



Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem
ISSN: 1518-8345
Escola de Enfermagem de Ribeirão Preto /
Universidade de São Paulo

Good practices in normal childbirth: reliability analysis of an instrument by Cronbach's Alpha¹

Gottems, Leila Bernarda Donato; Carvalho, Elisabete Mesquita Peres De; Guilhem, Dirce; Pires, Maria Raquel Gomes Maia

Good practices in normal childbirth: reliability analysis of an instrument by Cronbach's Alpha¹

Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem, vol. 26, 2018

Escola de Enfermagem de Ribeirão Preto / Universidade de São Paulo

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=281458425077>

DOI: 10.1590/1518-8345.2234.3000

Good practices in normal childbirth: reliability analysis of an instrument by Cronbach's Alpha¹

Buenas prácticas en el parto normal: análisis de la confiabilidad
de un instrumento por el Alfa de Cronbach

Boas práticas no parto normal: análise da confiabilidade de um
instrumento pelo Alfa de Cronbach

Leila Bernarda Donato Gottems²

Fundação de Ensino e Pesquisa em Ciências da Saúde, Brazil

Elisabete Mesquita Peres De Carvalho³

Universidade de Brasília, Brazil

Dirce Guilhem⁴

Universidade de Brasília, Brazil

Maria Raquel Gomes Maia Pires⁵

Universidade de Brasília, Brazil

Revista Latino-Americana de
Enfermagem, vol. 26, 2018

Escola de Enfermagem de Ribeirão
Preto / Universidade de São Paulo

Received: 18 May 2017
Accepted: 04 January 2018

DOI: 10.1590/1518-8345.2234.3000

Funding
Funding source: FUNDAÇÃO DE
APOIO A PESQUISA DO DISTRITO
FEDERAL
Contract number: 193.000.175-2013

CC BY

ABSTRACT

Objectives: to analyze the internal consistency of the evaluation instrument of the adherence to the good practices of childbirth and birth care in the professionals, through Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient for each of the dimensions and for the total instrument.

Method: this is a descriptive and cross-sectional study performed in obstetric centers of eleven public hospitals in the Federal District, with a questionnaire applied to 261 professionals who worked in the delivery care.

Results: The study was attended by 261 professionals, 42.5% (111) nurses and 57.5% (150) physicians. The reliability evaluation of the instrument by the Cronbach Alfa resulted in 0.53, 0.78 and 0.76 for dimensions 1, 2 and 3, after debugging that resulted in the exclusion of 11 items.

Conclusions: the instrument obtained Cronbach's alpha of 0.80. There is a need for improvement in the items of dimension 1 that refer to attitudes, knowledge, and practices of the organization of the network of care to gestation, childbirth, and birth. However, it can be applied in the way it is used to evaluate practices based on scientific evidence of childbirth care.

Descriptors: Validation Studies++ Data Accuracy++ Statistical Analysis++ Perinatal Care++ Health Evaluation++ Humanizing Delivery.

Resumen: Objetivos: analizar la consistencia interna del instrumento de evaluación de la adhesión de los profesionales a las buenas prácticas de atención al parto y nacimiento, por medio del Coeficiente Alfa de Cronbach para cada una de las dimensiones y para el instrumento total. Método: estudio descriptivo y transversal, realizado en centros obstétricos de once hospitales públicos del Distrito Federal, con aplicación de cuestionario a 261 profesionales que actuaban en la atención al parto. Resultados: Participaron del estudio 261 profesionales, siendo 42,5% (111) enfermeros y 57,5% (150) médicos. La evaluación de la confiabilidad del instrumento por el Alfa de Cronbach resultó en 0,53, 0,78 y 0,76 para las dimensiones 1, 2 y 3, después de depuraciones que resultaron en la exclusión de 11 ítems. Conclusiones: el instrumento obtuvo Alfa de Cronbach de 0,80. Hay necesidad de perfeccionamiento en los ítems de la dimensión

1 que se refieren a actitudes, conocimientos y prácticas de organización de la red de atención a la gestación, parto y nacimiento. Todavía puede ser aplicado en la forma como está para evaluación de las prácticas basadas en evidencias científicas de atención al parto.

