



Revista Chakiñan de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades

ISSN: 2550-6722

Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo

Héctor Ardisana, Eduardo Fidel; Guzmán Ramírez,
Antonio Clarencio; Fosado Téllez, Osvaldo Alberto
THE COMPETITIVE APPROACH VS THE HUMANIST-SOCIAL
APPROACH IN QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES
Revista Chakiñan de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades, no. 21, 2023, pp. 229-241
Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37135/chk.002.21.15>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=571776337015>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

UNEM  redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative



UNIVERSIDAD
NACIONAL DE
CHIMBORAZO

Revista de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades

CHAKIÑAN

ISSN 2550 - 6722

Número 21 / DICIEMBRE, 2023 (229-241)

THE COMPETITIVE APPROACH VS THE HUMANIST-SOCIAL APPROACH IN QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES

EL ENFOQUE COMPETITIVO VS EL ENFOQUE HUMANISTA-SOCIAL EN LA GESTIÓN DE LA CALIDAD DE LOS ESTUDIOS DE POSGRADO

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.37135/chk.002.21.15>

Artículo de Revisión

Recibido: (24/02/2023)

Aceptado: (24/04/2023)

Eduardo Fidel Héctor Ardisana



Universidad Técnica de Manabí, Facultad de
Posgrado, Portoviejo, Ecuador
eduardo.hector@utm.edu.ec

Antonio Clarencio Guzmán Ramírez



Universidad Técnica de Manabí, Instituto de
Posgrado, Portoviejo, Ecuador
antonio.guzman@utm.edu.ec

Osvaldo Alberto Fosado Téllez



Universidad Técnica de Manabí, Instituto de
Posgrado, Portoviejo, Ecuador
osvaldo.fosado@utm.edu.ec



THE COMPETITIVE APPROACH VS THE HUMANIST-SOCIAL APPROACH IN QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES

EL ENFOQUE COMPETITIVO VS EL ENFOQUE HUMANISTA-SOCIAL EN LA GESTIÓN DE LA CALIDAD DE LOS ESTUDIOS DE POSGRADO

ABSTRACT

Postgraduate quality management can be carried out from two approaches: the first, (competitive) is based on compliance with academic, scientific and administrative standards, and the positioning of universities in world lists of best institutions; the second (humanist-social) in the commitment of the universities with the solution of the problems of sustainable development. The objective of this article is to review the recent information backing the competitive and social-humanist approaches to quality in postgraduate studies, and on this basis support what should be the meeting point between both approaches in Latin American universities. For this purpose, articles published predominantly in Latin America, and also from Asia and Africa, were analyzed. From the points of view expressed in the reviewed documents, reflections on quality management in postgraduate studies were formulated from the Latin American perspective. It was found that the competitive approach predominates in postgraduate quality management worldwide, and that the social responsibility of universities, and of postgraduate studies in particular, implies a commitment to solving local, regional and national problems, only achievable with a solid social-humanist approach. Latin American universities must combine compliance with competitive standards with postgraduate social responsibility objectives, and assign greater weight to the latter in accreditation systems.

KEYWORDS: Postgraduate studies, quality management, higher education

RESUMEN

La gestión de la calidad del posgrado puede realizarse desde dos enfoques: el primero, (competitivo) se basa en el cumplimiento de estándares de carácter académico, científico y administrativo, y el posicionamiento de las universidades en listas mundiales de mejores instituciones; el segundo (humanista-social) en el compromiso de las universidades con la solución de los problemas del desarrollo sostenible. El objetivo de este artículo es revisar la información que respalda los enfoques competitivo y humanista-social en la calidad de los estudios de posgrado, y sobre esa base fundamentar cuál debe ser el punto de encuentro entre ambos enfoques en las universidades latinoamericanas. Para ello, se analizaron artículos publicados predominantemente en Latinoamérica, y también de Asia y África. A partir de los puntos de vista expuestos en los documentos revisados, se formularon reflexiones sobre la gestión de la calidad en el posgrado desde la perspectiva latinoamericana. Se pudo constatar que en la gestión de la calidad del posgrado a nivel mundial predomina el enfoque competitivo, y que la responsabilidad social de las universidades, y del posgrado en particular, implica un compromiso con la solución de problemas locales, regionales y nacionales, solo alcanzable con un sólido enfoque humanista-social. Las universidades latinoamericanas deben combinar el cumplimiento de los estándares competitivos con los objetivos de responsabilidad social del posgrado, y la asignación de un mayor peso a estos últimos en los sistemas de acreditación.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Posgrado, gestión de la calidad, educación superior

