



Odontoestomatología

ISSN: 0797-0374

ISSN: 1688-9339

Facultad de Odontología - Universidad de la República

Mancia, Brenda Lisseth Pineda; Rezende, Liliana Vicente Melo de Lucas; Tabata, Lucas Fernando; Garcia, Fernanda Cristina Pimentel; Ribeiro, Ana Paula Dias

Tratamiento de caries relacionada a radiación: una revisión de literatura

Odontoestomatología, vol. XXIV, no. 39, e310, 2022

Facultad de Odontología - Universidad de la República

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22592/ode2022n39e310>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=479672174010>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

Radiation-related caries treatment: a literature review

Tratamiento de caries relacionada a radiación: una revisión de literatura

Tratamento de cárie relacionada à radiação: uma revisão de literatura

Brenda Lisseth Pineda Mancia¹,  0000-0002-9243-381X
Liliana Vicente Melo de Lucas Rezende¹,  0000-0003-0280-4259
Lucas Fernando Tabata¹,  0000-0002-3470-5290
Fernanda Cristina Pimentel Garcia¹,  0000-0002-3709-1212
Ana Paula Dias Ribeiro¹,  0000-0003-0840-4205



DOI: 10.22592/ode2022n39e310

Abstract

Radiation-related caries are a frequent late complication caused by the direct and indirect effects of head and neck cancer radiotherapy. This study aimed to review and analyze the literature on managing radiation-related caries, restorative materials, treatment failures, and treatment protocols. A search was conducted in Pubmed, Lilacs, and Web of Science by three independent reviewers, and inclusion and exclusion criteria were used for paper selection. According to clinical studies and literature reviews, the most used materials are conventional glass-ionomer cement, resin-modified glass-ionomer cement, and composite resin with fluoride applications. More studies are needed to determine the best treatment, including cavity preparation technique and restorative material with better results. We suggest conducting studies comparing various adhesive systems, fluoride concentrations, and root dentin restorations.

Keywords: radiotherapy, dental caries, head and neck cancer.

¹Postgraduate Program in Dentistry, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, DF. 1. drapinedamancia@gmail.com

Received on: 25/08/2021 - Accepted on: 30/11/2021

Resumen

Caries relacionada a radiación es una complicación tardía frecuente de la radioterapia de cáncer de cabeza y cuello, ocasionada por efectos directos e indirectos de la radioterapia. El objetivo del presente trabajo es realizar una revisión y analizar literatura sobre el manejo de caries relacionada a radiación, materiales usados, fallas en el tratamiento y protocolo con mejores resultados; tres revisores independientes realizaron una búsqueda en diferentes bases de datos: PubMed, Lilacs y Web of Science, determinando criterios de inclusión y exclusión para la selección. Estudios clínicos y revisiones indicaron que los materiales más usados son cemento ionómero de vidrio convencional, cemento ionómero de vidrio modificado con resina y resina compuesta con aplicaciones de flúor. Son necesarios más estudios para definir el mejor tratamiento que incluya técnica de preparación de la cavidad y material restaurador con mejores resultados. Se recomienda realizar estudios comparando diferentes sistemas adhesivos, concentraciones de flúor y restauraciones en dentina radicular.

Palabras clave: Radioterapia, Caries dental, Cáncer de cabeza y cuello

Introduction

Radiotherapy for head and neck cancer is commonly associated with acute and late complications in healthy tissues located in the irradiated area. Common acute oral complications include mucositis, dysphagia, xerostomia, tender or painful soft tissues, and fungal infections.^(1,2) Other late complications include trismus, hyposalivation, osteoradionecrosis, changes in dental structures, and radiation-related caries.⁽²⁻⁴⁾ Radiation-related caries affect approximately 37% of irradiated head and neck cancer patients: it appears between 3 and 12 months after

Resumo

Cárie relacionada à radiação é uma complicação tardia frequente da radioterapia de câncer de cabeça e pescoço, ocasionada por efeitos diretos e indiretos da radioterapia. O objetivo do presente trabalho é realizar uma revisão e analisar literatura sobre o tratamento de cárie relacionada à radiação, materiais usados, falhas no tratamento e manejo com melhores resultados; foi realizada uma busca em diferentes bases de dados: PubMed, Lilacs e Web Of Science, por três revisores independentes, usando critérios de inclusão e exclusão. Estudos clínicos e revisões de literatura indicam que os materiais mais usados são cimento de ionômero de vidro convencional, cimento de ionômero de vidro modificado com resina e resina composta com aplicações de flúor. Mais estudos são necessários para definir o melhor tratamento que inclua a técnica de preparo cavitário e material restaurador com melhores resultados. Recomenda-se a realização de estudos comparando diferentes sistemas adesivos, concentrações de flúor e restaurações em dentina radicular.

