3659

Farmacia Hospitalaria ISSN: 1130-6343 ISSN: 2171-8695 Grupo Aula Médica

Perception survey on the value of the hospital pharmacist at the emergency department

García-Martín, Ángeles; Maroun-Eid, Charbel; Campino-Villegas, Ainara; Oliva-Manuel, Belén; Herrero-Ambrosio, Alicia; Quintana-Díaz, Manuel

Perception survey on the value of the hospital pharmacist at the emergency department Farmacia Hospitalaria, vol. 41, no. 3, 2017

Grupo Aula Médica

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=365962304004

DOI: 10.7399/fh.2017.41.3.10687



ORIGINALES

Perception survey on the value of the hospital pharmacist at the emergency department

Encuesta de percepción del valor del farmacéutico de hospital en el servicio de urgencias

Ángeles García-Martín ¹

the Hospital Universitario La Paz, IdiPaz®, España

Charbel Maroun-Eid²

Hospital Universitario La Paz, IdiPaz®, España

Ainara Campino-Villegas ³

Hospital Universitario Cruces, España

Belén Oliva-Manuel ⁴

Nacional Centre for Cardiovascular Research Carlos III (CNIC), España

Alicia Herrero-Ambrosio ⁵

Hospital Universitario La Paz, España

Manuel Quintana-Díaz²

Hospital Universitario La Paz, IdiPaz®, España

Farmacia Hospitalaria, vol. 41, no. 3, 2017

Grupo Aula Médica

Received: 17 October 2016 Accepted: 23 January 2017

DOI: 10.7399/fh.2017.41.3.10687

CC BY-NC-ND

Abstract

Objective: To determine the perception and evaluation of the Emergency pharmacist by the medical and nursing staff at the Emergency department.

Methods: A multicenter study based on a survey sent to the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacists (SEFH) for Emergency pharmacists (EPh) to distribute among the Emergency staff. Descriptive statistics were used, with a 95% confidence interval.

Results: 102 (12%) questionnaires were completed by 73 Emergency Physicians (71.6%) and 29 Emergency Nurses (28.4%), out of 835 surveys sent. The most common pharmaceutical activities, and perceived as more relevant for patient safety, were: consultation solution, prescription validation, and medication reconciliation. 63% of respondents supported the prospective review of high-risk medications, while 89% believed that the Pharmacist improves the quality of care. EPh are considered useful for training healthcare staff and patients, and 77% of respondents considered them as an integral member of the team. They would resort more to Pharmacists if they were present at the hospital department.

Conclusions: The results show the acceptance of Hospital Pharmacists in the Emergency Department; their functions are known and valued. They are considered an integral member of the team, who will provide safety and improve patient care.

Medication reconciliation and prescription validation are highlighted because of their relevance in terms of safety. Further studies are needed to assess health outcomes and their economic impact.

KEYWORDS: Clinical pharmacist++ Emergency care++ Survey++ Multidisciplinary team++ Patient safety.

Resumen

Objetivo: Determinar la percepción y valoración del farmacéutico de Urgencias por el personal médico y de enfermería de este servicio.



Métodos: Estudio multicéntrico basado en una encuesta que se envió a la SEFH para que los farmacéuticos de Urgencias lo dirigieran al personal de esta unidad. Se aplica una estadística descriptiva con intervalos de confianza del 95%.

Resultados: 102 (12%) encuestas cumplimentadas por 73 médicos (71,6%) y 29 enfermeras (28,4%) de Urgencias, de un total de 835 enviadas. Se aprecian como actividades farmacéuticas más comunes y relevantes para la seguridad del paciente: la resolución de consultas, la validación de prescripciones y la conciliación de la medicación. El 63% apoya la validación prospectiva de los medicamentos de alto riesgo. Para el 89% el farmacéutico mejora la calidad de la atención. Lo consideran útil en la formación del personal sanitario y de los pacientes y, para un 77% del total, es parte integral del equipo. Recurren más a él si está presente en el servicio.

