Austral Journal of Veterinary Sciences
ISSN: 0719-8000
ISSN: 0719-8132
australjvs@uach.cl
Universidad Austral de Chile
Chile

Interaction between zoonotic bacteria and free living amoebas. A new angle of an epidemiological polyhedron of public health importance?

Mella, C; Medina, G; Flores-Martin, S; Toledo, Z; Simaluiza, RJ; Pérez-Pérez, G; Fernández, H
Interaction between zoonotic bacteria and free living amoebas. A new angle of an epidemiological polyhedron of public health importance?
Austral Journal of Veterinary Sciences, vol. 48, no. 1, 2016
Universidad Austral de Chile, Chile
Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=501752373001

Interaction between zoonotic bacteria and free living amoebas. A new angle of an epidemiological polyhedron of public health importance?

Interacción entre bacterias zoonóticas y amebas de vida libre: ¿un nuevo ángulo de un poliedro epidemiológico de importancia en salud pública?

C Mella
Universidad Austral de Chile, Chile
G Medina
Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile
S Flores-Martin
Universidad Austral de Chile, Chile
Z Toledo
Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja, Ecuador
RJ Simaluiza
Universidad Técnica Particular de Loja, Ecuador
G Pérez-Pérez
New York University, Estados Unidos
H Fernández hfernand@uach.cl
Universidad Austral de Chile, Chile

Austral Journal of Veterinary Sciences, vol. 48, no. 1, 2016

Universidad Austral de Chile, Chile

Accepted: 28 May 2015

Redalyc: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=501752373001

Abstract: Since many years ago, several studies reported the endosymbiosis between bacteria species and free living amoebas. However, the mechanisms involved in the bacteria penetration and release from the amoeba are not clear. The free living amoebas especially Acanthamoeba castellanii are considered important bacteria predators, for that reason they have a significant role in the control of microbial populations in particular environments. However, some bacteria are capable to avoid the digestion from the amoeba and take advantage of this intimate relationship. A. castellanii is an ubiquitous organism present in aquatic and soil environments. Particularly in humid environments they are found sharing with different bacteria species, including those pathogen for humans transmitted by animals. The interaction between the bacteria and the amoebas may result in a close endosymbiotic relationship that allows the bacteria to survive inside the vacuoles of the protozoa for days or months. The purpose of this review is to describe the relevant aspects of the interaction between A. castellanii and different bacteria species, mostly those with relevance in public health and related with zoonosis.

Keywords: amoebas, Acanthamoeba, endosymbiosis, zoonotic bacteria.

Resumen: Desde hace varios años, diversos estudios se han abocado a estudiar la endosimbiosis entre especies bacterianas y amebas de vida libre, pero los eventos que conlleva esta interacción en relación con los mecanismos de ingreso y escape bacterianos no están del todo claros. Las amebas de vida libre, especialmente Acanthamoeba castellanii, son consideradas importantes depredadores bacterianos, por lo que tienen un significativo rol ambiental en el control de las comunidades microbianas. Sin embargo, diversas bacterias son capaces de evitar la digestión por parte del protozoo y beneficiarse de la relación con este. A. castellanii es un microorganismo ubicuo que se encuentra en ambientes acuáticos y terrestres. Estos nichos, particularmente los ambientes húmedos, los comparte con diferentes géneros bacterianos, entre los que se encuentran patógenos

para el ser humano, muchos de ellos de carácter zoonótico, los cuales pueden llegar a establecer una relación endosimbiótica con la ameba, pudiendo sobrevivir en las vacuolas del protozoo desde días hasta meses. La literatura científica describe una gran cantidad de especies bacterianas que interactúan con A. castellanii. El objetivo de esta revisión es describir aspectos relevantes de las interacciones establecidas entre A. castellanii y diferentes géneros bacterianos, la mayoría de ellos de carácter zoonótico, y su importancia para la salud pública.

Palabras clave: amebas, Acanthamoeba, endosimbiosis, bacterias zoonóticas.

INTRODUCTION

During the last decades there has been an increasing interest among microbiologists, epidemiologists, public health specialists and clinicians on the free living amoebas (FLA), because of their participation in the ophthalmological process and their role as potential reservoirs and vectors of pathogenic microorganisms for animals and human beings (Kahn 2006, Oddó 2006, Wagner et al 2006, Thomas et al 2010, Anacarso et al 2012, Khan and Siddiqui 2014, Scheid 2014).

Virus, bacteria and fungi have been described as beneficiaries of the interaction with FLA, specially with A. castellanii. Of particular interest in these interactions are the human pathogens and those pathogens associated with animals that are capable to survive and or replicate inside the amoebas. This intra-amoeba stage has been recognised as an endosymbiosis stage, and recently Scheid (2014) proposed the term endocytobionts to refer to those microorganisms capable to survive inside the amoebas. There is a general consensus that survival is one of the fundamental benefits that the protozoa provide to the prokaryote organisms. However, from the epidemiological and public health perspective, there are other aspects that are important to consider such as the resistance to the amoebic depredation and the bacterial protection inside FLA to chemical treatments, in addition to prokaryotes proliferation in a protective shield inside the amoeba (Barker and Brown 1994, Pagnier et al 2008, Thomas et al 2010, Sandstrom et al 2011, Khan and Siddiqui 2014, Scheid 2014).

The purpose of this review is to provide the scientific community with up to date information of the interactions described between FLA and some zoonotic bacteria and the relevance of these interactions in the epidemiology and their impact on public health.

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF GENUS Acanthamoeba

The genus Acanthamoeba includes a large group of FLA that are widely distributed in nature. Taxonomically, the group belongs to the kingdom Protozoa, division Sarcomastigophora, class Lobosea, order Amoebida, family Acanthamoebidae. Currently there are more than 24 known species but only some of them are capable to produce infections in animals and humans. In this group are included A. castellanii, A. culbertsoni, A.

polyphaga, A. rhysodes, A. lenticulata and A. astroyxis (Stothard et al 1998, Visvesvara et al 2007, Khan 2006).

The FLA have universal distribution and they have been isolated from soil, air conditioning equipment, contact lens, fresh natural and treated water, sea water, public pools, residual waters, dental units and hospital environment and supplies, as well as from cell cultures. The amoebas have also been isolated from plants, animals, and from nasopharyngeal swabs of humans apparently healthy, and from patients with immunodeficiency (De Jonckheere 1991, Geisen et al 2014, Scheid 2014).

The life cycle of the different species of Acanthamoeba showed a vegetative form or trophozoite, mainly when the protozoa is in a humid environment and in the presence of nutrients. However, the protozoa developed a cyst when subjected to extreme conditions such as dry and low in nutrients. The trophozoite form is irregular and presents multiple retractile pseudopodia and thorn-like filamentous called acanthopodia (Oddó 2006, Gallego 2007). In the case of A. castellanii, the trophozoite size varies between 13 to 22.5 μm, they have a granular cytoplasm limited by a narrow ectoplasmic zone that produces numerous phyllopodia of acicular aspect and acanthopodia. The acanthopodia are retractile cytoplasmic extensions specialised in catching nutrients, adherence and motility. This form is the predominant form of the amoeba under ideal growth conditions that include an environment with abundant nutrients, neutral pH, temperature of 30 °C and osmolality between 50 - 80 mOsmol (Khan 2006, Gallego 2007, Visvesvara et al 2007). The FLA are capable to multiply in trophozoite stage by binary fusion with the presence of polar centrioles either endo or extra-nuclear and disappearance of the nucleolus during the cariokinetic process (Gallego 2007).