INTRODUCTION

In contemporary society, competition between people and organizations has become an inseparable feature of their performance. Everyone wants the best individual to employ in their organization, the most prepared, the most competent; in fact, the meaning of competence is associated both with being adequate or sufficient for something, and with being prepared to compete with others. At the organizational level, competition acquires its own nuance that goes beyond the ability to successfully perform its functions, and focuses on the struggle between institutions or companies for market dominance.

In both cases (people and organizations) the measurement of competence requires the establishment of evaluative patterns that allow defining positions or scales, which ultimately lead to placing individuals or entities in an order of merit. In the academic field, examples abound: the ranking of universities, the evaluation of teachers, the indexing of scientific journals, the academic index of students, and others. This is ultimately intended to determine the quality of the system and its products, based on their competence.

In higher education, quality assessment emerged as a diagnostic instrument, but it has become a mechanism to manage educational systems (Vera & González-Ledesma, 2018). Two approaches to educational quality have coexisted in the last twenty years; the first is given by the purely competitive intention of positioning processes and universities in international rankings, which leads to the concern that not all institutions that operate in different contexts can be comparable (Montoya & Escallón, 2013). The second approach is represented by a social-humanist tendency, in which emphasis is placed on “the commitments of institutions with the social meaning of knowledge and training, the ethical and moral values of collective well-being, the democratization of access and permanence,

social justice and sustainable development” (Stubrin et al., 2007, p. 8).

Under these premises, is it possible to reconcile both interests without conflicting? The objective of this article is to review the recent information backing the competitive and social-humanist approaches to quality in postgraduate studies, and on this basis support what should be the meeting point between both approaches in Latin American universities.

METHODOLOGY

This is a review article, in which current information on the criteria that prevail in determining quality in postgraduate studies was analyzed. 37 articles published mainly in the Scopus and Scielo databases, and others such as Crossref, Dialnet and ROAD, were reviewed. For collecting the information, keywords linked to the revised themes were employed, like quality management, quality standards, accreditation, pertinence, sustainable training and post graduate studies. Mainly, articles published in the last 5-10 years were selected.

Also, the positioning of universities in the three rankings considered the most relevant: QS World University Rankings (QS-WUR), Times Higher Education (THE) and Academic Ranking of World Universities (ARWU) (IDP Connect Limited, 2022) was consulted. Documentary analysis was used to identify elements that coincided with the competitive and humanist-social approaches in the reviewed documents. From the review and analysis of the points of view expressed in the consulted documents, reflections on quality management in postgraduate studies were formulated from the Latin American perspective.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

THE COMPETITIVE APPROACH IN THE QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES

The global tendency to compete mutually between organizations, including universities, has led to the establishment of indicators with the aim of defining which are the best. The positioning criteria of universities are varied, but three classifications are considered the most important worldwide:

1) *QS World University Rankings (QS-WUR)*: Founded in 1990 in the United Kingdom, it considers the academic reputation of teachers (30%), the reputation of employers (20%), the student-teacher ratio (10%), the number of citations for faculty (5%), the articles published by each faculty (10%), the number of PhDs in the staff (5%), the web impact (5%), the number of international students (2.5%), the number of foreign teachers (2.5%) and the international research networks in which the university participates (10%).

According to the data published in the QS-WUR for 2023, ten Latin American universities are among the top 400, out of the 1,200 universities contained in the ranking (Table 1).

In this ranking, the top three places were taken by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA, the University of Cambridge, UK, and Stanford University, USA, showing just how far behind they are the best Latin American universities in terms of the competitiveness criteria used by this ranking.

2) *Times Higher Education (THE)*: This weekly magazine, founded in 1971 in the United Kingdom, has published an annual ranking of universities since 2004. Until 2009 it was associated with QS World University Rankings, and since that year it has operated independently.

