3659

Farmacia Hospitalaria ISSN: 1130-6343 ISSN: 2171-8695 Grupo Aula Médica

# Evaluation of Pharmaceutical Services in Public Hospital Pharmacies of Federal District-Brazil

Fonseca Lima, Rodrigo; de Toledo, Maria Inês; Dourado Silva, Paulo Henrique; Silva Naves, Janeth de Oliveira

Evaluation of Pharmaceutical Services in Public Hospital Pharmacies of Federal District-Brazil Farmacia Hospitalaria, vol. 42, no. 3, 2018 Grupo Aula Médica

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=365962462005

DOI: 10.7399/fh.10941



# **ORIGINALS**

# Evaluation of Pharmaceutical Services in Public Hospital Pharmacies of Federal District-Brazil

Evaluación de los servicios farmacéuticos en farmacias públicas hospitalarias del Distrito Federal-Brasil

Rodrigo Fonseca Lima <sup>1a</sup> *Universidade de Brasília, Brazil*Maria Inês de Toledo <sup>2</sup> *Universidade de Brasília, Brazil*Paulo Henrique Dourado Silva <sup>3</sup> *Universidade de Brasília, Brazil*Janeth de Oliveira Silva Naves <sup>4</sup> *Universidade de Brasília, Brazil* 

Farmacia Hospitalaria, vol. 42, no. 3, 2018

Grupo Aula Médica

Received: 22 November 2017 Accepted: 25 March 2018

DOI: 10.7399/fh.10941

Copyright (c) 2018 Farmacia Hospitalaria CC BY-NC-ND

#### **Abstract**

**Objective:** To evaluate pharmaceutical services in public hospital pharmacies of the Federal District Health Department - Brazil (Secretaria de Saúde do Distrito Federal, SES-DF).

Method: A cross-sectional evaluative study involving the 15 public hospitals under the SES-DF management. Hospitals were characterized and classified into four hierarchical strata. The pharmaceutical services related to programming (quantity of medication to order), acquisition, storage, distribution, management, selection, information, pharmacotechnical component, pharmacotherapy follow-up, teaching and research were evaluated using validated indicators. Next, algorithms were applied and the approximation percentages of service compliance were calculated, then correlated to variables that could influence their results through linear regression analysis.

**Results:** Only four hospital pharmacies presented good compliance with the evaluated services, three of them belonging to less complex hospitals. Only the storage and management services presented good performance. The variables that most influenced the performance of the services were managerial aspects related to pharmacists and non pharmacists' workload per bed, the existence of a program for human resources qualification, planning goals and targets and a manual of norms and procedures, as well as professional qualification and adequacy of the area in which the services were performed (p < 0.01).

Conclusions: The evaluated hospital pharmacies had average performance for services compared to the ideal and better performance in logistics activities. Pharmaceutical services require constant evaluation for rational interventions that increase the proportion of executed health care activities and local management capacity to make such actions more effective, efficient, qualified and safe in the context of the SES-DF hospital network.

**KEYWORDS:** Health Services Research++ Pharmaceutical services++ Hospital++ Hospital Pharmacy Service.

#### Resumen

**Objetivo:** Evaluar los servicios farmacéuticos en farmacias públicas de hospitales del Departamento de Salud del Distrito Federal - Brasil (Secretaria de Saúde do Distrito Federal, SES-DF).



Método: Estudio transversal evaluativo de los 15 hospitales públicos bajo gestión de la SES-DF. Los hospitales fueron caracterizados y se clasificaron en cuatro estratos jerárquicos. Los servicios de programación, adquisición, almacenamiento, distribución, gestión, selección, información, farmacotécnica, seguimiento farmacoterapéutico, enseñanza y investigación fueron evaluados utilizando indicadores validados. Se aplicaron algoritmos y se calcularon los porcentajes de aproximación del cumplimiento del servicio, que se correlacionaron con variables que podrían influir en los resultados a través de la regresión lineal.

Resultados: Solo cuatro farmacias hospitalarias presentaron un buen cumplimiento de los servicios evaluados; tres pertenecientes a hospitales menos complejos. Solo el almacenamiento y la gestión presentaron un buen desempeño. Las variables que más influyeron en el desempeño de los servicios fueron la carga de trabajo de farmacéuticos y no farmacéuticos por cama; la existencia de un programa de cualificación de recursos humanos, objetivos y metas; el manual de normas y procedimientos, y la cualificación profesional y la adecuación del área de ejecución de los servicios (p < 0,01).

Conclusiones: Las farmacias hospitalarias evaluadas presentaron un cumplimiento medio de los servicios en comparación con el ideal y el mejor desempeño de las actividades logísticas. Los servicios farmacéuticos requieren una evaluación constante con vistas a intervenciones racionales que amplíen la ejecución de las actividades asistenciales y la capacidad de gestión local con el objetivo de hacer tales acciones más efectivas, eficientes, cualificadas y seguras.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:** Investigación en Servicios de Salud, Servicios farmacéuticos, Hospital, Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria.

# Introduction

In the context of health care organization, it is essential to guarantee people's access to the services. In Brazil, health services are available in care networks that aim to offer comprehensive care to the patient<sup>1</sup>. Services that involve greater technological density such as hospital services tend to be concentrated<sup>2</sup>. Thus, the hospital setting is an important alternative that must be adapted to the peculiarities of the region where it is inserted and articulated with other care points<sup>2</sup>.

