



Terra Latinoamericana

ISSN: 2395-8030

Sociedad Mexicana de la Ciencia del Suelo A.C.

Pérez-Hernández, Valentín; Hernández-Guzmán, Mario; Valenzuela-Encinas, César;
Alcántara-Hernández, Rocío; Estrada-Alvarado, Isabel; Dendooven, Luc; Marsch,
Rodolfo; Gutiérrez-Miceli, Federico; Ruíz-Valdiviezo, Víctor M.; Montes-Molina, Joaquín A.

An improved method for extraction of microbial DNA from alkaline-saline soil

Terra Latinoamericana, vol. 39, e887, 2021, January-December

Sociedad Mexicana de la Ciencia del Suelo A.C.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.28940/terra.v39i0.887>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=57366066051>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org







Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and
Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

An improved method for extraction of microbial DNA from alkaline-saline soil

Método mejorado para la extracción de ADN microbiano de suelo alcalino-salino

Valentín Pérez-Hernández¹ , Mario Hernández-Guzmán² , César Valenzuela-Encinas¹ ,
Rocío Alcántara-Hernández² , Isabel Estrada-Alvarado² , Luc Dendooven² , Rodolfo Marsch² ,
Federico Gutiérrez-Miceli¹ , Víctor M. Ruíz-Valdiviezo¹ , and Joaquín A. Montes-Molina^{1‡} 

¹ Laboratory of Soil Ecology, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Instituto Tecnológico de Tuxtla-Gutiérrez, Tecnológico Nacional de México, Tuxtla-Gutiérrez, México. Carretera Panamericana 1080 km 29020, Boulevares. 29050 Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México.

[‡] Corresponding author (joaquin.mm@tuxtla.tecnm.mx)

² Laboratory of Soil Ecology, Department of Biotechnology and Bioengineering, CINVESTAV-IPN. Av Instituto Politécnico Nacional 2508, San Pedro Zacatenco, Gustavo A. Madero. 07360 Ciudad de México, CDMX, México.

SUMMARY

A modified method for the direct extraction of DNA from alkaline-saline soils with minimum DNA fragmentation and a possible reduction in chimera formation during polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was developed. The commercial extraction kit Power Soil DNA (Mo Bio™ Laboratories, Inc.) was used as a reference technique. The method reported here was based on cell lysis employing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and cell disruption with mechanical force with FastPrep-24™ equip followed by one cycle of freezing at -40 °C for 60 min and thawing at 65 °C for 20 min. The extraction method was tested for allophonic soils with large concentrations of organic matter, fulvic and humic acids, electrolytic conductivity (EC) ranging between 2.6 dS m⁻¹ and 39.9 dS m⁻¹, and pH between 8.8 and 10.9. The yield of DNA extracted depended on soil type, *i.e.*, DNA extracted from soil varied between 2.35 (Texcoco-2) to 3.66 (Texcoco-1) µg DNA g⁻¹ soil. The proposed method in this study produced enough DNA with yield and quality for PCR amplification of 16S rDNA when bovine serum albumin (BSA) was added to the reaction buffer. The DNA obtained had sufficient quality and yield for later use for 16S sequencing or possible use in other sequencing technologies, *e.g.* whole metagenome shotgun sequencing.

Index words: DNA extraction, DNA sequencing, PCR amplification, saline-alkaline soil.

RESUMEN

Se desarrolló un método modificado para la lisis bacteriana y la extracción directa de ADN de suelo alcalino-salino con mínima fragmentación y con esto, evitar posiblemente, la formación de quimeras a partir de pequeños fragmentos de ADN durante la amplificación por PCR. Se utilizó el kit Power Soil DNA (Mo Bio™ Laboratories, Inc.) como técnica de referencia. El método reportado se basó en la lisis celular en presencia de dodecilsulfato de sodio (SDS), ácido etilendiaminotetraacético (EDTA) y lisis celular mecánica empleando un equipo Fast-Prep-24™, seguido de un ciclo de congelación a -40 °C durante 60 min y descongelación a 65 °C durante 20 min. El método de extracción se probó para suelos alofónicos con grandes concentraciones de materia orgánica, ácidos fúlvicos y húmicos, conductividad electrolítica (CE) entre 2.6 dS m⁻¹ y 39.9 dS m⁻¹, y pH entre 8.8 y 10.9. La concentración del ADN extraído de los suelos osciló entre 2.35 (Texcoco-2) y 3.66 (Texcoco-1) µg de ADN g⁻¹ de suelo, y el rendimiento dependió del tipo de suelo empleado. El método produjo ADN lo suficientemente puro para la amplificación del gen 16S rADN por PCR cuando se añadió albúmina de suero bovino (BSA) al buffer de reacción. El ADN obtenido

Recommended citation:

Pérez-Hernández, V., Hernández-Guzmán, M., Valenzuela-Encinas, C., Alcántara-Hernández, R., Estrada-Alvarado, I., Dendooven, L., Marsch, R., Gutiérrez-Miceli, F., Ruíz-Valdiviezo, V. M., and Montes-Molina, J. A. (2021). An improved method for extraction of microbial DNA from alkaline-saline soil. *Terra Latinoamericana*, 39, 1-11. e887. <https://doi.org/10.28940/terra.v39i0.887>

Received: November 04, 2020. Approved: May 01, 2021.
Article. Volume 39, June, 2021.

presenta suficiente calidad y rendimiento para su uso posterior para la secuenciación del gen 16S rADN, o para un secuenciamiento directo, *i.e.* secuenciación del metagenoma.