Descritores: Estudos de Validação, Exactitud de los Datos, Análisis Estadístico, Atención Perinatal, Evaluación en Salud, Parto Humanizado.

Resumo: Objetivo: analisar a consistência interna do instrumento de avaliação da adesão dos profissionais às boas práticas de atenção ao parto e nascimento por meio do Coeficiente Alfa de Cronbach para cada uma das dimensões e para o instrumento total. Método: estudo descritivo e transversal, realizado em centros obstétricos de onze hospitais públicos do Distrito Federal, com aplicação de questionário a 261 profissionais que atuavam na atenção ao parto. Resultados: participaram do estudo 261 profissionais, sendo 42,5% (111) enfermeiros e 57,5% (150) médicos. A avaliação da confiabilidade do instrumento pelo Alfa de Cronbach resultou em 0,53, 0,78 e 0,76 para as dimensões 1, 2 e 3, após depurações que resultaram na exclusão de 11 itens. Conclusões: o instrumento obteve Alfa de Cronbach de 0,80. Há necessidade de aperfeiçoamento nos itens da dimensão 1 que se referem a atitudes, conhecimentos e práticas de organização da rede de atenção à gestação, parto e nascimento. Todavia, pode ser aplicado na forma como está para avaliação das práticas baseadas em evidências científicas de atenção ao parto.

Descritores: Estudos de Validação, Confiabilidade dos Dados, Análise Estatística, Assistência Perinatal, Avaliação em Saúde, Parto Humanizado.

Introduction

The incorporation of good practices in childbirth and birth care is one of the main strategies for changing the obstetric model, reducing maternal and infant morbidity and mortality, and the access to quality services, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), repeated by the health policies in Brazil¹⁻⁷.

In the Brazilian context, it is worth mentioning the policy called “Rede Cegonha”, published by the Administrative Rule number 1459, of June 24, 2011. It is proposed the organization of services in healthcare networks with emphasis on the articulation between the prenatal care and places of delivery, strengthening the adoption of evidence-based practices by health professionals, presence of the partner, health education for parturients, family members and companions, and continuing education of professionals, to enhance changes in care directed to women and to the child^{1,4-5}. Also, the national guidelines for assistance to normal childbirth, proposed by the National Council for the Incorporation of Technologies in the Unified Health System, published in 2016, guide professionals in their daily activities, with a systematic and synthesized evaluation of available scientific information, to be able to make day-to-day decisions.

However, the production of changes in delivery and birth care remains a complex challenge for managers, researchers and social movements¹⁻⁷. Within health services, the reorientation of practices can be enhanced by evaluation for the management of health services⁸. It is a technical-administrative and political process of judging the value or merit of something, to subsidize managers in making everyday decisions, based on the use of research methods and techniques in their design, formulation, and implementation⁽⁸⁻⁹⁾. In particular, evaluative research is needed to address the middle or operative level of management, where actions occur

from macropolitical decisions and manifested in the care model, work processes, resource drawing up the programs⁹.

The scientific literature has shown gaps in the understanding of the potentialities and limitations in the work of professionals who work in childbirth care, with low adherence to good obstetrical practices^{2-3,7}. Adherence is a dynamic, multifactorial, and behavioral process that results from a set of determinants that depend on subjective factors such as personality traits, cognitive and intellectual level, beliefs, and social context of which the person is a part. The terms adherence and compliance have been used to designate the degree of coincidence between the behaviors of the individual (patient or client) and the therapeutic recommendations of the health professional¹⁰. In this study, adherence was adopted as the coincidence between the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of the professionals regarding the technical recommendations and scientific evidence on the childbirth care¹¹.

The instrument of measures analyzed in this article is focused on the context of the health services, the multiple knowledge of the professionals, the values, beliefs, and ideas that intermediate the relationship established with the parturients¹⁰⁻¹¹. It was constructed based on the practices proposed by the “Rede Cegonha” guidelines, treated as constructs to be measured (latent variable) through indirect observation of their manifestations in the daily work process in health, in the network of childbirth and birth care¹². These manifestations were transformed into items (operational concepts) that constitute attitudes, knowledge, practices, behaviors, and opinions about the health and physical and psychological well-being of women¹¹⁻¹³.