Conclusiones: Los resultados muestran la aceptación del farmacéutico de hospital en Urgencias; se conocen y valoran sus funciones. Es considerado parte integral del equipo, aporta seguridad y mejora la atención de los pacientes. Se refuerzan la conciliación de la medicación y la validación de las prescripciones por su relevancia en la seguridad. Su visibilidad facilita que recurran a sus servicios, si bien un reciente informe de la SEFH refleja una reducida presencia en las Urgencias hospitalarias. Hacen falta más estudios que valoren los resultados en salud y su repercusión económica.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Farmacéutico clínico, Urgencias, Encuesta, Equipo multidisciplinar, Seguridad del paciente.

Introduction

The relatively recent clinical focus of Hospital Pharmacists towards the Emergency Department¹⁻² has provided opportunities for improvement in the quality, safety and efficacy of patient care³⁻¹².

The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) has defined the Pharmacy services portfolio in the Emergency Unit, and the guidelines that determine the functions of Pharmacists in this hospital department^{13,14}. In 2006, the Pharmacy Care in Emergencies (RedFastER) group was created within the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (SEFH)¹⁵. Its objectives include the improvement in patient care quality through the rational use of medications, and collaboration in patient care, teaching and research with other healthcare professionals and scientific societies. The Australian Society of Hospital Pharmacists has recently published their standards of practice¹⁶.

As a result of the coordination between RedFastER and the Spanish Society of Emergency Medicine (SEMES) the consensus document about Medication Reconciliation in Emergency Units¹⁷ aims to prevent and decrease medication errors as a shared responsibility among healthcare professionals.

A recent report by the SEFH shows that there is still a limited presence of Pharmacists in Hospital Emergency Departments¹⁸. This is a striking fact, because these are clinical units that represent complex settings with a high risk of adverse events: 12%, according to the EVADUR study¹⁹, and 24% of them are drug-related errors, near 70% preventables.

Unlike other hospital units, medications are prescribed, dispensed and administered in situ, with a high prevalence of verbal orders, high-risk intravenous medication use in emergencies, high care pressure, and outpatients are managed in a short period of time.



These aspects increase the risk of incidents, and make it difficult to validate medication "remotely"; these are aspects where the Pharmacist can be involved for improving the quality and safety of patient care. Different authors highlight their acknowledgment as members of the Emergency team^{20,21,22}.

The objective of this article is to determine the perception and assessment of Pharmacists by the medical and nursing staff in our hospitals.

Methods

A descriptive multicenter study based on a survey targeted to the members of the Emergency staff, physicians and nurses, from hospitals with a reference pharmacist.

The survey design was based on the survey by Fairbanks and cols.²⁰, validated by its authors.

Some additional questions have been included regarding the characteristics of the hospital, the Emergency Department and physicians, and pharmacist activity:

Teaching accreditation, private or public management, and number of hospital beds

Annual number of patients managed in Emergencies

Medical specialty, if any

Medication reconciliation

The following have been excluded:

Resuscitations and sedations involvement are not part of the clinical activities by Hospital Pharmacists in Spain.

Participation of residents in the survey, because medical training in Emergencies is not acknowledged as a MIR specialty.

The Surveymonkey tool has been used as electronic support.

The anonymous questionnaire has an estimated completion time of 10-15 minutes, and includes 14 sections classified into:

Characteristics of survey participants and their institution. It includes dichotomous closed-ended questions (yes/no), multiple choice questions to select one option, and only medical specialty is open-ended.

Perception of the Pharmacist activities in Emergencies regarding patient safety, in three subgroups of questions with closed-ended answer selection, exclusive in the first (only the most relevant activity), and one or more for the two remaining subgroups.

Assessment of the role of the Pharmacist, with two subgroups of seven questions with multiple answers and five possible options for each, according to the Likert Scale (1 = strongly agree, 5 = strongly disagree).

The link was sent to the SEFH mailing list. An explanatory test stated that the Emergency Pharmacist participants had to target the survey to this Unit staff, and confirm by e-mail its potential forwarding. In our hospital, the link and the relevant text were also sent in the same way.



Descriptive statistics were used for the analysis of results, presented through counts, percentages, and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals.

The "strongly agree" and "agree" answers have been summed up and grouped in one single category, as well as the "disagree" and "strongly disagree" answers.