In the context of hospital care there are pharmaceutical services that correspond to a set of management and care activities that aim for access and Rational Use of Medicines and health products<sup>3,4</sup>. These services are performed by the Hospital Pharmacy (HP), which requires that qualified human resources (HR) perform care, management and advisory functions<sup>5</sup> in an adequate infrastructure, in addition to logistical planning from the perspective of efficiency and security<sup>6-9</sup>. These aspects are essential for controlling hospital costs, since the costs associated with the use of medicines and health products in this context correspond to a large proportion of the expenditure<sup>10-13</sup>.

As important as understanding what pharmaceutical services are performed in the hospital context is understanding that they present structure and processes that influence the obtained results, and that they should be continuously evaluated according to standardized criteria and norms to support decision-making<sup>3,7,14</sup>.

Currently, data regarding evaluation of hospital pharmaceutical services are scarce, and when disclosed they suggest low adequacy of



services in relation to established standards and/or focus on some specific pharmaceutical service<sup>3,7,14,15</sup>. In this context, the objective of this study was to evaluate the pharmaceutical services in public hospital pharmacies under management of the Health Department of Federal District (Secretaria de Saúde do Distrito Federal, SES-DF) (Brazil).

#### Methods

A cross-sectional evaluative study was carried out in three stages involving hospitals under SES-DF management, which is responsible for health care actions of the Federal District, where Brasilia, the capital city of Brazil is located.

For data collection, the instrument validated in executing the Diagnostic Project of the Hospital Pharmacy in Brazil<sup>15</sup> designed according to current Brazilian sanitary legislation was implemented and applied to the hospital director and the person in charge of the HP. A pilot test was carried out to verify the adequacy of the questionnaire and visits were made to the hospitals by the main researcher between May and November 2016.

The first stage referred to the general characterization of the hospitals and their pharmacies. Hospitals were characterized according to the type of care (general or specialized), size (small: up to 50 beds; medium: 51-150 beds; large: 151-500 beds; and extra: more than 500 beds)<sup>16</sup>, active beds, hospital procedures performed (of medium and high complexity) and hospital activities according to information from the Brazilian health information system<sup>17</sup> regarding data collection. The HPs were characterized according to their area, operation and HR.

Hospitals were classified into different complexity Hierarchical Strata (HS) using the K-means non-hierarchical clustering method<sup>18</sup>, which seeks to find clusters in data in order to create partitions so that observations within the same cluster are similar to each other and different across clusters. Four strata were considered based on the reference of four scoring algorithms regarding compliance of pharmaceutical services by hospital complexity proposed by Messeder<sup>19</sup> based on a classification elaborated by the Ministry of Health of Brazil, in which HS1 and HS4 are respectively the most and least complex strata.

The next stage corresponded to normative evaluation of HPs through the logic model proposed by the Brazilian Diagnostic Project<sup>15</sup> which considered ten components related to hospital pharmaceutical services (programming (quantity of medication to order), acquisition, storage, distribution, management, selection, information, pharmacotechnical component, pharmacotherapy follow-up and teaching and research (T&R)), proposing specific weights for each one according to their influence for carrying out activities<sup>15,19</sup>. Sixty-one validated indicators related to the components were subsequently calculated<sup>19</sup>.

The third step corresponded to an evaluation of HP by HS by applying scoring algorithms based on identifying aspects of the components that



were executed by the evaluated HPs. The scores for each HP were determined according to the presentation of mandatory, non-obligatory and undesirable characteristics by the HP<sup>19</sup>.

These characteristics varied for each HS, as well as the mandatory components: the T&R component was only required for HS1, while pharmacotherapy follow-up and pharmacotechnical component were not mandatory for HS4. If the HP had the mandatory characteristics for non-mandatory components within each HS, the components were then considered in the score, and the component weights for final score were rearranged<sup>19</sup>.

The points of each HP within the HS were obtained by the score sum of the indicators weighted by component and compared to an ideal score that corresponded to the maximum points that could be obtained for each HS (HS1 = 884.3 points, HS2 = 830.8 points, HS3 = 624.9 points, HS4 = 470.0 points)<sup>20</sup>. The results were expressed as the compliance approximation percentage of the services in relation to the ideal (outcome variable) in which HP could have regular, average or good compliance if it obtained a percentage from 0 to 33.3%, from 33.4 to 66.6% or from 66.7 to 100%, respectively<sup>20</sup>. This percentage was calculated for each hospital (overall approximation percentage) and for each component of the logic model.

The percentages were analyzed for their normality, compared by HS using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and correlated to influencing variables through linear regression analysis. The analyses were performed using the SAS/STAT program at a significance level of 5%.

The research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences of the University of Brasília (Brazil), and by the Research Ethics Committee of SES-DF.

#### Results

General characterization of the hospitals and the HP

Of the 15 hospitals, 13 (86.7%) were general and two were specialized hospitals. The overall hospital characterization and the evaluated HPs are shown in Table 1.



Table 1.

