



Revista mexicana de biodiversidad

ISSN: 1870-3453

ISSN: 2007-8706

Instituto de Biología

González-Murcia, Saúl; Erdmann, Sandra; Alvarado-Larios, Raquel
Is this rock pool suitable habitat? Fish diversity in intertidal rock pools of El Zonte, El Salvador
Revista mexicana de biodiversidad, vol. 91, e913099, 2020
Instituto de Biología

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2020.91.3099>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=42571632037>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

UAEH
redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and
Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

Ecology

Is this rock pool suitable habitat? Fish diversity in intertidal rock pools of El Zonte, El Salvador

¿Es esta poza de marea un buen hábitat? Diversidad de peces en las pozas de la zona entre mareas de El Zonte, El Salvador

Saúl González-Murcia ^{a, b}, Sandra Erdmann ^{c, d, *}, Raquel Alvarado-Larios ^e

^a College of Marine and Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville 4811, Queensland, Australia

^b Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville 4811, Queensland, Australia

^c Union de Personas, Ciencias Neotropicales, Pasaje Francisco Campos #166, Colonia Escalón, San Salvador, El Salvador

^d Fundación Naturaleza El Salvador, Pasaje Francisco Campos #166 A, Colonia Escalón, San Salvador, El Salvador

^e Museo de Historia Natural de El Salvador, Barrio San Jacinto, final calle Los Viveros, Colonia Nicaragua, San Salvador, El Salvador

*Corresponding author: sandraerdmann@web.de (S. Erdmann)

Received: 12 June 2019; accepted: 6 April 2020

Abstract

Occurrence, abundance, and distribution of fishes in intertidal rock pools are influenced by environmental and biological traits that determine habitat quality. Fishes actively inhabit areas that represent the most suitable habitat for their development. For the intertidal fish community of El Zonte, physical and structural traits of rock pool habitat, as well as habitat complexity, were examined in relation to fish occurrence, abundance, species richness, and body length. Fish occurred in only 18 (40%) of 45 rock pools. A total 309 fish representing 9 species were recorded. The most abundant species were *Bathygobius ramosus* (160 individuals, 52%) and *Abudefduf concolor* (88 individuals, 28%). Fishes were absent in rock pools of volumes less than 0.29 m³ and with surface roughness lower than 4.7%. Rock pool volume and surface roughness were related to fish abundance and species richness. Surface roughness was more important to fish abundance and species richness in rock pools of small volumes, but its contribution was limited in larger rock pools. Fish length and rock pool volume were also related, with larger fish generally occurring in larger rock pools. This study presents insights into the factors influencing fish occurrence in tropical intertidal rock pool systems of the Tropical Eastern Pacific.

Keywords: Tropical Eastern Pacific; Habitat structure; Habitat preferences; Length distribution; Ichthyofauna

Resumen

La presencia, abundancia y distribución de peces se ven influenciadas por factores ambientales y biológicos que determinan la calidad de hábitat en las pozas. Los peces seleccionan sitios que constituyen los hábitats más favorables para su desarrollo. En la comunidad de peces de las pozas de la zona entre mareas en El Zonte, se evaluaron atributos

físicos, características estructurales y complejidad de hábitat de las pozas; asimismo, su influencia en la presencia, abundancia y riqueza de especies, así como la distribución de tallas de los peces. Los peces se encontraron en 18 (40%) de 45 pozas de marea. Se registró un total de 309 peces, que representan 9 especies. Las especies más abundantes fueron *Bathygobius ramosus* (160 individuos, 52%) y *Abudefduf concolor* (88 individuos, 28%). Los peces estuvieron ausentes en pozas con volúmenes menores a 0.29 m³ y rugosidad de superficie menor al 4.7%. El volumen de las pozas y la rugosidad de la superficie estuvieron relacionados con la abundancia y riqueza de especies. La rugosidad de las pozas fue relevante para la abundancia y riqueza de peces en pozas de bajos volúmenes, pero su influencia fue limitada en pozas de gran tamaño. La distribución de tallas de los peces estuvo relacionada con el volumen de las pozas; los peces de mayor tamaño se presentaron generalmente en pozas con mayores volúmenes. Este estudio presenta evidencia sobre factores que determinan la presencia de peces en los sistemas pozas de marea de la zona entre mareas del Pacífico Oriental Tropical.

Palabras clave: Pacífico Oriental Tropical; Estructura de hábitat; Preferencias de hábitat; Distribución de longitudes; Ictiofauna

Introduction

Community structure and species distribution are influenced by intrinsic factors including ontogenetic development of individuals, and extrinsic factors such as environmental traits and resource availability (Bell & Galzin, 1984; Wiens, 1989). These factors are highly variable and may work independently or synergistically to determine habitat quality and establish unique arrangements in biological communities (Ferrari et al., 2018; Smallhorn-West et al., 2017). In intertidal habitats, abrupt changes in environmental features strongly influence the dynamics of the sessile organisms that remain exposed at low tide (Huggett & Griffiths, 1986). Intertidal rock pools do not experience the extreme change of intermittent exposure that occurs for adjacent substrata. Nonetheless, the fluctuations in environmental conditions are more drastic than the ones experienced in the subtidal zone (Metaxas & Scheibling, 1993). The extent of physico-chemical oscillation in rock pools is mainly associated with volume and intertidal height (Daniel & Boyden, 1975; Griffiths et al., 2003; Huggett & Griffiths, 1986; Legrand et al., 2018; Metaxas & Scheibling, 1993; Morris & Taylor, 1983). Rock pools with larger volumes in the lower intertidal are more resilient to perturbations and tend to maintain a more stable physico-chemical environment than small rock pools in the high intertidal zone (Cunha et al., 2007; Metaxas & Scheibling, 1993). These differences generate strong zonation patterns for benthic rock pool dwellers that display scattered distributions, rather than a random assortment, and contribute to the complexity of the substrata in the intertidal zone (Huggett & Griffiths, 1986).

