions *vis-à-vis* the Chinese, including mistrust, stereotyping, and discrimination. This recurring anti-Chinese outlook has had an important impact on political decision-making and economic policies. Second, within a historically evolving triangular relationship, Mexico's complex and asymmetrical interdependence

with the United States has hampered its ability to seek closer, more permanent political and economic ties with China. Finally, China has played the role of economic bogeyman in Mexican public opinion. Negative social and economic perceptions about China mutually reinforced each other.

We do not want to sound overly deterministic or pessimistic in our analysis of these constraints. Without a doubt there are things that Mexico can do to improve relations with China. It is possible for change on at least two of these three fronts. It is feasible, for instance, for negative perceptions and attitudes *vis-à-vis* the Chinese to change, but that will require, among other things, better cross-cultural and language education, increased person-to-person contact among Mexicans and Chinese, as well as more reliable information about China. Similarly, Mexican insecurities concerning Chinese economic competition do not take into consideration how Mexico itself is also at fault for its problems competing economically with the Chinese. As we noted already, the country has failed in recent decades to develop industrial policy that would permit Mexican industry to improve its productivity and its position in regional and global value chains.

However, when it comes to the influence of the United States on future prospects for the Mexico-Chinese partnership, current U.S. interests seem stacked against a closer relationship between the two. When it comes to China, Mexico's location within North America is a kind of straightjacket that restricts what it can do. In this sense, Mexico does seem doomed by its proximity to its northern neighbor. At least for the foreseeable future, Mexico will likely need to set modest and discreet goals for bolstering its relations with China.

#### **B**IBLIOGRAPHY

AFP (AGENCE FRANCE-PRESSE)

2020 "La embajada china en México aclaró que el país no invirtió en la refinería de Dos Bocas," January 16, AFP Factual, https://factual.afp.com/la-embajadachina-en-mexico-aclaro-que-el-pais-no-invirtio-en-la-refineria-de-dos-bocas, accessed June 15, 2020.

# AMERICAN ENTERPRISE INSTITUTE, THE

2018 "China Global Investment Tracker," The American Enterprise Institute and The Heritage Foundation, http://www.aei.org/china-global-investment-tracker/, accessed May 29, 2019.

## ANGUIANO ROCH, EUGENIO

- 2019 "Las vinculaciones de México con China y Estados Unidos," *Economía UNAM*, vol. 16, no. 46, pp. 144-156.
- 2012 "Sin sustento político, imposible construir relaciones económicas bilaterales sólidas," in Enrique Dussel Peters, comp., 40 años de la relación entre México y China. Acuerdos, desencuentros y futuro, Mexico City, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

#### BARANDICA MARTÍNEZ, LUIS ABRAHAM

2013 De viajeros, ideas y propaganda. Latinoamérica y la China Popular. Primeras impresiones de militantes, periodistas y políticos (1949-1972), Mexico City, Palabra de Clío.

#### BOTTON BEJA, FLORA

- 2018 "Entrevista a Eugenio Anguiano Roch en junio de 2018," in Enrique Dussel Peters and Cassio Luiselli Fernández, comps., México y China: construcción de una relación estratégica. Homenaje a Eugenio Anguiano Roch, Mexico City, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
- 2008 "La persecución de los chinos en México," *Estudios de Asia y África*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 477-486.

# BRADING, DAVID ANTHONY

1973 "Creole Nationalism and Mexican Liberalism," *Journal of Interamerican Studies and World Affairs*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 139-190.

#### BRAVO, JORGE

2018 "México ante la competencia geopolítica sino-estadounidense: el arribo de Donald Trump al poder, ¿nuevos retos o nuevas oportunidades?," in Rocío Rosales, Alejandro Mercado, Adolfo Sánchez, Dagoberto Amparo, and Carmen Venegas, comps., Teoría, impactos externos y políticas públicas para el desarrollo regional, Mexico City, UNAM-IIE and Asociación Mexicana de Ciencias para el Desarrollo Regional, A. C.

#### CARRILLO, BEATRIZ, MINGLU CHEN, and DAVID GOODMAN

2011 "Beyond Asymmetry: Cooperation, Conflict and Globalization in Mexico-China Relations," *The Pacific Review*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 421-438.

# CHANG, JASON OLIVER

2017 *Chino: Anti-Chinese Racism in Mexico, 1880-1940,* Champaign, Illinois, University of Illinois Press.

# CHAO ROMERO, ROBERT

2010 The Chinese in Mexico 1882-1940, Tucson, University of Arizona Press.

# CHEN, MINGLU, and DAVID GOODMAN

2019 "Reporting Mexico in China: 'China's Economy Is Developing Robustly and Rapidly'," *México y la Cuenca del Pacífico*, vol. 8, no. 22, pp. 143-163.