Palabras clave: extracción de ADN, secuenciamiento del ADN, amplificación por PCR, suelo salino-alkalino.

INTRODUCTION

The direct extraction of microorganism's nucleic acids material from the soil or any other environmental sample has become a useful tool to identify microorganisms that cannot be cultivated in laboratory conditions. The direct extraction of DNA reveals genotype diversity in different microbial ecosystems (Sagova-Mareckova *et al.*, 2008; Islam, Sultana, Melvin-Joe, Cho, and Sa, 2012). Increased yields of DNA from soils have been obtained employing different physical-mechanical methods, *e.g.*, mechanical bead beating (Cullen and Hirsch, 1998), sonication to lyse microbial cells (Krsek and Wellington, 1999), manual fragmentation using a mortar and pestle (Devi *et al.*, 2015). However, those treatments can reduce DNA to fragments <10 kb (Liesack and Stackebrandt, 1992; Zhou, Bruns, and Tiedje, 1996). These small DNA fragments presents some drawbacks for the analysis of microbial communities, because of chimeric products formation with small template DNA in the PCR amplification process (Hugenholtz and Huber, 2003).

Different methods for direct DNA extraction have been proposed for environmental samples, *e.g.* mannitol-based methods, polyethylene glycol (PEG/NaCl) method (Fatima, Pathak, and Rastogi, 2014), guanidinium isothiocyanate-based lysis (Hill *et al.*, 2015), and bead beating-phosphate lysis (Guerra, Beule, Lehtsaar, Liao, and Karlovsky, 2020). However, some microbial cells may remain strongly bonded to soil particles, this process difficult the DNA extraction with high yields, mainly in soil with high content of clay or organic matter (Zhou *et al.*, 1996). Additionally, the DNA extracted from the soil regularly co-extracts organic substances, *e.g.*, humic or fulvic acid, which hinders DNA detection and quantification (Zipper *et al.*, 2003). This organic substance can inhibit DNA polymerase in the PCR amplification (Ogram, 2000), and interferes in specific process of PCR like restriction

enzyme digestion, transformation efficiency, and DNA hybridization (Tsai and Olson, 1992). So, the removal of the humic and fulvic acids co-extracted in the direct extraction of DNA from the soil is an important step before the amplification of DNA by PCR (Steffan, Goksøyr, Bej, and Atlas, 1988).

Extraction of cells from the soil is another way of studying microorganisms in their natural environments (Cho, Lee, Cheol, Cho, and Kim, 1996) producing high purity of the DNA extract, but a large amount of soil is needed, the technique is very complex and time consuming and some bacteria cannot be extracted (Courtois *et al.*, 2001). The direct extraction method (SDS-based), however, results in a 92-99% recovery of DNA from soil bacteria and crude DNA yield, agreeing reasonably well with a yield based on microbial counts (Zhou *et al.*, 1996). Most proposed extraction methods were developed for a limited number of soil types and their efficiency in other environments is unknown (Alm and Stahl, 2000; Hurt *et al.*, 2001). Some extraction method has been developed for alkaline soils (Sorokin *et al.*, 2001; Narayan, Jain, Shah, and Madamwar, 2016; Gupta, Manjula, Rajendhran, Gunasekaran, and Vakhlu, 2017), but we are not aware of any studies done with soils with high pH, and large electrolytic conductivity (EC) and organic matter content.

The former lake Texcoco has unique conditions of salinity and alkalinity. It is located in the valley of Mexico City at an altitude of 2240 m above the average sea level with a mean annual temperature of 16 °C and precipitation 705 mm (Dendooven *et al.*, 2010). The soil of the former lake has partly been drained to reduce the excess of salt and irrigated with sewage effluents, which in turn affects soil characteristics (Luna-Guido *et al.*, 2000). Natural spatial variation, drainage with effluents for different lengths of time, and introduction of vegetation has created soils in the former lake Texcoco with different pH and salinity (Luna-Guido *et al.*, 2000; Gutiérrez-Castorena, Stoops, Ortiz, and López, 2005). The undrained soil present variable pH ranging from 9.8 to 11.7, electrolytic conductivity from 22 to 150 dS m⁻¹ and contains a high concentration of sodium (exchangeable sodium percentages >76% and sodium adsorption ratio >103 Mm) (Beltrán-Hernández *et al.*, 1999).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the extraction of DNA from saline-alkaline allophanic soils with large organic matter content and develop a simple

and improved method giving large DNA yields with sufficient large fragments so that the microbial community in this specific environment could be studied more in detail. The commercial extraction kit Power Soil DNA (Mo Bio™ Laboratories, Inc.) was used as a reference technique. The method reported here was tested on four soils with EC between 39.9 dS m⁻¹ and 0.8 dS m⁻¹, pH between 10.9 and 6.3, and organic matter content between 30 and 12.7 g C kg⁻¹ soil. The quality of the extracted DNA was tested by PCR amplification of the bacterial 16S rDNA marker gene, as polymerase is sensitive to contamination with humic acids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soil Sampling

Three different locations with different EC and pH in the former Lake Texcoco (state of Mexico, Mexico) were sampled. The first site had a pH of 10.9 and EC of 39.9 dS m⁻¹ (considered Texcoco-1), the second site had a pH of 9.4 and EC of 9.8 dS m⁻¹ (considered Texcoco-2), and the third location had a pH of 8.8 and EC of 2.6 dS m⁻¹ (considered Texcoco-3). More details of the characteristics of the Texcoco soil can be found in Dendooven *et al.* (2010). The Acolman soil, which served as a control, was sampled near the ex-convent of Acolman in the State of Mexico, Mexico (Table 1).

