

Revista Brasileira em Promoção da Saúde

ISSN: 1806-1222 ISSN: 1806-1230 rbps@unifor.br

Universidade de Fortaleza

Brasil

Vilaça Mendes, Eugênio
The care for chronic conditions in primary health care
Revista Brasileira em Promoção da Saúde, vol. 31, no. 2, 2018, April-June, pp. 1-3
Universidade de Fortaleza
Brasil

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5020/18061230.2018.7565

Available in: https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=40855558001



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's webpage in redalyc.org



Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

DOI: 10.5020/18061230.2018.7565

THE CARE FOR CHRONIC CONDITIONS IN PRIMARY HEALTH CARE

O cuidado das condições crônicas na atenção primária à saúde

Eugênio Vilaça Mendes

Public Health Consultant - Belo Horizonte - Brazil

Health conditions can be defined as circumstances in people's health which are more or less persistent and which require reactive or proactive, episodic or continuous and fragmented or integrated social responses from health care systems, health professionals and health care users.

The finding that the classic typology of communicable diseases and noncommunicable diseases cannot support the organization of health care systems led to the proposal of health conditions, which was developed in the late 1990s by scholars linked to the chronic care model^(1,2) and then adopted by the World Health Organization⁽³⁾. Knowing such conditions allows to know them better and thus be able to prevent possible diseases through health promotion strategies.

The study of the typology of health conditions is based on the way health professionals, health care users and health care systems are engaged to respond socially to the demands, whether in a reactive, episodic and fragmented way, or in a proactive, continuous and integrated way.

Such typology is mainly based on some key variables contained in the concept of health condition: the first refers to the duration of the health condition – short or long; the second is the way health professionals, health care systems and health care users fight such conditions – an episodic, reactive and fragmented approach focused on diseases and complaints or a continuous, proactive and integrated approach focused on people and families through more or less permanent care contained in a plan of care prepared by the health team and the users together.

It should be noted that chronic condition is not the same as chronic disease. All chronic diseases (diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, cancers, chronic respiratory diseases, chronic musculoskeletal diseases, and others) are chronic conditions. But this concept also encompasses persistent infectious diseases (leprosy, tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, certain viral hepatitis, and others), maternal and perinatal conditions (follow-up of pregnant women and perinatal, postpartum and newborn care); conditions linked to the maintenance of health throughout life cycles (child care, adolescent care and older person care); long-term mental disorders; continuous physical and structural disabilities (amputations, blindness, persistent motor deficits, and others); metabolic diseases; non-acute oral diseases; and health conditions characterized as illnesses, which refer to the way people perceive their disease, that is, the subjective response of individuals and/or their network of relationships to a particular disease^(4,5).

The model of care for acute events has failed to respond to health situations dominated by chronic conditions, which has led different countries and several institutions to seek models of care for chronic conditions. Several models of care for chronic conditions have been reported in the international literature⁽⁶⁾. The most significant models are the chronic care model (CCM), the seminal model, and the risk pyramid model (RPM).

The CCM, proposed in the United States of America⁽²⁾, works best in public and universal health care systems⁽⁷⁾ and is composed of six elements subdivided into two main fields: the health care system and the community. In the health care system, changes must be made to the organization of health care, to the service delivery system design, to decision support, to clinical information systems and to self-management support. In the community, the changes are centered on the integration between health care services and community resources. These six elements present interrelationships that allow the development of informed and active users and a prepared and proactive health team to produce better health and functional outcomes for the population.

The second major international impact model, the risk pyramid model (RPM), is based on population risk stratification. This determines self-care and professional care intervention strategies. Professional care, depending on the risks, determines the clinic management technology to be used: health condition management or case management.⁽⁸⁾

International evidence on health care models and the uniqueness of the Unified Health System (*Sistema Único de Saúde* – SUS) led to the development of a chronic condition care model (CCCM) that could be applied to the Brazilian public health system⁽⁹⁾. The basis of the CCCM is the CCM, but this model of origin has been expanded to incorporate two other models,



Mendes EV

the RPM and the model of social determinants of health⁽¹⁰⁾, to adapt to the requirements of a public and universal health care system such as the SUS.

The CCCM is built on three columns: one column features the total population divided into subpopulations by risk strata; another column features the different levels of social determinants of health: intermediate, proximal and individual determinants; and the third column features the five levels of health interventions on determinants and their populations: promotional interventions (level 1), preventive interventions (level 2) and clinic management interventions on established chronic conditions (levels 3, 4 and 5).

