



Maderas. Ciencia y tecnología

ISSN: 0717-3644

ISSN: 0718-221X

Universidad del Bío-Bío

Komán, Szabolcs

Quality characteristics of the selected variant of *Paulownia tomentosa* (Robust4) wood cultivated in Hungary

Maderas. Ciencia y tecnología, vol. 25, 01, 2023

Universidad del Bío-Bío

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-221x2023000100101>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=48575202001>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SELECTED VARIANT OF *Paulownia tomentosa* (ROBUST4) WOOD CULTIVATED IN HUNGARY

Szabolcs Komán^{1,*}

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9511-0277>

ABSTRACT

Besides the continuously increasing demand for wood, the significant increase in its price also contributes to the increasingly broader use of fast-growing wood species and cultivars. Newer variants are continue to be cross-bred as a result. In case of a new variant within a species, it is questionable how it would adapt to a particular climatic environment and the quality of wood it would yield. The characteristics of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Robust4) wood obtained from Hungarian plantations of are generally identical to those of the *Paulownia tomentosa*. The value of the air dry density - as typically for paulownia species- are very low i.e. 273 kg/m³. Its strength values (compressive strength: 9,6 MPa; tensile strength: 39,3 MPa; bending strength: 41,7 MPa; modulus of elasticity: 4116 MPa; impact bending strength: 1,4 J/cm²) also reach, and in some cases, exceed those of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud. and the *Paulownia Clone in vitro 112* variant. Based on these, we can expect the same wood quality from the Robust4 version as from the other paulownia variants.

Keywords: Mechanical properties, *Paulownia tomentosa*, Robust4, shrinkage, swelling, wood density.

INTRODUCTION

The current gap between timber demand and supply in the market generates an increasing need for fast-growing species. The various paulownia species are important examples for such.

Nowadays, they are in widespread cultivation in many plantations worldwide, and they have also been cross-bred, resulting in a number of inter-species hybrids with even greater growth dynamics. The rapid growth of paulownia and its resulting high CO₂ absorption is a further advantage. The use of the timber of paulownia species cultivated in short cycles is limited by the log size, which does not permit the production of full size sawntimber and hence, solid wood components (Kozakiewicz *et al.* 2020). Of late, research into the medical properties of paulownia has begun (He *et al.* 2016). In China, species of the paulownia family (*Paulowniaceae*) have been widely used in traditional medicine to treat primarily contagious diseases such as gonorrhea and erysipelas (Adach *et al.* 2021). The ethanol production from the hemicellulosic fraction is another area subject to current research (Domínguez *et al.* 2021). Given the economic significance of the use of paulownia sp. the economic significance of its use, its current and potential prospects and the development of the biotechnological approach to its cultivation are based on the application of micro-breeding technology, which helps the introduction of paulownia in certain countries (Turganova *et al.* 2021). Over the recent decades, a number of laboratories researched paulownia sp. aiming to expand the technology of microclonal reproduction, the study of organogenesis processes, and the elaboration of the protocols of genetic transformation. (Yadav *et al.* 2013). Its cultivation opportunities are being sought in regions referred to as the limits of optimal growth (Icka *et al.* 2016).

Paulownia is one of the fastest growing trees globally, but its wood also known to have one of the lowest density. The use of wood is influenced by several factors, with density standing out as one of the critical parameters. In terms of wood industrial use, air-dry density is a dominant parameter, which for paulownia is 250 kg/m³ to 300 kg/m³. A determination of wood-chip bulk density, and from the perspective of pulp production, or particleboard manufacture the determination of basic density may be very important.

¹University of Sopron. Faculty of Wood Engineering and Creative Industries. Institute of Wood Technology and Technical Sciences. Sopron, Hungary.

*Corresponding author: koman.szabolcs@uni-sopron.hu

Received: 05.08.2021 Accepted: 21.09.2022

On a global scale, paulownias are used among others for the production of veneer, plywood, furniture, paper and cellulose, packaging materials, modelling material, musical instruments, ships, surfboards, chipboard, insulating materials and decorative objects (Clad and Pommer 1980, Bergmann 1998, Rai *et al.* 2000).