Overall characterization of the hospitals and the evaluated HPs

HL	н	Size	Active beds	Hospitalizations	Pharmacist				Non-pharmacist				Hours of operation (h) with pharmacist	
					N	WL (h)	Proportion per active bed	Proportion of WL per active bed	N	WL (h)	Proportion per active bed	Proportion of WL per active bed	Mon-Fri	Wkd-Hk
1	6	Extra	600	19,147	26	840	1:23	1.4h	42	1,440	1:14	2.4h	12	12
	4	Large	420	12,688	13	440	1:32	1h	22	778	1:19	1.9h	24	24
2	7	Large	266	12,262	6	180	1:44	0.7h	11	408	1:24	1.5h	12	6
	8	Extra	484	15,443	10	360	1:48	0.7h	16	592	1:30	1.2h	12	12
	10	Large	322	7,576	8	260	1:40	0.8h	37	910	1:9	2.8h	12	12
	13	Large	300	15,084	11	340	1:27	1.1h	7	250	1:43	0.8h	12	12
	15	Large	450	15,624	8	240	1:56	0.5h	16	608	1:28	1.4h	12	12
	1	Large	171	7,242	6	160	1:29	0.9h	23	832	1:4	4.8h	12	12
	2	Large	216	7,082	7	220	1:31	1h	11	376	1:19	1.7h	12	12
3	3	Large	168	7,155	4	120	1:42	0.7h	12	392	1:14	2.3h	10	0
	12	Medium	130	8,187	4	160	1:33	1.2h	11	432	1:12	3.3h	12	12
	14	Large	169	5,113	3	120	1:56	0.7h	11	376	1:15	2.2h	12	0
4	5°	Medium	65	600	6	220	1:11	3.4h	10	400	1:7	óh	12	0
	9	Medium	53	1,590	3	120	1:18	2.3h	3	120	1:18	2.3h	10	0
	110	Medium	144	1,905	3	120	1:48	0.8h	3	120	1:48	0.8h	12	0
	MEA	AN	264	9,113	8	260	1:34	1h/bed	16	536	1:17	2h	12.5	8.4

a: Specialized Hospitals.

Fri: Friday; H: Hospital; h: hours; HL: Hospital Level; Hld.: holiday; Mon: Monday; WL: workload; Wkd: weekend.

High complexity procedures were performed on a smaller scale and hospitals with a more complex HS had a higher proportion of care units. All HPs had pharmacists and worked with medications and health products, and one of them was run by an administrative technician. The areas referred to as more and less adequate to the service were the administrative area (n = 7, 46.7%) and the storage area (n = 2, 13.3%), respectively.

# Normative evaluation of hospital pharmacies (HP)

The evaluated services were performed in greater proportion by the HS1 and HS2 hospitals, which presented an average of nine activities on components opposed to the performance activities of seven components on average by HS3 and HS4 hospitals. The highest and the lowest means of the evaluated indicators corresponded to the management and selection component, respectively (Table 2.1, Table 2.2, Table 2.3).



Table 2.
Results regarding structure and process indicators among the evaluated hospitals

Component	Indicator	(%) Result				
	HPs in which there is a program/schedule for the supply of selected medications.	100.0 (15/15)				
	2 HPs that have all the essential medications available in stock.	0.0 (0/15)				
Programming logistics <sup>a</sup>	3 HPs that use the ABC curve for programming, among which have a list of products for purchase.	11.1 (1/9)				
ogistics	4 HPs that use the ABC curve for programming, among which specify the amount for purchase.	13.3 (2/15)				
	Mean of the evaluated indicators					
	5 Hospitals that have a list of suppliers, among which carry out the acquisition.	80.0 (12/15)				
	6 Hospitals in which if there is any technical specification for the purchase, it is elaborated by the pharmacist.	93.3 (14/15)				
Acquisition logistics	7 Hospitals in which if there are some technical specifications for the purchase, among those which purchase it.	100.0 (15/15)				
Acquisition logistics	8 Hospitals in which the pharmacist performs the full specifications for the purchase, among those which carry out the acquisition.	0.0 (0/15)				
	Hospitals that use a price database for tracking the purchase processes, among those which carry out the acquisition.	26.7 (4/15)				
	Mean of the indicators evaluated	60.0				



Table 2.(cont.)
Results regarding structure and process indicators among the evaluated hospitals