This organization uses roughly similar variables to the QS-WUR, but in locating the best ones, first considers the number of full-time students, the number of students per teacher, the number of international students, and the female/male ratio in the student body. Apparently due to the preponderance of these variables, the Latin American universities in this ranking appear in much lower positions than those they occupy in the QS World University Rankings. The top ten Latin American universities ranked in THE in 2022 are shown in Table 2.

Table 1: The 10 best ranked Latin American universities in 2023 according to QS World University Ranking

World position	University	Country
67	Universidad de Buenos Aires	Argentina
104	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México	Mexico
115	Universidade de São Paulo	Brazil
121	Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile	Chile
167	Universidad de Chile	Chile
170	Instituto Tecnológico de Monterrey	Mexico
210	Universidade Estadual de Campinas	Brazil
220	Universidad de los Andes	Colombia
243	Universidad Nacional de Colombia	Colombia
323	Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina	Argentina

Source: QS World University Rankings (2023)

Table 2: The 10 best ranked Latin American universities in 2022 according to Times Higher Education

World position	University	Country
201-250	Universidade de São Paulo	Brazil
401-500	Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile	Chile
401-500	Universidade de Campinas	Brazil
601-800	Instituto Tecnológico de Monterrey	Mexico
601-800	Universidade Federal de Rio Grande do Sul	Brazil
601-800	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais	Brazil
801-1000	Universidade Federal de São Paulo	Brazil
801-1000	Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina	Brazil
801-1000	Universidad de Chile	Chile
801-1000	Pontificia Universidad Católica de Rio de Janeiro	Brazil

Source: Times Higher Education (2022)

In THE, the best ranked universities are, in that order, the University of Oxford (UK), Harvard University (USA) and the University of Cambridge (UK).

1) Academic Ranking of World Universities (ARWU) (IDP Connect Limited, 2022): Since 2003, this classification has been made by a group of specialists from the Jiao Tong University in Shanghai, China. Their ordering criteria are

based on the quality of education and faculties (for which they consider the Nobel prizes and Fields Medal obtained), research (articles published in Science, Nature, and indexed in SCI), and academic performance per capita of universities.

This ranking, even more selective, only includes Brazilian and Chilean universities (Table 3).

Table 3: The 10 best ranked Latin American universities in 2022 according to Academic Ranking of World Universities

World position	University	Country
101	Universidade de São Paulo	Brazil
301	Universidade de Campinas	Brazil
401	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais	Brazil
	Universidade Federal de Rio de Janeiro	
	Universidade Federal de Rio Grande do Sul	
	Universidade Estadual Paulista	
	Universidad de Chile	Chile
501	Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile	Chile
601	Universidade Federal de Paraná	Brazil
	Universidade Federal de São Carlos	
	Universidade Federal de São Paulo	
	Universidade Federal de Viçosa	

Source: IDP Connect Limited (2022)

In ARWU, the top three places are held by three American universities: Harvard University, Stanford University, and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

As can be seen from the data shown, there is a high coincidence between the English and American universities that occupy the first places in the world. As for Latin American universities, although some appear in the three rankings, this level of coincidence is not so high; even, as pointed by Páez et al. (2021) important variations from one year to the next may occur.

The tendency to locate universities in certain positions has led students to seek access to institutions based on the quality of faculty services, classrooms, laboratories and libraries, lodging and transportation, and even to the development of specific studies to determine the quality of these services (Mapén et al., 2020; Agboola et al., 2019; El Alfy and Abukari, 2019; Afrin and Rahman, 2020; Al-Mekhlafi, 2020; El-Hadidi, 2022).

THE HUMANIST-SOCIAL APPROACH IN THE QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES

The pertinence of universities is a concept that has been interpreted differently by various authors, who in many cases tend to associate pertinence with the ability to respond to the demands of the economy and production. However, authors such as Estupiñán et al. (2016) and Álvarez et al. (2018) emphasize the relationship of the university with its social environment, understood as the way in which the higher education institution is linked with all the actors of society, in an inclusive manner and favorably influencing the harmonious development of the individual and of the people in general, showing responsibility with the environment and the future of the new generations.