# CINCO BASURTO, MÓNICA GEORGINA

2018 "De las políticas de la pertenencia a las políticas de la indiferencia: el caso de las familias chino-mexicanas, 1880-1978," in Ricardo Martínez Esquivel, comp., Los chinos de ultramar: diásporas, sociabilidad e identidades, Mexico City, Palabra de Clío, pp. 231-252.

#### CORNEJO, ROMER

- 2019 "China y México 2012-2018: Entre el inicio idílico y la realidad," *Foro Internacional*, vol. 59, no. 3-4, pp. 879-906.
- 2013 "La relación de México con China, la política del desconcierto," *Foro Internacional*, vol. 53, no. 3-4, pp. 645-666.
- 2010 "México y China. Ironías y perspectivas de su relación," in Blanca Torres and Gustavo Vega, comps., Los grandes problemas de México XII Relaciones Internacionales, Mexico City, El Colegio de México.
- 2008 "México y China: diplomacia, competencia económica y percepciones," *Foro Internacional*, vol. 48, no. 1-2, pp. 330-351.
- 2001 "México y China. Entre la buena voluntad y la competencia," *Foro Internacional*, vol. 41, no. 4 (166), pp. 878-890.
- 1985 "Las zonas económicas especiales: ¿maquiladoras en China?" *Estudios de Asia y África*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 444-469.

# CORNEJO, ROMER, and ITZEL MARTÍNEZ

2018 "México en la diplomacia cultural de China," in Romer Cornejo, comp., La política cultural de China en América Latina, Mexico City, El Colegio de México, pp. 105-156.

# EDUARDO TZILI-APANGO, THOMAS LEGLER

# CORNEJO, ROMER, FRANCISCO HARO, and JOSÉ LUIS LEÓN-MANRÍQUEZ

2013 "Trade Issues and Beyond: Mexican Perceptions on Contemporary China," *Latin American Policy*, vol. 4, no.1, pp. 57-75.

# CORREA LÓPEZ, GABRIELA, and ÁNGEL MICONA MICHEL

2019 "Comercio exterior de México y renegociación del TLCAN," *Portes. Revista Mexicana de Estudios Sobre la Cuenca del Pacífico*, vol. 13, no. 25, pp. 81-104.

#### COTT, KENNETT

"Mexican Diplomacy and the Chinese Issue, 1876-1910," *The Hispanic American Historical Review*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 63-85.

#### DE LA ROSA, ALEJANDRO

2020 "Consorcio chino-portugués iniciará obra del Tren Maya," El Economista, https://www.eleconomista.com.mx/empresas/Consorcio-chino-portuguesiniciara-obra-del-Tren-Maya-20200424-0016.html, accessed June 20, 2020.

# Dussel, Enrique

2018 "The New Triangular Relationship between Mexico, the United States, and China: Challenges for NAFTA," in Enrique Dussel Peters, ed., *The Renegotiation* of NAFTA. And China?, Mexico City, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

# DUSSEL, ENRIQUE, and KEVIN GALLAGHER

- 2016 *La relación México-China. Desempeño y propuestas para 2016-2018*, Mexico City, Unión de Universidades de América Latina y el Caribe.
- 2013 "El huésped no invitado del TLCAN: China y la desintegración del comercio en América del Norte," *Revista CEPAL*, no. 110, pp. 85-111.

#### EL ECONOMISTA

2013 "México logra acuerdo para exportar tequila y cerdo a China," https://www.eleconomista.com.mx/politica/Mexico-logra-acuerdo-para-exportar-tequila-y-cerdo-a-China-20130614-0041.html, accessed May 27, 2019.

#### Elvira Vargas, Rosa

2013 "Pactan Peña Nieto y Xi Jinping una asociación estratégica integral," La Jornada, https://www.jornada.com.mx/2013/06/05/politica/012n1pol, accessed May 28, 2019.

#### ESCALANTE, MARIANA

2015 "Prejuicios, estereotipos y percepciones mutuas en la relación China-México desde el imaginario colectivo," in Liljana Arsovska, comp., *América Latina y el Caribe-China. Historia, cultura y aprendizaje del chino* 2015, Mexico City, Unión de Universidades de América Latina y el Caribe, pp. 109-124.

#### EXCÉLSIOR

2019 "México y China firman acuerdo para exportar plátano," https://www.ex celsior.com.mx/nacional/mexico-y-china-firman-acuerdo-para-exportar-platano/1312751, accessed May 27, 2019.