Component	Indicator	(%) Result
	10 HPs that have an inventory control system in the Main Pharmacy*.	100.0 (15/15)
	HPs that have a computerized inventory control system in the Main Pharmacyk, among those which have an inventory control.	80.0 (12/15)
Charmer Institut	12 Average adequacy percentage of the medicinal products storage practices in the Main Pharmacy <sup>b</sup> .	54.7
Storage logistics	HPs in which the supply of medicinal products corresponds to the actual physical count in the Main Pharmacy*.	33.3 (5/15)
	14 Average percentage of essential medications within their shelf life.	100.0
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	73.6
	15 Average percentage of compliance with best practices for medication distribution system.	72.2
	16 HPs that distribute medication using an individual prescription system.	6.7 (1/15)
	17 HPs that distribute medication using the collective distribution system <sup>d</sup> .	20.0 (3/15)
Distribution	18 HPs that distribute medication using the mixed distribution system*.	73.3 (11/15
	19 HPs that distribute medication using the unit distribution system.	0.0 (0/15)
	20 HPs that have satellite pharmacy(4es).	26.7 (4/15)
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	33.2
	21 HPs that have a manual for standards and procedures.	33.3 (5/15)
	22 HPs that are formally inserted in the hospital organization chart.	100.0 (15/15
	23 Hospitals that have an organization chart.	100.0 (15/15
	24 HPs that are directly linked to a Clinic or to the General Director.	100.0 (15/15
	25 HPs that develop planning for the objectives and goals having annual periodicity or more.	26.7 (4/15)
	26 HPs that have an annual or greater program for human resources training.	13.3 (2/15)
	27 HPs that have pharmocists with a latu or strictu sensu graduation.	93.3 (14/15
	28 HPs that have pharmacists with a strictu sensu graduation.	66.7 (10/15
Management	29 HPs that have pharmocists.	100.0 (15/15
	30 HPs that have computer resources for clinical activities.	86.7 (13/15
	31 HPs that work with health products in addition to medication.	100.0 (15/15
	32 HPs in which the hospital pharmacist effectively participates in the Infection Control Related to Health Care Committee.	80.0 (12/15
	33 Hospitals in which the hospital pharmacist effectively participates in the Nutritional Support Committee.	30.8 (4/13)
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	77.8
	34 Hospitals that have the PTC working regularly.	0.0 (0/15)
	35 Hospitals that have an updated list of medications.	46.7 (1/15)
Selection	36 Hospitals that have therapeutic protocols.	20.0 (3/15)
	37 Hospitals in which there is a pharmacotherapeutic guide.	40.0 (6/15)
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	26.7
	38 HPs that carry out medication fractioning.	86.7 (13/15
	39 HPs that carry out preparation of non-sterile formulations.	13.3 (2/15)
	40 Average adequacy percentage of conditions for performing fractioning and/or preparation of non- sterile medication.	64.6
	41 HPs that carry out preparation of Parenteral Nutrition.	0.0 (0/15)
	42 Average adequacy percentage of the Parenteral Nutrition preparation conditions.	0.0
Pharmacotechnical	43 HPs that carry out the preparation of IV mixtures/combinations.	0.0 (0/15)
component	44 Average adequacy percentage for the preparation of IV mixtures/combinations.	0.0
	45 HPs that carry out CM preparation.	6.7 (1/15)
	46 Average adequacy percentage of the CM preparation conditions.	46.7
	47 HPs that contemplate the quality control of non-sterile manipulated and/or fractionated medication.	50.0 (1/2)
	48 HPs that contemplate the quality control of fractionated medication.	84.6 (11/13
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	32.0



Table 2.(cont.)
Results regarding structure and process indicators among the evaluated hospitals

Component	Indicator	(%) Result
	49 HPs that develop formalized information activity.	0.0 (0/15)
	50 Average percentage of service requests on medication information.	0.0
Information	51 HPs that develop educational activities with patients.	40.0 (6/15)
	52 HPs that offer at least tertiary information sources.	80.0 (12/15)
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	35.0
	53 HPs in which the pharmacist participates in clinic visits or performs specific visits.	73.3 (11/15)
	54 HPs that offer a formal pharmaceutical consultation to inpatients.	20.0 (3/15)
nh	55 HPs that have the pharmacotherapeutic records of inpatients available.	20.0 (3/15)
Pharmacotherapy follow-up	56 Hospitals among those that perform therapeutic monitoring activity in hospitalized patients, and in which the HP participates in this activity.	0.0 (0/0)
	57 HPs that formally perform pharmacovigilance activities.	73.3 (11/15)
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	37.3
	58 HPs that offer programs or activities for professional training.	93.3 (14/15)
	59 HPs whose members publish scientific papers.	46.7 (7/15)
Teaching and research	60 HPs that perform periodic scientific sessions.	20.0 (3/15)
research	61 HPs who participate in research activities at the hospital.	33.3 (5/15)
	Mean of the evaluated indicators	48.3

a: Quantity of medication to order. b: A central storage location. c: Medication doses provided per patient by a 24 hours period. d: Medications requested by nursing to main pharmacy and stocked on the nursing unit; the nursing is responsible for all aspects of preparation and administration of medications. e: Combined individual prescription collective distribution system. CM: Chemotherapeutic Medications; HP: Hospital Pharmacy; IV: Intravenous; PTC: Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee.

SES-DF has a single Medication List for the hospital care level. Such items, as well as health products, are purchased by the HP through monthly requests on a defined date from a single Storage and Distribution Center. The items distributed by this center are acquired through a centralized purchasing process. However, all the evaluated hospitals made emergency purchases of items through a legally regulated program<sup>21</sup>.

Of the 3958 active beds available in the hospitals, 1872 (47.3%) were served by individualized distribution system (medication doses provided per patient by a 24 hours period).

# Evaluation by hierarchical strata (HS)

Of the total HPs, four (26.7%) presented good compliance with the mandatory services according to their HS, and three of these belonged to the lesser complexity HS. Only storage and management components showed good compliance in the services provided (Table 3).



Table 3.