Within rock pools, the complexity of the substrata changes largely due to irregularities, crevices, interstices, and fissures that can be used as a refuge and shelter for mobile and sessile organisms (Metaxas & Scheibling,

1993). Furthermore, abiotic and biotic elements such as sand, large rocks, pebbles, shells, algae, corals, and encrusting molluscs or crustaceans create a complex mosaic in the benthos of the rock pools that influence not only the topography, but also the attraction and deterrence of other intertidal organisms (Martins et al., 2007). Consequently, rock pools are a conglomerate of habitats with traits that differ along a spatial gradient. During high tide, fish swim across rock pools (Malard et al., 2016; Marsh et al., 1978) exploiting resources of the intertidal area and eventually selecting a particular rock pool when the tide recedes (Castellanos-Galindo et al., 2010; Faria & Almada, 2006; Griffiths, 2003; White & Brown, 2013). Many fish species are opportunists in juvenile stages (González-Murcia et al., 2012, 2016) spending short periods of time in intertidal rock pools and returning to subtidal areas when they reach a particular size (Gibson & Yoshiyama, 1999; Zander et al., 1999). Resident species, however, inhabit intertidal rock pools their entire life and are well adapted to cope with the environmental variability of the intertidal zone. Opportunist and resident species both present high rock pool fidelity with home ranges usually limited to a few pools (Griffiths et al., 2003; White & Brown, 2013). Therefore, the distribution of species and individuals within rock pools is consistent in space and time (Faria & Almada, 1999).

Changes in rock pool intertidal fish community structure have been associated with rock pool environmental and structural features (Andrades et al., 2018; Castellanos-Galindo et al., 2005; Cunha et al., 2007, 2008; González-Murcia et al., 2016; Mahon & Mahon, 1994; Yoshiyama, 1981). Vertical zonation patterns in intertidal rock pool fish assemblages are typically marked by a decrease in abundance and species richness from low to high tide zones (Gibson, 1972; González-Murcia et al., 2016; Griffiths et al., 2003; Zander et al., 1999). White et al.

(2015) considered that fish abundance and species richness increased with rock pool depth, but Mahon and Mahon (1994) suggested that those changes are more related to rock pool volume. Additionally, environmental and biological factors can regulate size-length patterns within species. Hernández et al. (2002), experimentally tested water temperature fluctuations and territoriality in intertidal rock pools to explain height distribution and differences in body size in *Graus nigra*. Their results indicated that smaller fish are well adapted to cope with wide temperature ranges but aggregate in optimal environments until large territorial conspecifics display agonistic behaviour towards them, displacing them to suboptimal environments. Davis (2000) observed that rock pool roughness positively affects abundance of piscivorous fish, providing shelters to hide and ambush prey, but reduces the abundance of herbivorous fishes that potentially avoid these areas because of higher predation risk. High algal cover in intertidal rock pools has also been associated with high abundance of fish, potentially because it provides food and shelter from predators (Galindo & Giraldo, 2008; Coull & Wells, 1983; Griffiths et al., 2006). Thus, features of rock pool habitat can be suitable for some intertidal fishes in particular ontogenetic stages, while having detrimental impacts on others or determining the occurrence of fish guilds.

Whether environmental or biological factors drive the structure of intertidal fish assemblages is still debated. Based on a deterministic approach, community structure can either be influenced by limiting similarity or environmental filters (Götzenberger et al., 2012; HilleRisLambers et al., 2012). Environmental filters exert strong temporal or spatial effects on ecosystems with severe environmental conditions like estuaries (Miyazono et al., 2010), river floodplains (Ortega et al., 2015), or tropical wetlands (Córdova-Tapia et al., 2018) and could be relevant in rock pool intertidal systems. Intertidal fishes actively reside in a rock pool or a set of rock pools that represent habitat traits that can optimise their development and fitness (Faria, 2001; Hernández et al., 2002). Nonetheless, few rock pool intertidal fish studies have extended to rock pools uninhabited by fishes or reported the number of rock pools unoccupied by them (Malard et al., 2016; White & Brown, 2013). Even fewer have recorded habitat complexity variables and structural traits of those rock pools (Malard et al., 2016; White & Brown, 2013). Characterizing and comparing these rock pools is similar to a comparison of extreme treatments, which is the easiest way to enhance the detection of an effect in an experiment. Thus, exploring the traits of rock pools uninhabited by fishes can provide insights about major drivers in fish intertidal dynamics.

Tropical intertidal rock pools harbour a species-rich ichthyofauna with high levels of endemism (Andrades et al.,

2018). However, coastal ecosystems are highly susceptible to anthropogenic activities, including sediment and sewage deposition, nutrient runoff, and destruction or alteration by coastal development. Therefore, intertidal habitats are at risk of rapid and abrupt biodiversity loss. Describing the ecology of intertidal fish and characterizing traits that influence their diversity will improve our understanding of current intertidal fish dynamics and set a baseline for comparison with future research. Herein, we studied habitat complexity and physical attributes of intertidal rock pools in El Zonte to describe qualitatively and quantitatively the assemblage of fishes in the intertidal rock pools and to assess the relationship between intertidal rock pool traits (rock pool volume, height, perimeter, surface area, habitat structure, and complexity) and occurrence, abundance, species richness, and size of intertidal fishes.