On the other hand, the cysts of A. castellanni present a characteristic start shape and vary in size between 9 and 12 μm. Its composition is 33% protein, 4 to 6% lipids, 35% carbohydrates (mainly cellulose), 5% inorganic matter and 20% of unidentified material. The cyst presents two walls clearly identifiable under the microscope. The external wall or exocyst has a smooth surface and its composition is mainly protein, polysaccharides and lipids. In contrast, the internal wall or endocyst is polygonal and formed by carbohydrate, including cellulose. Both walls are normally separated by a space except in some points where they both create pores named ostioles. The main function of ostioles is to monitor and detect the changes in the environment. Each ostiole has a gateway named opercule, it is throughout one of those opercules that emerging of the amoeba occurs during the transition from the cyst to the vegetative form (Pussard and Pons 1977, Khan 2006, Gallego 2007, Visvesvara et al 2007).

A. castellanii is the etiological agent responsible of serious cases of ocular keratitis, meningoencephalitis and systemic diseases associated to immunocompromised hosts. Therefore, it is considered an important opportunistic parasite in humans (Kahn 2006, Oddó 2006). The pathogenesis of A. castellanii occurs when the amoeba is in its trophozoite

stage and the first step is the adherence to the host cells. The adherence is mediated by a mannose-binding protein that is expressed in the surface of the amoeba (Visvesvara et al 2007).

After their discover in 1930, these amoebas were ignored for the following 30 years until the end of the 1950's when its pathogenic potential was demonstrated in cell cultures and in laboratory animals (Jahnes et al 1957, Culbertson et al 1959, Stothard et al 1998, Khan 2006, Khan 2009). Currently is well known that A. castellanii and other species of the same genus have the capability of living as free organisms and as parasite when they occasionally invade a susceptible host. In humans, the diseases more frequently associated with these organisms are skin infections, granulomatous encephalitis, and keratitis. Keratitis has shown an exponential increase as result of the number of healthy individuals using contact lenses. On the other hand, granulomatous encephalitis and the skin infections have a considerable increase associated with increment in the number of immunocompromised patients (Ma et al 1990, Marciano-Cabral et al 2000, Marciano-Cabral y Cabral 2003, Khan 2006, Oddó 2006).

Acanthamoeba AS HOST OF BACTERIA

Acanthamoeba species and especially A. castellanii, have an important role in the ecology of multiple ecosystems due to their participation in the recycling of nutrients in aqueous environments. Since many years ago, the study of the relationships between amoeba and bacteria has had some interest, however, the results related to this association have not been confirmed (Nguyen 2011). Despite of this, it has been suggested that the interaction between Acanthamoeba and bacteria may occur in a similar manner to the interaction between prokaryotes and macrophages (Kwaik et al 1998, Cosson and Soldati, 1998). The capability of Acanthamoeba as host for bacteria is important because the majority of the prokaryotes that parasite or interact with these amoebas are pathogens or potential pathogens for humans (Anacarso et al 2012, Khan and Siddiqui 2014, Scheid 2014).

Some bacteria species have the capability to evade the digestion in the vacuoles of the protozoa, survive and replicate using the amoeba as host. Also, the bacteria can utilize the protozoa as vehicle for carrier and dissemination, as a protection and as an ideal incubator-like replication environment (Michel et al 2005, Berk et al 2008, Thomas et al 2010, Anacarso et al 2012, Khan and Siddiqui 2014, Scheid 2014).

Thomas et al (2010) made a complete review of the existing studies to create a list of the pathogenic bacteria that interact with protozoa. This list was compared with 539 bacterial species that are described in a list of microorganisms that are responsible of disease in human and animals know as CCL 3 Universe List (Candidate Contaminant List Microbes: Identifying the Universe) created by the United States - Environmental Protection Agency [1] . Of the 539 species, 102 can survive in contact with several species of amoebas. Of those 102 species, 39% are capable of

survive inside the amoeba and 30% can do that in more than one amoeba specie. In addition, 31 of those bacteria are capable to replicate in more than one amoeba specie. However, these data are underestimated because most of the studies were performed using only two amoeba species: A. polyphaga and A. castellanii. This fact represents a relevant limitation due to the restriction in the number of amoeba species that were used to assess the interaction of the bacteria with other species of FLA (Thomas et al 2010).

Among the human pathogenic bacteria that can establish endosimbiosis with amoebas of the genus Acanthamoeba are included Legionella pneumophila, the ethiological agent of legionellosis (Rowbotham 1980), Escherichia coli O157:H7, the enteric pathogen responsible of entero-hemorrhagic diarrhea (Barker et al 1999), Coxiella burnetii, etiological agent of Q fever (La Scola and Raoult 2001), Pseudomonas aeruginosa etiological agent of keratitis (Michel et al 1995); the etiological agent of cholera, Vibrio cholerae (Thom et al 1992), Simkania negevensis, responsible of pneumonia (Kahane et al 2001), Listeria monocytogenes, etiological agent of listeriosis (Ly and Muller 1990); Mycobacterium avium, associated with respiratory disease (Krishna-Prasad and Gupta 1978, Steinert et al 1998) and Salmonella Typhimurium, associated with gastroenteritis (Gaze et al 2003). It has been reported that those pathogens are capable to survive and replicate inside the amoeba. This ability allow the bacteria to replicate in enough number to produce disease and also allow them successfully evade the host defenses and antimicrobial therapies (Thomas et al 2010). In addition, some bacteria species that require special conditions for its culture can also survive and replicate inside the amoeba. Among this group of bacteria that requires special conditions of microaerobic conditions are included Campylobacter spp. and Helicobacter pylori (Winiecka-Krusnell et al 2002, Axelsson-Olsson et al 2005, Axelsson-Olsson et al 2007) or bacteria that require anaerobic conditions such as Clostridium frigidicarnis (Pagnier et al 2008), Prevotella intermedia and Porphyromonas gingivalis (Wagner et al 2006).

Some authors have demonstrated that some bacteria species are capable to survive in the protozoa even during the cyst stage. This property ensures the bacteria a high degree of resistance in unfavorable conditions and overcome chemical disinfection (Gallego 2007, Khan 2006, Thomas et al 2010). In addition, this ability is extremely relevant in public health since the chemical disinfection is not capable of inactivate these pathogens when inside the amoeba. Among the prokaryotes that are able to survive inside the amoeba meanwhile it is in the cyst stage are included V. cholerae (Thom et al 1992), some species of Mycobacterium (Adekambi et al 2004, Thomas and McDonnell, 2007), L. pneumophila (Kilvington and Price, 1990), Francisella tularensis (Abd et al 2003, El-Etr et al 2009) and preliminary studies have indicated this same ability in Arcobacter butzleri (Fernández et al 2012). In addition, some authors have reported horizontal gene transfer between bacteria inside the protozoa's vacuoles (McCuddin et al 2006). This potential genetic transfer has great relevance

in the transmission of genes associated with multidrug resistance using the amoeba as the facilitator in the transmission of these genes since the protozoa is the reservoir for several bacteria species.