More details of the characteristics of the Acolman soil can be found in Betancur-Galvis, Alvarez, Ramos, and Dendooven (2006).

At each sampling site ($n = 4$), the 0-10 cm layer of three 400 m² areas were collected 20 times each. The soil collected from each area was pooled separately so that 12 soil samples were obtained. A 100 g sub-sample was taken from each soil sample and stored at -80 °C until extracted for DNA.

Soil Characterization

The collected soil samples were air-dried in the shadow for 7 days, grinded afterward and sieved at 5 mm-mesh. Subsequently, the soil was characterized (Table 1). The EC was measured in a saturated solution extract (Conde *et al.*, 2005). Soil pH was measured in 1:2.5 soil-H₂O suspension using a glass electrode (Thomas, 1996). The total C in soil was determined by oxidation with potassium dichromate and trapping the evolved CO₂ in NaOH, followed by titration with 0.1 M HCl (Amato, 1983). Inorganic C in soil was determined by adding 20 mL 1 M HCl solution to 1 g air-dried soil and trap CO₂ evolved in 20 mL 1 M NaOH and then titrate. The organic C was defined as the difference between total and inorganic C. Total N was measured by the Kjeldahl method (Bremner, 1996) and soil particle size distribution by the hydrometer method (Gee and Bauder, 1986).

Table 1. Characteristics of soil samples used in this study.

Soil	Location	pH	Electrolytic conductivity	Carbon		Particle size distribution			USDA textural classification
				Organic	Inorganic	Clay	Silt	Sand	
			dS m ⁻¹	- - - - - g kg ⁻¹ soil - - - - -					
Texcoco 1	N 19°30'05" W 98°59'15"	10.9	39.9	30.3	7.5	444	167	399	Clay
Texcoco 2	N 19°29'80" W 98°58'01"	9.4	9.8	26.8	8.4	312	55	634	Sandy clay loam
Texcoco 3	N 19°29'46" W 98°58'05"	8.8	2.6	12.7	12.2	239	40	722	Sandy clay loam
Acolman	N 19°38 W 98°55	6.3	0.8	19	0.6	440	300	260	Clay

Extraction of Total Soil DNA

The total DNA from soil was extracted using a modified method (Tsai and Olson, 1991; Erb and Wagner-Döbler, 1993; Guo, Sun, Harsh, and Ogram, 1997; Valenzuela-Encinas *et al.*, 2008) (Figure 1). The composition and concentration of the solutions used for DNA extraction are shown in Table 2. A sample of 1 g soil was added to 15 mL conical centrifuge tubes containing 10 mL of sodium pyrophosphate solution for humic acid removal (Ceja-Navarro *et al.*, 2010),




Figure 1. DNA extraction technique: a schematic view.

vortexed for 1 min, incubated for 10 min at room temperature, and centrifuged for 10 min at $3000 \times g$. The supernatant was decanted, and the step with the sodium pyrophosphate solution was repeated four times. Soil pellet was re-suspended in 10 mL of sodium phosphate buffer solution (PB buffer), vortexed for 1 min, incubated for 10 min at room temperature, and centrifuged for 10 min at $3000 \times g$. The supernatant was decanted, and the step with the sodium phosphate solution buffer was repeated twice. The soil pellet was re-suspended in 1 mL lysis solution I, briefly, 1 mL of lysis solution II, and 0.5 g of sterile sand (free of organic matter) were added and gentle mixing. Microbial lysis was done with Fast-Prep®-24 (MP Biomedicals™) at 5.5 m s^{-1} for 30 s. The suspended soil in both lysis solutions was passed through a cycle of freezing at -40°C for 60 min, and thawing at 65°C for 20 min. After freezing/thawing, samples were then centrifuged at $7700 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new sterile conical tube and mixed with 600 μL of EDTA solution and 300 μL potassium acetate solution, briefly, incubated at on ice for 30 min, and centrifuged for 10 min at $3000 \times g$. The supernatant was transferred to a new sterile conical tube and mixed with an equal volume of organic solvent mixture, centrifuged for 10 min at $3000 \times g$. The aqueous phase was recovered and washed with organic solvent mixture, and this step was repeated twice. The supernatant solution was transferred to a clean tube. Saline polyethylene glycol (PEG) in equal volume was added to the aqueous phase obtained, and incubated overnight at 4°C , and then centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min at $12\,000 \times g$. The supernatant was decanted. The DNA in the pellet was washed with 5 mL 70% cold ethanol and air-dried. The pellet of crude DNA extracts was re-suspended in 100 μL bi-distilled H_2O . The DNA extracted was stored at -20°C until used for PCR amplification.

The Texcoco soils were pre-treated with Sorensen solution buffer PBS to prevent salt interferences and improve DNA extraction with the Mobio extraction kit. Soils were desalted by adding 10 mL of the Sorensen PB solution (pH 8.0), high speed vortexed for 1 min, let to settle for 10 min, and then centrifuged at $7700 \times g$ at 15°C for 10 min (Guo *et al.*, 1997). Four samples of 0.25 g of pre-treated soil were extracted for DNA using the Mobio extraction kit following the manufacturer recommendations (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then pooled, *i.e.*, a total of 1.0 g of soil was extracted.