Results

The survey link was sent to the SEFH members on April 15th 2016, and again one month afterwards. Access was available until June 30th 2016.

In our hospital, the survey was conducted earlier. The intention was to obtain the answers under the conditions in which the form had been designed, before the change in the management and structure of the Emergency Dept. Data were analyzed jointly for all participant hospitals.

In total, 835 surveys were sent, and 102 were completed (12%). One survey was considered invalid and discarded.

The Emergency Pharmacists from 20 hospitals confirmed their participations. By region, the participation was: Andalucía (2 hospitales), Aragón (2), Baleares (3), Canarias (1), Castilla-León (2), Cataluña (1), Galicia (1), Madrid (4), País Vasco (1), Valencia (2), Principado de Andorra (1).

A 72% of participants were physicians; 64% of the respondents were female, and 89% have over five years of experience in Emergencies (Table 1).



Table 1
Demographics of survey participants

Demographics of participants	Total	%
Gender		
Male	37	36.3
Female	65	63.7
Experience in Emergencies		
0 to 5 years	12	11.8
to 10 years	20	19.6
6 to 10 years	70	68;6
Role		
Physician	73	71.6
Nurse	29	28.4
Medical Specialty		
Internal Medicine	18	24.7
Family and Community Medicine	35	47.9
Others	5	6.8
Not specified	15	20.6
The Hospital		
Management		
Public	100	9.0
Private	2	2.0
Care objectives		
General	101	99.0
Others	1	1.0
Hospital bed count		
<200	9	8.8
200 to 500	50	49.0
500 to 1.000	21	20.6
>1.000	22	21.6
Patients seen per year		
<100.000	21	18.8
≥100.000 to 200.000	56	50
>200.000	35	31.3
Post-graduate training accreditation		
Yes	87	85.3
No	15	14.7

Fifty-eight physicians stated their specialty: 35 (47.9%) Family and Community Medicine specialists, 18 (24.7%) Internal Medicine Specialists, three Geriatricians, one Intensive Medicine Specialist, and one Occupational Medicine Specialist; five respondents considered themselves "Emergency Specialists", and the rest gave no answer.

Working centres were mostly public general hospitals, with post-graduate teaching accreditation.

Out of the participants, 81.3% stated working in Emergency Units with high care pressure, > 100,000 visits per year. Participants in hospitals with > 500 beds represented 42.2% of the sample.



Table 2 shows the perception by Emergency staff of the pharmacist activities associated with patient safety.

Table 2
Perception of the Pharmacist activities in Emergencies in terms of patient safety

Perception of Pharmacist activities in Emergencies in terms of patient safety	Total (n=102) No. (%)	CI 95%	Physicians (n=73) No. (%)	CI 95%	Nurses (n=29) No. (%)	CI 95%
Which of the following activities by the p Emergencies? (Please select one)	harmacist do y	ou think is th	e most relevant	in terms of m	edication safe	ty in
Prescription validation	34 (33)	[24-43]	22 (30)	[19-41]	12 (41)	[22-60]
Consultation solution	23 (23)	[14-31]	14 (19)	[10-28]	9 (31)	[13-49]
Continuous training	3 (3)	[0-6]	3 (4)	[0-9]	0 (0)	
Patient education	1 (1)	[0-3]	1 (1)	[0-4]	0 (0)	175-18
Medication reconciliation	41 (40)	[30-50]	33 (45)	[34-57]	8 (28)	[10-45]
Please state which of these activities are	conducted at ye	our hospital				
Prescription validation	79 (77)	[69-86]	58 (80)	[70-89]	21 (72)	[55-90]
Consultation solution	90 (88)	[82-95]	66 (90)	[83-97]	24 (83)	[68-97]
Continuous training	40 (39)	[30-49]	36 (49)	[38-61]	4 (14)	[0-27]
Patient education	39 (38)	[29-48]	34 (47)	[35-58]	5 (17)	[3-32]
Medication reconciliation	71 (70)	[61-79]	54 (74)	[64-84]	17 (59)	[40-78]
None of the above	3 (3)	[0-6]	2 (3)	[0-6]	1 (4)	[0-11]
Which of the following prescriptions sho	uld ALWAYS be	validated by	the Pharmacist	before admir	istration?	
All prescriptions	35 (34)	[25-44]	24 (33)	[22-44]	11 (38)	[19-57]
Only urgent prescriptions	5 (5)	[0-9]	3 (4)	[0-9]	2 (7)	[0-17]
Non-urgent prescriptions	15 (15)	[8-22]	13 (18)	[9-27]	2 (7)	[0-17]
High-risk medications	64 (63)	[53-72]	48 (66)	[54-77]	16 (55)	[36-74]
Rarely used medications	41 (40)	[31-50]	28 (38)	[27-50]	13 (45)	[26-64]