Palavras-chave: Radioterapia, Cárie dental, Câncer de cabeça e pescoço.

treatment is complete and is considered a late complication.⁽⁵⁾ Radiation-related caries is caused by a combination of the indirect effects of radiotherapy, such as damage to the salivary glands that modify the oral flora and reduce remineralization, damage to the temporomandibular joint and masticatory muscles causing trismus, and damage to the mucous membranes, which causes mucositis and limits adequate oral hygiene. There are also direct consequences on tooth structure,^(3,5) such as changes in microhardness, chemical composition, and micromorphology of enamel and dentin,^(6,7) predisposing patients to an increased

risk of caries. It differs from conventional caries because it develops rapidly, is highly destructive, and is mostly painless.^(3,8-10) It mainly affects the root area near the cemento-enamel junction⁽⁴⁾ and includes root-dentin caries.⁽¹¹⁾

Restorative treatments for this type of caries are compromised by the harmful effect of radiotherapy on the bonding strength of the materials to enamel and dentin.^(12,13) This entails ineffective adhesion between the restoration and the dental substrate, mainly after high doses of radiotherapy.

Objective

This study aimed to review and analyze the literature on managing radiation-related caries, restorative materials, treatment failures, and treatment protocols with the best clinical outcomes.

Methods

An advanced search was conducted in PubMed, LILACS, and Web of Science databases using the following keywords in English, Spanish, and Portuguese: “radiation-related caries,” “radiotherapy,” “ionizing radiation,” “permanent dental restoration,” “composite resins,” “glass-ionomer cements,” “modified glass-ionomer cement,”

“conventional glass-ionomer cement,” and “direct restoration.” The literature search was conducted from April to June 2021. The studies included fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: patients with head cancer treated with radiotherapy and treated for caries lesions, patients with any direct restoration with or without fluoride, clinical studies, randomized clinical trials, case-control, cohort, reviews without follow-up period restrictions. Exclusion criteria: reviews, letters, opinions, editorials, books, book chapters, in vitro or in situ studies, and other design studies different from those described in the inclusion criteria, and studies written in non-Latin script.

Development

We found the following studies on managing radiation-related caries: seven clinical studies, one case report, two systematic reviews, and one narrative review. One case report was excluded because it referred to indirect restorations, and one clinical study was excluded because it was written in non-Latin script. The studies included were in English, all available in full text and mainly in PubMed (Table 1).

Table 1: Main methodological data obtained from the studies on treating radiation-related caries.

Author	Country	Year	Type of study	Materials analyzed	Was fluoride used?	Period
Wood et al. ⁽¹⁴⁾	Canada	1993	NRS	GIC and AG	Yes	2
McCombe et al. ⁽¹⁵⁾	Canada	2002	NRS	GIC, RMGIC, and CR	Yes	2
Hu et al. ⁽¹⁶⁾	China	2002	NRS	GIC	No	2
Haveman et al. ⁽¹⁷⁾	USA	2003	NRS	RMGIC, and AG	Yes	2
Hu et al. ⁽¹⁸⁾	China	2005	NRS	GIC	No	2
De Moor et al. ⁽¹⁹⁾	Belgium	2011	NRS	GIC, RMGIC, CR	Yes	2
Gupta et al. ⁽²⁰⁾	India	2015	SR			2
Palmier et al. ⁽²¹⁾	Brazil	2020	NR			
Palmier et al. ⁽²²⁾	Brazil	2021	SR			

NRS: non-randomized study; SR: systematic review; NR: narrative review; GIC: glass-ionomer cement; AG: amalgam; RMGIC: resin-modified glass-ionomer cement, ART: atraumatic restorative treatment.

The oldest study was conducted in 1993 by Wood et al.⁽¹⁴⁾ They clinically compared VIC and AG restorations in the treatment of Class V caries in xerostomic patients with head and neck cancer. They divided the patients into fluoride users and non-fluoride users. They found that GIC failed, and AG restorations did not in patients using a slightly acidic sodium fluoride gel (pH 5.8) daily. In patients who stopped using topical fluoride as indicated, GIC restorations did not fail, but AG restorations did. The mean time to loss of restoration for both materials was 8.5 months.

