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INTRODUCTION

Gestation is a critical period in the female life cycle, in which a series of hormonal changes causes a woman’s body to undergo various modifications. These usually include oral problems, mainly related to the periodontium (3-11). Periodontal disease is the second most common oral disease in the world. It is a bacterial inflammation of the periodontal tissue, resulting from the accumulation of dental biofilm on the external surface of the tooth. Its occurrence is associated with poor socioeconomic conditions, difficult access to health services, and health-related behaviors involving smoking, a carbohydrate-rich diet, and poor oral hygiene (3,4,5).

The gestational period demands special care of oral health, as considerable periodontal changes, such as hyperemia, edema and a marked tendency to gingival bleeding become more prevalent. A recent systematic review has shown that the periodontium, as support and sustainer, is a target tissue for estrogen and progesterone receptors, which trigger a greater hormonal concentration in the gestation period and lead to exacerbated inflammatory processes (6). This increase results in the development or exacerbation of gingivitis gives rise to gingival granulomas and the progression of preexisting periodontitis (11).

Research has shown that Fusobacterium nucleatum, a common oral bacterium in periodontal diseases, can invade not only periodontal cells, but also other types of cells in the human body even leading to a probable association between periodontal disease and intercurrences during pregnancy (premature birth and low birth weight) (6,10). An experimental study in rats has shown that maternal periodontal disease can increase the plasma concentration of the Tumor Necrosis factor-alpha and Interleukin-6, thereby allowing periodontal pathogens to reach the amniotic cavity and cause pregnancy complications (10).

There are two main reasons for the need for buccal care during pregnancy: pregnant women need to eat properly and, for that reason, should not present pain and/or dental mobility. As well as that, periodontal infections could spread via the bloodstream and stimulate the production of inflammatory cytokines, which could accelerate the mechanisms of labor (11). In the first trimester of gestation there is intense cell proliferation, differentiation, and organization, and it is the most critical period for elective outpatient interventions. The third trimester also demands care, as different factors can lead to capillary stimuli and/or those associated with the autonomic nervous system, thereby influencing the moment of delivery. Thus, the second trimester of gestation is the most suitable for carrying out elective outpatient dental procedures, because by the fourth month the greatest part of organogenesis has already been completed (10).

Thus, it is vitally important that not only the dentist knows the buccal conditions of pregnant women, but also that each pregnant woman recognizes what is normal in her mouth and know the more common changes and pathologies occurring during gestation. Minimizing the risk of pregnancy complications and the transmissibility of pathogenic oral microorganisms constitutes essential preventive behavior for both mother and baby.

This study set out to evaluate the periodontal conditions related to the gestation period, and discover the oral hygiene habits of pregnant women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at Centro Universitário de Anápolis - UniEVANGELICA and catalogued as 460.675/2013.

It is an observational study involving a cross-sectional field study with a quantitative approach, in which patients from the Dr. Adalberto Maternity Hospital in Anápolis, Goiás, were interviewed and clinically examined. Pregnant women from the first to ninth month of gestation who agreed to participate in the research, and signed the Free Informed Consent (FIC) form, were included. The study excluded diabetic pregnant women, those taking antibiotics or anti-inflammatory drugs, or presenting any other condition which could influence their periodontal condition.

The patients were individually approached on the day of their prenatal visit and signed the FIC form. They were instructed on oral hygiene techniques, a clinical examination was performed and the data recorded on a previously drawn up clinical record sheet. The clinical evaluations included the Periodontal Screening and Recording (PSR), bleeding on probing and tooth loss. The PSR code were classified according with Stefani et al. (11). Material for these exams included the following instruments: mirrors, tweezers, WHO, Nabers and de Williams periodontal probes, cotton and gauze. The oral exams were performed in the dental office of the Division itself on a previously scheduled day and at the most convenient time for the pregnant women. The Gingival Index (GI) was calculated to evaluate gingival bleeding on the four dental surfaces after probing.

The data were tabulated in an Excel worksheet. Linear Regression Analysis was applied between the independent variables: gestation, brushing, flossing, smoking and the gingival index. The level of significance was set at 5%.
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RESULTS

A total of 100 pregnant women with a mean age of 24.17 years, ranging from 14 to 40, participated in this study. In all, 2667 teeth were evaluated and an absence of 133 (4.75%) was found. Third molars were excluded from this count.

Gestation time was divided into trimesters, being that the mostly of the pregnant woman were in third trimesters (48%), whilst 39% in the second trimesters and 15% in the first trimester. In relation to the frequency of brushing, it can be seen that 55% brushed three times a day, while 35% brushed twice and 10% reported brushing more than three times a day. In terms of flossing, 49% reported doing so up to three times a day, while 48% reported once a day and 3% more than three times a day.

The results also showed that all sextants of the mouth (I, II, III, IV, V, VI) presented an average of 90% PSR Code 2 (ranging from 86 to 95%) while Code 4 and Code* (mobility, furcation, gingival recession greater than or equal to 3 mm) were not seen in any of the pregnant women’s sextants. The other codes are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sextant</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3f</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Percentage of distribution of PSR codes by sextant.