Over the recent decade, interest in paulownias increased significantly in Europe. However, the available scientific publications do not give a complete picture of the properties of the wood. Furthermore, for the various cross-bed variants, reference is often made to the properties of the *Paulownia tomentosa*. Even less information is available on the wood of specific plantations and of variants that grow logs of the industrial size. There are as yet not enough research results available in sufficient depth and volume on what wood quality (the value and variability of density and different physical-mechanical properties) this species, indigenous to subtropical climate zones, would yield under temperate conditions. The objective of the present study is to describe the properties of the wood of the selected paulownia variant Robust4, cultivated in Hungary and already recognised by the state as a cultivar.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The specimens *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud, used for the study were cut from three trunks, each four years of age and supplied by plantation (H-7812 Garé). The samples were felled at a section 1 m below breast height. From the examined trunk the following properties were defined based on the relevant standards: density (DIN 68364 (2003)), swelling and shrinkage (DIN 52184 (1979)), compression strength (DIN 52185 (1976)), tensile strength (DIN 52188 (1979)), static bending strength (DIN 52186 (1978)), modulus of elasticity (DIN 68364 (2003)), and impact bending strength (DIN 52189-1 (1981)). The tests were carried out on specimens stored under normal climate conditions ($T=20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$; $\text{RH}=65\text{ }\%$) until equilibrium moisture was reached. The strength tests were performed using the Instron 4208 universal material tester (Norwood, USA) and Charpy impact tester (Leominster, England). 25 pcs of test samples were used in each and every examination cases.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As for the appearance of Robust4, its heartwood has an observable colour. The heartwood is light yellowish, pink or greyish-brown, while the sapwood has a light yellowish hue. The pith is 2-3 centimetres wide and is divided into chambers inside the log. The lengths of these chambers vary with the height of the trunk, being between 9-25 cm along the section examined (Figure 1).




Figure 1: (a) The cross and (b) Longitudinal section of the pith.

The average breast height diameter was 19,3 cm, with an average annual growth of 2,1 cm. Its bark is a few millimetres thick, which is a very advantageous feature in terms of combustion technology. Generally the ash content of the bark is several multiples of that of the wood (Komán 2018, Carrión-Prieto *et al.* 2017, Nosek *et al.* 2016).

Immediately after harvesting the average moisture content (wet basis) is very high, i.e. 63 %. This corresponds in terms of order of magnitude to that of the Shan Tong variety, published by Kozakiewicz *et al.* (2020). However, it is higher than for other fast-growing species - e.g. poplars (Vusić *et al.* 2019, Eimil-Fraga *et al.* 2019).

The test results were primarily compared to those of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud. (Koman *et al.* 2017) and the *Paulownia Clone in vitro 112* hybrid (Koman and Feher 2020).

The density of *Paulownia tomentosa* Robust4, i.e. 273 kg/m³ is – similarly to that of other paulownia variants (Senelwa and Sims 1999, Flynn and Holder 2001, Minato *et al.* 2005, Kalaycioglu *et al.* 2005, Akyildiz and Kol 2010, Kiaei 2013, Hassankhani *et al.* 2015, Sedlar *et al.* 2020) is very low (Table 1). Its air dry density, an important parameter for industrial use, indicates that the *Paulownia tomentosa* Robust4 is one of the lightest woods of the world. In comparison to samples of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud. also cultivated in Hungary, it is 9 % lower, while it is 15 % higher than that of *Paulownia Clone in vitro 112*. Similar proportions can be observed in case of oven dry and basic density, which are important to know, in regards determination of wood-chip bulk density, pulp production, or particleboard manufacture.

Table 1: Comparison of the obtained results of wood density tests with data from other studies.