It is important to mention that currently the term reservoir is applicable to the amoebas that contain bacteria as endosymbiont that have the capability to replicate and survive inside them. In contrast, the term "Troyan horse" or endocytobiont is applicable to the amoebas that contain as endocytobiont bacteria that are only capable to survive without replication inside the amoeba (Barker and Brown 1994, Greub and Raoult 2004, Khan 2006, Scheid 2014).

ZOONOTIC Salmonella SPECIES AND Acanthamoeba

Salmonella genus includes more than 2500 serovars or seroptypes, and many of them are relevant in zoonosis. The taxonomy of Salmonella is difficult and was modified (Porwollik et al 2004) grouping all the serovars in two species: S. enterica and S. bongori. The serovars with potential zoonosis relevance are included in the species S. enterica that includes six subspecies, one of them being the subspecie (subsp) enterica which groups all the zoonotic serovars such as S. enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium (former S. typhimurium) that for historical reason is mentioned as Salmonella typhimurium or S. typhimurium.

Gaze et al (2003) studied the interaction between S. typhimurium and A. polyphaga, determining that the amoeba is capable of incorporate the bacteria and the bacteria is localised in large quantities in contractile vacuoles during their early phases of logarithmic growth as confirmed by molecular markers of growth. This characteristic allows the bacteria reach 100 to 200 CFU per vacuole by the 4th day of co-culture. From this study, it was deduced that S. typhimurium is capable of establish endosimbiosis and replicate inside the amoeba therefore the protozoa is now considered an environmental reservoir for this bacteria.

Other studies have also demonstrated that S. typhimurium and S. dublin are capable to survive as endosymbiont of A. polyphaga and A. rhysodes (Tezcan-Merdol et al 2004) and later Bleasdale et al (2009) demonstrated that the pathogenicity island 2 of Salmonella that encode for a type III secretion system, is upregulated during the infection in A. polyphaga by S. typhimurium and apparently is essential for the intra-amoeba survival of this bacteria. This phenomenon suggests that some of the bacteria properties originally considered as virulence factors, which promote the bacteria pathogenesis in animals and humans, might evolved into other function more relevant in microbial ecology.

It has been demonstrated that the survival of S. typhimurium inside bovine rumen protozoa such as Eudiplodinium, Metadinium, Polyplastron, Isotricha, Entodinium, Ophryoscole and Diplodinium, is a factor that increase its virulence becoming more invasive in cell cultures in vitro than in models in vivo. This hyper-virulence mediated by protozoa was also observed in S. agona and S. infantis (Bearing et al 2005), this observation may indicate that the endosymbiont stage in FLA can

contribute to increase the virulence of different serovar of Salmonella. In fact, in S. enerica serovar Typhi, the etiological agent of typhoid fever and exclusively pathogen of humans, it has been demonstrated that its interaction with A. castellanii increase their persistence in the environment and also improve their ability to survive in the human gut (Douesnard-Malo and Daigle 2011).

Legionella AND Acanthamoeba

Legionella pneumophila was decribed in the 1980 as the etiological agent of Legionaries' disease, a respiratory syndrome of high lethality (Fraser et al 1977). Although until now there are no data that indicate the existence of known animal reservoirs or that the bacteria affects animals, it was included in this review because L. pneumophila is an environmental bacteria wildly present in nature that is capable of parasite different species of protozoa. This possibility has major epidemiological interest because Acanthamoeba is a natural host for Legionella (Bruggemann et al 2006) but, in addition, this bacterium can replicate inside other amoebas such as A. castellanii, Naegleria sp. and Hartmannella sp. (Cianciotto and Fields 1992, Koubar et al 2011, States et al 2013) as well as inside some environmental ciliated protozoa (Koubar et al 2011). Some authors have suggested that this protozoa may contribute, under selective pressure, to the virulence characteristics of the bacteria interacting with human cells since Legionella has the capability of evade the degradation of the phagolysosome in the amoeba (Bruggemann et al 2006, Koubar et al 2011). Since Legionella is capable of replicate inside the protozoa is safe to consider Acanthamoeba as an important reservoir for L. pneumophila (Rowbotham 1980, Kwaik et al 1998, Koubar et al 2011). Their survival and replication capacities in both human macrophages and A. castellanii is due to the inhibition of the fusion of the phagosome with the lysosome, a process that it is regulated by the genes dot/icm of L. pneumophila (Gao et al 1997).

The relationship among Legionella and free living amoeba must be considered as an important factor incident in their ecology and epidemiology. There are several studies providing sufficient evidence that these protozoan are important determinants for survival, permanence and multiplication of Legionella in drinking water systems, representing a public health problem (States et al 2013). On the other hand, like numerous bacteria, L. pneumophila can acquire a viable but not culturable (VBNC) state under unfavorable environmental conditions. In this state, it is unable to replicate in standard medium but remain alive and able to synthesize some virulence proteins. However, resuscitation assays with A. castellanii were unsuccessful; suggesting that the presence of virulence factors in VBNC is insufficient to revert to their normal bacillary form (Alleron et al 2013). These last two aspects must be considered by organizations and sanitary authorities that are responsible for providing public health protection and safe drinking water.

Listeria monocytogenes AND Acanthamoeba

Listeria monocytogenes is a zoonotic bacteria that is recognized as facultative intracellular pathogen whose transmission to humans occurs via contact with animals, neonatal dissemination and the ingestion of contaminated food, mainly of animal origin (Ramaswamy et al 2007). This foodborne opportunistic pathogen is capable to switch from an environmental saprophyte to a potentially fatal human pathogen. Thus, the interaction of L. monocytogenes with environmental protozoa in natural and man-made ecosystems may have significant implications for food safety and public health (Schuppler 2014), especially because Listeria is known for their biocenotic connections with a wide range of hydrobionts, warm-blooded animals, and even plants (Pushkareva et al 2010).

Several reports in 1990 described the relation between Listeria and Acanthamoeba, suggesting that this bacterium may be ingested by the amoeba but it is not digested and can replicate intracellularly (Ly and Müller 1990). However, recent studies have reported that Listeria is not capable of survive (Akya et al 2009) or replicate inside the amoeba (Akya et al 2010). L. monocytogenes is incapable of persisting inside A. polyphaga and A. lenticulata but it is possible to observe large bacterial aggregates on the surface of the protozoa that are the result of the bacteria immobilization combined with their attaching to filamentous material, probably of amoebic origin. The immobilization and formation of bacterial aggregates appears to be a strategy of Acanthamoeba to catch and feed with motile bacteria (Doyscher et al 2013). The contradictions of different studies have created a need for new research in relation to the interactions of L. monocytogenes-FLA, in particular because Zhou et al (2007) demonstrated that L. monocytogenes in coculture with A. castellanii did not induce to kill the bacteria. However, Anacarso et al (2012) demonstrated that L. monocytogenes in association with FLA was not detectable by time 0 up to 48 h of co-culture, remaining viable and showing the ability to intracellularly multiply by > 4 log cycle up to 72 h, after a 48 h initial eclipse phase similar to that observed in S. Enteritidis. On the other hand, Pushkareva et al (2010) reported that L. monocytogenes can be active phagocyted by Tetrahymenae pyriformis and included in food vacuoles, where a gradual destruction of bacterial cells was observed. However, some Listeria cells proved to be resistant to digestion, allowing the maintenance of the bacterial population associated with this ciliate protozoon.