Table 2. Composition of lysis solution and reagents used during DNA extraction.

Solution	Composition
Sodium pyrophosphate solution	0.15 M Na ₄ P ₂ O ₇
Sodium phosphate buffer solution	0.12 M NaH ₂ PO ₄ , pH 8.0
Lysis solution I	0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 M EDTA, pH 8.0
Lysis solution II	0.1 M NaCl, 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 12% SDS
EDTA solution	0.5 M EDTA, pH 8
Potassium acetate	5 M CH ₃ CO ₂ K, pH 5
Saline 13% PEG	13% PEG [8,000 MW], dissolved in 1.6 M NaCl
Organic solvent mixture	CHCl ₃ :isoamyl alcohol (24:1)

A positive control PCR was done using DNA extracted from *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola*. The axenic strain *P. syringae* was extracted using the Fungal/Bacterial miniprep kit following the manufacturer's protocol (Zymo research, Irvine, CA, USA).

PCR Amplification of Soil DNA

The DNA obtained was used as a template for bacterial 16S rDNA gene amplification by PCR using the universal primer 27F (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3') and 1492R (5'-GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T-3') (Yeates, Gillings, Davison, Altavilla, and Veal, 1997). Conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C (1 min), 55 °C (1 min), and 68 °C (2 min) and ending at 68 °C (7.5 min). The reaction mixture contained the following: 1 µL template DNA (<20 ng µL⁻¹) extracted with either the commercial kit or the developed method, with or without 6 mg mL⁻¹ bovine serum albumin (BSA), 1X *Pfx* amplification buffer, 0.3 mM dNTP, 1.0 mM MgSO₄, 0.3 mM primer mix, and 0.5 U Platinum *Pfx* DNA polymerase, Invitrogen.

Electrophoresis

The DNA extracted from soil and PCR-amplified DNA's were gel electrophorized in TAE buffer (0.04 M Tris-acetate, 0.002 M EDTA [pH 8]) with 1.0% agarose (w v⁻¹). Five µL extracted DNA and 3 µL PCR-amplified products were used during electrophoresis.

Determination of the Purity and Yield of DNA

The concentration of DNA in the extracts was determined spectrophotometrically as described by Sambrook, Fritsch, and Maniatis (1989) (Table 3). The purity of the DNA was determined by spectrophotometric readings at 230, 260, and 280 nm. The A_{260/280} and A_{260/230} ratios were calculated to evaluate levels of protein and humic acid impurities, respectively, in the extracts (Ogram Sayler, and Barkay, 1987; Steffan and Atlas, 1988).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerous methods have been developed to extract DNA from soil, but most of the proposed extraction methods are limited to certain environments and limit the extraction process to a defined group of soils (Alm and Stahl, 2000; Hurt *et al.*, 2001; Zhou, Xia, Huang, Palumbo, and Tiedje, 2004; Devi *et al.*, 2015; Guerra *et al.*, 2020). Soil of the former lake Texcoco is alkaline-saline, allophanic with few aggregates and with a large organic matter content thus often containing large amounts of humic and fulvic acids. No DNA extraction technique has yet been described for this type of soil with sufficient yield and specific details in the extraction steps.

We extracted DNA from the former lake Texcoco soils and an arable one using an extraction technique based on the methods of Tsai and Olson (1991), Erb and Wagner-Döbler (1993), Guo *et al.* (1997) and Valenzuela-Encinas *et al.* (2008). In addition, the commercial extraction kit Power Soil DNA (Mo Bio™

Laboratories, Inc.) was used as a reference technique. The extraction of DNA from Acolman, Texcoco-2 and Texcoco-3 soils with the commercial kit was successful but failed for Texcoco-1 soil (Table 3, Figure 2).

The low level of microbial biomass is the characteristic of alkaline soils and an efficient lysis procedure is required to obtain high yield and quality of DNA during the extraction. The use of a combination of methods, *e.g.* freezing-thawing process and mechanical disruption with Fast-Prep24, enhance the yield and quality in Texcoco-1, *i.e.* the soil with both higher EC and pH (Table 1). The efficiency of an extraction protocol could be measured comparing the time effort and reagents used in the process. Compared with DNA extraction method used in Texcoco soils (Valenzuela-Encinas *et al.*, 2008) and saline-alkaline soil of Xochimilco, Mexico (Embarcadero-Jiménez

et al., 2014), the proposed method employed minor use in reagent and time in the step of freezing-thawing process.

The PCR amplification was not possible using the DNA samples of the Texcoco and Acolman soils (Figure 3, line 2 to 9), *i.e.* no clean and/or bright bands of PCR products were obtained in samples without BSA. These might be related to impurities, such as protein, humic and fulvic acids (Table 3), so we recommend a purification step previous amplification to improve DNA quality. To avoid latter purification steps, Verma and Satyanarayana (2011) employed powdered activated charcoal and polyvinyl-pyrrolidone to eliminate humic substances during soil DNA extraction. These reagents could be implemented in further studies to improve the proposed extraction method.

Table 3. Crude DNA and ratios for different soil samples extracted using the Kit Mo Bio™ and the improve technique in this study.