# FIERRO, TONATIUH

2020 "Convergencias y divergencias: la respuesta de México al ascenso global de China, 2012-2018," Portes. Revista Mexicana de Estudios sobre la Cuenca del Pacífico, vol. 14, no. 27, pp. 31-71.

#### GALLO, RUBÉN

2006 "Mexican Orientalism," *Review: Literature and Arts of the Americas*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 60-73.

#### GOBIERNO DE LA REPÚBLICA

2013 Plan Nacional de Desarrollo 2013-2018, Mexico City.

# GÓMEZ IZQUIERDO, JORGE

1991 El movimiento antichino en México (1871-1934): problemas del racismo y nacionalismo durante la Revolución Mexicana, Mexico City, Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia.

#### GÓMEZ, CARLOS, and JUAN GONZÁLEZ

2017 "Competencia y competitividad de las exportaciones de México y China en el mercado estadounidense: nueva evidencia," México y la Cuenca del Pacífico, vol. 6, no. 16, pp. 79-105.

#### GONZÁLEZ, JUAN, EDUARDO MENDOZA, and JUANJUAN ZHANG

2015 "The Impact of China on Mexico-USA Relations: Economic Evolution and Strategic Possibilities," *Análisis Económico*, vol. 30, no. 75, pp. 29-43.

GONZÁLEZ, GUADALUPE, and SUSAN MINUSHKIN

México y el mundo 2006. Opinión pública y política exterior de México, Mexico City, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE) and Consejo Mexicano de Asuntos Internacionaciones (COMEXI).

GONZÁLEZ, GUADALUPE, SUSAN MINUSHKIN, and ROBERT Y. SHAPIRO

México y el mundo. Visiones globales 2004. Opinión pública y política exterior en México, Mexico City, CIDE, and COMEXI.

GONZÁLEZ GARCÍA, JUAN, CUAUHTÉMOC CALDERÓN VILLARREAL, and CARLOS GÓMEZ CHIÑAS

2015 "Análisis de los vínculos económicos de México con China: ¿Es posible pasar de la larga marcha de enfrentamientos al gran salto adelante en la nueva relación?" México y la Cuenca del Pacífico, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 39-63.

GONZÁLEZ GONZÁLEZ, GUADALUPE, FERRAN MARTÍNEZ I COMA, and Jorge Schiavon

2008 México, las Américas y el Mundo. Política exterior: opinión pública y líderes 2008, Mexico City, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE).

GONZÁLEZ GONZÁLEZ, GUADALUPE, JORGE SCHIAVON,

DAVID CROW, and GERARDO MALDONADO

2011 México, las Américas y el Mundo 2010. Política exterior: opinión pública y líderes, Mexico City, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE).

GONZÁLEZ GONZÁLEZ, GUADALUPE, JORGE SCHIAVON,

GERARDO MALDONADO, and RODRIGO MORALES CASTILLO

2013 México, las Américas y el mundo 2012-2013. Política exterior: opinión pública y líderes, las Américas y el mundo, Mexico City, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE).

HABER, PAUL LAWRENCE

2016 "De revolucionarios a colaboradores: Un cuento aleccionador de la transformación del Comité de Defensa Popular de Durango, México," in Jorge Iván Pum Crespo, comp., *Istor Revista de Historia Internacional*, vol. 16, no. 64, pp. 7-34.

HARO, FRANCISCO

2007 "El dominio de las emociones: percepciones mexicanas sobre China," in Enrique Dussel Peters and Yolanda Trápaga Delfín, comps., *China y México: implicaciones de una nueva relación*, Mexico City, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

# HARO, FRANCISCO JAVIER, JOSÉ LUIS LEÓN, and JUAN JOSÉ RAMÍREZ

2011 Historia de las Relaciones Internacionales de México, 1821-2010. Volumen 6: Asia, Mexico City, Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores, Dirección General del Acervo Histórico Diplomático.

# HAROS, ERIKA

2015 "Comercio e integración entre México y China, 2001-2012", Master's thesis, Hermosillo, Universidad de Sonora.

# HARTING, FALK

2015 Chinese Public Diplomacy. The Rise of the Confucius Institute, New York, Routledge.

#### HEARN, ADRIAN

- 2016 *Diaspora and Trust. Cuba, Mexico and the Rise of China,* Durham, Duke University Press.
- 2015 "Mexico, China, and the Politics of Trust," *Latin American Perspectives*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 120-139.

#### HERNÁNDEZ, ROBERTO

2012 "Economic Liberalization and Trade Relations between Mexico and China," *Journal of Current Chinese Affairs*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 49-96.