It was considered that the most relevant activities regarding safety were: medication reconciliation (40%), prescription validation (33%) and solution to consultations (23%). No differences were demonstrated by type of respondent.

The following activities conducted were valued: consultation solutions, according to 88% of participants, followed by prescription validation and medication reconciliation, with 77% and 70%, respectively.

Physicians perceived, to a higher extent than nurses, the continuous training by healthcare staff and the information provided to patients by pharmacists in the Emergency Department.

Three participants stated their lack of knowledge regarding these activities being developed in their department.

Regarding treatment validation, 63% of participants considered as a priority that pharmacists should always validate high-risk medications before administration, and 40% for those less used. 34% of participants thought that the Pharmacist should validate all prescriptions previously. Statistical significance is not reached.

Table 3 shows that the Pharmacist improves care quality according to 89% of participants; 87% felt that safety was provided through prescription validation. They were considered useful for healthcare staff and patient training by 81% and 73%, respectively, and as an integral member of the Emergencies team by 77%.



Table 3
Assessment of the role of the Pharmacist in Emergencies

In your experience:	Total (n=102) No. (%)	CI 95%	Physicians (n=73) No. (%)	CI 95%	Nurses (n=29) No. (%)	CI 95%
The presence of an Emergency Pharm	acist improves qua	ality of care				
Strongly agree / agree	91 (89)	[83-95]	69 (95)	[89-100]	22 (76)	[59-92]
Neutral	9 (9)	[3-14]	3 (4)	[0-9]	6 (20)	[5-36]
Disagree / strongly disagree	2 (2)	[0-5]	1 (1)	[0-4]	1 (4)	[0-11]
The Pharmacist is an integral part of the	ne Emergency tea	m				
Strongly agree / agree	79 (77)	[69-86]	60 (82)	[73-91]	19 (66)	[47-84]
Neutral	11 (11)	[5-17]	4 (6)	[0-11]	7 (24)	[8-41]
Disagree / strongly disagree	12 (12)	[5-18]	9 (12)	[5-20]	3 (10)	[0-22]
I will contact a Pharmacist more when	he is present at t	he Emergency	Dept. vs. when	n I need to cal	the Pharmacy	Dept.
Strongly agree / agree	76 (75)	[66-83]	61 (84)	[75-92]	15 (52)	[32-71]
Neutral	17 (16)	[9-24]	10 (13)	[6-22]	7 (24)	[8-41]
Disagree / strongly disagree	9 (9)	[3-14]	2 (3)	[0-7]	7 (24)	[8-41]
Or I would contact more frequently Pl Dept. Strongly agree / agree	84 (82)	[75-90]	63 (86)	[78-94]	21 (72)	[55-90]
Neutral	13 (13)	[6-19]	7 (10)	[3-17]	6 (21)	[5-36]
Disagree / strongly disagree	5 (5)	[6-92]	3 (4)	[0-9]	2 (7)	[0-17]
feel higher safety when the Pharmac						100.071
Strongly agree / agree Neutral	89 (87)	[81-94]	65 (89)	[82-96]	24 (83)	[68-97]
Disagree / strongly disagree	9 (9) 4 (4)	[3-14]	6 (8)	[2-15]	3 (10)	[0-22]
The Pharmacist is useful for the contin			2 (3)	[0-7]	2 (7)	[0-17]
Strongly agree / agree	83 (81)	[74-89]	62 (85)	[77-93]	21 (73)	[55-90]
Neutral	14 (14)	[7-21]	9 (12)	[5-20]	5 (17)	[3-32]
Disagree / strongly disagree	5 (5)	[6-9]	2 (3)	[0-7]	3 (10)	[0-22]
In your experience: Emergency Pharma					100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Strongly agree / agree	74 (73)	[64-81]	57 (78)	[68-88]	17 (59)	[40-78]
Neutral	19 (18)	[11-26]	12 (16)	[8-25]	7 (24)	[8-41]
Disagree / strongly disagree	9 (9)	[3-14]	4 (6)	[0-11]	5 (17)	[3-32]