Adequacy percentages (%) of the services provided by HP according to a presentation of mandatory items provided in each component of the logic model used as a methodological basis

	нР	Components												
HS			Log	istics			Management	Selection	Information	Pharmacotechnical*	PhF=	T&R*	% of idea	
		P	Ac	St	Total									
1	6	25.0	60.0	57.1	50.0	0.0	53.8	25.0	26.7	22.2	75.0	25.0	43.9	
	4	25.0	60.0	66.7	53.3	64.4	100.0	75.0	50.0	55.6	66.7	66.7	67.0	
	7	25.0	60.0	66.7	53.3	38.5	61.5	50.0	25.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	52.9	
	8	25.0	40.0	66.7	46.7	67.1	61.5	0.0	25.0	22.2	66.7	0.0	46.5	
2	10	25.0	80.0	83.3	66.7	100.0	69.2	50.0	25.0	22.2	100.0	0.0	65.5	
	13	25.0	60.0	83.3	60.0	69.3	69.2	25.0	50.0	22.2	66.7	0.0	57.6	
	15	25.0	60.0	66.7	53.3	69.3	76.9	25.0	25.0	22.2	66.7	0.0	55.4	
	1	25.0	60.0	60.0	50.0	55.6	83.3	0.0	0.0	40.0	100.0	0.0	49.4	
	2	25.0	60.0	80.0	57.1	100.0	83.3	33.3	0.0	20.0	100.0	0.0	58.2	
3	3	25.0	60.0	80.0	57.1	0.0	83.3	0.0	33.3	60.0	100.0	0.0	53.4	
	12	50.0	60.0	60.0	57.1	55.6	83.3	0.0	33.3	40.0	0.0	0.0	53.5	
	14	25.0	60.0	60.0	50.0	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	51.9	
	5	50.0	66.7	75.0	66.7	100.0	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	97.7	
4	9	50.0	66.7	75.0	66.7	100.0	80.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.5	
	11	50.0	100.0	100.0	88.9	50.0	80.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	85.2	
	oan	31.7	63.4	72.0	58.5	64.7	77.9	25.6	39.6	27.8	60.6	12.8	60.7	

a: Non-mandatory components depend on the HS to which the hospital belongs: the percentages in italics refer to non-mandatory component for the HS in question.

Ac: Acquisition; H: Hospital; HS: Hierarchical strata; HP: Hospital Pharmacy; P: Programming (quantity of medication to order); PhF: pharmacotherapy follow-up; St: Storage; T&R: Teaching and research.

The overall approximation percentages presented normal distribution and the means according to the HS corresponded to 43.9%, 57.5%, 53.3% and 85.1% for HS1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The approximation percentage of HS4 was higher than the percentage of the other HS (p < 0.01), which in turn presented no statistical significant differences between them.

Table 4 presents the influences on the compliance approximation percentage of services and associated statistical significance resulting from the linear regression analysis.



Table 4.

Linear regression analysis with reference of the estimated parameters analyzed on the outcome variable and associated statistical significance.

Variable (parameter)	Parameter estimation (beta)	95%CI	P
Pharmaceutical workload per bed	7.12	5.34 - 8.90	<0.01
Employer's workload per bed	7.07	5.04 - 9.10	< 0.01
Program for human resources training/Planning for objectives and goals/Manual of standards and procedures	6.99	5.03 - 8.96	<0.01
Pharmacists with post-graduation	6.17	3.63 - 8.71	< 0.01
Suitability of the area	4.68	2.29 - 7.06	< 0.01
Participation in events	3.51	1.19 - 5.84	0.01
Participation in refresher courses	2.48	0.32 - 4.65	0.04
Compliance approximation percentage of the programming service®	1.80	1.58 - 2.04	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the selection service	1.36	0.77 - 1.95	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the pharmacotechnical component	1.34	0.67 - 2.00	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the logistic programming, storage and acquisition services	1.04	0.96 - 1.12	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the information service	1.04	0.72 - 1.35	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the teaching and research service	1.02	0.14 - 1.90	0.04
Compliance approximation percentage of the acquisition service	0.95	0.85 - 1.04	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the storage service	0.84	0.76 - 0.92	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the distribution service	0.79	0.61 - 0.97	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the management service	0.77	0.70 - 0.85	< 0.01
Compliance approximation percentage of the pharmacotherapy follow-up service	0.68	0.40 - 0.96	<0.01

a: Quantity of medication to order. 95%CI: 95% Confidence Interval.

The variables related to the professional workload per bed and the existence of a program/schedule for HR qualification, planning goals and targets, and a manual of norms and procedures in HPs were the parameters that most influenced the outcome variable: the proportional increase of 1% in relation to these variables referred to an increase of 7.12%, 7.01% and 6.99% of the compliance approximation percentage of the services, respectively (p < 0.01) (Table 4).

# Discussion

In recent years, changes in the focus of the hospital pharmaceutical services have been observed, which have become strategic for care activities<sup>7,22</sup>. At the same time, guaranteeing access to medications and health products in the hospital context via management services is a requirement in this context<sup>5</sup>.

The evaluated services are considered essential for performing related activities in the context of any HP. The absence of mandatory services according to the HS shows potential problems related to achieving the proposed objectives in a timely manner with quality and safety<sup>4,9,15,20</sup>.