Density (kg/m ³)	<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> (Thunb.) Steud. (Koman <i>et al.</i> 2017)	<i>Paulownia Clone in vitro</i> <i>112</i> (Koman and Feher 2020)	<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> Robust4			
	Average	Average	Average	Min	Max	St dev
Air dry (u=12 %)	300	232	273	208	352	37
Oven dry (u=0 %)	275	215	251	179	323	32
Basic	264	198	229	179	298	31

u - moisture content of wood (%) basic density - the ratio between the oven-dry mass of a wood sample and its green volume

The planks were dried using the drying schedules used for the similarly low-density wood of poplar species. The wood has very favourable shrinkage values (Table 2). In terms of its dimensional stability, it is among the best wood species. Compared to the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud., its dimensional stability is somewhat more moderate while being practically identical to that of the other variant. Both the shrinkage and swelling values are of the same order of magnitude as the results published by Akyildiz and Kol (2010). Shrinkage anisotropy, on the other hand, exceeds 2.

Table 2: Comparison of the obtained results of wood shrinkage and swelling tests with data from other studies.

		<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> (Thunb.) Steud. ¹	<i>Paulownia Clone in vitro 112</i> ²	<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> Robust4			
				Average	Min	Max	St dev
Shrinkage (%)	Longitudinal	0,7	0,6	0,4	0,1	1,3	0,4
	Radial	2,2	3,2	2,0	1,2	3,2	0,4
	Tangential	3,7	5,0	5,8	3,8	7,4	0,9
	Volumetric	6,3	8,5	8,1	4,8	11,4	1,3
Swelling (%)	Longitudinal	0,7	-	0,4	0,1	1,3	0,4
	Radial	2,2	-	2,1	1,2	3,3	0,4
	Tangential	3,9	-	6,1	3,9	8,0	1,0
	Volumetric	6,9	-	8,8	5,1	12,8	1,5

In accordance with the low density of the wood, the strength values are likewise moderate, not following the order observable for density in each case (Figure 2, Figure 3). The smallest deviation occurs with compressive strength. The value of 19,6 MPa equals that of the *Paulownia Clone in vitro 112*, nearly 11 % lower than the corresponding value of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud. The value of the tensile strength, however, at 39,3 MPa, is 18 % higher in comparison to the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) SteudFste. As for the bending strength, the value of 41,7 MPa obtained is identical to the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud., being 29 % higher than that of the other variant. The modulus of elasticity (MOE) exceeds the value of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud. and the other variant by 18 % and 8 % respectively. Of the dynamic strength properties, the 1,4 J/cm² value of the impact bending strength is about 14 % lower than the corres-

ponding value of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud. examined earlier. The strength values obtained, with the exception of compressive strength are nearly the same to the values found in the relevant literature (Shim 1948, Barton *et al.* 2007, Akyildiz and Kol 2010).




Figure 2: Compressive strength, tensile strength and modulus of elasticity of the examined paulownias.




Figure 3: Impact bending strength and modulus of elasticity of the examined paulownias.

CONCLUSIONS

In the case of paulownias, it remains a question whether they can remain under the given climatic conditions— primarily due to sub-zero temperatures – and what growth and wood quality they would yield. From this perspective, the *Paulownia tomentosa* Robust4 is a selected cultivar that has already been tested under Hungarian climatic conditions, which has already become a cultivar recognised by the state. The properties of its wood are identical to those of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud, based on which it can be concluded that the region’s climatic conditions do not influence the wood quality. Although its density is somewhat lower, its strength properties nevertheless show no significant deviation from the those of the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud., even exceeding them in certain cases, as well as those of the *Paulownia Clone in vitro 112*. Based on the results, the *Paulownia tomentosa* Robust4 grown wood of the same quality as the *Paulownia tomentosa* (Thunb.) Steud., consequently it can supply the same spectrum of application.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Ildikó Kanyó the owner and breeder of Robust4 for her contribution in the cutting and transporting the trunks to the laboratory.