The research data were used to test the validity (ability to measure what is proposed in a certain phenomenon) and the reliability (ability to present measures faithful to reality) of the instrument¹⁴⁻¹⁵. Thus, this article had the objective of analyzing the internal consistency of the evaluation instrument of the adherence of the professionals to the good practices of childbirth and birth care, using the Cronbach Alpha Coefficient for each one of the dimensions and for the total instrument.

Method

A descriptive and cross-sectional study with a quantitative approach was carried out in eleven obstetric centers of public hospitals of the State Department of Health of the Federal District. Data were collected from January to March 2015. The instrument was applied to physicians, nurses, and residents (medicine and nursing) who worked in the direct care of childbirth. The sample was composed of 261 health professionals, stratified by hospital, according to the number of professionals working in each hospital. This sample was calculated based on the rule of thumb, with at least 5 respondents per item of the instrument, equivalent to at least 250 respondents considering that the instrument has 50 items¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

The original instrument is divided into three dimensions: Organization of the Network for Care to the Gestation, Childbirth, and Birth (items 1 to 12), Practices Based on Scientific Evidence (items 13 to 35) and Work Processes (items 36 to 50). It also contains questions about the socioeconomic, demographic and professional profile¹¹. The items of the instrument referring to the professional practices follow the scale of five Likert points, transformed into values from 0 to 100, so ordered and scored: disagree completely (1=0 point), disagree partially (2=25 points), does not know/does not apply (3=50 points), partially agrees (4=75 points), totally agrees (5=100 points).

The profile data were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Cronbach's alpha was calculated to evaluate the internal consistency of the instrument. It is a coefficient that measures the correlation between the answers in a questionnaire through the analysis of the profile of the answers given by the respondents, whose values vary from 0 to 1¹⁴⁻¹⁷. The closer to 1, the greater the reliability of the indicators. A generally accepted lower limit is 0.7, although it drops to 0.6 in exploratory research. The Cronbach Alpha Reliability classification occurs as follows: Very low ($\alpha \leq 0.30$); Low ($0.30 < \alpha \leq 0.60$); Moderate ($0.60 < \alpha \leq 0.75$); High ($0.75 < \alpha \leq 0.90$) and Very high ($\alpha > 0.90$)¹⁴⁻¹⁷.

Reliability (intensity of correlation between items) was further tested by eliminating items from the questionnaire in the debugging process. If with the elimination of an item, the Coefficient increased, it was assumed that this item was not highly correlated with the other items of the scale and it could be eliminated from the instrument. If the Coefficient decreased, it was assumed that this item was highly correlated with the other items of the instrument. Alfa Cronbach was calculated for the instrument as a whole and for each dimension¹⁴⁻¹⁷.

The project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the State Department of Health of the Federal District, under the number CAAE 01918712.6.0000.5553. The study was funded by the Research Support Foundation of the Federal District (Process nº 193.000.175-2013).

Results

There were 42.5% (111) of nurses and 57.5% (150) of physicians among the 261 professionals who participated in the study. The mean age was 35 years old for nurses (± 9.49) and 39.47 (± 10.17) for physicians. The time of operation in the delivery room was on average 5 years for nurses (± 5.41) and 12 years for physicians and the mean time of training was 10.37 ± 8.00 for nurses and 14.44 ± 10.48 for physicians. In the distribution by gender, 92% were female in nursing and 68% were female in medicine. The weekly workload averaged was 44 hours for nurses and 45 hours for physicians.

In the analysis of the instrument's internal consistency, the Cronbach Alpha result of Dimension 1 was 0.49 with the original items of the

instrument (Table 1). Correlation values ranged from 0.44 to 0.38, considered moderate. Variables 1 and 7 presented the lowest correlations. After its exclusion, Alfa Cronbach increased to 0.51 and to 0.53 when also excluded item 8.