This commitment of the university to society has

been called social pertinence (Sosa et al., 2016; Álvarez et al., 2018) and is associated with university social responsibility, which implies a commitment to society from the study plans themselves (Olarte-Mejía and Ríos-Osorio, 2015). For Roque et al. (2016), postgraduate clients are not only the students and the institutions that send them to acquire a fourth level of training, but also society as the scene of the impact of these professionals. Based on these concepts, Luzuriaga et al. (2019) consider that in the procedure to evaluate the quality of the postgraduate course at the Autonomous Regional University of the Andes (UNIANDES), the social impact and the transfer of knowledge should have an important weight.

Acevedo-Duque et al. (2022) point out that, in a world threatened by climate change, the waste of resources, famines and armed conflicts, it should be the responsibility of universities, and in particular of postgraduate studies, to prepare professionals who can face these challenges. For this, they consider that postgraduate programs should establish lines of training depending on the region in which they are taught, and in which the political, economic, social and cultural actors of these fields must be involved. On this basis, several Latin American universities that offer postgraduate programs are redesigning them, incorporating an environmental and transdisciplinary vision, with a solid ethical project and without ruling out technological advances (Acevedo-Duque et al., 2023).

This trend is based on the concept of socio-formation, which implies that students solve real problems in specific areas of action in society, based on their own experiences (Tobón et al., 2015). To fulfill this purpose, the training of professors who will teach the postgraduate courses is of paramount importance. Aliaga-Pacora & Luna-Nemecio (2020) consider that the investigative skills of the students should be oriented towards their performance in the knowledge society, to take advantage of this knowledge in solving context problems. It is not only about acquiring scientific-technical knowledge, but about using it, based on a sustainability thought for the future of humanity (Nikolaiev, 2016).

Public universities should constitute *per se* a space where these transforming thoughts are generated, and yet they often limit themselves to teaching what is done in developed countries; in this way, the teaching and research plans and policies are much more similar to those of developed countries than to those of our context, despite the differences in their realities, budgets and environments (Pineda-López et al., 2019). This is one of the factors by which there is a divorce between what students and teachers consider as environmental education and what actually appears in the curricula (Amador et al., 2015), and a barrier to solving real problems by postgraduates is created.

THE COMPETITIVE APPROACH vs. THE HUMANIST-SOCIAL APPROACH

Abreu-Hernández and De la Cruz-Flores (2015) point out that the postgraduate studies have tended to train cadres capable of being employed by transnationals and not to the development of endogenous knowledge. This goal, based on

Fordism and Taylorism of the first decades of the 20th century, has led to the standardization of the quality of postgraduate processes based on variables that are easily measurable in numbers, such as the number of PhDs in the faculty, the articles published in certain types of magazines, the prizes obtained, and others. In the evaluation of the quality of the current postgraduate studies, some indicators and trends carry on counterproductive effects in the medium and long term, which are shown in Table 4.

Requirements such as the need to graduate within a certain period and to publish an article linked to the graduation process lead to research being carried out solely aimed at obtaining results that allow writing a thesis based on them. These investigations, although they allow the graduation of the student, do not contain relevant results, and are often published in journals of little scientific level. An important factor that has contributed to this is the global trend that has been manifested since the beginning of the millennium towards reducing the duration of master's programs to one year (Cruz, 2014).

This decrease in graduation time, however, has not been reflected to the same extent in doctoral programs, which generally last 3-4 years. Instead, new ways of obtaining a doctorate

Table 4: Trends and indicators of postgraduate quality and counterproductive effects in the medium and long term

Indicator or trend	Effects
On-time student graduation rate	- Reduced quality of learning - Training simplification - Specific investigations, only to achieve graduation, without scientific relevance
Students and teacher's publications related to the graduation process	- Irrelevant publications of unconsolidated partial results - Publications in low-scientific journals
Investigations that relate one variable to another in closed experimental environments	- Reductionism in science - Lack of systemic approach
Non-integrative training, aimed at individual research for the development of thesis	- Little or none training as teachers - Little leadership ability - Difficulties for teamwork

Source: Own elaboration based on Abreu-Hernández and De la Cruz-Flores (2015)

have appeared, such as the one based on the publication of a variable number of articles on a scientific topic, which has been adopted by many universities, with its advantages and disadvantages over traditional theses (Pérez-Piñar et al., 2017).