In a 2002 study, Mc Comb et al.⁽¹⁵⁾ clinically compared GIC, RMGIC, and CR + conventional two-step adhesive system restorations to treat root caries in patients treated with radiotherapy for head and neck cancer. Each patient underwent a restoration with each of these materials. In addition, the daily use of a pH-neutral sodium fluoride gel in customized trays was indicated. Follow-up appointments were made after 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, and the restorations were examined for loss of material, marginal integrity, and recurrent caries at the restoration margin. No statistical differences were found between GIC and RMGIC, but statistical differences were found between these materials and CR in each recovery period. Reductions in recurrent caries in GIC and RMGIC restorations compared to CR were greater than 80% in patients using topical fluoride supplementation. The authors concluded that this clinical comparison provided evidence of the therapeutic efficacy of fluoride materials in reducing recurrent caries regardless of the material. They also concluded that fluoride-releasing restorative materials may offer a different clinical approach to the overall disease management of high-caries-risk patients.

In 2002, Hu et al. conducted a clinical study on 15 adult patients with radiation-related caries. They used two high-viscosity GICs in each patient to restore 146 caries lesions in exposed dentin and 93 in cementum areas. The restorations were monitored directly for two years to detect retention, secondary caries, anatomical shape, marginal

integrity, marginal discoloration, and surface texture, and all patients received oral hygiene education. The authors found that placing highly viscous GIC in high-caries-risk patients seemed to prevent secondary caries, even when the restorations were subsequently lost.⁽¹⁶⁾

In 2003, Haveman et al. conducted a clinical study comparing RMGIC and AG restorations. They showed that fluoride-releasing materials could reduce caries around restorations in patients who do not use topical fluoride regularly.⁽¹⁷⁾

In 2005, Hu et al. conducted a clinical study restoring radiation-related caries at the root surface: GIC was placed in 72 conventional and 74 atraumatic restorative treatment (ART) preparations. Two professionals evaluated the restorations after 6, 12, and 24 months for retention, marginal defects and surface wear, and recurrent caries. They concluded that using hand instruments and the ART method was an equally effective alternative to conventional rotary instrumentation for cavity preparation. More extensive restorations had greater failure rates, usually due to loosening.⁽¹⁸⁾

In 2011, De Moor et al. evaluated the clinical performance of adhesive materials in Class V cavities in patients with head and neck cancer in terms of marginal adaptation, anatomical shape, and recurrent caries. Thirty-five adult patients with radiation-related caries with three or more root caries lesions in the same arch were selected. Each patient was treated with a restoration with GIC, RMGIC, CR + conventional 3-step adhesive system. Patients were instructed to use 1% neutral sodium fluoride gel in custom trays daily. After 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, the restorations were examined for loss of material, marginal integrity, and recurrent caries. The authors concluded that GIC is an optimal option to treat radiation-related root caries since its use is associated with protection against secondary caries (even after the loss of filling material). However, adaptation and disintegration are more marked in glass-ionomer cements than in composite

resins. If glass ionomer cements fail, the defective restorative materials can be replaced, preferably preserving the remains of the glass-ionomer filling and restoring the tooth with a sandwich technique (with a composite covering the remains of the glass-ionomer cement).⁽¹⁹⁾

In 2015, a systematic review was published by Gupta et al. on the treatment and prevention of radiation-related caries. They state that, although this type of caries occurs for several reasons, hyposalivation remains the primary cause. Therefore, radiation-related caries can be prevented by preserving the salivary glands, or through prevention, by providing comprehensive dental care before, during, and after radiotherapy.⁽²⁰⁾

In 2020, Palmier et al. wrote a narrative review on current diagnostic, prognostic, and management paradigms with clinical relevance. They found that several factors, such as xerostomia and dietary changes, may influence the development of radiation-related caries. CR with fluoride application appears to be the ideal option to manage radiation-related caries.⁽²¹⁾ The most recent study found in the literature is a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Palmier et al. in 2021 on the impact of head and neck radiotherapy on the longevity of adhesive restorations, which includes the studies above.^(14-16,19) They concluded that head and neck radiotherapy affects the longevity of dental adhesive, and better survival rates were observed for CR restorations compared to GIC and RMGIC restorations. They also found that fluoride application showed a positive result in CR restorations and that CR restorations associated with fluoride gel applications appear to be the best method to restore Class V lesions in patients treated with head and neck radiotherapy.⁽²²⁾

