Borges Mattos, Thiago; Lemos Gulinelli, Jéssica; Borges Mattos, João Marcos; Andrade, Walmir de Jesus; Lujan Brunetto, Juliana; Bragança de Toledo, Rogério; Santos, Pâmela Leticia

Immediate rehabilitation of the aesthetic area in infected alveolar with rpf. 24-month follow-up.

Revista clínica de periodoncia, implantología y rehabilitación oral, vol. 11, no. 3, 2018, pp. 167-169

Sociedad de Periodoncia de Chile. Sociedad de Implantología Oral de Chile. Sociedad de Prótesis y Rehabilitación Oral de Chile.

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=331058233009
Immediate rehabilitation of the aesthetic area in infected alveolar with rpf. 24-month follow-up.
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ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aimed to report the clinical case of an immediate implant-supported rehabilitation in infected alveolar socket with buccal bone resorption and apical periodontitis in the teeth 42 and 43. Case Report: The patient was rehabilitated with implants associated with guided bone regeneration and immediate provisionalization. After 4 months, the custom molding was made in order to receive the metal-ceramic prosthesis. The follow-up lasted 24 months and there was no painful symptomatology, infection, implant mobility or marked peri-implant bone loss in this period. Conclusion: Therefore, the placement of immediate implants on fresh infected alveolar sockets is viable for the implant-supported rehabilitation.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the major challenges encountered for oral rehabilitation has been the insertion of implants in the aesthetic area preserving the peri-implant tissue, especially in areas with periapical lesions, making it difficult to achieve success in its finalization.(1)

The immediate rehabilitation in fresh sockets are established in the current implantology due to the preservation of adjacent structures. The minimally invasive surgical procedure is advocated for reaching the greatest success rate of immediate implant and the use of biomaterial for promoting the maintenance of the area bone volume.(2)

Among the biomaterials, the aggregated platelet and fibrin stand out, mainly due to the large number of growth factors, matrix proteins and osteoconductive and pro-angiogenic properties.(3) The association of this biomaterial with bone substitutes has achieved excellent results, as the ones described in rehabilitations with dental implants and guided bone regeneration techniques.(4)

Nevertheless, the immediate implant placement in infected tooth extraction sites is controversial due to the small samplings described in articles published worldwide.(5)

Considering the challenge of rehabilitating infected areas, the case report aimed to demonstrate the implant-supported rehabilitation of the aesthetic area in infected fresh alveolar sockets, with a 24-month image and clinical follow-up.

CASE REPORT

A patient attended with a dental abscess with purulent drainage adjacent to the 42. After a thorough clinical examination and CT scan, an extensive periapical lesion associated with the #41 and #42 with labial bone loss, was detected (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).

After analyzing the case, the decision was to conduct a dentoalveolar immediate-rehabilitation with dental implants of #42 associated with apicoectomy of #41, followed by guided bone regeneration(GBR) with hydroxyapatite collagen and autologous fibrin membranes(RPF) obtained by the process of centrifugation and preparation.(6)


DOI: 10.4067/S0719-01072018000300167
element #42. After this stage, with a periodontal probe, the area was submitted to curettage for a complete excision of the injury, followed by the apicoectomy of the #41 (Fig. 3A).

The placement of the narrow-diameter internal hexagon implant (AXIOM 2.8-Anthogyr /France) was preceded by the achievement of a surgical alveolar socket, supporting the dental drill 2.0 on the lingual wall, with a 50 N torque. All acts were inspected in order to maintain the 3D position obtained through reverse planning and models of study (Fig. 3B).

Then, the adaptation of the definitive abutment was conducted, in the switching platform, through a controlled impaction system (SAFELOCK-Anthogyr/France), followed by the preparation of the temporary crown in photopolymerizable composite resin Filtek™ Z350 XT (3M/ESPE-St Paul/ MN-USA), associated with the crown of the 42 that had been extracted. Such stage is crucial for obtaining and maintaining the integrity of the periodontal tissue and inserted implants, as well as its aesthetics.

After being analyzed, the temporary crown was removed so that the GBR could be conducted with the association of the EXTRAGRAPHixon® XG13 (Sivestre lab-UNICAMP/SP-Brazil) bone substitute and the RPF. These were perforated and mixed, following the protocol already described in the literature (4-5), with the hydroxyapatite and collagen obliterating the gap between the buccal bone defect and the wall of the inserted implant (Fig. 3C).

After the temporary crown was replaced and the occlusal adjustment was made, leaving it in infraocclusion, followed by suture which was removed after 10-post-operative days (Fig. 4). On the 180th day of follow-up, the custom transfer molding was conducted in order to preserve the entire gingival framework obtained, and the subsequent production and placement of the final porcelain-fused-to-metal crown, reestablishing the function and aesthetics.