As regards the GI, an average of 12% bleeding, varying between 0 and 43%, can be seen. Table 2 shows the areas with higher and lower occurrences of bleeding after probing, according to dental regions (antenners, premolars and molars). When occurred the same quantity of occurrences of bleeding after probing, according to dental regions (anteriors, premolars and molars). In Table 2 could be shown the in only 12% of pregnant was not occurred any bleeding on probing. Of the 100 pregnant women evaluated, 93 were non-smokers, while seven smoked an average of 10 cigarettes/day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas of bleeding</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Antenners, Premolars and Molars</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Antenners and Molars</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Premolars</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No bleeding</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Antenners</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Premolars and Molars</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Molars</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Dental groups showed greater bleeding during probing

DISCUSSION

Gingivitis can be considered the main oral disease affecting women in the gestational period, due to an increase in the concentration of female sex hormones, and leads to exacerbated gingival inflammation(2,3,8,12).

A total of 100 pregnant women with a mean age of 24.17 years participated in this study. In all, 2667 teeth were evaluated. Of these 4.75% were absent (133 teeth), and the third molars were not considered. It was seen that most of the pregnant women (46%) were between 21 and 30 years old, while the minority (19%) were between 31 and 40 years. A study by Moimaz et al.(13) presented a mean age of 24.7 years while that of Seraphim et al.(4) presented a mean age of 28 years for the 96 pregnant women they evaluated. The mean ages in these studies were very close to those of this present study. However, in other studies, higher mean ages can be seen as in that of Monteiro et al.(14) where it was 33, while in that of Villa et al.(4) who evaluated 750 pregnant women, it was 32.

In terms of gestation, in the present study it can be seen that most (46%) were in the third trimester, while in the study by Jeremias et al.(17), involving 50 pregnant women, most, also 46%, were in the second trimester of gestation. Monteiro et al.(15) reported that the number of patients was the same in each trimester, and of the 75 pregnant women evaluated, about 33% were divided over each trimester. A systematic review by Figuero et al.(16) concluded that there was an exacerbation of gingivitis in the third trimester of pregnancy when compared to pregnant women in the first trimester. This increase could be explained by the gestational hormonal peak.

In this present study, gingival indices (GI) ranged from 0 to 43%, with a mean of 12%. It was seen that the area of greatest occurrence of bleeding (35%) was in the molar region. Gingival bleeding was seen in about 88% of the pregnant women, while in 12% no bleeding was observed in any region. Other studies did not specify which regions presented greater bleeding; however, it was observed that the percentages of pregnant women who bled during the probing. In the study by Monteiro et al.(17), bleeding occurred in 38.6% of the 75 pregnant women evaluated. Moimaz et al.(16) found that 67.06% of the pregnant women presented gingival bleeding. In another study by Moimaz et al.(13) only 15% of the women presented bleeding. Jeremias et al.(17) in their research presented lower results where only 10% presented gingival bleeding out of 50 patients. This high percentage of pregnant women presenting bleeding after probing (88%) was probably due to the fact that they had received little or no guidance on oral hygiene, and that they were younger women (about one-third were under 20), and greater bleeding is common in younger women(16). In addition, about 48% of the pregnant women reported not using dental floss during hygiene. Although the bleeding occurred in 88% of the women, the mean GI (12%) was relatively low and there was no correlation with the quantitative variables (smoking, using dental floss, brushing and gestation time) (Table 3).

As regards hygiene, 55% reported brushing their teeth three times a day, while 35% brushed up to twice a day and 10% more than 3 times a day. And it can be noted that almost half (48%) did not use dental floss. In a study by Silveira et al.(19), it was seen that all the pregnant women brushed their teeth, the majority (63.3%) three times a day, while only 30% used dental floss. In the study by Monteiro et al.(15), it was seen that 34.6% reported brushing their teeth twice a day, 17.3% did not use dental floss, while 26.6% used floss in all brushings.

In the present study, the periodontal evaluation also used the PSR (Basic Periodontal Assessment) criteria, while using the WHO periodontal probe. Of the codes found in all sextants, 90% of the women presented Code 2, while Code* was not seen in any of the subjects. However, different results were found in a study by Rocha et al.(20), in which 61.65% of the patients presented Code 1, while only 23.31% presented Code 2. In a study by Rosell et al.(21) involving 41 pregnant women, the highest prevalence was of Code 2, found in 56.1% of pregnant women, as in the present study. It should be noted that Code 2 refers to plaque retention factors. Thus, in the present study the vast majority had plaque retention agents, irrespective of whether or not bleeding was present. In view of the considerable presence of Code 2, pregnant women should be more aware of the need to seek dental treatment more frequently, to reduce plaque retention agents and thereby have better control of the biofilm. This reduces the possibility of periodontal disease, and prevents the development of caries.

This study concluded that most pregnant women were in the third trimester of gestation, with a high prevalence of gingivitis, while no case of periodontitis was found. Most pregnant women reported brushing their teeth three times a day and flossing daily.
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