Discussion

Studies show that the direct materials used to restore radiation-related caries are AG, CR, GIC, and RMGIC.^(14-16, 19, 21, 22) GIC has the lowest success rate. Regarding the cavity restoration technique, only one study compared the conventional tech-

nique and ART and found no differences.⁽¹⁸⁾

Radiotherapy may induce a reduction in enamel crystallinity and enlarged crystals, contributing to reduced enamel wear resistance.⁽⁷⁾ Chemical alterations in dentin can occur during radiotherapy since its chemical components reorganize, thus altering the structures. This leads to a change in the structural organization of collagen.⁽¹³⁾ This causes the decarboxylation of the carboxylate side bonds in collagen, and this bond is responsible for the interaction of the mineral matrix and hydroxyapatite crystals.⁽²³⁾ Morphologically, a disorganized dentin structure can be observed after radiotherapy using a scanning electron microscope. This can be associated with the reorganization of the collagen structure and compromises the dentin's mechanical and adhesive properties.⁽¹³⁾ Performing direct restorations on patients undergoing radiotherapy is important since the literature shows that restorations can be compromised by the harmful effect of ionizing radiation on the bond strength to enamel and dentin, affecting the formation of the hybrid layer.^(12,13)

CR restorations with fluoride gel applications seem to be a suitable alternative to restore Class V lesions in patients who have undergone radiotherapy.⁽²²⁾ As irradiated patients present a high risk of caries, fluoride is recommended as it can reduce recurrent caries^(16,17) at defined specific concentrations and use instructions. The number of clinical studies is too small to determine the best management and protocol to follow to obtain better long-term results. Studies including CR as a restorative material did not analyze several adhesive systems.

The most analyzed failures in the studies were loss of material, marginal integrity, and recurrent caries at the restoration margin. Patient motivation, adequate plaque control, stimulation of salivary flow, and fluoride are essential to reduce the incidence of radiation-related caries.⁽²⁰⁾ The follow-up period of the studies was two years, so long-term clinical studies are needed. These lesions most typically appear on roots. As

the lesion progresses rapidly and salivary fluid decreases, the condition can advance and affect the root.⁽¹¹⁾ In 2018, Velo et al. demonstrated that irradiated root dentin was less mineralized in vitro study. This could have decreased the substrate's permeability and solubility⁽¹¹⁾ and consequently affected the adhesion of restorative materials.

Conclusions

Based on the literature, it is concluded that radiation-related caries is commonly restored with

conventional glass-ionomer cement, resin-modified glass-ionomer cement, and composite resin with fluoride applications. More studies are needed to determine the best treatment, including cavity preparation technique and restorative material with better results. We suggest conducting studies comparing various adhesive systems, fluoride concentrations, and root dentin restorations.

References

1. Vissink A, Burlage FR, Spijkervet FK, Jansma J, Coppes RP. Prevention and treatment of the consequences of head and neck radiotherapy. Critical reviews in oral biology and medicine: an official publication of the American Association of Oral Biologists. 2003;14 (3):213-225
2. Jham BC, da Silva Freire AR. Oral complications of radiotherapy in the head and neck. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2006;72(5):704-8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1808-8694\(15\)31029-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1808-8694(15)31029-6)
3. Kielbassa AM, Hinkelbein W, Hellwig E, Meyer-Luckel H. Radiation-related damage to dentition. The Lancet Oncology. 2006;7(4):326-35. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70658-1. PubMed PMID: 16574548
4. Jawad H, Hodson NA, Nixon PJ. A review of dental treatment of head and neck cancer patients, before, during and after radiotherapy: part 1. Br Dent J. 2015;218(2):65-8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.28>
5. Moore C, Conor McListera C, Cardwell C, O'Neill C, Donnelly M, Gerald McKenna. Dental caries following radiotherapy for head and neck cancer: A systematic review. Oral Oncology. 2020; 104484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2019.104484>
6. Lieshout HF, Bots CP. The effect of radiotherapy on dental hard tissue—a systematic review. Clin Oral Investig. 2014; 18(1):17-24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-013-1034-z>
7. Qing P, Huang S, Gao S, Qian L, Yu H. Effect of gamma irradiation on the wear behaviour of human tooth enamel. Scientific reports. 2015 5:11568. doi:10.1038/srep11568
8. Jansma J, Vissink A, Gravenmade EJ, Visch LL, Fidler V, Retief DH. In vivo study on the prevention of postradiation caries. Caries Res. 1989;23(3):172-8. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000261173>
9. Walker MP, Wichman B, Cheng AL, Coster J, Williams KB. Impact of Radiotherapy Dose on Dentition Breakdown in Head and Neck Cancer Patients. Practical radiation oncology. 2011;1(3):142-8. doi: 10.1016/j.prro.2011.03.003.
10. Abdalla R, Omar A, Eid K. Detecting demineralization of enamel and cementum after gamma irradiation using radiographic densitometry. Radiation and environmental biophysics. 2018;57(3):293-9. doi: 10.1007/s00411-018-0749-2. PubMed PMID: 29948141