Since many food-borne bacteria, including L. monocytogenes, are able to interact with protozoa and taking into account that protozoa have been found in food-processing areas and in food industry environments, FLA and other protozoa could have a role in the contamination of food by this foodborne pathogen.

Yersinia AND Acanthamoeba

Yersinia enterocolitica is another zoonotic bacterium that has clinical relevance, since is capable to produce enteric disease in humans and is transmitted via water and contaminated food (EFSA 2013, Schieman 2013). The infection is mainly observed in newborn babies and in pre-school age children and is characterize for acute enteritis with fever and diarrhea. In adolescent and adults the disease presentation is mainly a pseudo-appendicitis with sequela of arthritis and erythema nodosum but, in some cases, with septicemia (Nesbakken 2013). Y. enterocolitica and A. castellanii shared ecological niches such as humid environments, vegetables, material of domestic use (Falcão et al 2004) and some anthropogenic environments like domestic refrigerators (Vaerewijck et al 2010) and dishcloths (Chavette et al 2014). Recently, it was demonstrated that A. castellani increase the survival of Y. enterocolitica at 25 °C under certain conditions of availability of nutrients and a 37 °C in environments with lack of nutritional elements (Lambrecht et al 2013).

Yersinia is capable to evade digestion by Acanthamoeba, in fact is the amoeba that provides the conditions to survive and replicate in environments that are not optimal for the bacteria, this mean at a temperature of 37 °C that is above the optimal for the bacteria. In addition, Yersinia can survive in co-culture with A. castellanii at least for 14 days without decrease in the number of viable bacteria (Lambrecht 2013). These observations confirm that the bacteria can survive in presence of the protozoa whether is inside A. castellanii or in the extracellular environment (Anacarso et al 2012). The interaction between Acanthamoeba and Yersinia is considered a relationship that facilitates the replication and survival of the bacteria without affecting the amoeba when both are in an environment with availability of nutrients (Greub and Raoult 2004, Siddiqui and Khan 2012). Similar observations were made by Pushkareva el al (2010) on the dynamics of Yersinia interacting with Tetrahymenae pyriformis where Y. enterocolitica survives for more than two months in association with this protozoon at 25 °C and 4 °C. The same authors (Pushkareva el al 2010) simulated experimentally the migration of Y. pseudotuberculosis along trophic chains from the lowest to the highest level. There is no information on Y. enterocolitica and this kind of migration. However, considering their long term survival in aquatic organisms it seems to be necessary to clarify this point because it could provide a better understanding about the routes of circulation of Y. enterocolitica in natural ecosystems.

When Yersinia is internalised, A. castellanii works as protective shield including against chemical agents such as chlorine (King et al 1988). This advantage is of great relevance in public health because chlorine is one of the most common components used in the disinfection of surfaces, in particular in the food industry, where Yersinia could be introduced through animal meat (Vanantwerpen et al 2015). The incidence data on human Yersinia infection in USA for 2013 was 0.36, showing 7% increase (CDC 2014).

Campylobacter AND Acanthamoeba

The species of Campylobacter genus are Gram negative, non-spore forming rods. One of main features is their shape as comma or s that apparently represents an adaptation to the environment in the intestinal mucosa, which allows or facilitates its motility in viscous liquids or environments. Campylobacter is not capable to use sugars and obtain its energy from peptide or intermediary products of the tricarboxilic acid cycle, not derived of carbohydrates. The microorganisms are widely distributed in nature. They are regular commensals in the intestinal tract of different species of blood-warm animals (Fernández et al 2007). Some Campylobacter species are recognized as important enteric pathogens for humans in whom produces inflammatory diarrhea with blood and mucus and faecal leukocytes or a watery diarrhea similar to E. coli LT infection (Debruyne et al 2008, Fernández 2008). Some species, such as C. jejuni can be isolated in environmental samples such as water bodies or places with constant humidity (Hänninen et al 2003, Fernández et al 2003), these niches can be shared with Acanthamoeba (Nguyen 2011).

It has been demonstrated that C. jejuni can survive at least for 10 days as endosymbiont in A. castellanii (Villanueva 2005). Other studies also have demonstrated that this bacteria can resist the digestion of the amoeba (Axelsson-Olsson et al 2005, Snelling et al 2005). In addition, the bacteria can invade, survive and replicate inside amoebas of FLA (Axelsson-Olsson et al 2007). In the case of A. polyphaga it has been demonstrated that Campylobacter is capable of replicate in aerobic co-culture at 37 °C and survive there for more than two months. However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms that allow this are unknown (Olofsson et al 2013). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the co-culture of C. jejuni with A. castellanii results in a delay in the loss of viability and an increment in the survival of Campylobacter (Baré et al 2010). In addition, the experimental transmission of C. jejuni in endosimbiosis with A. castellanii to specific pathogens free (SPF) chickens (González 2008), as well as Broiler chickens (Snelling et al 2008, Flores-Martin 2009), is possible.

Since Acanthamoeba increase the persistence of C. jejuni and this bacterium can be transmitted experimentally as endosymbiont to SPF chicken and broiler chickens, the presence of FLA in the environment of poultry houses may have relevant implications for the ecology and epidemiology of this zoonotic pathogen, universally recognized as pathogen transmitted by food, especially by food derived from poultry.

Arcobacter AND Acanthamoeba

The species of this genus are Gram negative, non sporulated rods with monotrichous or amphytrichous flagella. The bacteria has a curve, helicoid or in a form of italic S morphology, it is aero-tolerant with a chemoorganotrophic metabolism, and most of the source of energy comes from amino-acids o intermediary products of the tricarboxilic acid

cycle, since this microorganism is not capable of using carbohydrates for fermentation or oxidation (Debruyne et al 2008). Currently, the relevance of this genus is because some of their species, particularly A. butzleri, are considered emergent enteropathogens and potential zoonotic agents (Cardoen et al 2009, ICMSF 2002, Ho et al 2006 Snelling et al 2006). In humans, Arcobacter produces gastrointestinal disease with persistent watery diarrhea that can lead to complications, with septicemia and peritonitis On et al 1995, Lau et al 2002, Fernández et al 2004, Collado and Figueras 2011). In animals, Arcobacter is isolated frequently from the intestinal tract of different animal species and causing diseases in some of them. The most severe clinical presentations in bovine and porcine are abortion, mastitis and diarrhea (Ho et al 2006, Collado and Figueras 2011). In addition, reports indicate the presence of these bacteria in intestinal samples of healthy animals, mainly wild birds and from farm, suggesting that these animals may be a reservoir of the bacteria (Lehner et al 2005, Fernández et al 2007, Houf 2010, Collado and Figueras 2011).

Members of Arcobacter genus are considered an atypical group among the ε-proteobacteria due to the great diversity in habitat and hosts where they can be isolated, mainly in humid environments, where they interact with FLA (Debruyne et al 2008). The wild range in the distribution of this bacteria and FLA facilitate the interaction between these two organisms and underline the existence of possible protozoa reservoirs present in nature, which may be part of alternative cycles of life that include a facultative stage of the bacteria inside the FLA. This modality represents a new alternative in the epidemiology of Arcobacter genus (Fernández et al 2012, Medina et al 2014).