The propensity to carry out research in closed environments, with experimental designs that correlate variables outside the real context in which the phenomena occur, constitutes a particularly important danger in postgraduate training. In this way, the paradox can arise that a brilliant student, author of a publication in a journal with a high impact factor, finds it difficult to develop later in contexts that demand a holistic vision, integrating variables that were excluded from the design of his research to graduate. Likewise, the vertical formation of the students in research leaves gaps in their training as teachers and leaders, and diminishes their abilities to make and integrate into collaborative teams, skills that all postgraduates should have for their insertion in society. This is another inconsistency since, as a rule, universities and many research centers require a postgraduate degree to access certain teaching and scientific positions, which puts universities in the role of producer-consumer of postgraduates (Araujo and Walker, 2020). Contrast this situation with what is considered a benchmark in the European model regarding the inclusive training of postgraduates (Walker et al., 2008, cited by Cruz, 2014) as teachers, researchers and leaders with ethical and social responsibility.

Numerous universities maintain this style of work, pressured by postgraduate quality assessment systems; although the will to avoid the commodification of higher education is officially declared, in practice the quality indicators that are required force them to be included in the competitive model, because the weight of indicators of this type is greater than that of those measuring the insertion in society and the link with social problems (Sánchez et al., 2018).

This situation can acquire more complex nuances when trying to obtain international accreditation.

For example, in the quality management model designed by Ferreiro et al. (2020) to direct the postgraduate processes of the Autonomous University of Baja California, Mexico, towards international accreditation, the variables that are taken into account are typical of the competitive approach (academic staff, students, study plan, assessment and continuous improvement, infrastructure, equipment and institutional support) without considering, at least explicitly, indicators linked to the socio-cultural role of the university in its environment.

The management models of university processes, such as those proposed by Bustos et al. (2016) and Véliz et al. (2020) inevitably lead to priority compliance with this type of indicators, because this is established by the bodies that are in charge of accreditation. In China, Guo and Li (2018), although they declare the importance of postgraduate studies for the sustainable development of the country and of higher education itself, focus their model and proposals for improvement on the quality of teaching and the scientific level of the curriculum. Also in China, Xu et al. (2022) recognize the importance of the insertion of postgraduates in society for the solution of local and global problems, but they do not take this factor into account in their quality prediction model.

As already seen, the medium and long-term effects of this type of quality management models are usually different from those expected; for example, Patiño and Alcántara (2018) point out that, in Mexico, after a decade of policies aimed at solving the lack of human resources for research and the search for high-quality postgraduate programs, there has been an accelerated increase in low-quality graduate programs that are based in the private sector. Instead, students welcome the reversal or easing of these trends. Also in Mexico, a perception study carried out on students of a Master's in Health Sciences showed differences between the subjects in terms of the level of participation that the students observed in various variables, including the execution of activities linked to the social environment (Rillo et al., 2009). An investigation carried out at the Catholic University of Murcia, Spain (Pegalajar,

2016) showed that the student body favorably appreciates that teachers understand knowledge as a teacher-student social construction, that teaching-learning methods include innovation and solving practical problems, and that what they have learned is useful for their insertion in a society with a high level of complexity.

Based on these analyses, is it correct to make a total turn towards the social-humanist approach, abandoning competitive positioning standards, and flatly rejecting the intention of being ranked among the best universities worldwide?

In our Latin American reality, a university should harmoniously combine the training of professionals capable of meeting the development needs of the countries, the generation of knowledge related to universal values, the learning/adaptation/application of existing technologies and the concrete responses to demands of the environment, with global insertion strategies (Ricaurte and Pozo, 2018). However, turning a blind eye to the existence of rankings, and to compliance with the parameters that govern the world quality of universities today, means excluding oneself from the competition; therefore, program proposals, their management and self-evaluation must take into account both the world standards of the competitive approach and the principles of the social-humanist approach.

It is not a question of introducing radical changes in the way in which the postgraduate studies are projected and managed, but of making the purposes indicated in the programs that are approved, in terms such as interdisciplinary approach to the object of knowledge, link with the productive environment, collaborative work (Cruz, 2014) and others, stop being good intentions and become real work objectives. These transformative actions should be accompanied by an accreditation system that gives a greater role to linking postgraduates with society and solving their problems at a local, regional and national level, as well as a forensic fight so that these criteria gain space in global accreditation systems.