Table 1

Mean, standard deviation, item-total correlation coefficient, and α -Cronbach if the item is excluded from Dimension 1- Organization of the Network for Care to Gestation, Childbirth, and Birth. Brasilia, DF, Brazil, 2015

Variable	M*	SD*	Correlation item-total	α Cronbach if excluded
V1 - I do not know the area of coverage of this service of delivery and birth.	82.85	30.39	0.04	0.51
V2 - I got a bed in another care unit when there was no vacancy in this service.	64.08	35.97	0.16	0.48
V3 - I get pregnant to know the place of birth, routinely.	52.11	38.67	0.32	0.43
V4 - Educational activities are carried out with the pregnant women and partners to favor the attachment to maternity when the previous visit.	54.69	38.47	0.38	0.41
V5 - We usually treat more parturients than the number of beds.	12.36	25.25	0.25	0.46
V6 - The staff is insufficient for the number of parturients attended daily.	17.24	30.38	0.28	0.45
V7 - We receive pregnant women without the individual birth plan done during prenatal care.	13.79	27.23	0.05	0.5
V8 - In general, we received the women without the results of the prenatal risk screening tests.	32.18	32.87	0.18	0.47
V9 - We can easily contact the prenatal team of primary care and/or the high-risk clinic when needed.	31.70	33.73	0.12	0.49
V10 - I participate in meetings with prenatal teams to discuss improvements in gestation, delivery and birth care.	26.92	35.51	0.13	0.49
V11 - When we need support, diagnosis and therapeutic procedures that are not available, we have difficulties in getting other services.	21.36	30.48	0.19	0.47
V12 - Access to information made by the other health units is facilitated by the electronic medical record.	66.38	33.86	0.14	0.48

In Dimension 2, a Cronbach's alpha of 0.74 with all items was obtained, without any exclusion, as observed in Table 2. After exclusion of items 33 and 35 that presented negative item-total correlation, the Alpha of the dimension reached 0.78. Cronbach's alpha values were moderate in all variables, without the exclusions.

Table 2

Mean, standard deviation, Total-Item correlation coefficient, and Cronbach's α if the item is excluded from Dimension 2 - Practices Based on Scientific Evidence. Brasília, DF, Brazil, 2015

Variable	M*	SD*	Correlation item-total	α Cronbach if excluded
V13 - Normal delivery is performed in PPP ² beds at this service.	84.96	29.52	0.19	0.74
V14 - I restrict the fluid intake and food intake of the parturient during the TP ³ , routinely.	64.56	35.47	0.32	0.73
V15 - I use curtains and/or screens to preserve the parturient's privacy in the prenatal group.	78.07	33.97	0.3	0.73
V16 - I encourage the presence of free choice partner of the parturient.	78.26	33.17	0.32	0.73
V17 - I recognize that the partner hinders the woman's care.	65.90	35.64	0.34	0.73
V18 - The partner is rarely informed about the condition of the parturient.	75.29	32.78	0.21	0.74
V19 - Guidance on ways of relaxation for pain relief during TP ³ and childbirth.	85.06	24.26	0.39	0.73
V20 - I stimulate the ambulation of pregnant women during TP ³ .	93.39	16.02	0.36	0.73
V21 - I use non-pharmacological methods for pain relief, such as massage and relaxation techniques.	67.82	33.95	0.42	0.72
V22 - I encourage the freedom of the woman's position during the TP ³ and childbirth.	81.90	26.41	0.45	0.72
V23 - In the active phase of the TP ³ , I undergo heart rate auscultation every 30 min, routinely.	70.40	30.90	0.36	0.73
V24 - I use a deliverygraph to follow the TP ³ .	50.00	39.65	0.44	0.72
V25 - I offer information to the parturient on the TP ³ .	90.13	21.28	0.36	0.73
V26 - I promote skin-to-skin contact between mother and child within the first half hour after childbirth.	91.19	19.21	0.32	0.73
V27 - The enema is routinely done in preparation for childbirth.	96.26	13.97	0.12	0.74
V28 - The trichotomy is performed routinely in this service.	88.98	25.59	0.22	0.74
V29 - Intravenous hydration is used during the TP ³ and childbirth.	35.92	31.17	0.36	0.73
V30 - Intravenous oxytocin is used to TP ³ .	31.13	26.14	0.37	0.73
V31 - I encourage the parturient to push at the time of the expulsion of the fetus.	19.64	29.33	0.43	0.72
V32 - A routine episiotomy is performed in this service.	54.89	34.43	0.29	0.73
V33 - It is avoided to perform vaginal touches by more than one professional.	56.13	36.50	-0.12	0.77
V34 - I perform Kristeller's maneuver when necessary.	54.21	38.75	0.43	0.72
V35 - Early amniotomy is rarely performed in this service.	66.57	34.12	-0.03	0.76