REFERENCES

- Adach, W.; Żuchowski, J.; Moniuszko-Szajwaj, B.; Szumacher-Strabel, M.; Stochmal, A.; Olas, B.; Cieslak, A. 2021.** In vitro antiplatelet activity of extract and its fractions of Paulownia Clone in Vitro 112 leaves. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 137: e111301 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111301>
- Akyildiz, M.H.; Kol, S.H. 2010.** Some technological properties and uses of paulownia (*Paulownia tomentosa* Steud.) wood. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 31: 351-355. http://www.jeb.co.in/journal_issues/201005_may10/paper_21.pdf
- Barton, I.L.; Nicholas I.D.; Ecroyd, C.E. 2007.** *Paulownia*. Forest Research Bulletin no. 231. New Zealand Forest Research Institute. 71pp. <https://www.nzffa.org.nz/system/assets/1710/scion-pubs-b231-paulownia.pdf>
- Bergmann, B.A. 1998.** Propagation method influences first year field survival and growth of Paulownia. *New Forests* 16(3): 251-264. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1006529622871>
- Carrión-Prieto, P.; Martín-Ramos, P.; Hernández-Navarro, S.; Sánchez-Sastre, L.; Marcos-Robles, J.; Martín-Gil, J. 2017.** Valorization of *Cistus ladanifer* and *Erica arborea* shrubs for fuel: Wood and bark thermal characterization. *Maderas. Ciencia y Tecnología* 19(4): 443-454. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-221X2017005000401>
- Clad, W.; Pommer, E. 1980.** Manufacture of particleboard from kiri (*Palownia tomentosa*, Fam. Scroup-hulariaceae). *Holz als Roh- und Werkstoff* 38:385-391.
- DIN. 1979.** Testing of wood - Determination of ultimate tensile stress parallel to grain. DIN 52188. 1979: Berlin, Germany. <https://www.din.de/de/mitwirken/normenausschuesse/nhm/wdc-beuth:din21:625263>
- DIN. 2003.** Properties of wood species - Density, modulus of elasticity and strength. DIN 68364. 2003: Berlin, Germany. <https://www.din.de/de/mitwirken/normenausschuesse/nhm/wdc-beuth:din21:62090580>
- DIN. 1978.** Testing of wood - Bending test. DIN. 52186. 1978: Berlin, Germany. <https://www.din.de/de/mitwirken/normenausschuesse/nhm/wdc-beuth:din21:619127>
- DIN. 1981.** Testing of wood - Determination of impact bending strength. DIN. 52189-1. 1981: Berlin, Germany. <https://www.din.de/de/mitwirken/normenausschuesse/nhm/wdc-beuth:din21:929019>
- DIN. 1976.** Testing of wood - Compression test parallel to grain. DIN. 52185. 1976: Berlin, Germany. <https://www.din.de/de/mitwirken/normenausschuesse/nhm/wdc-beuth:din21:596747>
- DIN. 1979.** Testing of wood; determination of swelling and shrinkage. DIN. 52184. 1979: Berlin, Germany. <https://www.din.de/de/mitwirken/normenausschuesse/nhm/wdc-beuth:din21:625145>
- Domínguez, E.; Río, P.G.; Romaní, A.; Garrote, G.; Domingues, L. 2021.** Hemicellulosic Bioethanol Production from Fast-Growing Paulownia Biomass. *Processes* 9(1): e173. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9010173>
- Eimil-Fraga, C.; Proupín-Castiñeiras, X.; Rodríguez-Añón, J.A.; Rodríguez-Soalleiro, R. 2019.** Effects of Shoot Size and Genotype on Energy Properties of Poplar Biomass in Short Rotation Crops. *Energies* 12(11): 2051. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12112051>
- Flynn, H.; Holder, C. 2001.** *Useful wood of the world*. Forest Products Society: Madison, WI., USA.
- Hassankhani, M.; Kord, B.; Pourpasha, M. 2015.** Empirical statistical model for predicting ood properties of Paulownia. Part 1: Physical and biometrical properties. *Maderas. Ciencia y Tecnología* 17(4): 919-930. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-221X2015005000080>
- He, T.; Vaidya, B.; Perry, Z.; Parajuli, P.; Joshee, N. 2016.** Paulownia as a Medicinal Tree: Traditional Uses and Current Advances. *European Journal of Medicinal Plants* 14(1): 1-15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/EJMP/2016/25170>