Materials and methods

We assessed 45 rock pools in the intertidal fish community at El Zonte, Chiltiupán; La Libertad, El Salvador in March, 2018. El Zonte is located on the coast of rocky cliffs on the western coast of El Salvador. There are many small rocky shores in this area; 3 of them were accessible and separated by sandy beaches and the river mouth of the Río El Zonte, at distances of 0.5 m to 2 km (Fig. 1). Tides ranged from 0 to 170 cm and rock pools were counted during low tide. The vertical distribution of rock pools along the shore, hereafter referred to as rock pool height, was recorded during low tide using a tide calendar to verify the lowest level at the interface between outgoing and incoming tides. Average rock pool height in the intertidal zone was 122.3 cm (± 22.11 SD) ranging from 10-130 cm and average rock pool volume was 1.80 m³ (± 4.03 SD) ranging from 0.012 m³ to 22.03 m³. Sampling was conducted once in each rock pool.

Three measurements of width (W), length (L), and depth (D) and one of perimeter (P) were recorded in each rock pool before collecting fish. Volume was estimated by the formula $V = A \cdot D$, where V = rock pool volume, A = surface area of the rock pool ($W \cdot L$) estimated by the average width (W), length (L) and average rock pool depth (D). The relationship between perimeter (P) and volume (V) was estimated by dividing the perimeter by the volume and the relationship between the surface area (A) and volume by dividing the area by the volume. Herein, these metrics will be referred to as perimeter-volume ratio (P/V) and area-volume ratio (A/V), respectively. Rock pool roughness was calculated by dividing the linear distance (LD) between 2 random points of the rock pool by the distance between the same points considering all the surface irregularities (RD) with the formula $1 + (LD/RD)$, which gave a value between 0 and 1, expressed as a




Figure 1. a, Pacific Coast of El Salvador with insets; b, locality El Zonte, Chiltiupán La Libertad, and c, Rocky shore locations where rock pools were sampled.

percentage. The algae, pebbles, sand, and rock on the rock pool substrata were measured using quadrats of 40×40 cm containing 100 sub squares of 4×4 cm. The number of sub squares occupied by each substratum category was counted and expressed as a percentage.

A subset of 15 out of 18 rock pools where fish occurred, were randomly selected and drained using hoses and buckets. Fishes were collected using hand nets, searching in crevices, algae, and under rocks, and then returned to adjacent pools or subtidal areas after total length was measured (mm). Some specimens were collected and preserved in 70% ethanol and donated to the Museo de Historia Natural de El Salvador (MUHNES, Natural History Museum of El Salvador) ichthyology collection under catalogue numbers MUHNES 40-1022 to 40-1024. Species were categorized by rock pool utilization following Griffiths (2003), under the categories: *a*) permanent residents (R), occurring as larvae, juveniles, and adults possessing morphological, anatomical, or physiological adaptations for this environment; *b*) opportunists (O), frequently occurring as juveniles with few morphological adaptations for intertidal life, and *c*) transients (T), occurring frequently as juveniles or adults without adaptations for intertidal environment; these fish may simply be trapped in rock pools when the tide recedes. Behavioural affinities of each species were categorized

using the criteria established by Griffiths (2003), based on observations that described the fish as: *a*) solitary (S), species swimming alone; not forming schools; *b*) aggregating (A), species swimming in schools; *c*) cryptic (C), species attached to the substrata with camouflaged coloration or displaying secretive behaviour, hiding in crevices, algae, and under rocks; *d*) territorial (T), species showing aggressive behaviour toward conspecifics or heterospecifics for a particular resource. Behavioural affinities were not mutually exclusive categories and individuals of some species showed more than 1 behaviour.

Life history stages were determined using the minimum length of post larval fish for each species and records of maximum length, then dividing the length range into 3 equal size classes to represent juvenile, sub adult, and adult. Since there is no evidence of marked differences in the biology or behaviour of sub adult and adult fish of the species studied, fishes in sub adult stages were pooled in the category adult. We acknowledge that this method would not be accurate enough due to intrinsic traits of each species and environmental factors affecting them that could reduce or enlarge slot sizes and aging but provide appropriate information for our analyses. Although reading growth marks on hard structures such as scales, bones, or otoliths and others or direct inspection of gonads are ideal for age and maturity estimation, they are logistically

more complicated, expensive, and time consuming (Das, 1994). Additionally, applying these methods requires the collection of a fair number of specimens that we could not achieve due to dispositions presented in the permits for this research.

Fish with sizes lower than the minimum length recorded for juveniles or with anatomical or morphological traits typical of larvae (lack of colour, or pigmentation of larvae, spines or rays typical of fishes in larval stages) were labelled as such. We considered this method an adequate indication of fish life history stages as it was successful in numerous previous studies of rock pool fishes (Ghanbarifardi & Malek, 2009; González-Murcia et al., 2016; Grossman, 1982).

Rock pool structural variables and habitat complexity were used to assess the occurrence, abundance, species richness, and length distribution of rock pool fishes with principal components analysis (PCA) performed in the R package Vegan (Oksanen et al., 2016). Rock pool width, depth, length, perimeter, volume, height, roughness, relationship of the surface area-volume ratio and perimeter-volume ratio, and habitat structure (rocks, sand, pebbles, and algae) were included in the PCA. All environmental variables were tested for normality, and transformations (log, log10, square root, 1/x, arcsine) were applied to fulfil normality assumptions where necessary. Then, the variables were standardized (z-scores) (Borcard et al., 2011). To select the number of interpretable axes in the PCA the Broken Stick Model was computed, which randomly divides a stick of one-unit length into the same number of components as there are PCA axes. The components are arranged in decreasing order and compared to the eigenvalues of each component of the PCA analysis. The PCA components whose eigenvalues were larger than the length of the corresponding piece of the Broken Stick Model were interpreted. A step-wise process was conducted to aid in the exclusion of highly

collinear explanatory variables, using Cook's distance and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF), (Oksanen et al., 2016).