# HONGXIA, WEI

2018 "Globalization, Regional Integration, and Renegotiation of NAFTA," in Enrique Dussel Peters, ed., The Renegotiation of NAFTA. And China?, Mexico City, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

#### HUANG, SHIKANG

2018 "Firme confianza en el camino de desarrollo sostenido de China: establecimiento de relaciones diplomáticas entre México y China," in Enrique Dussel Peters and Cassio Luiselli Fernández, comps., México y China: construcción de una relación estratégica. Homenaje a Eugenio Anguiano Roch, Mexico City, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

#### NORTEAMÉRICA

## IACOVONE, LEONARDO, FERDINAND RAUCH, and ALAN WINTERS

2013 "Trade as an Engine of Creative Destruction: Mexican Experience with Chinese Competition," *Journal of International Economics*, no. 89, pp. 379-392.

#### IBARRA AGUIRRE, EDUARDO

2013 "China-México: Asociación estratégica integral," *América Latina en movimiento*, https://www.alainet.org/es/active/64564, accessed May 28, 2019.

#### **IINGSHENG, DONG**

2006 "Chinese Emigration to Mexico and the Sino-Mexico Relations before 1910," *Estudios Internacionales*, vol. 38, no. 152, pp. 75-88.

# KIRBY, STUART

1960 "The Foreign Policy of Communist China," *International Journal*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1-13.

#### Kuisong, Yang

2009 "The Theory and Implementation of the People's Republic of China's Revolutionary Diplomacy," *Journal of Modern Chinese History*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 127-145.

# LABORDE, ADOLFO

- 2018 "Chinese Economic Development Policies as an Example for Mexico: The One-Belt-One-Road Program," Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 127-144.
- 2017 China y México: Oportunidades y obstáculos en su relación comercial en vías de un Tratado de Libre Comercio (TLC) o un Acuerdo de Asociación Económica (AAE), Mexico City, Centro de Estudios Internacionales Gilberto Bosques.

#### LEE, ERIKA

2007 "The 'Yellow Peril' and the Asian Exclusion in the Americas," *Pacific Historical Review*, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 537-562.

#### LIEN, DONALD

2015 "China, Mexico and the US: Opportunities for Trade and Growth," *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, no. 34, pp. 345-350.

Lim, Julian

2010 "Chinos and Paisanos: Chinese Mexican Relations in the Borderlands," *Pacific Historical Review*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 50-85.

LISBONA GUILLÉN, MIGUEL, and ENRIQUE RODRÍGUEZ BALAM

2018 "Estereotipos sobre los chinos en México: de la imagen caricaturesca al meme en Internet," *Revista Pueblos y Fronteras Digitales*, vol. 13, pp. 1-29.

LIU, YI, and LAIXUN ZHAO

2015 Sino-Mexican Trade Relations. Challenges and Opportunities, Singapore, Springer.

LÓPEZ, JORGE, ÓSCAR RODIL, and SAÚL VALDÉZ

2014 "La irrupción de China en el TLCAN: efectos sobre el comercio intra-industrial de México," *Economía* UNAM, vol. 11, no. 31, pp. 84-113.

MALDONADO, GERARDO, KAREN MARÍN, GUADALUPE GONZÁLEZ, and JORGE A. SCHIAVON

2018 Los mexicanos ante los retos del mundo: opinión pública, líderes y política exterior. México, las Américas y el Mundo, 2016-2017, Mexico City, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE).

MALDONADO, GERARDO, RODRIGO MORALES CASTILLO, and GUADALUPE GONZÁLEZ

2015 *México, las Américas y el Mundo 2004-2014. Diez años de opinión pública y política exterior*, Mexico City, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE).

MARTÍNEZ LEGORRETA, OMAR

2014 "Una novedosa experiencia: estudiantes chinos en México en 1974," in Omar Martínez Legorreta, comp., Un episodio de la renovada relación México-China. Los primeros estudiantes chinos en México, 1974-1984, Zinacantepec, El Colegio Mexiquense.

MARTÍNEZ RIVERA, SERGIO E., and ENRIQUE DUSSEL PETERS

2016 "La diáspora china en México. Asociaciones chinas en el Distrito Federal, Mexicali y Tapachula," *Migración y Desarrollo*, no. 26, pp. 111-143.

MILENIO

2017 "¿Quién es JAC Motors, la empresa que construirá una planta en México?"

https://www.milenio.com/negocios/jac-motors-empresa-construira-planta-mexico, accessed May 27, 2019.

# Navarro, María Fernanda

2020 "El Covid-19 dará a China un empujón comercial... y México no debe mirar nada más," *Forbes*, https://www.forbes.com.mx/economia-china-dominio-comercio-covid-mexico/, accessed June 17, 2020.