- Icka, P.; Damo, R.; Icka, E. 2016.** Paulownia Tomentosa, a Fast Growing Timber. *Annals of Valahia University of Târgoviște. Agriculture* 10(1): 14-19. <https://docplayer.net/38091223-Paulownia-tomentosa-a-fast-growing-timber.html>
- Kalaycioglu, H.; Deniz, I.; Hizioglu, S. 2005.** Some of the properties of particleboard made from paulownia. *Journal of Wood Science* 51(4): 410-414. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10086-004-0665-8>
- Kiaei, M. 2013.** Radial variation in wood static bending of naturally and plantation grown alder stems. *Cellulose Chemistry and Technology* 47(5-6): 339-344. [https://www.cellulosechemtechnol.ro/pdf/CCT5-6\(2013\)/p.339-344.pdf](https://www.cellulosechemtechnol.ro/pdf/CCT5-6(2013)/p.339-344.pdf)
- Komán, S. 2018.** Energy-related characteristics of Poplars and Black Locust. *BioResources* 13(2): 4323-4331. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15376/biores.13.2.4323-4331>
- Koman, S.; Feher, S. 2020.** Physical and mechanical properties of Paulownia clone in vitro 112. *European Journal of Wood and Wood Products* 78(2): 421-423. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00107-020-01497-x>
- Koman, S.; Feher, S.; Vityi, A. 2017.** Physical and mechanical properties of paulownia tomentosa wood planted in hungaria. *Wood Research* 62(2): 335-340. <http://www.woodresearch.sk/wr/201702/15.pdf>
- Kozakiewicz, P.; Laskowska, A.; Ciolek S. 2020.** A study of selected features of Shan Tong variety of plantation paulownia and its wood properties. *Annals of WULS, Forestry and Wood Technology* 111: 116-123. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0014.6904>
- Minato, K.; Ito, Y.; Sugawara, H. 2005.** Rationality of Kiri Wood (*Paulownia tomentosa*) in Traditional Uses. *Journal of the Society of Materials Science* 54(4): 361-364. <https://www.jsms.jp/kaishi/54/paper54-4-2.htm>
- Nosek, R.; Holubcik, M.; Jandacka, J. 2016.** The impact of bark content of wood biomass on biofuel properties. *BioResources* 11(1): 44-53. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15376/biores.11.1.44-53>
- Rai, A.K.; Singh, S.P.; Luxmi, C.; Savita, G. 2000.** Paulownia fortunei - A new fiber source for pulp and paper. *Indian Pulp and Paper Technical Association* 12(4): 51-56. <https://ippta.co/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/IPPTA-124-51-56-Paulownia-Fortunei-a.pdf>
- Sedlar, T.; Šefc, B.; Drvodelić, D.; Jambrekočić, B.; Kučinić, M.; Ištók, I. 2020.** Physical Properties of Juvenile Wood of Two Paulownia Hybrids. *Drvna industrija* 71(2): 179-184. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5552/drvind.2020.1964>
- Senelwa, K.; Sims R.E.H. 1999.** Fuel characteristics of short rotation forest biomass. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 17(2): 127-140. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534\(99\)00035-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(99)00035-5)
- Shim, C.S. 1948.** *The mechanical properties of Paulownia tomentosa and Paulownia Coreana in Korea.* Report of Forest Product Lab., Dept. of Forestry, Seoul Nat'l Univ. 1-20. <https://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/JAKO198200238219367.pdf>
- Turganova, R.; Djangalina, E.; Shadenova, E.; Kapytina, A.; Kamshybayeva, G. 2021.** Features of the introduction into in vitro culture and micropropagation of *Paulownia tomentosa*. *Reports* 2(336): 18-25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.32014/2021.2518-1483.25>
- Vusić, D.; Kajba, D.; Andrić, I.; Gavran, I.; Tomić, T.; Vusić, I.; Zečić, Ž. 2019.** Biomass Yield and Fuel Properties of Different Poplar SRC Clones. *Croatian Journal of Forest Engineering* 40(2): 231-238. <http://www.crojfe.com/archive/volume-40-no.2/biomass-yield-and-fuel-properties-of-different-poplar-src-clones/>
- Yadav, N.; Vaidya, B.; Henderson, K.; Lee, J.; Shewart, W.; Dhekney, S.; Joshee, N. 2013.** A Review of Paulownia Biotechnology: A Short Rotation, Fast Growing Multipurpose Bioenergy Tree. *American Journal of Plant Sciences* 4(11): 2070-2082. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2013.411259>