CONCLUSIONS

Based in the information reviewed, it is concluded that in postgraduate quality management worldwide the competitive approach predominates, with the tendency for universities to position themselves on the basis of academic and scientific standards. Graduates from these programs sometimes find it difficult to solve social practice problems, which require a holistic vision, and show a lack of commitment to sustainable development.

The social responsibility of universities, and postgraduate studies in particular, implies a commitment from training, research and the application of technologies in solving local, regional and national problems, which can only be achieved with a solid humanistic-social approach.

Latin American universities must combine compliance with positioning standards in competitive rankings with postgraduate social responsibility objectives, and assign greater weight to the latter in accreditation systems.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The first author is the main author of the article, the remaining authors have been ordered, in correspondence with their participation. The contribution of each one is mentioned below, using the CRediT Taxonomy:

- Eduardo Fidel Héctor Ardisana: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Research, Methodology, Writing - original

- draft, Writing - review and editing.
- Antonio Clarencio Guzmán Ramírez: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing-revision and editing.
- Osvaldo Alberto Fosado Téllez: Formal analysis, Methodology and Writing-review.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

- Abreu-Hernández, L. F., & De la Cruz-Flores, G. (2015). Crisis en la calidad del posgrado: ¿Evaluación de la obiedad, o evaluación de procesos para impulsar la innovación en la sociedad del conocimiento? *Perfiles Educativos*, 37(147), 162-182. https://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?pid=S0185-26982015000100010&script=sci_abstract
- Acevedo-Duque, Á., Jiménez-Bucarey, C., Prado-Sabido, T., Fernández-Mantilla, M. M., Merino-Flores, I., Izquierdo-Marín, S. S., & Valle-Palomino, N. (2023). Education for sustainable development: challenges for postgraduate programmes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(3), 1759. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20031759>
- Acevedo-Duque, Á., Prado-Sabido, T., García-Salirrosas, E. E., Fernández, M. M., Vera, V. G., Valle, N., & Aguilar, H. M. (2022). Postgraduate trends in the training of human talent for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14356. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114356>
- Afrin, A. B., & Rahman, M. O. (2020). Students' perception on service quality of IIUM: study on international postgraduate students. *International Journal of Islamic Management and Business*, 8(1), 1-16. <http://www.crimbbd.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/5.8.3.pdf>
- Agboola, I. O., Bamigboye, O. B., & Owolabi, R. O. (2019). Satisfaction with Library Services at the Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice* (e-journal). 2508. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/2508>
- Aliaga-Pacora, A. A., & Luna-Nemecio, J. (2020). La construcción de competencias investigativas del docente de posgrado para lograr el desarrollo social sostenible. *Revista Espacios*, 41(20), 1-12. <https://www.revistaespacios.com/a20v41n20/a20v41n20p01.pdf>
- Al-Mekhlafi, M. S. (2020). Quality evaluation of postgraduate programs from the perspective of students (Faculty of Education, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University (IAU), KSA). *Journal of Education in Black Sea Region*, 5(2), 76-95. <https://doi.org/10.31578/jeds.v5i2.201>
- Álvarez, G. A., Romero, A. J., & Gómez, C. E. (2018). Pertinencia de la educación superior; un reto para la universidad latinoamericana actual. *Revista Dilemas Contemporáneos: Educación, Política y Valores*, VI(edición especial), 1-17. <https://dilemascontemporaneoseduacionpoliticaayvalores.com/index.php/dilemas/article/view/687/1189>
- Amador, F., Martinho, A. P., Bacelar-Nicolau, P., Caeiro, S., & Padrel, C. (2015). Education for sustainable development in higher education: evaluating coherence between theory and praxis. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 40(6), 867-882. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2015.1054783>
- Araujo, S., & Walker, V. (2020). El posgrado en la Argentina: la acreditación en perspectiva comparada. *Integración y conocimiento*, 9(1), 11-29. <https://revistas.unc.edu.ar/index.php/integracionyconocimiento/>

[article/view/27592](https://doi.org/10.23913/ride.v10i20.606)