Tomographic images were captured to evaluate the neoformation and preservation of the implant and GBR obtained; there was a 24-month follow-up period. During this period, the patient reported no pain complaint. In the clinical assessment, there is no mobility of the implant or infection and the image exams show absence of radiolucency in the bone/implant junction (Fig. 5 and 6). These factors characterize the success of the treatment.

Figure 2. Tomographic evaluation and 3D reconstruction of the region 42 - Extensive cystic bone defect involving the outer cortical bone plate and endodontic therapy.

Figure 3. A-Surgical aspect after extraction of the tooth 42 and apicoectomy of 41 performed with piezoelectric. Note the extent of the bone defect. B-Surgical installation of dental implant after cystic enucleation. C-Surgical aspect of guided bone regeneration for bone defect reconstruction of the buccal bone plate with bone substitute (Bonegraft), collagen membrane for rapid absorption and PRF.

Figure 4. Clinical control 10 days after surgery showing the tissue repair process compatible with surgery, absence of inflammation, infection and wound dehiscence.

Figure 5. Clinical control 24 months after surgery: total closure of the soft tissues.

Figure 6. Tomographic control 24 months showing maintenance of the buccal bone wall and peri-implant.

DISCUSSION

The treatment for fresh alveolar sockets is satisfactorily conducted through its filling with biomaterial in order to reduce the alveolar bone reabsorption process and the treatment time. In addition, the biomaterials fixed simultaneously to the dental implant placement may benefit the functional and esthetic rehabilitation of the stomatognathic system. Therefore, exodontics followed by dental implant became one of the fastest and effective therapeutic options for tooth replacement (6).

Nevertheless, the implant placement in infected tooth extraction sites is discussed in the scientific literature (7) and Marconcini et al. (2013) (8).
Chrcanovic et al. (2015) have reported a success rate up to 100% in the immediate implant placement in infected fresh alveolar sockets. Meltzer et al. (2012) installed 77 implants in infected alveolar sockets and, after 24 months, a rate of 98.7% were osseointegrated. Lee et al. (2015) found a lower rate in areas of teeth with periapical lesions; the rate of survival of the immediate implant is 96.23% in a period of 5 years.

A significant issue for the survival of the implants placed in tooth extraction sites is the excision of the total lesion and the decontamination of the alveolar socket. If these procedures are conducted appropriately, the survival rates of implants may be statistically equal between infected and uninfected tooth extraction sites (12).

Even with successful results regarding the placement of immediate implants in infected alveolar, the GBR procedures are commonly associated, since the combination of these therapies represents an alternative for optimizing the treatment time, the aesthetic and periodontal architecture. Therefore, this paper aimed to demonstrate the placement of immediate implant in infected fresh alveolar sockets associated with GBR through a 24-month clinical and image follow-up.

Among the materials used for the GBR, the RPF stand out. It presents a high amount of antibacterial and growth factors and acts in the final stage of coagulation cascade, characteristics that promote the stabilization of blood clot and bone regeneration (7). Clinically, it has been indicated to bone defects, soft tissue and maxillary sinus elevation (12).

However, the risks of disease transmission disseminated by the halogenated transfusion need to be better evaluated, so that the autologous concentrate can be better accepted by patients. Nevertheless, there are controversies about its intervention. Pathologies, the presence of polymerized fibrin in the end of the centrifugation and no standardization of the amount of growth factors concentration, removed from platelets may interfere in the clinic results (12).

In addition, if RPF is applied as a barrier, its mechanic resistance is of utmost importance. However, without the necessary chemistry preparation (exogenous stimulation with thrombin), it does not continue so rigid. In this reticulated consistency, RPF does not enable growth factors that are essential for certain uses (10).

Another significant issue of this case report is the early or immediate loading. Commonly used, the implant placement in the aesthetic area helps in the maintenance of the emergency profile, in the function and conservation of alveolar socket, reducing bone resorption. Therefore, in unitary implants, the dental crown of the element extracted may be used to reach a harmonic result of the smile, making unnecessary the use of other means for producing the provisional prosthesis.

Based on the therapeutic protocol described above, immediate implant placement into infected alveolus was a viable option for implant-supported rehabilitation.
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CLINICAL RELEVANCE
The clinical relevance is show in the challenge of rehabilitating infected areas and the emphasize the need of an appropriate treatment in the aesthetic area, including the three-dimensional planning of the implant-supported rehabilitation.
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