Soil	Electrolytic conductivity	Power Soil DNA kit			Technique developed		
		DNA	A _{260/280}	A _{260/230}	DNA	A _{260/280}	A _{260/230}
	dS m ⁻¹	µg g ⁻¹ of soil			µg g ⁻¹ of soil		
Texcoco-1	39.9	2	1.47	0.45	3.67	1.18	1.05
Texcoco-2	9.8	6	1.41	0.55	2.35	1.17	1.06
Texcoco-3	2.6	7.75	1.41	1.09	3.67	1.38	0.95
Acolman	0.8	12.75	1.64	0.48	4.5	1.09	0.83




Figure 2. Total DNA from soils of Texcoco and Acolman. The agarose gel shows extracted DNAs. Lane 1, Texcoco-1; lane 2, Texcoco-2; lane 3, Texcoco-3; lane 4, Acolman extracted with the proposed method; Lane 5, Texcoco-1; lane 6, Texcoco-2; lane 7, Texcoco-3; lane 8, Acolman extracted with Power Soil DNA kit.




Figure 3. The agarose gel display PCR products. Lane 1, positive control using DNA of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola*; lane 2-9 without BSA. Lane 2, Texcoco-1; lane 3, Texcoco-2; lane 4, Texcoco-3; lane 5, Acolman extracted with Power Soil DNA kit; Lane 6, Texcoco-1; lane 7, Texcoco-2; lane 8, Texcoco-3; lane 9 Acolman extracted with the technique reported. lane 10-17 with BSA 6 mg mL⁻¹. Lane 10, Texcoco-1; lane 11, Texcoco-2; lane 12, Texcoco-3; lane 13, Acolman extracted with Power Soil DNA kit; lane 14, Texcoco-1; lane 15, Texcoco-2; lane 16, Texcoco-3; lane 17 Acolman extracted with technique developed.

Microbial growth in alkaline saline environments is exceedingly slow, as a proportionally high percentage of the energy generated is used for maintenance purposes, so the total soil microbial population is low (Oren, 1999). We, therefore, used 1 g of soil for DNA extraction technique described here. Ma *et al.* (2004) even used 10 g soil when extracting DNA from sediments of the Inner Mongolian Baer Soda Lake with similar characteristics as soil of Texcoco. Despite this, good extraction yields were obtained with the commercial kit and with the proposed method. However, differences were observed in the amplification process with BSA.

In our study, the inhibition of PCR by several impurities has been avoided by adding BSA (6 mg mL⁻¹) to the reaction buffer (Figure 3, line 11 to 17). BSA can eliminate a variety of contaminants which can bind and inactivate proteins or enzymes, and thus inactivate DNA polymerase. Bovine serum albumin has been used frequently during isolation of organelles and plants enzymes to sequester and eliminate endogenous phenolic contaminants, also BSA bind to lipids with hydrophobic forces and anions because of this high content of lysine (Loomis, 1974). Bovine serum albumin has been added to PCR buffer to reduce the activity of endogenous protease activity contained in some samples (Cho *et al.*, 1996), so BSA may provide an alternative

substrate and causing the DNA polymerase to remain protected from denaturing substances (Kreader, 1996). The extracted DNA with the proposed method was of good quality with few impurities after PCR amplification using BSA added to the reaction buffer. Additionally, few impurities were detected in the electrophoresis gel (Figure 3). The commercial kit allowed to amplify the DNA extracted from the soils of Acolman, Texcoco-2 and Texcoco-3, but not from the Texcoco-1 soil. The Texcoco-1 soil had a higher pH and EC than the other two Texcoco soils, which hampered the DNA extraction. In addition, the Texcoco-1 soil had a higher mineral salt and organic matter content which might have been coextracted with the DNA and could not be removed with the commercial kit. It is known that the presence of humic and fulvic substances inhibit the activity of polymerase enzyme (Tebbe and Vahjen, 1993). Additionally, organic compounds, such as bilirubin, bile salts, urobilinogens and polysaccharides, might also inhibit the PCR amplification (Wilson, 1997).

The concentration of BSA 6 mg mL⁻¹ used in the amplification buffer was larger than the 3 mg mL⁻¹ used by Romanowski, Lorenz, and Wackernagel (1993) and the 0.4 a 1.0 mg mL⁻¹ used by Throbäck, Enwall, Jarvis, and Hallin (2004) for the amplification of DNA samples. Good results were obtained with the PCR amplifications; however, the 260/280 and

260/230 ratios were lower than the recommended values for pure DNA. The $A_{260/230}$ ratio is indicative for contamination with humic acids and ratios >2 indicate pure DNA, while the $A_{260/280}$ ratio is indicative for contamination with proteins and ratios >1.7 indicate pure DNA. For instance, a previous investigation showed that the extraction of DNA using two commercial kits, *i.e.* FastDNA® SPIN Kit for Soil and ZR Soil Microbe DNA Kit Miniprep™, from biochar-amended soil resulted in low values of purity, *i.e.* $A_{260/230} < 0.5$ (Leite *et al.*, 2014). In addition, Antony-Babu *et al.* (2013) reported A ratios >0.8 in DNA extracted using commercial kits from calcareous soils. Therefore, $A_{260/230}$ ratios obtained using commercial kits are lower than those obtained using our proposed method. Pre-treatment of soil with phenol:chloroform-guanidine thiocyanate or Triton X-100-skim milk during the DNA extraction has shown the improvement in DNA quality (Antony-Babu *et al.*, 2013; Cheng *et al.*, 2016). However, the implementation of any of those steps increase the time effort, reagents, and cost of the extraction technique.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we modified a method to extract DNA from alkaline-saline soil so that it could be used as a template for PCR amplification and sequencing. The proposed method for the extraction of DNA from alkaline saline allophanic soils with large organic matter contents adds important steps that reduce the time in the extraction procedure and a lesser use of reagents. Freeze and thaw cycles in combination with Fast-Prep-24™ equip showed good quality and yield in the Texcoco-1, soil with the highest pH and electrolytic conductivity. The use of BSA in PCR reaction buffer showed an improved DNA amplification in all soil samples obtained with the proposed method and three from four soils samples using the commercial kit.