Volume, roughness, height, rock, pebbles, and A/V had VIF values below 3.5 and were used as explanatory variables in generalized linear models (GLMs). Presence or absence of fish was set as the response variable under a binomial distribution (Table 1). In this model rock pool volume and roughness were highly correlated, but with no evidence that changes in roughness are determined by changes in volume, we constructed individual models for each variable (Table 1). Either fish abundance or species richness were set as response variables and tested using values from 42 rock pools. These explanatory variables were standardized and scaled (mean and SD) to aid in comparisons and model contributions. For both response variables a Poisson Negative Binomial distribution was used to account for the high frequency of absences (zeros) in the rock pools. Fish total length, recorded for all species in 15 rock pools, was used as the response variable in a linear model with volume, roughness, height, rock, pebbles, and A/V as explanatory variables and a Gaussian distribution. The same process was applied independently for each of the 2 most abundant species (Table 1). Model selection was based on the Akaike information criteria (AIC) applying the dredge function of the MuMIn package in R (Oksanen et al., 2016), and models were validated with graphical tools, goodness of fit, and over dispersion tests in R (Oksanen et al., 2016; Zuur et al., 2009). Final models for each dependent variable had a maximum of 2 explanatory variables and their interactions (Table 1).

Results

The variables of the rock pools presented wide variation in their physical attributes, structural traits, and habitat complexity (Table 2). For instance, 23 (50%) of the rock pools had depth, width, and length values lower than 13.3,

Table 1

Final models to test for presence and absences of fish, fish abundance, fish richness, total length for all fish, and the 2 most abundant species.

Model name	Distribution	Response variable	Explanatory variables
Fish occurrence	Binomial	Presence/absence	(1) volume; (2) roughness
Fish abundance	Poisson negative binomial	Fish abundance	Volume + roughness + volume*roughness
Species richness	Poisson negative binomial	Fish species richness	Volume + roughness + volume*roughness
Total length all species	Gaussian	Total length all species	Volume
Total length <i>B. ramosus</i>	Gaussian	Total length <i>B. ramosus</i>	Volume
Total length <i>A. concolor</i>	Gaussian	Total length <i>A. concolor</i>	Volume

36.7, and 56.7 cm, respectively. Therefore, the majority of the rock pools had volumes lower than 0.3 m³. The surface area and perimeters of the rock pools and the values of the A/V and P/V showed that most of the rock pools (60%) presented a small surface area and perimeter in relation to their volume. A total of 38 (84%) rock pools were located at intertidal heights higher than 100 cm and had low values of surface roughness, implying limited refuge for fishes. The substratum was mainly rock ($\geq 40\%$; 24 rock pools, 53%) or sand ($\geq 40\%$; 20 rock pools, 44%), with mostly few pebbles ($\leq 20\%$; 37 rock pools, 82%) and little algal cover ($\leq 10\%$; 41 rock pools, 91%).

A total of 309 fish representing 9 species, 8 genera, and 8 families were observed (Table 3). Pomacentridae had the highest species richness (2 species) and Gobiidae the highest abundance (160 fish, 52%). The most abundant fish were the Panamic frillfin *B. ramosus* (Ginsburg 1947) (160 individuals, 52%) and the Petaca *A. concolor* (Gill 1862) (88 individuals, 28%), comprising 80% of the total abundance. Resident fish were more abundant (193 individuals, 63%) than opportunists (116 individuals, 37%) and no transient fish were recorded. Juvenile fish were more abundant (223 individuals, 72%) than adults (86 individuals, 28%) and larvae were not recorded (Table 3).

Fish occurred in only 18 (40%) of the 45 sampled rock pools. The first 2 components of the PCA explained 62.6% of the variation and rock pools tended to aggregate in 3 groups (Fig. 2). The first group included rock pools with volumes below 0.56 m³, surface roughness ranging from 0 to 9%, and a wide range of A/V ranging from 0.38 to 5 (Fig. 2, top left panel). These rock pools were located over 1 m height in the intertidal zone and the substrata had low rock cover (<

40%) and pebbles (< 35) but had high cover of sand. Rock pools in the second group had similar characteristics to the first group with volumes below 0.33 m³, surface roughness ranging from 0 to 11%, and A/V values ranging from 0.38 to 5 (Fig. 2, bottom left panel). These rock pools were distributed from 0.9-1.35 m height in the intertidal zone and mainly differed from those in the first group because of the high values of rock cover (> 55%) and low values of pebbles (< 5%). The third group was characterized by rock pools with larger dimensions (width, length, surface area, perimeter, and mainly depth) and consequently, volume that ranged from 0.32 m³ to 22.09 m³, surface roughness ranging from 4-24%, and A/V ranging from 0.14 to 2.14 (Fig. 2, top and bottom right panels). These rock pools were located in a wide range of intertidal heights from 0.3 to 1.42 m height, cover of rock varied from 25-75% and pebbles constituted between 0-50% of the substratum. Fish did not occur in the first and second group but were present in the third group of rock pools.

Fish occurrence was determined by rock pool volume and roughness (Table 4). Fishes only occurred in rock pools with volumes larger than 0.29 m³ and roughness higher than 4.7% (Fig. 3). The model for fish occurrence with volume as the explanatory variable accounted for 69% of the variance in fish occurrence, while the model including surface roughness explained 49% of the variance. We found that the odds ratio of fish presence to fish absence changed by a factor 6.58 for every one-unit increase in volume, and by a factor 0.42 for a one-unit increase in surface roughness. The probability of fish occurrence changed from absence (0) to presence (1), at a volume of 0.59m³ and at 10.85% roughness (Fig. 3).

Table 2

Physical attributes, structural traits, and habitat complexity of 45 rock pools in El Zonte, Chiltiupán, La Libertad, 2018.