Table 3

Mean, Standard Deviation, Coefficient of Item-Total Correlation, and Alpha Cronbach if the item is excluded from Dimension 3 - Work Processes. Brasília, DF, Brazil, 2015

Variable	M*	SD*	Correlation item-total	α Cronbach if excluded
V36 - I follow the recommendations of the Ministry of Health the childbirth and birth care.	86.21	18.38	0.28	0.61
V37 - Parturients are informed before the interventions that accelerate the TP ² .	80.46	28.19	0.49	0.57
V38 - In this service, clinical decisions are shared with the on-call staff.	71.84	33.57	0.63	0.54
V39 - Each professional attends the delivery according to their experience.	26.25	30.32	-0.14	0.66
V40 - I discuss the scientific evidence on childbirth and birth care with my team.	71.07	31.26	0.40	0.58
V41 - I feel out of date with the scientific evidence.	66.86	36.27	0.29	0.60
V42 - Doctors and nurses work in an integrated way in this service.	62.36	33.51	0.42	0.58
V43 - Here normal labor is stimulated by the multi-professional team.	79.12	26.94	0.50	0.57
V44 - I assist low-risk childbirth similar to high-risk childbirth.	58.81	37.65	-0.30	0.70
V45 - In this service, the professionals are trained periodically.	47.99	32.05	0.45	0.57
V46 - Here patients' satisfaction surveys are carried out.	25.77	28.58	0.37	0.59
V47 - I record information about care at childbirth and birth only in the electronic medical record.	67.53	37.17	0.12	0.63
V48 - I rarely consult the information about prenatal care registered on the pregnant woman's record.	92.62	21.27	0.11	0.62
V49 - The training offerings for the professionals of this team are rare.	30.27	33.30	0.17	0.62
V50 - Nursing care is restricted to some shifts (scarce).	31.99	35.58	0.23	0.61

In Dimension 3, with all the original items, Cronbach's Alpha of 0.62 (moderate) was obtained. After the removal of items 39, 41, 44, 47, 48 and 49, whose item-total correlations were low and/or negative, the Alpha value of 0.766 was obtained.

The instrument obtained a Cronbach Alpha total of 0.745 with all items. Excluding items, V1 and V7 of Dimension 1, V 33 and 35 of Dimension 2 and V39, V41, V44, V47, V48 and V49 of Dimension 3,

a value of 0.80 were obtained. After debugging, the instrument had 39 items, according to Figure 1.

I get a bed in another unit when there is no vacancy in this service.	0.80
I received pregnant women to know the place of birth, routinely.	0.80
Educational activities are carried out with the pregnant women and companions to favor the attachment to maternity when the previous visit.	0.79
Usually, we assist a number of parturients higher than the number of beds.	0.80
The staff is insufficient for the number of parturients serviced daily. The staff is insufficient for the amount of parturient serviced daily.	0.80
We can easily contact the prenatal team of primary care and/or the high-risk clinic when needed.	0.80
I participate in meetings with prenatal teams to discuss improvements in gestation, delivery and birth care.	0.80
When I need support, diagnostic and therapeutic procedures that are not available, we have difficulties in getting other services.	0.80
Access to the information made by the other health units is facilitated by the electronic medical record.	0.80
Normal delivery is performed in PPP * beds at this service.	0.80
I restrict water and food intake of the laboring woman during TP†, routinely.	0.80
I use curtains/screens to preserve the privacy of the parturient in the prenatal group.	0.79
I encourage the presence of free choice companion of the parturient.	0.80
I recognize that the partner hinders the care for the woman.	0.80
The partner is rarely informed about the condition of the parturient.	0.80
I guide on the ways of relaxation for pain relief during PT‡ and Childbirth.	0.79
I stimulate the ambulation of pregnant women during TP†.	0.80
I use non-pharmacological methods for pain relief.	0.79
I encourage the freedom of the woman's position during the TP† and childbirth.	0.79
In the active phase of TP†, I undergo auscultation of BCF‡ every 30 minutes, routinely.	0.79
I use a delivery-graph to follow the TP†.	0.79
I offer information to the parturient on the TP†.	0.79
I promote skin-to-skin contact between mother and child within the first ½ hour after childbirth.	0.79
The enema is routinely done in preparation for childbirth.	0.80
The trichotomy is performed routinely in this service.	0.80
Intravenous hydration is used during TP† and delivery.	0.79
Intravenous oxytocin is used to drive PT†.	0.79
I encourage the parturient to force herself at the time of the expulsion of the fetus.	0.79
A routine episiotomy is performed in this service.	0.79
I perform Kristeller's maneuver when necessary.	0.80
I follow the recommendations of the Ministry of Health in childbirth and birth care.	0.79
Parturients are informed prior to interventions that accelerate TP†.	0.79
In this service, clinical decisions are shared with the on-call staff.	0.80
I discuss the scientific evidence on childbirth care with my staff.	0.79
Doctors and nurses work in an integrated way in this service.	0.81
Here normal labor is stimulated by the multi-professional team.	0.80
In this service, the professionals are trained periodically	0.79
Here patients' satisfaction surveys are carried out.	0.80
Nursing care is restricted to some shifts.	0.80