- Bustos, E., Cerecedo, M. T., & García, M. J. (2016). Modelo de gestión de conocimiento para el desarrollo de posgrado: estudio de caso. *Revista Electrónica de Investigación Educativa*, 18(1), 128-139. <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/155/15543298009.pdf>
- Cruz, V. (2014). Tendencias del posgrado en Iberoamérica. *Ciencia y Sociedad*, 39(4), 641-663. https://www.auiop.org/images/stories/DATOS/PublicacionesOnLine/TendenciasPostgrado_Iberoamerica_Victor_Cruz_2014.pdf
- El Alfy, S., & Abukari, A. (2019). Revisiting perceived service quality in higher education: uncovering service quality dimensions for postgraduate students. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 30(1), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08841241.2019.1648360>
- El-Hadidi, I. A. (2022). Evaluation of postgraduate programs at the Faculty of Education at Al-Aqsa University in light of the standards of the National Authority for Accreditation and Quality. *International Journal of Learning Management Systems*, 10(2), 97-106. https://ijlms.journals.ekb.eg/article_243179.html
- Estupiñán, J., Villamar, M. A., Campi, A. T., & Cadena, L. A. (2016). Reflexiones acerca de la pertinencia e impacto de la educación superior en Ecuador desde su perspectiva actual. *Revista Órbita Pedagógica*, 3(3), 81-92. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321266893_REFLEXIONES_ACERCA_DE_LA_PERTINENCIA_E_IMPACTO_DE_LA_EDUCACION_SUPERIOR_EN_ECUADOR_DESDE_SU_PERSPECTIVA_ACTUAL
- Ferreiro, V. V., Brito, J., & Garambullo, A. I. (2020). Modelo de gestión de calidad como estrategia de planeación en procesos de acreditaciones internacionales. *RIDE Revista Iberoamericana para la Investigación y el Desarrollo Educativo*, 10(20), e058. <https://doi.org/10.23913/ride.v10i20.606>
- Guo, S. & Li, M. (2018). Research on satisfaction of postgraduate education based on structural equation model. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 246, 208-211. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icpel-18.2018.51>
- IDP Connect Limited. (2022). *Academic Ranking of World Universities*. Recuperado de <https://www.hotcourseslatinoamerica.com/study/rankings/arwu.html>
- Luzuriaga, M. A., Romero, A. J., Álvarez, G. A., & Álvarez, S. D. (2019). Propuesta de procedimiento para evaluar la calidad del posgrado en la Universidad Regional Autónoma de los Andes (UNIANDES). *Revista Dilemas Contemporáneos: Educación, Política y Valores*, VI (Edición especial). <https://dilemascontemporaneoseduccionpoliticayvalores.com/index.php/dilemas/article/view/1108/29>
- Mapén, F. J., Becerra, A., & Martínez, G. (2020). Importancia y satisfacción de los servicios universitarios en posgrado desde la perspectiva estudiantil. *Revista San Gregorio*, (38), 15-26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.36097/rsan.v1i38.1238>
- Montoya, J., & Escallón, E. (2013). Calidad de la educación superior: ¿recursos, actividades o resultados? En *La educación superior: retos y perspectivas*. Universidad de los Andes.
- Nikolaiev, K. D. (2016). Competence approach-the basis of modern postgraduate education for sustainable development. *Journal of Modern Science*, 2(29), 251-268. <https://cejsh.icm.edu.pl/cejsh/element/bwmeta1.element.desklight-dc7ddd98-db75-4bff-b6cb-ddee568d4a0d>
- Olarte-Mejía, D. V., & Ríos-Osorio, L. A.