Currently it has been described that under laboratory conditions A. butzleri can establish an endosymbiotic relation with A. castellanii surviving inside the amoeba more than 30 days. During this time it is possible to confirm bacteria replication. For this reason, A. butzleri may be an endosymbiont and the amoeba can act as "Troyan horse" and contribute to the increment of virulence and to the environmental dissemination of A. butzleri (Fernández et al 2012, Flores-Martin 2013, Medina et al 2014).

Molecular studies describing the endosymbiotic interaction between these organisms indicate that the recognition of the bacteria by A. castellanii included the participation of protein bound to galactose, mannose and glucose, present in the outer membrane of the amoeba and the amoeba recognized these sugar residues that are part of the glycoproteins and lipopolysaccharides present in the external membrane of A. butzleri. The recognition of these structures allowed the bound of both organisms that trigger the subsequent internalization of the bacteria. This process is essential for the establishment of endosymbiosis (Medina et al 2014).

It has been also described that during the internalization of the bacteria, A. castellanii requires the formation of actine filamentous and the participation of the transduction pathways mediate by P13K and

RhoA in which the tyrosine kinase activity is fundamental. In addition, using transmission electronic microscopy and inhibition assays of the phagolysosome fusion, it has been possible estimate that the survival of A. butzleri as endosymbiont of A. castellanii may be related with the capability of the bacteria to keep alive in the vacuole not fused with the lysosomes or delays the fusion of these organelles (Medina et al 2014).

Mycobacterium AND Acanthamoeba

The genus Mycobacterium represents a group of aerobic, slow-growing, non sporulated, acid-alcohol resistant rods, with abundant cytoplasmic granules. Mycobacterium tuberculosis and M. leprae are the etiological agents representative of the genus traditionally considered the more important for humans (Brennan 1998). However, with the AIDS pandemic there are several other species, recognized as opportunistic pathogens, such as M. avium and other species of the Mycobacterium genus (Young et al 1986, Shafer and Sierra 1992).

The internalization of Mycobacterium in free living protozoa could be a rare event in normal conditions. Recent studies suggested that in the case of M. bovis, the amoebas may contribute to the inactivation of the prokaryote instead they represent a potential environmental reservoir (Mardare et al 2013). Moreover, M. avium is an opportunistic pathogen that can be isolated from different animal species in land and watery environments (Falkinham 1996, White et al 2010, Salgado et al 2011). This bacterium can access A. castellanii and establish an endosymbiotic association with capability of intracellular replication between 30 and 37 °C (Cirillo et al 1997, Iovieno et al 2010, White et al 2010). Some studies suggest that probably Acanthamoeba is essential for the virulence of M. avium in patients with AIDS and as protective element against the antimicrobial agents (Miltner and Bermudez 2000).

Furthermore, long time symbiotic interactions between A. lugdunensis and a mycobacteria species related to M. avium and M. intracellulare were described recently, suggesting that FLA could be a stable environmental reservoir for some mycobacteria (Thomas et al 2010).

Because of the similarities between mechanisms allowing microorganisms to escape phagocytosis and/or digestion by FLA and the mechanisms allowing these same microorganisms to escape phagocytosis and/or digestion by macrophages, FLA have been proposed as a tool to recover potentially new pathogenic species from various environments (Drancourt 2014). Mycobacterium massiliense was isolated from the sputum and bronchoalveolar fluid of a patient with hemoptoic pneumonia by plating on axenic media and amoebal coculture with A. polyphaga (Adekambi et al 2004). The same could be applied for some opportunistic non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) associated to freshwater, like M. fortuitum, M. gordonae, and M. kansasii, that resist amoeba digestion and might contribute to the health burden through wound and soft tissue infections (Delafont et al 2014, Drancourt 2014, Ashbolt 2015). Being these opportunistic NTM associated to drinking-

water pipe biofilms, further studies are necessary to elucidate, from a public health point of view, the epidemiological importance of FLA and their relationships with this and other pathogenic water-borne groups of bacteria.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE OF THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN ZOONOTIC BACTERIA AND Acanthamoeba

There are several studies indicating the relevance of the relationships bacteria-amoeba and how amoebas may play a role as "Trojan horses" for the prokaryotes, even in those cases when the amoeba is in the cyst stage, favoring the proliferation of bacteria in hostile environments, allowing its transporting including its cooperation with their pathogenicity since these protozoa are highly resistant to disinfecting agents.

Despite that the mechanisms of coming in and out of the amoeba are not completely clear, is known that it occurs in nature and that these processes may last days or months. The fact that Acanthamoeba is an ubiquitous protozoa that can be found in practically all environments that preserve the humidity, including vent systems, contact lenses and public swimming pools, is very relevant making necessary to know the mechanisms how these interactions occur. Apart from being an incubator and transportation vehicle for some bacteria, the protozoa by itself can be a pathogen for humans.

The intracellular growth of the prokaryotes has been associated with improving their survival in the environment and increase in resistance against antibiotics. The advantage of utilizing FLA during in vitro studies is that the research on virulence and pathogenesis of the internalized bacteria can be done in non-mammalian cells as a model based in the nature reality. In comparison to cell cultures, the amoebas are easy to work in experimental models. On the other hand, bacteria are also easy to work and can be genetically manipulated. This should allow the possibility to use mutants to study and analyze possible bacteria-host interactions. Therefore, the utilization of this amoebic model may allow a better understanding of the interactions between prokaryote and eukaryote cells to clarify epidemiological aspects and to help in the development of new therapeutic agents and to better recognize and treat infections (Sandstrom et al 2011).

Finally, from the epidemiological and public health point of views, when the interaction amoeba-bacteria yield a more survival of the prokaryote and in many occasions in a major environmental persistence, that may allow to explain the transmissibility and endimicity of many of those bacteria.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grants DID-UACH S-2007-37, FONDECYT 1110202 and PRO_CCNN_863 UTPL 98739155. The

corresponding author was supported by the Prometeo Project of the Secretariat for Higher Education, Science, Technology and Innovation of the Republic of Ecuador.

References

Abd H, T Johansson, I Golovliov, G Sandstrom, M Forsman. 2003. Survival and growth of Francisella tularensis in Acanthamoeba castellanii. Appl Environ Microbiol 69, 600-606.

Adekambi T, M Reynaud-Gaubert, G Greub, MJ Gevaudan, B La Scola, D Raoult, M Drancourt. 2004. Amoebal coculture of "Mycobacterium massiliense" sp. nov. from the sputum of a patient with hemoptoic pneumonia. J Clin Microbiol 42, 5493-5501.

Akya A, A Pointon, C Thomas. 2009. Mechanism involved in phagocytosis and killing of Listeria monocytogenes by Acanthamoeba polyphaga. Parasitol Res 105, 1375-1383.

Akya A, A Pointon, C Thomas. 2010. Listeria monocytogenes does not survive ingestion by Acanthamoeba polyphaga. Microbiology 156, 809-818.

Alleron L, A Khemiri, M Koubar, C Lacombe, L Coquet, P Cosette, T Jouenne, J Frere. 2013. VBNC Legionella pneumophila cells are still able to produce virulence proteins. Water Res 47, 6606-6617.

Anacarso I, S de Niederhäusern, P Messi, E Guerrieri, R Iseppi, C Sabia, M Bondi. 2012. Acanthamoeba polyphaga, a potential environmental vector for the transmission of food-borne and opportunistic pathogens. J Basic Microbiol 52, 261-268.