Variable	Average \pm SD	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Depth (cm)	18.7 \pm 16.4	2.0	13.3	71.0
Width (cm)	55.8 \pm 44.1	15.6	36.7	221.0
Length (cm)	82.46 \pm 69.0	15.0	56.7	293.3
Volume (m ³)	1.80 \pm 4.03	0.1	0.3	22.9
Perimeter (cm)	348.9 \pm 324.4	65.0	260.0	1630.0
A/V	1.2 \pm 1.3	0.1	0.8	5.0
P/V	14.6 \pm 17.3	0.7	7.3	77.3
Height (cm)	122.31 \pm 22.11	30.0	131.0	145.0
Roughness (%)	9.21 \pm 6.77	0.0	9.091	24.81
Sand (%)	39.8 \pm 29.7	0.0	35.0	95.0
Rock (%)	47.0 \pm 27.8	5.0	40.0	100.0
Pebbles (%)	9.3 \pm 13.3	0.0	5.0	50.0
Algae (%)	3.8 \pm 7.4	0.0	0.0	40.0

Table 3

Abundance, ontogenetic stage, behavioural affinity, residence status, length range, total length mean and standard deviation (SD), and percentage of occurrence of the intertidal fishes of El Zonte, Chiltiupán, La Libertad, 2018. n^a = Abundance; ontogenetic stage^b: A = adult, J = juvenile, L = larvae; behavioural affinity^c: S = solitary, C = cryptic, A = aggregating, T = territorial; residential status^d: R = residents, O = opportunists, T = transients; SD^e = standard deviation.

Family	Species	n ^a	Ontogenetic stage ^b	Behavioural affinity ^c	Residence status ^d	Length range (mm)	Mean ± SD ^e	Occurrence (%)
Blennidae	<i>Ophioblennius steindachneri</i>	2	A	S-C	O	60-65	62.50 ± 03.54	13
Gobiesocidae	<i>Tomicodon zebra</i>	16	J-A	S-C	R	9-28	21.81 ± 05.32	13
Gobiidae	<i>Bathygobius ramosus</i>	160	J-A	S-C-T	R	10-102	43.56 ± 23.46	87
Labrisomidae	<i>Malacoctenus sudensis</i>	14	A	S-C	R	28-75	57.71 ± 16.58	33
Mugilidae	<i>Mugil curema</i>	17	J	S-G	O	34-75	52.65 ± 11.34	33
Pomacentridae	<i>Abudefduf concolor</i>	88	J	S-A	O	14-62	35.53 ± 12.09	87
Pomacentridae	<i>Abudefduf troschelii</i>	6	J	S-A	O	35-42	39.00 ± 02.97	7
Serranidae	<i>Epinephelus labriformis</i>	5	J	S	O	50-90	68.60 ± 14.38	20
Holocentridae	<i>Neoniphon suborbitalis</i>	1	J	S	O	60	60.00 ± ----	7




Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the environmental variables measured in 45 rock pools of El Zonte, Chiltiupán, La Libertad, 2018. Vector legends: volume (Vol), rock pool intertidal height (Height), percentage of surface roughness (Roug), surface area volume ratio (A/V), rock cover (Rock) and pebbles cover (Peb). Fish occurrence in rock pools is represented as presence (black circles) and absence (grey squares).

Rock pool volume and roughness and the interaction of these terms had significant impacts on fish abundance and species richness (Table 4). Abundance increased 16.5 times with an increment of one-unit of volume (1 m^3) and 1.14 times with an increment of one-unit roughness. However, the contribution of surface roughness to abundance was reduced when volume increased. For species richness, an increment of one-unit of volume (1 m^3) in rock pools increased fish richness by 1.65; similarly, a change of

one-unit of surface roughness in rock pools increased fish abundance 0.7 times. Nonetheless, the interaction of both terms indicates that the influence of surface roughness on species richness decreased when volume increased. Total length of all fishes ($R^2 = 0.1209$), and the most abundant species *B. ramosus* ($R^2 = 0.0784$), and *A. concolor* ($R^2 = 0.05246$) were related to rock pool volume (Fig. 4, Table 5). However, rock pool volume explained only low levels of variance and small fish did also occur in larger pools.




Figure 3. The effect of volume (left panel) and roughness (right panel) on fish occurrence in rock pools. Fish occur in rock pools with volume over of 0.29 m^3 or a roughness above 4.7%, while the likelihood of fish being present increases in pools greater than 0.59 m^3 and a roughness higher than 10.85% indicated by the dashed vertical line. The x axes in the left panel has been log transformed to aid in visual interpretation. The size of the dots represents the total fish abundance in each rock pool.




Figure 4. Total length (mm) of fishes relative to the volume of rock pools of El Zonte, Chiltiupán La Libertad, El Salvador.

Table 4

Results of GLM for the significance of the terms for fish occurrence in 45 rock pools, and abundance and species richness in 42 rock pools (see methods).

	DF	Deviance residuals	DF	Residuals deviance	Pr (> Chi)
Fish occurrence (fish~volume)					
Null			44	60.571	
Volume	1	42.017	43	18.554	< 0.000
Fish occurrence (fish~roughness)					
Null			44	60.571	
Roughness	1	30.283	43	30.288	< 0.000
Fish abundance (abundance~Log (volume + 1)*roughness)					
Null			41	132.207	
Volume	1	78.129	40	54.078	< 0.000
Roughness	1	10.437	39	43.641	< 0.000
Volume*roughness	1	17.327	38	26.314	< 0.000
Fish richness (species richness~Log (volume + 1)*roughness)					
Null			41	108.469	
Volume	1	83.329	40	25.14	< 0.000
Roughness	1	3.733	39	21.407	< 0.053
Volume*roughness	1	8.413	38	12.994	< 0.003

Table 5

Results of GLM for total length of all the fishes and the 2 most abundant species *B. ramosus* and *A. concolor* using data from 15 rock pools (see methods).