Figure 1

Items recommended for the instrument “adherence of the professionals to the good practices of childbirth and birth care” with the respective α -Cronbach values obtained after the clearance. Brasilia-DF, 2015

*PPP= Pre-childbirth, childbirth and puerperium; †TP= Labor and Delivery; ‡BCF- Cardiopulmonary;

Cronbach's alpha was calculated according to gender and professional category. All items had an alpha of 0.75 for both genders. After the 11 items were excluded, 0.80 was obtained for the female population and 0.79 for the male population. In the comparison of Alpha among physicians and nurses, the total number of items was 0.77 for nurses and 0.73 for physicians. After the exclusions, it was 0.82 for nurses and 0.78 for physicians.

Discussion

In the reliability analysis of the instrument by Cronbach's Alpha, it was possible to obtain an improved proposal of the questionnaire "adherence to good practices in the normal birth care" (11). Dimension 1 presented a coefficient of 0.53, with low correlation among items, therefore, they had a low reliability. The alpha value was low ($0.30 < \alpha \leq 0.60$) little changed with the exclusions. However, very low values ($\alpha \leq 0.30$) were not obtained in any of the tests. The purification process was not enough to increase Alpha, demonstrating that the construct requires improvement in how to operate it in items¹⁶⁻¹⁷.

The restructuring of care for pregnant women and the newborn, with a link between prenatal care in primary care and childbirth care in the hospital setting, are the main tools introduced by the "Rede Cegonha"¹⁻⁴. However, they still require the incorporation in the management of health services of tools that favor the systemic view of professionals to be consolidated as practices of health professionals, such as action plans, linking maps between units and technologies that favor the exchange of information between health units and professionals^{1-2,11}. This may explain the moderate consistency of this dimension ($0.60 < \alpha \leq 0.75$)¹⁴⁻¹⁵.

In Dimension 2, after clearance, Cronbach's alpha was high in the total dimension and in all items (high $0.75 < \alpha \leq 0.90$)¹⁴⁻¹⁵. The two excluded items refer to the performance of vaginal touches by more than one professional and to the use of early amniotomy in the services, both reversal items in the instrument and unnecessary obstetric interventions²⁻⁷.

The evaluation of the adherence to practices based on scientific evidence demonstrated in the items of Dimension 2 showed that professionals both perform good practices and still intervene unnecessarily in childbirth. The results are consistent with data from other national studies, which demonstrate that episiotomy is still performed in 56% of vaginal deliveries; that lithotomy delivery occurs in 92% of the women and that 37% of the mothers underwent Kristeller's maneuver, none of them supported by the best available evidence⁴⁻⁵. Positive practices such as feeding during labor (26%) and freedom of movement in labor (46%) are also pointed out in the literature. Studies in international settings such as Tehran and Latin American countries, including Brazil, also demonstrate the concomitant adoption of good practices and unnecessary interventions among professionals¹⁸⁻¹⁹.