Only four HPs presented good compliance with the activities with three of them belonging to the less complex HS. Nonetheless, the overall mean of the approximation percentages was higher than that reported by Silva et al. (2013) in a study involving 20 HPs of state hospitals in Rio de Janeiro (Brazil), in which none of the HPs had good performance in the evaluated activities<sup>4</sup>.

Management was the service that presented the best performance, being superior to the evaluation study in Rio de Janeiro<sup>4</sup> and to the



results presented in the HP diagnostic project in Brazil<sup>15</sup>. Management anticipates greater systematization of actions, thereby seeking their qualification and maximizing the available resources<sup>8,12,14,22</sup>. However, the absence of observed planning objectives and goals or a program of HR training hinders this process, and its existence in HPs could positively influence (p < 0.01) the performance of the evaluated services, as shown in the in linear regression analysis.

The HP must have the appropriate number of professionals to perform activities without occupational overload<sup>5</sup>. However, the number of beds observed per pharmacist was higher than that recommended by the Brazilian Society of Hospital Pharmacies<sup>5</sup>, and it was higher than that found by Silva et al. (2013)<sup>4</sup> and by Pedersen, Schneider & Scheckelhoff (2011) in 562 North American HPs<sup>14</sup>. Only one HP had a pharmacist during the entire period of operation, in disagreement with Brazilian legislation related<sup>5,23</sup>.

The pharmacists and non-pharmacists workload per hospital bed were positively related to the compliance approximation percentage of the services, which suggests that the amount of HR is one of the factors that may justify HP performance in relation to the mandatory activities according to the HS that they belong to.

In order to meet management and care demands, there are increasing demands on professional qualification in addition to the amount of HR available<sup>5,6</sup>. Professional qualification was observed from the point of view of HR participation in events, refresher and post-graduation courses, and its positive influence on services was evidenced in in linear regression analysis, corroborating what was presented by Rutter et al.<sup>6</sup> and by Pedersen, Schneider & Scheckelhoff<sup>14</sup> in an evaluation study in a hospital in Singapore (2012).

The suitability of HP services requires that its actions are integrated, beginning with selection of medication and the health products that will be made available in the hospital scope<sup>24</sup>. However, the performance of selection activities was poor and below that presented by Durán-García et al. (2011)<sup>25</sup> in a review study on such activities in North American and European hospitals, and by Santana et al. (2013) in a study with ten public hospitals in Sergipe (Brazil)<sup>26</sup>. The SES-DF has a central Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee which develops a single Medication List for hospitals. However, this does not impair local selection activities, given the particularities of each hospital<sup>2</sup>. The importance of this component is evident when considering its influence on compliance of pharmaceutical services in linear regression analysis.

Only four HPs showed good compliance with programming, storage and acquisition activities, three of them belonging to the less complex HS. Such services are essential for the control of hospital costs and for access to therapy with minimum inventory disruptions<sup>3,8,10,11,13,22,27</sup>.

Computerized systems enable rapid data availability, which is essential to meet the objectives of stock management(3-5,7,15,22). However, the



amount of easily accessible data did not translate into improved overall stock control performance, since despite all HPs using a computerized system, only five presented the virtual register corresponded to the physical count of the medicines. These results were similar to those observed in other Brazilian studies<sup>4,26</sup>, and added to the fact that programming was one of the components that presented the greatest influence on the overall fulfillment of the services, it evidences a need for re-adapting this service, which must look for to minimize associated costs without interfering in meeting the demands<sup>7,10,11,26</sup>.

Thus, there is a need to reorient activities aiming to save space, simplify tasks and optimize HR<sup>8,11,14</sup>. In this context, it is essential to have coherent management activities to plan actions. The absence of items from the management component may have influenced the compliance percentage with logistics services, which can favor inventory disruption and negatively impact patient safety<sup>10,11</sup>.

Another important aspect concerns the centralization of acquisitions, which is frequent in the public health scope in Brazil and recommended considering cost reduction<sup>4,26</sup>; this reduces the possibility of local management regarding medication qualification, health products and/or technical qualification of the suppliers<sup>4</sup>.

The possibility of making urgent purchases corresponds to an interesting tool to favor decentralized acquisition activities. However, there must be real characterization of urgency and compliance with established legal criteria, such as the minimum specifications of what will be acquired and price monitoring to support decision making and to allow transparency of activities<sup>16</sup>, which was not observed in the evaluated HPs, or in other Brazilian studies<sup>4,15</sup>.

In the hospital context we must consider the need to meet the demands of the care units in adequate quantity and quality and in a timely manner<sup>8,11</sup>, which requires modernizing technical resources, adequate infrastructure and HR qualification, aiming toward distribution service efficiency and patient safety<sup>8,9</sup>.

Distribution systems that enable greater HP involvement, like individual prescription and unit distribution systems can reduce the access time to medications and reduce the number of errors<sup>14</sup>. Even though nine HPs showed good compliance with the predicted activities, the rate of active beds with at least medication doses provided per patient by a 24 hours period was low, which may negatively impact on HR availability for care activities and patient safety<sup>3,8,12,14,22</sup>.