The proposed method successfully extracted DNA from extreme saline-alkaline soils with large organic matter content that might be applicable for others soil types with extreme characteristics such as high pH and electrolytic conductivity values.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors state that they have no interest in competition.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Writing, revision, and edition: V.P.H., M.H.G., C.V.E., R.A.H., I.E.A., L.D., R.M., F.G.M., V.M.R.V., and J.A.M.M. Supervision, courses: L.D., and J.A.M.M. Research, visualization, conceptualization, writing, preparation of the original draft: V.P.H., and C.V.E.

FUNDING

Valentín Pérez-Hernández and Mario Hernández-Guzmán received grant-aided support from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT, México) numbered [304568] and [306905], respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank to Comisión Nacional de Agua (CNA) for access to former lake Texcoco, to the National Technology of Mexico campus Tuxtla Gutiérrez, and CINVESTAV-DF.

REFERENCES

- Alm, E. W., & Stahl, D. A. (2000). Critical factors influencing the recovery and integrity of rRNA extracted from environmental samples: use of an optimized protocol to measure depth-related biomass distribution in freshwater sediments. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 40(2), 153-162. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(00\)00120-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(00)00120-2)
- Amato, M. (1983). Determination of carbon ^{12}C and ^{14}C in plant and soil. *Soil Biology Biochemistry*, 15(5), 611-612.