- (2015). Enfoques y estrategias de responsabilidad social implementadas en Instituciones de Educación Superior. Una revisión sistemática de la literatura científica de los últimos 10 años. *Revista de la Educación Superior*, XLIV(3), 19-40. <https://www.scielo.org.mx/pdf/resu/v44n175/v44n175a2.pdf>
- Páez, D. L., Camargo, D. R., & Muriel, Y. J. (2021). Calidad de las instituciones de educación superior desde la perspectiva de América Latina y el Caribe. *SIGNOS-Investigación en Sistemas de Gestión*, 13(1), 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.15332/24631140.6350>
- Patiño, J., & Alcántara, A. (2018). Una década evaluando la calidad del posgrado en México (2008-2018). *Inter-Ação*, 45(3), 653-669. <https://doi.org/10.5216/ia.v45i3.67009>
- Pegalajar, M. C. (2016). Percepciones del alumnado de posgrado acerca de la metodología docente y evaluativa. *Profesorado. Revista de Currículum y Formación de Profesorado*, 20(2), 98-119. <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/567/56746946006.pdf>
- Pérez-Piñar, M., González, E., & Ayerbe, L. (2017). ¿Tesis doctoral tradicional o por compendio de publicaciones? *SEMERGEN-Medicina de Familia*, 43(2), 170-171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semerg.2016.02.002>
- Pineda-López, M. R., Sánchez-Velázquez, L. R., Alarcón-Gutiérrez, E., & Ruiz, E. E. (2019). La formación de científicos creativos con perspectiva regional en las universidades públicas: un reto. *Diálogos sobre educación. Temas actuales en investigación educativa*, 10(19), 1-11. <https://www.scielo.org.mx/pdf/dsetaie/v10n19/2007-2171-dsetaie-10-19-00014.pdf>
- QS Top Universities. (2023). *QS World University Rankings 2023: Top global universities*. <https://www.topuniversities.com/university-rankings/world-university-rankings/2023>
- Ricaurte, C., & Pozo, J. M. (2018). Gestión, procesos y calidad universitaria: caso ecuatoriano. *European Scientific Journal*, 14(34), 195-217. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2018.v14n34p195>
- Rillo, A. G., Arceo, M. E., & Ocaña, H. (2009). Evaluación del proceso educativo de un posgrado en salud mediante la participación de los estudiantes. *Educación Médica Superior*, 23(4), 166-175. <http://scielo.sld.cu/pdf/ems/v23n4/ems02409.pdf>
- Roque, R., Guerra, R. M., & Escobar, A. (2016). Aplicación de un Sistema de Gestión de la Calidad NC-ISO 9001 a la dirección del posgrado académico. *Educación Médica Superior*, 30(3), 534-545. <http://scielo.sld.cu/pdf/ems/v30n3/ems07316.pdf>
- Sánchez, J., Chávez, J., & Mendoza, J. (2018). La calidad en la educación superior: una mirada al proceso de evaluación y acreditación de universidades del Ecuador. *Revista Caribeña de Ciencias Sociales*. <http://www.eumed.net/rev/caribe/2018/01/calidad-educacion-superior.html>
- Sosa, A. M., Íñigo, E., & Martín, E. (2016). La dimensión social de la pertinencia como complemento sustancial en el logro de la equidad en la educación superior. *Revista Cubana de Educación Superior*, (1), 30-40. <http://scielo.sld.cu/pdf/rces/v35n1/rces03116.pdf>
- Stubrin, A., Martin, E., Dias, J., González, L. E., Espinosa, O., & Goergen, P. (2007). *Calidad, pertinencia y relevancia: relación con el resto del sistema y la sociedad; responsabilidad social de la universidad IESALC UNESCO 2007*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/297514458_Calidad_pertinencia_y_relevancia_Relacion_con_el_resto_del_sistema_y_la

sociedad Responsabilidad social de la universidad 2007-10

- Times Higher Education (2022). *Best universities in Latin America*. <https://www.timeshighereducation.com/student/best-universities/best-universities-latin-america>
- Tobón, S., González, L., Nambo, J. S., & Vázquez, J. M. (2015). La socioformación: un estudio conceptual. *Paradigma*, XXXVI(1), 7-29. <http://ve.scielo.org/pdf/pdg/v36n1/art02.pdf>
- Véliz, V.F., Alonso, A., Alfonso, D., Fleitas, M. S., & Michelena, E. (2020). Procedimiento de gestión para asegurar la calidad de una universidad. Caso de estudio Universidad Técnica de Manabí. *Ingeniare. Revista chilena de ingeniería*, 28(1), 143-154. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-33052020000100143>
- Vera, H., & González-Ledesma, M. A. (2018). Calidad y evaluación: matrimonio del cielo y el infierno. *Perfiles Educativos*, XL(número especial), 53-97. <https://www.scielo.org.mx/pdf/peredu/v40nspe/0185-2698-peredu-41-spe-53.pdf>
- Xu, Y., Zhou, X., Qi, W., & Wu, J. (2022). Early warning method of postgraduate education quality in colleges and universities based on multisource information fusion. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 1888731. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1888731>