	DF	Sum of squares	Mean sum of squares	F value	Pr (> F)
Total length for all species (TL ~ volume)					
Volume	1	17,079	17,078.7	43.368	< 0.000
Residuals	307	120,899	393.8		
Total length <i>B. ramosus</i> (TL ~ volume)					
Volume	1	7,373	7,373.1	14.54	< 0.000
Residuals	158	80,118	507.1		
Total length <i>A. concolor</i> (TL ~ volume)					
Volume	1	805.2	805.15	5.8166	< 0.018
Residuals	86	11,904.4	138.42		

Discussion

The occurrence, abundance, and species richness of rock pools fishes in El Zonte are influenced by volume and surface roughness. We detected that the occurrence of fishes was limited in pools with volumes below 0.29 m³ and surface roughness lower than 4.7% (Fig. 3). Rock pools below those thresholds could undergo drastic changes in environmental variables during low

tide, offering limited space for fish that actively swim and shelter there (Fig. 2, groups I and II). Rock pools unoccupied by fishes have been observed in other areas, but few studies have quantified rock pool features that could explain the exclusion of fishes. Malard et al. (2016) suggested that rock pools had to be at least 10 cm deep with a minimum volume of 40 L (~ 0.04 m³) to accommodate fish. In other intertidal environments fishes occupied rock pools of 0.12 m³ or even as low as 0.087 m³

(Castellanos-Galindo et al., 2005; González-Murcia et al., 2012). We suggest that the interaction of volume and surface roughness can ameliorate the conditions that make low volume rock pools unfavourable for fish to inhabit because they have crevices and holes that provide shelter for fish and that are less affected by environmental changes than rock pools with low surface roughness (Fig. 3, Table 4). Moreover, we consider that rock pools of smaller volumes or lower surface roughness closer to the low intertidal mark could still be potential habitat for fishes because a low exposure time could reduce the extent at which changes in environmental variables make rock pools unsuitable for fishes to occur.

The scattered distribution observed in the fish assemblage of El Zonte could be the result of environmental filtering over spatial scales. The reduction in volume and surface roughness was coupled with a decrease in habitat diversity. Rock pools with volumes over 0.29 m³ provided suitable environment and were frequently composed of diverse substrata, such as pebbles and algae, increasing habitat heterogeneity (Fig. 3). Larger and structurally more complex rock pools are associated with greater habitat area, prey resources, and refuges, but also intraspecific competition (Faria, 2001). Usually, the number of species and individuals diverge significantly among rock pools as a response to variations in their volume and the type of substrate cover (Cunha et al., 2007; Macieira & Joyeux, 2011; Mahon & Mahon, 1994). Our results indicated a similar pattern. Volume and surface roughness were the main drivers of fish abundance and richness in El Zonte rock pools. However, the effect of roughness on abundance tended to decrease in large rock pools. Griffiths et al. (2006) stated that structural complexity has limited effects on fish abundance and richness and asserted that volume had a stronger influence on fish abundance compared to roughness. In contrast, Castellanos-Galindo et al. (2005) did not detect a relationship between fish species composition and volume. Thus, within and between sites differences in fish assemblages could be the result of local conditions and the interaction with environmental factors or limited by local diversity and their preferences and tolerance to the conditions in available habitats.

The fish community in the El Zonte region is characterized by low species richness. Interestingly, 2 species with markedly different behaviours occurred in small pools, the resident *B. ramosus* and the opportunist *A. concolor*. These species are amongst the most abundant in rock pools of the tropical eastern Pacific (Castellanos-Galindo et al., 2005; González-Murcia et al., 2012, 2016). *B. ramosus* is well adapted to the intertidal zone, displaying territorial behaviour and inhabiting the bottom and crevices, while *A. concolor* forms schools, consuming pelagic plankton. Other species with similar ecomorphological traits did not

occur in rock pools below 0.77 m³. Whether ecological, physiological, or behavioural traits allow *B. ramosus* and *A. concolor* to inhabit small rock pools that could represent suboptimal environments needs to be investigated. The intertidal zone of El Zonte has a steep slope and most of the rock pools are located higher up the intertidal platform. Few species can tolerate the environmental conditions in the high intertidal rock pools in El Salvador (González-Murcia et al., 2016). These rock pools are submerged during high tide only, temporally limiting the colonization of subtidal species. Additional studies could determine if juveniles of opportunist species occur in El Zonte rock pools only at specific periods of time.

Small size fishes occurred in all rock pools regardless of volume, but larger fishes were more common in larger rock pools. Segregation patterns in fish size classes have been attributed to physiological and behavioural factors. Hernández et al. (2002), reported that small species, such as *Graus nigra*, can cope better at high temperatures and drastic intertidal environmental variations than large fish. Faria (2001) suggested that underlying intraspecific interactions, such as territoriality, preclude smaller fish from larger pools, where larger fish are dominant. In contrast, we observed that small fish occurred in rock pools of all volumes and were more abundant in large rock pools. Hence, competition is not likely to explain the segregation of fish in our study. We consider that physiological constraints or lack of suitable shelter could affect large fish in small rock pools. A similar pattern has been described by Malard et al. (2016) for *Bathygobius cocosensis*, where most size classes occurred in all pools; small fish were not excluded from lower tide pools and larger adults were able to occupy pools in the high intertidal zone.