There is a need for important actions to favor the incorporation of simple recommendations, such as wandering or not maintaining routine venous access⁶⁻⁸. Devices such as birth plans constructed from basic health units during prenatal care deserve to be taken up to help professionals and women to jointly rebuild the technicality of gestation, childbirth and birth care in favor of a preventive, contemplative and humanistic attention^{1-7,18-21}. The incorporation of practices with scientific foundations and respecting childbirth may be very rewarding

for health professionals, but implying a re-signification of asymmetric power relationships¹⁸⁻²⁰. Regarding the abandonment of interventions, it is necessary to follow the path taken by other countries, such as the United States of America²⁰, in which the consumer groups and activists, in combination with institutional support, reinforced the need for a caring approach based on evidence, with the aim of contributing to improvement in outcomes, without the iatrogenic damage associated with excessive interventions^{1-7,21}.

The third dimension obtained Alpha of 0.766 after debugging. Excluded items represent relevant but undesirable behaviors among professionals, such as attending delivery according to their experience(39), being out of date with scientific evidence (41), attending low-risk childbirth similar to high-risk delivery (44), registration of information on delivery care and birth only in the electronic medical record (47), rarely consult the information about prenatal care on the pregnant woman's record (48) and a low offer of training to professionals (49).

It is important to highlight that the work process requires a permanent education in procedures, in clinical protocols, in the construction of information and decision-sharing spaces, and in technological support¹⁻⁷. Although clearance has substantially altered the result by showing that the excluded items had low consistency, the researcher's decision about the relevance or otherwise of the exclusion is necessary¹⁴⁻¹⁵. It is observed that all are negative items that may have influenced the result and refer to extremely relevant aspects of the work process.

The purification process resulted in an instrument with Cronbach's Alpha total of 0.80, considered as satisfactory for measuring instruments¹⁶⁻¹⁸. It contains 39 items, according to Figure 1. Reducing the number of items, although, without consensus in the literature on ideal size, it may represent advantages for new applications¹⁶.

It is important to emphasize that this coefficient assists the researcher on the pertinence or not of an item in a given questionnaire, but does not replace the decision on the relevance of the item within the general context of the construct under study¹⁴⁻¹⁵. For this reason, although they did not obtain Alfa Cronbach high, the items of the first dimension were maintained. There is a need for further studies to improve the internal consistency of the instrument for use in the evaluation of knowledge, attitudes, and practices aimed at the organization of integrated systems for care to gestation, childbirth, and birth¹⁶.

Another result to be observed was the difference of Cronbach's Alpha between gender and between the professional categories of the study. By the results, women and nurses are more sincere in the answers. This data is relevant since the values of Alfa can be altered by other characteristics of the sample in new applications of the instructor^{14,16}.

It is important to highlight that the robustness of the results of a study depends on the instrument used that must have internal consistency and quality¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The evaluation of health services still presents a challenge,

considering the complexity of the object to be evaluated, the difficulties in systematizing evaluation tools and in obtaining reliable data, documents and information for this purpose¹⁸⁻²¹. There are gaps in knowledge and the need for research that develop tools to measure the quality of care and the continuous improvement of facilities, attitudes, behavior and power relationships among health professionals. The application of this instrument can subsidize actions to improve the quality of delivery care¹⁸⁻²⁰.

Conclusion

The value of the total Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of the instrument after the debugging was 0.80 with 39 items. Among the dimensions, this coefficient ranged from 0.53 to 0.76. The first dimension presented a low correlation with the other items, which requires a new application after revision to achieve a higher reliability index. However, as it stands, it can be applied to evaluate the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of professionals who work in childbirth care.

References

- Cavalcanti, PCS, Gurgel Junior GD, Vaconcelos ALR, Guerrero AVP. A logical model of the Rede Cegonha network. *Physis*. 2013; 23 (4):1297-316. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-73312013000400014>.
- Victora CG, Aquino EM, Leal MC, Monteiro CA, Barros FC, Szwarcwald CL. Maternal and child health in Brazil: progress and challenges. *Lancet*. 2011; 377:1863-76. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)60138-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60138-4)
- Jamas MT, Hoga LAK, Reberte LM. Women's narratives on care received in a birthing center. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2013;29(12):2436-46. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00039713>
- Aquino EML. Reinventing delivery and childbirth in Brazil: back to the future. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2014;30(Suppl1):S8-10. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-311XPE01S114>
- Leal MC, Pereira APE, Domingues RMSM, Theme MM Filha, Dias MAB, Nakamura-Pereira M, et al. Obstetric interventions during labor and childbirth in Brazilian low-risk women. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2014; 30 Sup(1):17-47. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00151513>
- Serruya SJ. The art of not doing wrong and doing the right thing! *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2014; 30 Sup(1):17-47. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-311XCO03S114>
- Pereira SS, Santos Oliveira ICM, Silva Santos JB, Carvalho MCDMP. Natural childbirth: the work of nurses in the face of humanized care. *Tempus Actas Saúde Coletiva*. 2016; 10(3): 199-213. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18569/tempus.v10i3.1727>
- Tanaka OY, Tamaki EM. The role of evaluation in decision-making in the management of health services. *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva*. 2012; 17(4):821-8. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1413-81232012000400002>