#### PARDINAS, FELIPE

- 1982a *Relaciones diplomáticas entre México y China 1898-1948 I,* Mexico City, Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores.
- 1982b Relaciones diplomáticas entre México y China 1898-1948 II, City, Mexico City, Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores.

#### Peña Delgado, Grace

2012 Making the Chinese Mexican: Global Migration, Localism, and Exclusions in the U.S.-Mexico Borderlands, Stanford, California, Stanford University Press.

# PHELAN, JOHN L.

The Hispanization of the Philippines. Spanish Aims and Filipino Responses, 1565-1700, Madison, The University of Winsconsin Press.

#### Presidencia de la República

2019 "Plan Nacional de Desarrollo 2019-2024," https://lopezobrador.org.mx/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/PLAN-NACIONAL-DE-DESARROLLO-2019-2024.pdf.

# Puig, Juan

1992 Entre el río Perla y el Nazas: la China decimonónica y sus braceros emigrantes, la colonia china de Torreón y la matanza de 1911, Mexico City, Consejo Nacional para la Cultura y las Artes.

# Puma Crespo, Jorge Iván

2016 "Los maoístas del norte de México: breve historia de Política Popular-Línea Proletaria, 1969-1979," *Revista Izquierdas*, no. 27, pp. 200-229.

# RATLIFF, WILLIAM E.

"Chinese Communist Cultural Diplomacy toward Latin America, 1949-1960," *The Hispanic American Historical Review*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 53-79.

# ROBIN, COREY

2004 Fear. The History of a Political Idea, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

## Romo Garza, Alfonso

2017 Proyecto de Nación 2018-2024, Morena, http://morenabc.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Plan-de-Nacion-de-Morena.pdf, accessed May 28, 2019.

# ROTHWELL, MATTHEW

2010 "Transpacific Solidarities: A Mexican Case Study on the Diffusion of Maoism in Latin America," in Zheng Yangwen, Hong Liu, and Michel Szonyi, eds., *The Cold War in Asia. The Battle for Hearts and Minds*, Boston, Brill.

# SATO, KANJI

2006 "Formation of La Raza and the Anti-Chinese Movement in Mexico," *Transforming Anthropology*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 181-186.

# SCHIAVONE CAMACHO, JULIA MARÍA

2012 Chinese Mexicans: Transpacific Migration and the Search for a Homeland 1910-1960, Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina Press.

# SCHURZ, WILLIAM LYTLE

1939 The Manila Galleon, New York, Dutton.

# SECRETARÍA DE ECONOMÍA

- 2020a "Comercio Exterior/Información Estadística y Arancelaria," https://www.gob.mx/se/es/acciones-y-programas/comercio-exterior-informacion-esta distica-y-arancelaria, accessed August 05, 2020.
- 2020b "Información estadística de la Inversión Extranjera Directa," https://datos. gob.mx/busca/dataset/informacion-estadistica-de-la-inversion-extranjera-directa, accessed August 05, 2020.

# Treviño Rangel, Javier

- 2008 "Racismo y nación: comunidades imaginadas en México," *Estudios Sociológicos*, vol. 26, no. 78, pp. 669-694.
- 2005 "Los 'hijos del cielo' en el infierno: un reporte sobre el racismo hacia las comunidades chinas en México, 1880-1930," Foro Internacional, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 409-444.

#### NORTEAMÉRICA

#### TRUMP, DONALD J.

2017 The National Security Strategy of the United States of America, Washington, D.C., The White House.

# USMCA (UNITED STATES-MEXICO-CANADA AGREEMENT)

2018 "Agreement between the United States of America, the United Mexican States, and Canada, https://ustr.gov/trade-agreements/free-trade-agreements/united-states-mexico-canada-agreement/agreement-between, accessed May 5, 2019.

# VALDÉS LAKOWSKY, VERA

- 2012 "La importancia de la plata novohispana en Asia," in María Cristina Barrón Soto, comp. *Urdaneta novohispano: la inserción del mundo hispano en Asia*, Mexico City, Universidad Iberoamericana, pp. 179-197.
- 1981 *Vinculación Sino-Mexicanas. Albores y Testimonios (1874-1899),* Mexico City, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

# Vidigal, Geraldo

2020 "A Really Big Button that Doesn't Do Anything? The Anti-NME Clause in US Trade Agreements. Between Law and Geoeconomics," *Journal of International Economic Law*, no. 23, 45-64.

#### Xinhua

2017 "China's BAIC to Export Cars from Mexico to US, Canada in 2018," China Daily, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/business/motoring/2017-11/25/content\_ 34978934.htm, accessed May 1, 2019.