The intertidal rock pools of El Zonte present severe changes in habitat structure and substratum homogeneity along a spatial gradient. As in other highly dynamic environments (Córdova-Tapia et al., 2018; González-Murcia & Álvarez, 2018; Miyazono et al., 2010; Ortega et al., 2015), these conditions modify the structure of fish assemblages. Understanding patterns of occurrence of species has wide applications in conservation. Our results highlight that interaction among variables can generate suitable environments for some species or restrict them within a threshold. Moreover, high presence of residential, juvenile fish indicates that intertidal rock pools serve as essential areas for juvenile growth. Overall, the intertidal rock pool fish community of El Zonte is composed of 9 species that include mainly permanent resident fishes. In El Zonte, fishes do not occur in rock pools with volumes below 0.29 m³ and roughness lower than 4.7%. We found that changes in abundance and species richness were mainly related to volume and substrata roughness.

We observed that large fish are more likely to occur in larger rock pools, whereas small fish can occupy a wide range of rock pools irrespective of volume. Future conservation efforts should consider the importance of biotic and abiotic factors, such as surface roughness and rock pool volume in providing the most advantageous conditions to enhance the diversity of fish communities.

Acknowledgements

To J. Delgado for support in logistics and field assistance, to J. R. Sánchez Pleitez for his enthusiastic assistance during field work, to E. Webster and A. Waddle for reviewing and commenting on the manuscript, and to M. Murcia Orellana for support to SGM. In memory of S. González Rosales, whose ideas, contributions, and enthusiasm had a strong legacy on SGM.

References

- Andrades, R., Reis-Filho, J. A., Macieira, R. M., Giarrizzo, T., & Joyeux, J.-C. (2018). Endemic fish species structuring oceanic intertidal reef assemblages. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 10791. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29088-0>
- Bell, J., & Galzin, R. (1984). Influence of live coral cover on coral-reef fish communities. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 15, 265–274. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps015265>
- Borcard, D., Gillet, F., & Legendre, P. (2011). Numerical ecology with R. In *Applied Spatial Data Analysis with R*. Springer New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-78171-6>
- Castellanos-Galindo, G. A., Giraldo, A., & Rubio, E. A. (2005). Community structure of an assemblage of tidepool fishes on a tropical eastern Pacific rocky shore, Colombia. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 67, 392–408. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-1112.2005.00735.x>
- Castellanos-Galindo, G., Krumme, U., & Willis, T. (2010). Tidal influences on fish distributions on tropical eastern Pacific rocky shores (Colombia). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 416, 241–254. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08768>
- Castellanos-Galindo, G. A., & Giraldo, A. (2008). Food resource use in a tropical eastern Pacific tidepool fish assemblage. *Marine Biology*, 153, 1023–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0874-y>
- Córdova-Tapia, F., Hernández-Marroquín, V., & Zambrano, L. (2018). The role of environmental filtering in the functional structure of fish communities in tropical wetlands. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, 27, 522–532. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12366>
- Coull, B. C., & Wells, J. B. J. (1983). Refuges from fish predation: experiments with phytal meiofauna from the New Zealand rocky intertidal. *Ecology*, 64, 1599–1609. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1937513>
- Cunha, E. A., Carvalho, R. A. A., Monteiro-Neto, C., Moraes, L. E. S., & Araújo, M. E. (2008). Comparative analysis of tidepool fish species composition on tropical coastal rocky reefs at State of Ceará, Brazil. *Iheringia. Série Zoologia*, 98, 379–390. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0073-47212008000300013>
- Cunha, F. E. de A., Monteiro-Neto, C., & Nottingham, M. C. (2007). Temporal and spatial variations in tidepool fish assemblages of the northeast coast of Brazil. *Biota Neotropica*, 7, 111–118. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1676-06032007000100016>
- Daniel, M. J., & Boyden, C. R. (1975). Diurnal variations in physico-chemical conditions within intertidal rockpools. *Field Studies*, 4, 161–176.
- Das, M. (1994). Age Determination and longevity in fishes. *Gerontology*, 40, 70–96. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000213580>
- Davis, J. L. D. (2000). Changes in a tidepool fish assemblage on two scales of environmental variation: seasonal and El Niño southern oscillation. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 45, 1368–1379. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000.45.6.1368>
- Faria, C. (2001). Microhabitat segregation in three rocky intertidal fish species in Portugal: does it reflect interspecific competition? *Journal of Fish Biology*, 58, 145–159. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfbi.2000.1434>
- Faria, C., & Almada, V. (1999). Variation and resilience of rocky intertidal fish in western Portugal. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 184, 197–203. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps184197>
- Faria, C., & Almada, V. (2006). Patterns of spatial distribution and behaviour of fish on a rocky intertidal platform at high tide. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 316, 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps316155>
- Ferrari, R., Malcolm, H. A., Byrne, M., Friedman, A., Williams, S. B., Schultz, A., Jordan, A. R., & Figueira, W. F. (2018). Habitat structural complexity metrics improve predictions of fish abundance and distribution. *Ecography*, 41, 1077–1091. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02580>
- Ghanbarifardi, M., & Malek, M. (2009). Distribution, diversity, and abundance of rocky intertidal fishes in the Persian Gulf and Gulf of Oman, Iran. *Marine Biology Research*, 5, 496–502. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000802441293>
- Gibson, R. N. (1972). The vertical distribution and feeding relationships of intertidal fish on the Atlantic Coast of France. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 41, 189. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3512>
- Gibson, R. N., & Yoshiyama, R. M. (1999). Intertidal fish communities. In *Intertidal fishes* (pp. 264–296). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012356040-7/50014-7>
- González-Murcia, S., & Álvarez, F. S. (2018). Your place, my place..., distribution of *Agonostomus monticola* and *Sicydium multipunctatum* in the Acahuapa watershed. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad*, 89, 854–864. <https://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2018.3.2244>
- González-Murcia, S., Chicas-Batres, F. C., & Lovo, M. H. (2016). Community structure and height distribution of intertidal rockpool fish in Los Cóbano, El Salvador. *Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences*, 11, 197–209.
- González-Murcia, S., Marín-Martínez, C., & Ayala-Bocos, A. (2012). Intertidal rockpool ichthyofauna of El Pital, La Libertad, El Salvador. *Check List*, 8, 1216. <https://doi.org/10.15560/8.6.1216>
- Götzenberger, L., de Bello, F., Bråthen, K. A., Davison, J., Dubuis, A., Guisan, A., Lepš, J., Lindborg, R., Moora, M., Pärtel,