Their actual presence made it easier for professionals to resort to their services, particularly in the case of physicians (84% vs. 52%).

Regarding their usefulness for treatment optimization (Table 4), physicians and nurses highlighted, in this order: information about interactions, dosing adjustment according to the clinical parameters of each patient, and selection of medications based on efficiency criteria (92%, 91% and 77%), while 53% pointed at selection of antimicrobial agents.



Table 4
Usefulness of the Pharmacist for treatment optimization

The Pharmacist is useful in the following situations	Total (n=102) No. (%)	CI 95%	Physicians (n=73) No. (%)	CI 95%	Nurses (n=29) No. (%)	CI 95%
DOSING adjustment according to clinic	al parameters (re	nal impairme	nt, liver impairn	nent, etc.)		
Strongly agree / agree	93 (91)	[86-97]	67 (92)	[85-98]	26 (90)	[78-100]
Neutral	7 (7)	[2-12]	5 (7)	[0-13]	2 (6)	[0-17]
Disagree / strongly disagree	2 (2)	[0-5]	1 (1)	[0-4]	1 (4)	[0-11]
Selection of the appropriate ANTIMICR	OBIAL agent					
Strongly agree / agree	54 (53)	[43-63]	32 (44)	[32-55]	22 (76)	[59-92]
Neutral	33 (32)	[23-42]	28 (38)	[27-50]	5 (17)	[3-32]
Disagree / strongly disagree	15 (15)	[8-22]	13 (18)	[9-27]	2 (7)	[0-17]
Selection of OTHER MEDICATIONS						
Strongly agree / agree	71 (70)	[61-79]	50 (69)	[58-79]	21 (73)	[55-90]
Neutral	21 (20)	[13-29]	15 (21)	[11-30]	6 (21)	[5-36]
Disagree / strongly disagree	10 (10)	[4-16]	8 (10)	[4-18]	2 (6)	[0-17]
Consultation about medication INTERA	ACTIONS					
Strongly agree / agree	94 (92)	[87-97]	70 (96)	[91-100]	24 (83)	[68-97]
Neutral	6 (6)	[1-11]	3 (4)	[0-9]	3 (10)	[0-22]
Disagree / strongly disagree	2 (2)	[0-5]	0 (0)	•	2 (7)	[0-17]
Consultation about medications during	PREGNANCY					
Strongly agree / agree	92 (90)	[84-96]	66 (90)	[83-97]	26 (90)	[78-100]
Neutral	9 (9)	[3-14]	7 (10)	[3-17]	2 (6)	[0-17]
Disagree / strongly disagree	1 (1)	[0-3]	0 (0)		1 (4)	[0-11]
Consultation about medications in TO	KICOLOGY					
Strongly agree / agree	89 (87)	[81-94]	63 (87)	[78-94]	26 (89)	[78-100]
Neutral	11 (11)	[5-17]	9 (12)	[5-20]	2 (7)	[0-17]
Disagree / strongly disagree	2 (2)	[0-5]	1 (1)	[0-4]	1 (4)	[0-11]
Decision-making on medications based	on EFFICIENCY					respondent to
Strongly agree / agree	79 (77)	[69-86]	55 (75)	[65-85]	24 (82)	[68-97]
Neutral	14 (14)	[7-21]	13 (18)	[9-27]	1 (4)	[0-11]
Disagree / strongly disagree	9 (9)	[3-14]	5 (7)	[0-13]	4 (14)	[0-27]

The information provided about the use of medications in specific situations, such as pregnancy or poisoning, was considered relevant by 90% and 87% of participants, respectively.