Ashbolt NJ. 2015. Microbial contamination of drinking water and human health from community water systems. Curr Envir Health Rpt 2, 95-106.

Axelsson-Olsson D, J Waldenström, T Broman, B Olsen, M Holmberg. 2005. Protozoan Acanthamoeba polyphaga as a potential reservoir for Campylobacter jejuni. Appl Environ Microbiol 71, 987-992.

Axelsson-Olsson D, P Ellström, J Waldenström, PD Haemig, L Brudin, B Olsen. 2007. Acanthamoeba-Campylobacter coculture as a novel method for enrichment of Campylobacter species. Appl Environ Microbiol 73, 6864-6869.

Baré J, K Sabbe, S Huws, D Vercauteren, K Braeckmans, I van Gremberghe, H Favoreel, K Houf. 2010. Influence of temperature, oxygen and bacterial strain identity on the association of Campylobacter jejuni with Acanthamoeba castellanii. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 74, 371-381.

Barker J, MR Brown. 1994. Trojan horses of the microbial world: protozoa and the survival of bacterial pathogens in the environment. Microbiology 140, 1253-1259.

Barker J, TJ Humphrey, MW Brown. 1999. Survival of Escherichia coli 0157 in a soil protozoan: implications for disease. FEMS Microbiol Lett 173, 291-295.

Bearing SG, MA Rasmussen, SA Carlson, SK Franklin, ZP McCuddin, MT Wu, VK Sharma. 2005. Exposure to rumen protozoa leads to enhancement of pathogenicity of and invasion by multiple-antibioticresistant Salmonella enterica. Infect Immun 73, 4668-4675.

Berk SG, G Faulkner, E Garduno, MC Joy, MA Ortiz-Jimenez, RA Garduno. 2008. Packaging of live Legionella pneumophila into pellets expelled by Tetrahymena spp. does not require bacterial replication and depends on a Dot/Icm-mediated survival mechanism. Appl Environ Microbiol 74, 2187-2199.

Bleasdale B, PJ Lott, A Jagannathan, MP Stevens, RJ Birtles, P Wigley. 2009. The Salmonella pathogenicity island 2-encoded type III secretion system is essential for the survival of Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium in free-living amoebae. Appl Environ Microbiol 75, 1793-1795.

Brennan PJ. 1988. Mycobacterium and other actinomycetes. Microbial Lipids 1, 203-298.

Bruggemann H, A Hagman, M Jules, O Sismeiro, MA Dillies, C Gouyette, F Kunst, M Steinert, K Heuner, JY Coppee, C Buchrieser. 2006. Virulence strategies for infecting phagocytes deduced from the in vivo transcriptional program of Legionella pneumophila. Cell Microbiol 8, 1228-1240.

Cardoen S, X van Huffel, D Berkvens, S Quoilin, G Ducoffre, C Saegerman, N Speybroeck, H Imberechts, L Herman, R Ducatelle, K Dierick. 2009. Evidence-based semiquantitative methodology for prioritization of foodborne zoonoses. Foodborne Pathog Dis 6, 1083-1096.

Chavatte N, J Baré, E Lambrech, I Van Damme, M Vaerewijcka, K Sabbe, K Houf. 2014. Co-occurrence of free-living protozoa and foodborne pathogens on dishcloths: Implications for food safety. Int J Food Microbiol 191, 89-96.

Cianciotto NP, BS Fields. 1992. Legionella pneumophila mip gene potentiates intracellular infection of protozoa and human macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci 89, 5188-5191.

Cirillo JD, S Falkow, LS Tompkins, LE Bermudez. 1997. Interaction of Mycobacterium avium with environmental amoebae enhances virulence. Infect Immun 65, 3759-3767.

Collado L, MJ Figueras. 2011. Taxonomy, epidemiology and clinical relevance of the genus Arcobacter. Clin Microbiol Rev 24, 174-192.

Cosson P, T Soldati. 2008. Eat, kill or die: when amoeba meets bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol 11, 271-276.

Culbertson DG, JW Smith, HK Cohen, JR Minner. 1959. Experimental infections in mice and monkeys by Acanthamoeba. Am J Pathol 35, 185-197.

Delafont V, F Mougari, E Cambau, M Joyeux, D Bouchon, Y Hechard, L Moulin. 2014. First evidence of amoebae-mycobacteria association in drinking water network. Environ Sci Technol 48, 11872-11882.

De Jonckheere JF. 1991. Ecology of Acanthamoeba. Rev Infect Dis 13, S385-S387.

Debruyne L, D Gevers, P Vandamme. 2008. Taxonomy of the family Campylobactereaceae. In: Nachamkin I, Szymanski C, Blaser M (eds). Campylobacter. 3rd ed. ASM Press, Washington, USA, Pp 3-25.

Douesnard-Malo F, F Daigle. 2011. Increased persistence of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi in the presence of Acanthamoeba castellanii. Appl Environ Microbiol 77, 7640-7646.

Doyscher D, L Fieseler, L Dons, MJ Loessner, M Schuppler. 2013. Acanthamoeba feature a unique backpacking strategy to trap and feed on Listeria monocytogenes and other motile bacteria. Environ Microbiol 15, 433-446.

Drancourt M. 2014. Looking in amoebae as a source of mycobacteria. Microb Pathogenesis 77, 119-124.

EFSA. 2013. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2011. EFSA J 11, 3129-3379.

El-Etr SH, JJ Margolis, D Monack, RA Robison, M Cohen, E Moore, A Rasley. 2009. Francisella tularensis type A strains cause the rapid encystment of Acanthamoeba castellanii and survive in amoebal cysts for three weeks postinfection. Appl Environ Microbiol 75, 7488-7500.

Falcão JP, M Brocchi, JL Proenca-Modena, GO Acrani, EF Correa, DP Falcão. 2004. Virulence characteristics and epidemiology of Yersinia enterocolitica and yersiniae other than Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. pestis isolated from water and sewage. J Appl Microbiol 96,1230-1236.

Falkinham JO. 1996. Molecular epidemiology techniques for the study of Mycobacterium avium complex infection, In: Korvick JA, Benson CA (eds). Mycobacterium avium complex: progress in research and treatment. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, USA, Pp 23-44.

Fernández H, L Otth, M Wilson. 2003. Isolation of thermotolerant species of Campylobacter from river water using two collection methods. Arch Med Vet 35, 95-97.

Fernández H, S Krause, MP Villanueva. 2004. Arcobacter butzleri an emerging enteropathogen: communication of two cases with chronic diarrhea. Braz J Microbiol 35, 216-218.

Fernández H, F Vera, MP Villanueva. 2007. Especies de Arcobacter y Campylobacter en aves y mamíferos del sur de Chile. Arch Med Vet 39, 163-165.

Fernández H. 2008. Género Campylobacter: un grupo de bacterias zoonóticas de importancia en salud pública. In: Caccione R, R Durlach, Martino P (eds). Temas de Zoonosis IV. Ed. Asociación Argentina de Zoonosis, Buenos Aires. Argentina, Pp 205-214.

Fernández H, MP Villanueva, G Medina. 2012. Endosymbiosis of Arcobacter butzleri in Acanthamoeba castellanii. Rev Arg Microbiol 44, 133.