- M., Pellissier, L., Pottier, J., Vittoz, P., Zobel, K., & Zobel, M. (2012). Ecological assembly rules in plant communities—approaches, patterns and prospects. *Biological Reviews*, 87, 111–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00187.x>
- Griffiths, S., Davis, A., & West, R. (2006). Role of habitat complexity in structuring temperate rockpool ichthyofaunas. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 313, 227–239. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps313227>
- Griffiths, S. P. (2003). Rockpool ichthyofaunas of temperate Australia: species composition, residency and biogeographic patterns. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 58, 173–186. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714\(03\)00073-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(03)00073-8)
- Griffiths, S. P., West, R. J., & Davis, A. R. (2003). Effects of intertidal elevation on the rockpool ichthyofaunas of temperate Australia. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 68, 197–204. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EBF1.0000003870.76842.d0>
- Grossman, G. D. (1982). Dynamics and organization of a rocky intertidal fish assemblage: the persistence and resilience of taxocene structure. *The American Naturalist*, 119, 611–637. <https://doi.org/10.1086/283939>
- Hernández, C. E., Neill, P. E., Pulgar, J. M., Ojeda, F. P., & Bozinovic, F. (2002). Water temperature fluctuations and territoriality in the intertidal zone: two possible explanations for the elevational distribution of body size in *Graus nigr*. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 61, 472–488. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfbi.2002.2054>
- HilleRisLambers, J., Adler, P. B., Harpole, W. S., Levine, J. M., & Mayfield, M. M. (2012). Rethinking community assembly through the lens of coexistence theory. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 43, 227–248. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160411>
- Huggett, J., & Griffiths, C. (1986). Some relationships between elevation, physico-chemical variables and biota of intertidal rock pools. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 29, 189–197. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps029189>
- Legrand, E., Riera, P., Pouliquen, L., Bohner, O., Cariou, T., & Martin, S. (2018). Ecological characterization of intertidal rockpools: seasonal and diurnal monitoring of physico-chemical parameters. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 17, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2017.11.003>
- Macieira, R. M., & Joyeux, J. C. (2011). Distribution patterns of tidepool fishes on a tropical flat reef. *Fishery Bulletin*, 109, 305–315.
- Mahon, R., & Mahon, S. D. (1994). Structure and resilience of a tidepool fish assemblage at Barbados. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 41, 171–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02197843>
- Malard, L. A., McGuigan, K., & Riginos, C. (2016). Site fidelity, size, and morphology may differ by tidal position for an intertidal fish, *Bathygobius cocosensis* (Perciformes-Gobiidae), in Eastern Australia. *PeerJ*, 4, e2263. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2263>
- Marsh, B., Crowe, T. M., & Siegfried, W. R. (1978). Species richness and abundance of clinid fish (Teleostei: Clinidae) in intertidal rock pools. *Zoologica Africana*, 13, 283–291. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00445096.1978.11447629>
- Martins, G., Hawkins, S., Thompson, R., & Jenkins, S. (2007). Community structure and functioning in intertidal rock pools: effects of pool size and shore height at different successional stages. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 329, 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps329043>
- Metaxas, A., & Scheibling, R. (1993). Community structure and organization of tidepools. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 98, 187–198. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps098187>
- Miyazono, S., Aycock, J. N., Miranda, L. E., & Tietjen, T. E. (2010). Assemblage patterns of fish functional groups relative to habitat connectivity and conditions in floodplain lakes. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, 19, 578–585. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0633.2010.00438.x>
- Morris, S., & Taylor, A. C. (1983). Diurnal and seasonal variation in physico-chemical conditions within intertidal rock pools. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 17, 339–355. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7714\(83\)90026-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7714(83)90026-4)
- Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Pierre, L., O'Hara, B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P. et al. (2016). vegan: Community Ecology Package, R package version 2.4-0. In *R package version 2.2-1*. <http://vegan.r-forge.r-project.org>
- Ortega, J. C. G., Dias, R. M., Petry, A. C., Oliveira, E. F., & Agostinho, A. A. (2015). Spatio-temporal organization patterns in the fish assemblages of a Neotropical floodplain. *Hydrobiologia*, 745, 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2089-9>
- Smallhorn-West, P., Bridge, T., Munday, P., & Jones, G. (2017). Habitat morphology constrains the depth distribution and growth rate of a coral-associated reef fish. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 576, 43–53. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12226>
- White, G. E., & Brown, C. (2013). Site fidelity and homing behaviour in intertidal fishes. *Marine Biology*, 160, 1365–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2188-6>
- White, G. E., Hose, G. C., & Brown, C. (2015). Influence of rock-pool characteristics on the distribution and abundance of inter-tidal fishes. *Marine Ecology*, 36, 1332–1344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12232>
- Wiens, J. A. (1989). Spatial scaling in ecology. *Functional Ecology*, 3, 385. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2389612>
- Yoshiyama, R. M. (1981). Distribution and abundance patterns of rocky intertidal fishes in central California. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 6, 315–332. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00005760>
- Zander, C. D., Nieder, J., & Martin, K. (1999). Vertical distribution patterns. In *Intertidal fishes* (pp. 26–53). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012356040-7/50004-4>
- Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N. J., Saveliev, A. A., & Smith, G. M. (2009). Zero-truncated and zero-inflated models for count data. In *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R. statistics for Biology and Health*. New York: Springer. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-0-387-87458-6_11 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-87458-6_11