



Revista de Osteoporosis y Metabolismo Mineral

ISSN: 1889-836X

ISSN: 2173-2345

Sociedad Española de Investigaciones Óseas y
Metabolismo Mineral

Barquero, L. Del Río

¿Las mediciones de masa ósea en fémures son simétricas?

Revista de Osteoporosis y Metabolismo Mineral, vol. 12, no. 4, 2020, pp. 113-114

Sociedad Española de Investigaciones Óseas y Metabolismo Mineral

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4321/S1889-836X2020000400001>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=360966340001>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in [redalyc.org](https://www.redalyc.org)

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and
Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

Are femoral bone mass measurements symmetrical?

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4321/S1889-836X2020000400001>

Del Río Barquero L

Centro de Tecnología Diagnóstica S.A. Terrassa Mutual Insurance Company. Terrassa (Spain)

This issue of the journal offers an interesting article on possible differences in femur densitometry related to the dominance of the upper extremities between left and right handed¹.

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is based on the measurement of areal bone mineral density centimeter (BMD, g/cm²) in the proximal femur and lumbar spine. Conditions such as osteoarthritis or osteophytic calcifications influence spinal BMD and confer a great value to femoral measurement. Since the DXA technique began being used on the hips, the presumption that there may be a minimal bilateral asymmetry between the proximal femurs has been maintained, but with no clinical relevance. Several research groups have studied this question. It has not been established whether there are systematic differences between the BMD of both hips, and in order to answer the questions: is the bone density in one of the femurs similar to same in the opposite side? which of them to choose?

In this sense, the forearm example is paradigmatic, for it is a region of interest consigned to an alternative sector and yet used in situations where measurements in conventional regions are not reliable. Due to the regarded differences in the BMD of the dominant and non-dominant forearms, the measurement of the BMD of the non-dominant forearm to reduce the variance is recommended.

The answer to “are the left and right proximal femurs symmetrical?” is important, since the result of the measurement in the chosen hip affects the fracture risk estimation in the subject. In their quest to find ideal hip replacements and improving surgical techniques, orthopaedic surgeons show special interest towards possible anatomical differences.

Research on anatomical variations of the proximal femur has revealed differences regarding gender and

ethnicity between femurs. Differences in femoral dimensions and compensations between men and women have been identified²⁻⁵. Other studies have found differences in some morphological characteristics in femurs from European and Asian populations, specifically in the diameter of the femoral head, femoral displacement, and diameter of the shaft⁶. Different properties such as bone mineral density, mechanical resistance, cortical thickness, angles or length of the femur have also been studied⁷⁻¹¹. The assessment of possible differences in 160 paired femurs from both sides of corpses showed absolutely no differences regarding any femoral measurement exceeding 1.5 mm⁹. The percentage of asymmetry did not exceed 4% for all anthropometric measurements and they found no link between absolute differences and the percentage of asymmetry, gender and/or ethnicity. Age or weight did also have nothing to do with absolute differences or percentage of asymmetry. This study, as well as other publications, supports the assumption of a high degree of symmetry in the left and right proximal femurs despite their shape and the shape of the body^{12,13}. Symmetry is generally independent of demographic data and overall dimensions of the proximal femur.

By applying the DXA method with different technological approaches, other groups have assessed the variations in femoral BMD and geometric characteristics such as the length of the hip axis (HAL) between left / right femur and have found a high correlation ($r=0.81-0.96$) in the relevant regions of interest. They did not detect significant differences between both sides, so even though there is a dominant forearm, there does not appear to be a dominant hip. The authors of these studies^{1,14,15} have concluded that the measurement of a single femur is usually sufficient for the clinical evaluation of BMD and/or the length of the hip axis.



Conflict of interests: The author declares no conflict of interest.



Correspondence: Luis del Río Barquero (delriobarquero@gmail.com)

Bibliography

1. Naranjo-Kalinowska S, Saavedra SP, De la Rosa-Fernández F, Suárez-Ramírez N, Gómez de Tejada Romero MJ, Sosa Henríquez M. Comparación de los valores densitométricos de la extremidad proximal del fémur en sujetos jóvenes sanos: zurdos vs. diestros. *Rev Osteoporos Metab Miner.* 2021;12(4):115-21.
2. Asala SA. Sex determination from the head of the femur of South African whites and blacks. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2001; 117:15-22.
3. Casper DS, Kim GK, Parvizi J, Freeman TA. Morphology of the proximal femur differs widely with age and sex: relevance to design and selection of femoral prostheses. *J Orthop Res.* 2012;30:1162-6.
4. Purkait R. Sex determination from femoral head measurements: a new approach. *Leg Med (Tokyo).* 2003;5(suppl 1):S347-50.
5. Unnanuntana A, Toogood P, Hart D, Cooperman D, Grant RE. Evaluation of proximal femoral geometry using digital photographs. *J Orthop Res.* 2010; 28:1399-404.
6. Hoaglund FT, Low WD. Anatomy of the femoral neck and head, with comparative data from Caucasians and Hong Kong Chinese. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1980;(152):10-6.
7. Murshed KA, Cicekcibasi AE, Karabacakoglu A, Seker M, Ziyilan T. Distal femur morphometry: a gender and bilateral comparative study using magnetic resonance imaging. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 2005;27:108-12.
8. Pierre MA, Zurakowski D, Nazarian A, Hauser-Kara DA, Snyder BD. Assessment of the bilateral asymmetry of human femurs based on physical, densitometric, and structural rigidity characteristics. *J Biomech.* 2010;43:2228-36.
9. Rosenbaum TG, Hamblin T, Bloebaum RD. Determining the degree of cortical bone asymmetry in bilateral, nonpathological, human femur pairs. *J Biomed Mater Res A.* 2006;76:450-5.
10. Strecker W, Keppler P, Gebhard F, Kinzl L. Length and torsion of the lower limb. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1997;79: 1019-23.
11. Teitz CC, Lind BK, Sacks BM. Symmetry of the femoral notch width index. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997;25:687-90.
12. Auerbach BM, Ruff CB. Limb bone bilateral asymmetry: variability and commonality among modern humans. *J Hum Evol.* 2006;50:203-18.
13. Unnanuntana A, Wagner D, Goodman SB. The accuracy of preoperative templating in cementless total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2009;24:180-6.
14. Faulkner KG, Genant HK, McClung M. Bilateral comparison of femoral bone density and hip axis length from single and fan beam DXA scans. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 1995;56(1):26-31.
15. Rao AD, Reddy S, Rao DS. Is there a difference between right and left femoral bone density? *J Clin Densitom.* 2000;3 (1):57-61.