Flores-Martin S. 2009. Acanthamoeba castellanii como posible vehículo de transmisión de Campylobacter jejuni para Columba livia y pollos Broiler. Tesis de Licenciatura, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

Flores-Martin S. 2013. Sobrevida de Arcobacter butzleri como endosimbionte de Acanthamoeba castellanii y posibles variaciones en la adherencia, invasión, susceptibilidad antimicrobiana y expresión del gen ciaB, luego de pasajes sucesivos por la ameba. Tesis de Magíster, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

Fraser DW, TR Tsai, W Orenstein, WE Parkin, HJ Beecham, RG Sharrar, J Harris, GF Mallison, SM Martin, JE McDade, CC Shepard, PS Brachman. 1977. Legionnaires' disease: description of an epidemic of pneumonia. N Engl J Med 297, 1189-1196.

Gallego J. 2007. Manual de parasitología: morfología y biología de los parásitos de interés sanitario. Edicions Universitat Barcelona, Barcelona, España.

Gao LY, OS Harb, Y Abu Kwaik. 1997. Utilization of similar mechanisms by Legionella pneumophila to parasitize two evolutionarily distant host cells, mammalian macrophages and protozoa. Infect Immun 65, 4738-4746.

Gaze WH, N Burroughs, MP Gallagher, EM Wellington. 2003. Interactions between Salmonella typhimurium and Acanthamoeba polyphaga, and observation of a new mode of intracellular growth within contractile vacuoles. Microbiol Ecol 46, 358-369.

Geisen S, AM Fiore-Donno, J Walochnik, M Bonkowski. 2014. Acanthamoeba everywhere: high diversity of Acanthamoeba in soils. Parasitol Res, 113, 3151-3158.

González M. 2008. Acanthamoeba castellanii como posible vehículo de transmisión de Campylobacter jejuni subsp. jejuni para aves: evidencia experimental. Tesis de Magíster, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

Greub G, D Raoult. 2004. Microorganisms resistant to free-living amoebae. Clin Microbiol Rev 17, 413-433.

Hänninen ML, H Haajanen, T Pummi, K Wermundsen, ML Katila, H Sarkkinen, I Miettinen, H Rautelin. 2003. Detection and typing of Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli and analysis of indicator organisms in three waterborne outbreaks in Finland. Appl Environ Microbiol 69, 1391-1396.

Ho HT, LJ Lipman, W Gaastra. 2006. Arcobacter, what is known and unknown about a potential foodborne zoonotic agent! Vet Microbiol 115, 1-13.

Houf K. 2010. Arcobacter. In: Liu D (ed). Molecular detection of foodborne pathogens. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, Pp 289-305.

ICMSF. 2002. Microorganisms in foods. 7. Microbiological testing in food safety management. International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods, Kluwer Academic/Plenum, New York, USA.

Iovieno A, DR Ledee, D Miller, EC Alfonso. 2010. Detection of bacterial endosymbionts in clinical Acanthamoeba isolates. Ophthalmol 117, 445-452.

Jahnes WG, HM Fullmer and CP Li. 1957. Free-living amoebae as contaminants in monkey kidney tissue cultures. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 96, 484-488.

Kahane SB, M Dvoskin, M Mathias, MG Friedman. 2001. Infection of Acanthamoeba polyphaga with Simkania negevensis and S. negevensis survival within amoebal cysts. Appl Environ Microbiol 67, 4789-4795.

Khan NA. 2006. Acanthamoeba: biology and increasing importance in human health. FEMS Microbiol Rev 30, 564-595.

Khan NA, R Siddiqui. 2014. Predator vs aliens: bacteria interactions with Acanthamoeba. Parasitol 141, 869-874.

Kilvington S, J Price. 1990. Survival of Legionella pneumophila within cysts of Acanthamoeba polyphaga following chlorine exposure. J Appl Bacteriol 68, 519-525.

King CH, EB Shotts, RE Wooley, KG Porter. 1988. Survival of coliforms and bacterial pathogens within protozoa during chlorination. Appl Environ Microbiol 54, 3023-3033.

Koubar M, MH Rodier1, RA Garduño, J Frère. 2011. Passage through Tetrahymena tropicalis enhances the resistance to stress and the infectivity of Legionella pneumophila. FEMS Microbiol Lett 325, 10-15.

Krishna-Prasad BN, SK Gupta. 1978. Preliminary report on the engulfment and retention of mycobacteria by trophozoites of axenically grown Acanthamoeba castellanii Douglas, 1930. Curr Sci 47, 245-247.

Kwaik YA, L Gao, BJ Stone, C Venkataraman, OS Harb. 1998. Invasion of protozoa by Legionella pneumophila and its role in bacterial ecology and pathogenesis. Appl Environ Microbiol 64, 3127-3133.

La Scola B, D Raoult. 2001. Survival of Coxiella burnetii within free-living amoeba Acanthamoeba castellanii. Clin Microbiol Infect 7, 75-79.

Lambrecht E, J Baré, I van Damme, W Bert, K Sabbe, K Houf. 2013. Behavior of Yersinia enterocolitica in the presence of the bacterivorous Acanthamoeba castellanii. Appl Environ Microbiol 79, 6407-6413.

Lau SK, PC Woo, JL Teng, KW Leung, KY Yuen. 2002. Identification by 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing of Arcobacter butzleri bacteremia in a patient with acute gangrenous appendicitis. J Clin Pathol Mol Pathol 55, 182-185.

Lehner A, T Tasara, R Stephan. 2005. Relevant aspects of Arcobacter spp. as potential foodborne pathogen. Int J Food Microbiol 15, 127-135.

Ly TMC, HE Müller. 1990. Ingested Listeria monocytogenes survive and multiply in protozoa. J Med Microbiol 33, 51-54.

Mardare C, RJ Delahay, JW Dale. 2013. Environmental amoebae do not support the long-term survival of virulent mycobacteria. J Appl Microbiol 114, 1388-1394.

McCuddin ZP, SA Carlson, MA Rasmussen, SK Franklin. 2006. Klebsiella to Salmonella gene transfer within rumen protozoa: Implications for antibiotic resistance and rumen defaunation. Vet Microbiol 114, 275-284.

Medina G, S Flores-Martin, B Fonseca, C Otth, H Fernández. 2014. Mechanisms associated with phagocytosis of Arcobacter butzleri by Acanthamoeba castellanii. Parasitol Res 113, 1933-1942.

Michel R, H Burghardt, H Bergmann. 1995. Acanthamoeba, naturally intracellularly infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa, after their isolation from a microbiologically contaminated drinking water system in a hospital. Zbl Hyg Umweltmed 196, 532-544.

Michel R, KD Müller, L Zoeller, J Walochnik, M Hartmann, EN Schmid. 2005. Free-living amoebae serve as a host for the Chlamydia-like bacterium Simkania negevensis. Acta Protozool 44, 113-121.

Miltner EC, LE Bermudez. 2000. Mycobacterium avium grown in Acanthamoeba castellanii is protected from the effects of antimicrobials. Antimicrob Ag Chemoth 44, 1990-1994.

Nesbakken T. 2013. Yersinia. In: Morris G Jr, Morris P (eds). Foodborne infections and intoxications. Academic Press, London, UK, Pp 187-198.

Nguyen H. 2011. Acanthamoeba-Campylobacter interactions. Doctoral Thesis, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

Oddó B. 2006. Infecciones por amebas de vida libre: comentarios históricos, taxonomía y nomenclatura, protozoología y cuadros anátomo-clínicos. Rev Chilena Infectol 23, 200-214.