- Antony-Babu, S., Murat, C., Deveau, A., Le Tacon, F., Frey-Klett, P., & Uroz, S. (2013). An improved method compatible with metagenomic analyses to extract genomic DNA from soils in *Tuber melanosporum* orchards. *Journal Applied Microbiology*, 115(1), 163-170. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12205>
- Beltrán-Hernández, R. I., Coss-Muñoz, E., Luna-Guido, M. L., Mercado-García, F., Siebe, C., & Dendooven, L. (1999). Carbon and nitrogen dynamics in alkaline saline soil of the former Lake Texcoco (Mexico) as affected by application of sewage sludge. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 50(4), 601-608. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.1999.00270.x>
- Betancur-Galvis, L. A., Alvarez-Bernal, A. D., Ramos-Valdivia, A. C., & Dendooven, L. (2005). Bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-contaminated saline-alkaline soils of the former Lake Texcoco. *Chemosphere*, 62(11), 1749-1760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.07.026>
- Bremner, J. M. (1996). Nitrogen-total. In D. L. Sparks, A. L. Page, P. A. Helmke, R. H. Loeppert, P. N. Soltanpour, M. A. Tabatabai, C. T. Johnston, & M. E. Sumner (Eds.) (pp. 1085-1121). *Methods of soil analysis: Part 3-chemical methods*, 5.3. Madison, WI, USA: SSSA Book Series, Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c37>
- Ceja-Navarro, J. A., Rivera, F. N., Patiño-Zúñiga, L., Govaerts, B., Marsch, R., Vila-Sanjurjo, A., & Dendooven, L. (2010). Molecular characterization of soil bacterial communities in contrasting zero tillage systems. *Plant and Soil*, 329(1), 127-137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0140-9>
- Cheng, F., Hou, L., Woeste, K., Shang, Z., Peng, X., Zhao, P., & Zhang, S. (2016). Soil pretreatment and fast cell lysis for direct polymerase chain reaction from forest soils for terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of fungal communities. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 47(4), 817-827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2016.06.007>
- Cho, J. C., Lee, D. H., Cheol, C. Y., Cho, J. C., & Kim, S. J. (1996). Direct extraction of DNA from soil for amplification of 16S rRNA gene sequences by polymerase chain reaction. *Journal Microbiology*, 34(3), 229-235.
- Conde, E., Cardenas, M., Ponce-Mendoza, A., Luna-Guido, M. L., Cruz-Mondragón, C., & Dendooven, L. (2005). The impacts of inorganic nitrogen application on mineralization of ^{14}C -labelled maize and glucose, and on priming effect in saline alkaline soil. *Soil Biology Biochemistry*, 37(4), 681-691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.08.026>
- Courtois, S., Frostegard, A., Goransson, P., Depret, G., Jeannin, P., & Simonet, P. 2001. Quantification of bacterial subgroups in soil: comparison of DNA extracted directly from soil or from cells previously released by density gradient centrifugation. *Environmental Microbiology*, 3(7), 431-439. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2001.00208.x>
- Cullen, D. W., & Hirsch, P. R. (1998). Simple and rapid method for direct extraction of microbial DNA from soil for PCR. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 30(8-9), 983-993. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(98\)00001-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00001-7)
- Dendooven, L., Alcántara-Hernández, R. J., Valenzuela-Encinas, C., Luna-Guido, M., Perez-Guevara, F., & Marsch, R. (2010). Dynamics of carbon and nitrogen in an extreme alkaline saline soil: A review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(6), 865-877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.02.014>
- Devi, S. G., Fathima, A. A., Radha, S., Arunraj, R., Curtis, W. R., & Ramya, M. (2015). A Rapid and Economical Method for Efficient DNA Extraction from Diverse Soils Suitable for Metagenomic Applications. *PLoS ONE*, 10(7), e0132441. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132441>
- Embarcadero-Jiménez, S., Long-Yang, F., Freye-Hernández, R., Trujillo-Cabrera, Y., Rivera-Orduña, F. N., Li-Yuan, H., & Tao-Wang, E. (2014). An improved protocol for extraction of metagenomic DNA from high humus, alkaline and saline soil of chinampa for T-RFLP fingerprinting analysis. *Microbiology Research Journal International*, 4(7), 821-830. <https://doi.org/10.9734/BMRJ/2014/9670>
- Erb, R. W., & Wagner-Döbler, I. (1993). Detection of polychlorinated biphenyl degradation genes in polluted sediments by direct DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59(12), 4065-4073. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.59.12.4065-4073.1993>
- Fatima, F., Pathak, N., & Rastogi-Verma, S. (2014). An improved method for soil DNA extraction to study the microbial assortment within rhizospheric region. *Molecular Biology International*, 518960, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/518960>
- Gee, G. W., & Bauder, J. W. (1986). Particle-size analysis. In A. Klute (Ed.). *Methods of soil analysis: Part 1-Physical and mineralogical methods*, 5.1. (pp. 383-411). Madison, WI, USA: SSSA Book Series, Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.1.2ed.c15>
- Guerra, V., Beule, L., Lehtsaar, E., Liao, H. L., & Karlovsky, P. (2020). Improved protocol for DNA extraction from subsoils using phosphate lysis buffer. *Microorganisms*, 8(4), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8040532>
- Guo, C., Sun, W., Harsh, J. B., & Ogram, A. (1997). Hybridization analysis of microbial DNA from fuel oil-contaminated and noncontaminated soil. *Microbial Ecology*, 34, 178-187. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002489900047>
- Gupta, P., Manjula, A., Rajendhran, J., Gunasekaran, P., & Vakhlu, J. (2017). Comparison of metagenomic DNA extraction methods for soil sediments of high elevation puga hot spring in Ladakh, India to explore bacterial diversity. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 34(4), 289-299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2015.1128995>
- Gutiérrez-Castorena, M. D., Stoops, G., Ortiz-Solorio, C. A., & López-Avila, G. (2005). Amorphous silica materials in soils and sediments of the Ex-lago de Texcoco, Mexico: An explanation for its subsidence. *Catena* 60(2), 205-226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2004.11.005>
- Hill, V. R., Narayanan, J., Gallen, R. R., Ferdinand, K. L., Cromeans, T., & Vinjé, J. (2015). Development of a nucleic acid extraction procedure for simultaneous recovery of DNA and RNA from diverse microbes in water. *Pathogens*, 4(2), 335-354. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens4020335>
- Hugenholtz, P., & Huber, T. (2003). Chimeric 16S rDNA sequences of diverse origin are accumulating in the public databases. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 53(1), 289-293. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02441-0>
- Hurt, R. A., Qiu, X., Wu, L., Roh, Y., Palumbo, A. V., Tiedje, J. M., & Zhou, J. (2001). Simultaneous recovery of RNA and DNA from soils and sediments. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 67(10), 4495-4503. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.67.10.4495-4503.2001>