With regard to its application in primary health care, a rigorous evaluation research was carried out in the municipality of Santo Antônio do Monte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. The intervention took place from June 2013 to December 2014 and focused on four target groups: individuals with hypertension, individuals with diabetes, pregnant women and children under two years old⁽¹¹⁾. The results of both the quantitative and qualitative analyses were very positive. Another evaluation showed positive effects of CCCM on the organization of a network of primary health care and specialized outpatient care⁽¹²⁾.

Given the relevance of this subject, the present issue of the Brazilian Journal in Health Promotion (*Revista Brasileira em Promoção da Saúde – RBPS*) complements this dialog by featuring three original articles on chronic conditions in different contexts of Public Health and their impact on the life of patients. The main findings of these studies focus on: physical activity as a means of reducing cardiovascular risk in hypertensive older patients⁽¹³⁾, the association of vitamin D deficiency and its consequences in patients with type 1 diabetes⁽¹⁴⁾, and, finally, feelings of rejection, sadness and anguish arising from an unwanted pregnancy and the great impact on the psychological life of adolescents⁽¹⁵⁾.

RBPS fulfills, once again, the function of bringing to researchers and readers themes of great value and scientific interest in the health promotion field.

REFERENCES

- 1. Von Korff M, Gruman J, Schaefer J, Curry SJ, Wagner EH. Collaborative management of chronic illness. Ann Intern Med. 1997;127(12):1097-102.
- Wagner EH. Chronic disease management: what will take to improve care for chronic illness? Eff Clin Pract 1998;1(1): 2-4.
- 3. Organização Mundial da Saúde. Cuidados inovadores para as condições crônicas: componentes estruturais de ação. Brasília: Organização Mundial da Saúde/Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde; 2003.
- 4. Knauth DR, Oliveira FA, Castro RCL. Antropologia e atenção primária à saúde. In: Duncan BB, Schmidt MI, Giugliani ERJ, Duncan MS, Giugliani C. Medicina ambulatorial: condutas de atenção primária baseadas em evidências. 4ª ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2013. 66-71.
- 5. Mendes EV. A construção social da atenção primária à saúde. Brasília: Conselho Nacional de Secretários de Saúde; 2015.
- 6. Singh D. Transforming chronic care: evidence about improving care for people with long-term conditions. Birmingham: University of Birmingham; 2005.
- Ham C. Evaluations and impact of disease management programmes. Bonn: Conference of Bonn; 2007.
- 8. Department of Health (UK). Supporting people with long-term conditions: a NHS and social care model to support local innovation and integration. Leeds: Long Term Conditions Team Primary Care/Department of Health; 2005.
- 9. Mendes EV. As redes de atenção à saúde. Brasília: Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde; 2011.
- 10. Dahlgren G, Whitehead M. Policies and strategies to promote social equity in health. Stocolm: Institute for Future Studies; 1991.
- 11. Andrade MV, Noronha K, Cardoso C, Lorenzi C, Rodrigues CM, Calazans JA et al. Pesquisa de avaliação do laboratório de inovações no cuidado das condições crônicas na atenção primária à saúde de Santo Antônio do Monte. Carta Acordo entre o IPEAD e a OPAS. Belo Horizonte: CEDEPLAR. Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais/ Universidade Federal de São João del Rei; 2016.
- 12. Huçulak MC, Dalcuche MG. Atenção ambulatorial especializada no Paraná: a experiência da 15ª Regional de Saúde. In: Conselho Nacional de Secretários de Saúde. Inovação na atenção ambulatorial especializada. Brasília: CONASS; 2016. p.82-95.
- 13. Hortencio MNS, Silva JKS, Zonta MA, Melo CPA, França CN. Efeitos de exercícios físicos sobre fatores de risco cardiovascular em idosos hipertensos. Rev Bras Promoç Saúde, 31(2):1-9, 2018.

- 14. Ferraz CLH, Mendes ADN, Ferraz TMBL, Bruno da Silva CA. Associação entre vitamina D, controle glicêmico e complicações microvasculares no diabetes tipo 1. Rev Bras Promoç Saúde, 31(2):1-9, 2018.
- 15. Costa GC, Siqueira DD, Rocha FAA, Costa FBC, Branco JGO. Fatores psicossociais enfrentados por grávidas na fase final da adolescência. Rev Bras Promoç Saúde, 31(2):1-9, 2018.

Mailing address:

Eugênio Vilaça Mendes

E-mail: eugenio.bhz@terra.com.br