Discussion

The results obtained showed a positive perception of the Pharmacist by Emergencies professionals, who considered them as integral part of the multidisciplinary team, providing safety and improving patient care quality.

Data reinforced medication reconciliation and prescription validation as useful safety activities.

In the transition between levels of care, 34% of medication errors are clinically relevant and affect 45% of cases²³. The Emergency Department is a setting with a high risk of discrepancies and reconciliation errors, both at patient admission and discharge. Therefore, it is recommended that reconciliation takes place at both transition points. Its perception acknowledges the involvement by the Pharmacist in direct patient care.



Likewise, the importance of prospective validation of treatments is highlighted in our study, particularly in terms of high-risk medication, and drugs with less experience of use, consistent with the commitment by Emergencies to safety. It must be pointed out that a third of participants considered that all prescriptions should be validated before drug administration. However, this approach would be difficult, because it would clash with the acceptable delay and therefore, with patient safety.

The participation of Pharmacists in the multidisciplinary team and access to patient encourages their involvement in decisions about treatment in Emergencies.

Unlike previous studies, of which none was located in our setting, decision-making based on clinical efficacy is valued over that based on economic reasons, as well as the optimization of treatments adjusted to the clinical situation of the patient, and the information for an adequate use. The economic impact of those medications more frequently prescribed might not be taken into account in daily practice: this does not only involve the Emergency Unit, but also the next level of care after patient discharge. Undoubtedly, the optimization of costs is one of the tasks of the Emergency Pharmacists.

Only half of the sample considered that Pharmacist intervention was useful for antimicrobial agent selection. This assessment could be explained by the increasingly widespread presence of Infectious Disease Units and Antimicrobial Optimization Programs (PROA), to which Pharmacists have gradually been incorporated.

The lack of knowledge by some survey participants regarding the activities developed could be justified by staff rotation and the Pharmacist / Emergency staff proportion, which is unfavourable to the first one.

The number of answers to the statements "I will contact a Pharmacist more when he is present at the Emergency Dept. vs. when I need to call the Pharmacy Dept." and "Or I would contact more frequently Pharmacists if they were present at the Emergency Dept." indicates that these have not been interpreted as mutually exclusive, but as representative of two potential situations at different moments.

The results obtained support the location of the Hospital Pharmacist in the Emergency Unit; they would be more frequently consulted if present, mostly by medical staff. Specifically, medication reconciliation and patient information and education must be developed in situ.

Two studies have been recently published in the U.S.A.²⁴ and Canada²⁵, based on surveys to Emergency Pharmacists; the second study contained specific surveys for the Heads of the Emergency and Pharmacy Departments, respectively. The results obtained in the first study²⁴ showed that Pharmacists spend most of their time conducting clinical activities, such as treatment optimization and follow-up according to the clinical parameters and characteristics of patients, recommendations regarding poisoning cases, training for patients with selected conditions, and medication reconciliation, among others. In the Canadian study²⁵, pharmacists stated that the tasks more frequently conducted were



prescription clarification, solution of problems, reconciliation, and dosing adjustments according to renal function. Approximately half of the Emergency specialists considered that the Pharmacist was a valued member of the team.

Both publications completed the information with the time spent and the actual presence of Hospital Pharmacists in Emergencies.

Our study has not considered the time of daily presence or regular location in the unit, which represents a limitation.

The 2015 Report on the Situation of Hospital Pharmacy Units in Spain: infrastructure, resources and activity showed the limited presence of Pharmacists at Hospital Emergency Units¹⁸:

In one out of five Pharmacy Units there is a Pharmacist collaborating with Emergencies.

In one out of four hospitals with > 500 beds, the Pharmacist is integrated in Emergencies; and in one out of three, they conduct clinical activity (pharmaceutical care).

In U.S.A., a national survey reported that a Pharmacist was assigned to Emergencies in 6.8% of hospitals in 2008²⁶. In 2013, the majority of patients were managed by these professionals in 16.4% of hospitals²⁷. In 2015, around 80% of the Pharmacy Units with activity in Emergencies had a satellite pharmacy²⁴.