Another service that presented low adequacy percentage was pharmacotechnical component. Despite the influence of this component in fulfilling the pharmaceutical services evidenced by the linear regression analysis, none of the HPs showed good adequacy percentage. Centralizing the services of this component in more complex hospitals or its outsourcing, as observed in this study, has increased over the years <sup>12,14</sup> due



to the complexity related to them and demands related to structuring and  $HR^{5,28}$ .

Among pharmacotherapy follow-up, T&R and care information activities, the parameters that presented the best performance were those of the T&R component, similar to what was observed in a Brazilian study that evaluated pharmaceutical services of a university hospital in São Paulo (Brazil) (2007)<sup>7</sup>. Only two HPs showed good compliance with these activities, and also showed good overall compliance with the other evaluated activities. This aspect is important, since good compliance with pharmaceutical services is the basis for better HR training, and related to adequate care standards<sup>4,6</sup>.

The majority of HPs showed good compliance with pharmacotherapy follow-up activities. This result may be associated to the existence of a nucleus of clinical pharmacy in each hospital, with legally defined attributions that involve medication therapy monitoring in the hospital setting. These aspects were addressed in training courses, and are potentially associated with the observed positive results. However, pharmacotherapy follow-up activities fall short of what is required in the hospital context and performed to a lesser extent when compared to studies in other countries<sup>6,14</sup>. The mean of the evaluated indicators in comparison to other components refers to the idea that the focus of the pharmaceutical services still lies in logistics, similar to that evidenced in other Brazilian studies<sup>4,7,26</sup>.

No HP formally developed information activity. This aspect was also evidenced in the aforementioned Brazilian studies<sup>7,15,26</sup>, and it is related to a need for better training and qualification of the involved professionals<sup>5,6</sup>. This formalization is essential for better communication between HP and other professionals within the hospital environment<sup>7,29</sup>.

In addition to the specific aspects related to pharmaceutical services, it is essential to have adequate infrastructure compatible with the needs<sup>5,16</sup> for performing pharmaceutical services, since its infrastructure is related to its functionality<sup>30</sup>. The positive influence of area adequacy on service performance according to the linear regression refers to this correlation.

This study presented important results and methodological aspects from an evaluative point of view since it considered the specifications of the pharmaceutical services, which were required in different proportions considering the complexity of the hospitals where they were performed.

Despite the fact that there are other international tools for hospital classification and the methodological aspects used are not worldwide validated (it is regionally limited), it was applied to maintain the internal validity considering that the studies which supported this research used the same methodological basis. Although this study presents intrinsic limitations of a cross-sectional study and geographical limitations, it should be emphasized that there are no recent studies in Brazil involving this subject and that the methodology applied, including hospital classification, was comprehensive and detailed, especially in



comparison to the international methodologies of evaluation of the hospital pharmaceutical service found in the literature.

# Contribution to scientific literature

This study presents recent data about hospital pharmacies in a Brazilian region through the application of a methodology that considered specificities related to the pharmaceutical services from the managerial to the care perspective according to the complexity of the hospitals where they were performed. It corresponded a detailed and comprehensive evaluation methodology in comparison to the international methodologies of evaluation in this context and the complementary analysis on the influence of managerial, structural and service-specific variables should guide actions and support decision-making process that seek to increase management capacity and the quality of provided service.

# **Bibliography**

- Carvalho ALB, Jesus WLA, Senra IMVB, Carvalho ALB, Jesus WLA, Senra IMVB Regionalização no SUS: processo de implementação, desafios e perspectivas na visão crítica de gestores do sistema. Cien Saude Colet. 2017;22:1155-64.
- Mendes EV. As redes de atenção à saúde. Cien Saude Colet. 2010;15:2297-305.
- Barnum DT, Shields KL, Walton SM, Schumock GT. Improving the efficiency of distributive and clinical services in hospital pharmacy. J Med Syst. 2011;35:59-70.
- Silva MJS, Magarinos-Torres R, Oliveira MA, Osorio-De-Castro CGS. Avaliação dos serviços de farmácia dos hospitais estaduais do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. Cien Saude Colet. 2013;18:3605-20.
- Padrões Mínimos para Farmácia Hospitalar e Serviços de Saúde. 30 ed. São Paulo: Sociedade Brasileira de Farmácia Hospitalar; 2017.
- Rutter V, Wong C, Coombes I, Cardiff L, Duggan C, Yee ML, et al. Use of a general level framework to facilitate performance improvement in hospital pharmacists in Singapore. Am J Pharm Educ. 2012;76:1-10.
- Penaforte TR, Forste AC, Simões MJS. Evaluation of the performance of pharmacists in terms of providing health assistance at a university hospital. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2007;62:567-72.
- Rabuñal-Álvarez MT, Calvin-Lamas M, Feal-Cortizas B, Martínez-López LM, Pedreira-Vázquez I, Martín-Herranz MI. Indicadores de calidad en el proceso de almacenamiento y dispensación de medicamentos em un Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Rev Calid Asist. 2014;29: 204-11.
- Vega EN, Díaz AMA, Gorgas-Torner MQ, Barrios CE, Nieto AR. Quality indicators for technologies applied to the hospital pharmacy Indicadores de calidad de tecnologías aplicadas a la farmacia hospitalaria. Farm Hosp. 2017;41:533-42.