- Conill EM. Regarding the impasses of the uses of evaluation for management: it is not necessary to invent, nor is it enough to simplify. *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva*. 2012; 17(4):834-6. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1413-81232012000400005>
- Moraes ABA, Rolim GS, Costa Jr. AL. Adherence process from a behavioral analysis perspective. *Rev Bras Ter Comport Cogn*. [Internet]. 2009;11(2):329-45. Available from: http://pepsic.bvsalud.org/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1517-55452009000200009&lng=pt.
- Carvalho EMP, Göttems LBD, Pires MRGM. Adherence to best care practices in normal birth: construction and validation of an instrument. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2015; 49(6):889-97. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0080-623420150000600003>
- Curado MAS, Teles J, Marôco J. Analysis of variables that are not directly observable: influence on decision-making during the research process. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2014; 48(1): 146-52. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0080-623420140000100019>.
- Pasquali L. Psychometrics. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2009; 43(spe): 992-9. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0080-62342009000500002>.
- Cunha CM, Almeida Neto OP, Stackfleth R. Main psychometric evaluation methods of measuring instruments reliability. *Rev Atenção Saúde*. 2016; 14(49): 98-103. doi: 10.13037/rbcs.vol14n49.3671
- Alexandre NMC, Gallasch CH, Lima MHM, Rodrigues RCM. Reliability in the development and evaluation of measurement instruments in the health field. *Rev Eletr Enferm*. 2013;15(3):802-9. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5216/ree.v15i3.20776>
- Coluci MZO, Alexandre NMC, Milani D. Construction of measurement instruments in the area of health. *Ciênc Saúde Colet*. 2015; 20(3):925-36. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232015203.04332013>
- Malacarne MP, Luiz SG, Amaral TR, Siqueira MM. Health service evaluation in Public Health: a survey of research on assessment in Public Health Graduate Programs. *Rev Bras Pesqui Saúde*. 2017; 18(1):62-7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.21722/rbps.v18i1.15136>
- Pazandeh F, Huss R, Hirst J, House A, Baghban AA. An evaluation of the quality of care for women with low risk pregnancy: The use of evidence-based practice during labour and childbirth in four public hospitals in Tehran. *Midwifery*. 2015; doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2015.07.003>
- Binfa L, Pantoja L, Ortiz J, Cavada G, Burgos RY, Silva LCFP, et al. Midwifery practice and maternity services: A multisite descriptive study in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Midwifery*. 2016; 218-25. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2016.07.010>
- Declercq E. Is medical intervention in childbirth inevitable in Brazil?. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2014;30(Suppl1):S39-S40. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0102-311XCO05S114>.
- Renfrew MJ, McFadden A, Bastos MH, Campbell J, Channon AA, Cheung NF, et al. Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence informed framework for maternal and newborn care. *Lancet*. 2014; 384:1129-45. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60789-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60789-3)

Notes

- 1 FUNDAÇÃO DE APOIO A PESQUISA DO DISTRITO FEDERAL
Processo nº 193.000.175-2013.

Author notes

Corresponding Author: Leila Bernarda Donato Gottens
Escola Superior de Ciencias da Saude/Fundação de Ensino e
Pesquisa em Ciencias Da Saude Programa de Pos Graduação
Stricto Senso em Ciencias para a Saude Setor Médico
Hospitalar Norte Conjunto A, Bloco 01, Edifício Fepecs Bairro:
Plano Piloto, Asa Norte CEP:70710-907, Brasília, DF, Brasil E-
mail: leila.gottens@gmail.com