11. Velo MMAC, Farha ALH, Santos SSP, Shiota A, Sansavino SZ, Souza AT, Honório HM, Wang L. Radiotherapy alters the composition, structural and mechanical properties of root dentin in vitro. *Clinical Oral Investigations* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-018-2373-6>
12. Naves LZ, Novais VR, Armstrong SR, Correr-Sobrinho L, Soares CJ. Effect of gamma radiation on bonding to human enamel and dentin. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012 20:2873–2878. DOI 10.1007/s00520-012-1414-y
13. Rodrigues RB, Soares CJ, Junior PCS, Lara VC, Arana-Chavez VE, Novais VR. Influence of radiotherapy on the dentin properties and bond strength. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2017. doi: 10.1007/s00784-017-2165-4.
14. Wood RE, Maxymiw WG, McComb D. A clinical comparison of glass ionomer (polyalkenoate) and silver amalgam restorations in the treatment of Class 5 caries in xerostomic head and neck cancer patients. *Operative Dentistry*. 1993;18(3):94-102.
15. McComb D, Erickson RL, Maxymiw WG & Wood RE. A Clinical Comparison of Glass Ionomer, Resin-Modified Glass Ionomer and Resin Composite Restorations in the Treatment of Cervical Caries in Xerostomic Head and Neck Radiation Patients. *Operative Dentistry*, 2002; 27, 430-437.
16. Haveman CW, Summitt JB, Burgess JO, Carlson K. Three restorative materials and topical fluoride gel used in xerostomic patients: a clinical comparison. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2003;134(2):177-84. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2003.0131.
17. Hu JY, Li YQ, Smales RJ, Yip KHK. Restoration of teeth with more-viscous glass ionomer cements following radiation-induced caries. *International Dental Journal*. 2002; 52, 445-448.
18. Hu JY, Chen XC, Li YQ, Smales RJ, Yip KH. Radiation-induced root surface caries restored with glass-ionomer cement placed in conventional and ART cavity preparations: results at two years. *Aust Dent J*. 2005;50(3):186-90. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.2005.tb00359.x.
19. De Moor RJG, Stassen IG, Veldt YV, Torbeyns D, Hommez GMG. Two-year clinical performance of glass ionomer and resin composite restorations in xerostomic head- and neck-irradiated cancer patients. *Clin Oral Invest*. 2011;15:31–38 DOI 10.1007/s00784-009-0355-4
20. Gupta N, Pal M, Rawat S, Mandeep S Grewal MS, Garg H, Chauhan D, Ahlawat P, et al. Radiation-induced dental caries, prevention and treatment - A systematic review. *Natl J Maxillofac Surg*. Jul-Dec 2015;6(2):160-6. doi: 10.4103/0975-5950.183870.
21. Palmier NR, Migliorati CA, Prado-Ribeiro AC Querido de Oliveira MC, Vechiato Filho AJV, et al. Radiation-related caries: current diagnostic, prognostic, and management paradigms. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2020;130(1):52-62. doi: 10.1016/j.oooo.2020.04.003.
22. Palmier NR, Troconis CCM, Normando AGC, Guerra ENS, Araújo ALD, Arboleda LPA, Fonsêca JM, Paglioni MP, Gomes-Silva W, Vechiato Filho AJ, González-Arriagada WA, Leme AFP, Prado-Ribeiro AC, Brandão TB, Goes MF, Lopes MJ, Santos-Silva AR. Impact of head and neck radiotherapy on the longevity of dental adhesive restorations: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2021;11;S0022-3913(21)00068-8.
23. Franzel W, Gerlach R, Hein HJ, Schaller HG. Effect of tumor therapeutic irradiation on the mechanical properties of teeth tissue. *Z Med Phys*. 2006;16(2):148-54. <https://doi.org/10.1078/0939-3889-00307>

Conflict of interest declaration:

The authors have no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authorship contribution

1. Conception and design of study
2. Acquisition of data
3. Data analysis
4. Discussion of results
5. Drafting of the manuscript
6. Approval of the final version of the manuscript

BLPM has contributed in: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

LVMLR has contributed in: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

LFT has contributed in: 1, 4, 5, 6.

FPCG has contributed in: 1, 4, 5, 6.

APDR has contributed in: 1, 3, 4, 5, 6.

Acceptance note:

This article was approved by the journal's editor, MSc Dr. Vanesa Pereira-Prado.