Olofsson, J, D Axelsson-Olsson, L Brudin, B Olsen, P Ellström. 2013. Campylobacter jejuni actively invades the amoeba Acanthamoeba polyphaga and survives within non digestive vacuoles. PloS ONE 8, e78873.

On SL, A Stacey, J Smyth. 1995. Isolation of Arcobacter butzleri from a neonate with bacteremia. J Infect 31, 225-227.

Pagnier I, D Raoult, B La Scola. 2008. Isolation and identification of amoeba-resisting bacteria from water in human environment by using an Acanthamoeba polyphaga co-culture procedure. Environ Microbiol 10, 1135-1144.

Porwollik S, EF Boyd, C Choy, P Cheng, L Florea, E Proctor, M McClelland. 2004. Characterization of Salmonella enterica subspecies I genovars by use of microarrays. J Bacteriol 186, 5883-5898.

Pushkareva VI, SA Ermolaeva, VY Litvin. 2010. Hydrobionts as reservoir hosts for infectious agents of bacterial sapronoses. Biol Bull 37, 695-704.

Pussard M, R. Pons. 1977. Morphologie de la paroi kystique et taxonomie du genre Acanthamoeba. Protistologica 13, 557-598.

Ramaswamy V, VM Cresence, JS Rejitha, MU Lekshmi, KS Dharsana, SP Prasad, Vijila HM. 2007. Listeria - Review of epidemiology and pathogenesis. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 40, 4-13.

Rowbotham TJ. 1980. Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of Legionella pneumophila for freshwater and soil amoebae. J Clin Pathol 33, 1179-1183.

Salgado M, EJB Manning, G Monti, G Bólske, R Soderlund, M Ruiz, E Paredes, S Leiva, H Van Kruningen, J Kruze. 2011. European hares in Chile: a different lagomorph reservoir for Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis? J Wildl Dis 47, 734-738.

Sandstrom G, A Saeed, H Abd. 2011. Acanthamoeba-bacteria: a model to study host interaction with human pathogens. Curr Drug Targets 12, 936-941.

Scheid P. 2014. Relevance of free-living amoebae as hosts for phylogenetically diverse microorganisms. Parasitol Res 113, 2407-2414.

Schiemann DA. 2013. Yersinia enterocolitica in drinking water. In: McFeters GA (ed). Drinking water microbiology: progress and recent developments. Springer Science & Business Media, New York, USA, Pp 322-339.

Schuppler M. 2014. How the interaction of Listeria monocytogenes and Acanthamoeba spp. affects growth and distribution of the food borne pathogen. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98, 2907-2916.

Shafer RW, MF Sierra. 1992. Mycobacterium xenopi, Mycobacterium fortuitum, Mycobacterium kansasii, and other nontuberculous Mycobacteria in an area of edemicity for AIDS. Clin Infect Dis 15, 161-162.

Siddiqui R, NA Khan. 2012. War of the microbial worlds: who is the beneficiary in Acanthamoeba-bacterial interactions? Exp Parasitol 130, 311-313.

Snelling WJ, JP McKenna, DM Lecky, JSG Dooley. 2005. Survival of Campylobacter jejuni in waterborne protozoa. Appl Environ Microbiol 71, 5560-5571.

Snelling WJ, M Matsuda, JE Moore, JS Dooley. 2006. Under the microscope: Arcobacter. Lett Appl Microbiol 42, 7-14.

Snelling WJ, NJ Stern, CJLowery, JE Moore, E Gibbons, C Baker, JSG Dooley. 2008. Colonization of broilers by Campylobacter jejuni internalized within Acanthamoeba castellanii. Arch Microbiol 189, 175-179.

States S, RM Wadowsky, JM Kuchta, RS Wolford, LF Conley, RB Yee. 2013. Legionella in drinking water. In: McFeters GA (ed). Drinking water microbiology: progress and recent developments. Springer Science & Business Media, New York, USA, Pp 340-367.

Steiner M, K Birkness, E White, B Fields, F Quinn. 1998. Mycobacterium avium bacilli grow saprozoically in coculture with Acanthamoeba polyphaga and survive within cyst walls. Appl Environ Microbiol 64, 2256-2261.

Stothard DR, JM Schroeder-Diedrich, MH Awwad, RJ Gast, DR Ledee, S Rodriguez- Zaragoza, CL Dean, PA Fuerst, TJ Byers. 1998. The evolutionary history of the genus Acanthamoeba and the identification of eight new 18S rRNA gene sequence types. J Euk Microbiol 45, 45-54.

Tezcan-Merdol D, M Ljungstrom, J Winiecka-Krusnell, E Linder, L Engstrand, M Rhen. 2004. Uptake and replication of Salmonella enterica in Acanthamoeba rhysodes. Appl Environ Microbiol 70, 3706-3714.

Thom S, D Warhurst, BS Drasar. 1992. Association of Vibrio cholerae with fresh water amoebae. J Med Microbiol 36, 303-306.

Thomas V, G McDonnell, SP Denyer, JY Maillard. 2010. Free-living amoebae and their intracellular pathogenic microorganisms: risks for water quality. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34, 231-259.

Thomas V, G McDonnell. 2007. Relationship between mycobacteria and amoebae: ecological and epidemiological concerns. Lett Appl Microbiol 45, 349- 357.

Vaerewijck MJ, K Sabbe, J Van Hende, J Baré, K Houf. 2010. Sampling strategy, occurrence and diversity of free-living protozoa in domestic refrigerators. J Appl Microbiol 109, 1566-1578.

Vanantwerpen G, D Berkvens, I Van Damme, L De Zutter, K Houf. 2015. Assessment of risk factors for a high within-batch prevalence of Yersinia enterocolitica in pigs based on microbiological analysis at slaughter. Foodborne Pathog Dis, 12, 571-575.

Villanueva MP. 2005. Sobrevida de Arcobacter y Campylobacter en amebas de vida libre (Acanthamoeba castellani). Seminario de Titulación, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

Visvesvara GS, H Moura, FL Schuster. 2007. Pathogenic and opportunistic freeliving amoebae: Acanthamoeba spp., Balamuthia mandrillaris, Naegleria fowleri, and Sappinia diploidea. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 50, 1-26.

Wagner Y, B Noack, T Hoffmann, E Jacobs, PC Luck. 2006. Periodontopathogenic bacteria multiply in the environmental amoeba Acanthamoeba castellanii. Int Hyg Environ Hlth 209, 535-539.

White CI, RJ Birtles, P Wigley, PH Jones. 2010. Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis in free-living amoebae isolated from fields not used for grazing. Vet Rec 166, 401-402.

Winiecka-Krusnell J, K Wreiber, A von Euler, L Engstrand, E Linder. 2002. Free-living amoebae promote growth and survival of Helicobacter pylori. Scand J Infect Dis 34, 253-256.

Young LS, CB Inderlied, OG Berlin, MS Gottlieb. 1986. Mycobacterial Infections in AIDS patients, with an emphasis on the Mycobacterium avium complex. Clin Infect Dis 8, 1024-1033.

Zhou X, J Elmose, R Call. 2007. Interactions between the environmental pathogen Listeria monocytogenes and a free-living protozoan Acanthamoeba castellanii. Environ Microbiol 9, 913-922.

Notes

[1] www.epa.gov