The differences in terms of management models and number of pharmacists in Emergencies between these countries are not an explanation for the reduced number of answers obtained in the present study. By hospitals, there is a 6% participation of the total 323 in the public network²⁸, very far from the number of centres with an Emergency Pharmacist¹⁸. There is no agreement about what should be considered an acceptable percentage of completion, though some authors report from 50 to 75%²⁹. Anyway, there has been a reduced participation, and there are no differences demonstrated between the nursing and the physician staff in most sections of this survey.

The form of communication used could also represent a limitation, for example if the available e-mail addressed had not been recently updated.

In our hospital, during the course of the study, there was a change in the management and structure of the Emergency Department, and an internal mobility process of nurses was solved; this could have had a negative impact on the number of participants.

The results of this study show the acceptance of Pharmacist by the Emergency staff, who know and value the functions conducted, and highlight the importance of their visible presence. However, new studies are required to assess health outcomes in our setting, and the saving in costs generated by the activity of the Emergency Pharmacist, with the aim to reinforce their widespread presence in the unit.



Acknowledgements

To the Emergency staff from the hospitals that have made possible to conduct this study.

To the Pharmacists of reference from the hospitals involved: Montserrat Alonso Díez (Hospital de Basurto, Bilbao), Hector Alonso Ramos (Hospital Dr. Negrín, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria), Javier Arenas Villafranca (Hospital Costa del Sol, Marbella), Cristina Bilbao (Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid), Beatriz Calderon Hernanz (Hospital Son Llàtzer, Palma de Mallorca), David García González (Hospital Clínico de Salamanca), Milagros García Peláez (Hospital Parc Tauli, Sabadell), Raquel García Sánchez (Hospital Gregorio Marañon, Madrid), Esperanza Gil Mañez (Hospital de Andorra), M. José Gimeno Jorda (Hospital de Poniente, El Ejido), Oihana Horna Oreja (Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa, Zaragoza), Ana López (Hospital Clínico de Valladolid); Juan Carlos Pérez Pons (Hospital de Lliria and Hospital Arnau de Vilanova, Valencia), Margarita Prats Riera (Hospital de Formentera, Hospital Can Misses); Jose Manuel Real (Hospital Miguel Servet, Zaragoza), Rosario Santolaya Perrin (Hospital Universitario Príncipe de Asturias, Madrid), Paloma Sempere Serrano (Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti, Lugo).

References

- Thomasset K, Faris R. Survey of pharmacy services provision in the emergency department. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2003;60:1561-4.
- Juanes A. Los servicios de urgencias médicas: un nuevo reto para los farmacéuticos de hospital. Atención Farmacéutica. 2006;8:72-8.
- Cobaugh DJ, Schneider SM. Medication use in the emergency department: why are we placing patients at risk? Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2005;62:1832-3.
- Lada P, Delgado G Jr. Documentation of pharmacists' interventions in an emergency department and associated costs avoidance. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2007;64:63-8.
- Cohen V, Jellinek SP, Hatch A, Motov S. Effects of clinical pharmacists on care in the emergency department: a systematic review. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2009;66:1353-61.
- Rothschild JM, Churchill W, Erickson A, Munz K, Schuur JD, Salzberg CA et al. Medication errors recovered by emergency department pharmacists. Ann Emerg Med. 2010;55:513-21.
- Tomás-Vecina S, Sanchez-García L, Pascual-Arce B, Riera-Paredes I. Programa de intervención farmacéutica en el servicio de urgencias para mejorar la seguridad del paciente. Emergencias. 2010;22:85-90.
- Patanwala AE, Sanders AB, Thomas MC, Acquisto NM, Weant KA, Baker SN et al. A prospective, multicenter study of pharmacist resulting in medication error interception in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med. 2012;59:369-73