- Islam, M. R., Sultana, T., Melvin Joe, M., Cho, J. C., & Sa, T. (2012). Comparisons of direct extraction methods of microbial DNA from different paddy soils. *Saudi Journal of Biological Science*, 19(3), 337-342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2012.04.001>
- Kreader, C. A. (1996). Relief of amplification inhibition in PCR with bovine serum albumin or T4 gene 32 protein. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 62(3), 1102-1106. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.62.3.1102-1106.1996>
- Krsek, M., & Wellington, E. M. H. (1999). Comparison of different methods for the isolation and purification of total community DNA from soil. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 39(1), 1-16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(99\)00093-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(99)00093-7)
- Leite, D. C. A., Balieiro, F. C., Pires, C. A., Madari, B. E., Rosado, A. S., Coutinho, H. L. C., & Peixoto, R. S. (2014). Comparison of DNA extraction protocols for microbial communities from soil treated with biochar. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 45(1), 175-183. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-83822014000100023>
- Liesack, W., & Stackebrandt, E. (1992). Occurrence of novel groups of the domain Bacteria as revealed by analysis of genetic material isolated from an Australian terrestrial environment. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 174, 5072-5078. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.174.15.5072-5078.1992>
- Loomis, W. D. (1974). Overcoming problems of phenolics and quinones in the isolation of plant enzymes and organelles. *Methods in Enzymology*, 31, 528-544. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879\(74\)31057-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(74)31057-9)
- Luna-Guido, M. L., Beltrán-Hernández, R. I., Solís-Ceballos, N. A., Hernández-Chávez, N., Mercado-García, F., Catt, J. A., Olalde-Portugal, V., & Dendooven, L. (2000). Chemical and biological characteristics of alkaline saline soils from the former Lake Texcoco as affected by artificial drainage. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 32, 102-108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740000223>
- Ma, Y., Zhang, W., Xue, Y., Zhou, P., Ventosa, A., & Grant, W. (2004). Bacterial diversity of the inner Mongolian Baer soda lake as revealed by 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses. *Extremophiles*, 8, 45-51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-003-0358-z>
- Narayan, A., Jain, K., Shah, A. R., & Madamwar, D. (2016). An efficient and cost-effective method for DNA extraction from athalassohaline soil using a newly formulated cell extraction buffer. *3 Biotech*, 6(62), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-016-0383-0>
- Ogram, A. (2000). Soil molecular microbial ecology at age 20: Methodological challenges for the future. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 32(11-12), 1499-1504. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(00\)00088-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00088-2)
- Ogram, A., Sayler, G. S., & Barkay, T. (1987). The extraction and purification of microbial DNA from sediments. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 7(2-3), 57-66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012\(87\)90025-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(87)90025-X)
- Oren, A. (1999). Bioenergetic aspects of halophilism. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 63(2), 334-348. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.63.2.334-348.1999>
- Romanowski, G., Lorenz, M. G., & Wackernagel, W. (1993). Use of polymerase chain reaction and electroporation of *Escherichia coli* to monitor the persistence of extracellular plasmid DNA introduced into natural soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59(10), 3438-3446. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.59.10.3438-3446.1993>
- Sagova-Mareckova, M., Cermak, L., Novotna, J., Plhachova, K., Forstova, J., & Kopecky, J. (2008). Innovative methods for soil DNA purification tested in soils with widely differing characteristics. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74(9): 2902-2907. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02161-07>
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., & Maniatis, T. (1989). *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual* (2nd ed). Cold Spring Harbor, NY, USA: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Sorokin, D., Tourova, T. J., Schmid, M. C., Wagner, M., Koops, H. P., Kuenen, G., & Jetten, M. (2001). Isolation and properties of obligately chemolithoautotrophic and extremely alkali-tolerant ammonia-oxidizing bacteria from Mongolian soda lakes. *Archives of Microbiology*, 176, 170-177. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002030100310>
- Steffan, R. J., & Atlas, R. M. (1988). DNA amplification to enhance detection of genetically engineered bacteria in environmental samples. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 54(9), 2185-2191. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.54.9.2185-2191.1988>
- Steffan, R. J., Goksøyr, J., Bej, A. K., & Atlas, R. M. (1988). Recovery of DNA from soils and sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 54(12), 2908-2915. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.54.12.2908-2915.1988>
- Tebbe, C. C., & Vahjen, W. 1993. Interference of humic acids and DNA extracted directly from soil in detection and transformation of recombinant DNA from bacteria and a yeast. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59(8), 2657-2665. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.59.8.2657-2665.1993>
- Thomas, G. W. (1996). Soil pH and soil acidity. In D. L. Sparks, A. L. Page, P. A. Helmke, R. H. Loeppert, P. N. Soltanpour, M. A. Tabatabai, C. T. Johnston, & M. E. Sumner (Eds.) (pp. 475-490). *Methods of soil analysis: Part 3-chemical methods*, 5.3. Madison, WI, USA: SSSA Book Series, Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c16>
- Throbäck, I. N., Enwall, K., Jarvis, A., & Hallin, S. (2004). Reassessing PCR primers targeting nirS, nirK and nosZ genes for community surveys of denitrifying bacteria with DGGE. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 49(3), 401-417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.04.011>
- Tsai, Y. L., & Olson, B. H. (1991). Rapid method for direct extraction of DNA from soil and sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 57(4), 1070-1074. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.57.4.1070-1074.1991>
- Tsai, Y. L., & Olson, B. H. (1992). Rapid method for separation of bacterial DNA from humic substances in sediments for polymerase chain reaction. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 58(7), 2292-2295. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.58.7.2292-2295.1992>
- Valenzuela-Encinas, C., Neria-González, I., Alcántara-Hernández, R. J., Enríquez-Aragón, J. A., Estrada-Alvarado, I., Hernández-Rodríguez, C., Dendooven, L., & Marsch, R. (2008). Phylogenetic analysis of the archaeal community in an alkaline-saline soil of the former lake Texcoco (Mexico). *Extremophiles* 12(2), 247-254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-007-0121-y>
- Verma, D., & Satyanarayana, T. (2011). An Improved protocol for DNA extraction from alkaline soil and sediment samples for constructing metagenomic libraries. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 165(2), 454-464. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-011-9264-5>

-
- Wilson, I. G. (1997). Inhibition and facilitation of nucleic acid amplification. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 63(10), 3741-3751. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.10.3741-3751.1997>
- Yeates, C., Gillings, M. R., Davison, A. D., Altavilla, N., & Veal, D. A. (1997). PCR amplification of crude microbial DNA extracted from soil. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 25(4), 303-307. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765X.1997.00232.x>
- Zhou, J., Bruns, M. A., & Tiedje, J. M. (1996). DNA recovery from soils of diverse composition. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 62(2), 316-322. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.2.316-322.1996>
- Zhou, J., Xia, B., Huang, H., Palumbo, A. V., & Tiedje, J. M. (2004). Microbial diversity and heterogeneity in sandy subsurface soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 70(3), 1723-1734. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1723-1734.2004>
- Zipper, H., Buta, C., Lämmle, K., Brunner, H., Bernhagen, J., & Vitzthum, F. (2003). Mechanisms underlying the impact of humic acids on DNA quantification by SYBR Green I and consequences for the analysis of soils and aquatic sediments. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 31(7), e39: 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gng039>