- Torreblanca JMM, Tejerab BI, García MIF, Llergob JRP, Cantarero TA, Camacho EF. Análisis y minimización del riesgo de rotura de stock aplicado a la gestión en farmacia hospitalaria. Farm Hosp. 2012;36:130-4.
- Gebicki M, Mooney E, Chen SJG, Mazur LM. Evaluation of hospital medication inventory policies. Health Care Manag Sci. 2014;17:215-29.
- Schumock GT, Ursan ID, Crawford SY, Walton SM, Donnelly AJ. Pharmacy practice in small and rural hospitals in Illinois-2011. Am J Heal Pharm. 2013;70:1144-52.
- Vargas IQ, Miralles AM, Madrid MT, Ruiz MM, Bau AM, Martí JFP. Interdisciplinary approach to the management of medical supplies in the nursing home setting. Farm Hosp. 2017;41:497-507.
- Pedersen C, Schneider P, Scheckelhoff D. ASHP national survey of pharmacy practice in hospital settings: dispensing and administration-2014. Am J Heal Syst Pharm. 2015;72:1119-37.
- Osorio-De-Castro CGS, Castilho SR. Diagnóstico da Farmácia Hospitalar no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: FIOCRUZ; 2004.
- Sociedade Brasileira de Fármacia Hospitalar. Guia de Boas Práticas em Farmácia Hospitalar e Serviços de Saúde-Sbrafh. São Paulo: Ateliê Vide o Verso; 2009
- Departamento de Informática do SUS (DATASUS) (Internet Database). Brasília: Ministério da Saúde. 2017 (18/10/2017). Available at: http://d atasus.saude.gov.br/
- MacQueen JB. Some Methods for Classification and Analysis of Multivariate Observations. In: Cam LML, Neyman J, editors. Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposiums on Mathematical Statistics and Probability. 1st ed. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1967, p. 281-97.
- Messeder AM. Avaliação de Estrutura e Processo de Serviços de Farmácia Hospitalar segundo nível de Complexidade do Hospital. Rio de Janeiro: Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sérgio Arouca; 2005.
- Messeder AM, Osorio-de-Castro CGS, Camacho LAB. Projeto Diagnóstico da Farmácia Hospitalar no Brasil : uma proposta de hierarquização dos serviços. Cad Saude Publica. 2007;23:835-44.
- Decreto n.º 31.625, de 29 de abril de 2010, institui o Programa de Descentralização Progressiva de Ações de Saúde para as Diretorias Gerais de Saúde e as Unidades de Referência Distrital da Rede Pública de Saúde do Distrito Federal. Distrito Federal n.º 82, (30 apr 2010).
- Rabuñal-Álvarez MT, Calvin-Lamas M, Feal-Cortizas B, Martínez-López L, Pedreira-Vázquez I, Martín-Herranz MI. Acciones de mejora en los procesos de almacenamiento y dispensación de medicamentos en un Servicio de Farmacia Hospitalaria. Rev OFIL. 2014;25:29-32.
- Lei n.13.021, de 8 de agosto de 2014, dispõe sobre o exercício e a fiscalização das atividades farmacêuticas. Brasil, Diário Oficial da União, nº 1, (11 aug 2014).
- Ortega A, Fraga MD, Marín-Gil R, López-Briz E, Puigventós F, Dranitsaris G. Economic evaluation in collaborative hospital drug evaluation reports. Farm Hosp. 2015;39:288-96.
- Durán-García E, Santos-Ramos B, Puigventos-Latorre F, Ortega A. Literature review on the structure and operation of Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committees. Int J Clin Pharm. 2011;33:475-83.



- Santana RS, Santos AS, Menezes MS, Jesus SEM, Silva WB. Relato de caso Assistência Farmacêutica de uma rede de hospitais públicos: proposta de utilização das diretrizes ministeriais para avaliação do serviço. Rev Bras Farm Hosp Serv Saúde. 2013;4:29-34.
- Ferrández LSR, Alba CE, Rodríguez IE, Díaz AS, Fernández NB, De La Fuente JS. Evaluación preventiva de riesgos en la incorporación de nuevos medicamentos a la práctica asistencial: una propuesta metodológica. Farm Hosp. 2014;38:18-24.
- Jiménez RMR, Muñoz PG, Crespo C, Piñeiro G, Pérez-Pons JC, Rodicio SG, et al. Evaluación del grado de formación del personal que elabora las nutriciones parenterales en los Servicios de Farmacia. Farm Hosp. 2016:40:486-90.
- Escalante-Saavedra PA, Marques-Batista G, Maniero HK, Bedatt-Silva R, Calvo-Barbado DM. Brazilia Drug Information Centre: descriptive study on the quality of information 2010-2015. Farm Hosp. 2017;4:334-45.
- Amorim GM, Quintão EVC, Martelli Jr H, Bonan PRF. Prestação de Serviços de Manutenção Predial em Estabelecimentos Assistenciais de Saúde. Ciência e Saude Coletiva. 2013;18:145-58.

# Notes

FundingNo funding.

# Author notes

Autor para correspondencia: Rodrigo Fonseca Lima. Correo electrónico: drigofl@gmail.com.

# Conflict of interest declaration

Conflict of interests. of interests