- Ucha-Samartin M. Análisis de los problemas relacionados con los medicamentos tras la integración de un farmacéutico en un servicio de urgencias. Emergencias. 2012;24:96-100.
- De Ándres-Lazaro AM, Sevilla-Sánchez D, Ortega-Romero MM, Codina-Jané C, Ribas-Sala J, Sánchez-Sánchez M. Evaluación de los acontecimientos adversos a medicamentos originados en el servicio de urgencias. Emergencias. 2013;25:361-7.
- Ucha-Samartín M, Pichel-Loureiro A, Vázquez-López C, Álvarez-Payero M, Pérez-Parente D, Martínez-López de Castro N. Impacto económico de la resolución de problemas relacionados con medicamentos en un servicio de urgencias. Farm Hosp. 2013;37:59-64.
- Piqueras Romero C, Calderón Hernanz B, Segura Fragoso A, Juárez González R, Berrocal Javato MA, Calleja Hernández MA. Ensayo clínico controlado y aleatorizado para evaluar el efecto que tiene la intervención de un farmacéutico especialista en los problemas relacionados con la medicación de pacientes ancianos ingresados en una unidad de corta estancia de urgencias. Emergencias. 2015;27:364-70.
- American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. ASHP statement on pharmacy services to the emergency department. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2008;65:2380-3.
- American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. ASHP guidelines on emergency medicine pharmacist services. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2011;68:e81-95.
- Grupo RedFastER. Grupo de trabajo de Atención Farmacéutica en Urgencias. Sociedad Española de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Disponible en: http://gruposdetrabajo.sefh.es/redfaster/index.php (acceso julio 2016).
- Fitzgerald C, Welch S, Taylor S, Harding A, Graudins L, Lawrence D, et al. SHPA Committee of Specialty Practice in Emergency Medicine. SHPA Standards of Practice in Emergency Medicine Pharmacy Practice. J Pharm Pract Res. 2015;45:423-30.
- Grupo RedFastER (SEFH). Conciliación de los medicamentos en los servicios de urgencias. Emergencias. 2013;25:204-17.
- Informe 2015 sobre la situación de los servicios de Farmacia Hospitalaria en España: infraestructura, recursos y actividad. 2016. Disponible en: http://www.sefh.es/bibliotecavirtual/informe-situacion-sfh-2015/lib roblanco_sefhFIN.pdf (acceso julio 2016).
- Grupo de trabajo EVADUR-SEMES. EVADUR: eventos adversos ligados a la asistencia en los servicios de urgencias de hospitales españoles. Emergencias. 2010;22:415-28.
- Fairbanks RJ, Hildebrand JM, Kolstee KE, Schneider SM, Shah MN. Medical and nursing staff highly value clinical pharmacist in the emergency department. Emerg Med J. 2007;24:716-8.
- Coralic Z, Kanzaria HK, Bero L, Stein J. Staff perceptions of an on-site clinical program in an academic emergency department after one year. West J Emerg Med. 2005;15: 205-10.
- Szczesiul JM, Fairbanks RJ, Hildebrand JM, Hays DP, Shah MN. Use of Clinical Pharmacists in Academic Emergency Departments Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2009;66: 576-9.



- Kwan JL, Lo L, Sampson M, Shojania KG. Medication reconciliation during transitions of care as a patient safety strategy: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158:397-403.
- Thomas MC, Acquisto NM, Shirk MB, Patanwala AE. A national survey of emergency pharmacy practice in the United States. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2016;73:386-94.
- Wanbon R, Lyder C, Villeneuve E, Shalansky S, Manuel L, Harding M. Clinical Pharmacy Services in Canadian Emergency Departments: A National Survey. Can J Hosp Pharm. 2015; 68:191-201.
- Pedersen CA, Scheneider PJ, Scheckelhoff DJ. ASHP national survey of pharmacy practice in hospital settings: dispensing and administration-2008. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2009;59:369-73.
- Pedersen CA, Scheneider PJ, Scheckelhoff DJ. ASHP national survey of pharmacy practice in hospital settings: prescribing and transcribing-2013. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2014;71:924-42.
- Catálogo Nacional de Hospitales. Disponible en: http://www.msssi.gob.es/ciud adanos/prestaciones/centrosServiciosSNS/hospitales/docs/CNH2016. pdf (acceso junio 2016).
- Draugalis JR, Coons SJ, Plaza CM. Best Practices for Survey Research Reports: A Synopsis for Authors and Reviewers. Am J Pharm Educ. 2008; 72:1-6.

Author notes

Autor para correspondencia. Correo electrónico: mariadelosangeles.garcia.martin@salud.madrid.org (Ángeles García Martín).

