

Journal of Horticultural Sciences

Journal of Horticultural Sciences

ISSN: 0973-354X

subbaraman.Sriram@icar.gov.in

Society for Promotion of Horticulture

India

R., Chitra

**Comparative studies on growth and Yield of Conventional and
Tissue culture plants of Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) var. CO2**

Journal of Horticultural Sciences, vol. 14, no. 2, 2019, July-, pp. 162-165

Society for Promotion of Horticulture

India

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=577062030012>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and
Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

Short Communication

**Comparative studies on growth and Yield of Conventional
and Tissue culture plants of Turmeric
(*Curcuma longa*) var. CO2**

Chitra R.

Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Horticulture College and Research Institute
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Periyakulam, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: rchitra@tnau.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) is an ancient spice, native of India and South East Asia used from antiquity as spice and a dye. It is commonly propagated through rhizomes. The availability of disease free quality planting material is scarce during the cropping season (June – September). An experiment was conducted to study the performance of *in vitro* derived turmeric plants with conventional rhizome under field condition. The results indicated that the tissue culture plants showed better performance over the conventional rhizome planting. Tissue culture plants grew vigorously and taller than conventional type. The highest yield potential was observed in tissue culture plants (40.83 tons/ha) as compared to the conventional rhizome planting (30.14 tons/ha). The rhizome rot incidence was lower (3.87%) in tissue culture plants than rhizome-derived plants (25.58%). However, the agronomic traits observed during the present study in tissue culture plants are stable and rhizome harvested from tissue culture plants can be used as disease free planting materials for further planting.

Keywords: Conventional propagation, Rhizome yield, Tissue culture plants and Turmeric.

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) is the third important spice crop, grown in tropical part of India. It is mainly used as condiment, dye and in drug and cosmetic industries. In India, it is grown in an area of 1,94,000 ha with a production of 9,90,000 tons. In the state of Tamil Nadu it is grown in an area of 35,700 ha with production of 1,90,000 tons and productivity of 5.3 tons of dried rhizome/ha. Turmeric is propagated through rhizomes and large quantity of rhizome is required as planting material for the commercial cultivation. Planting material requirement is about 2.5 t/ha and total requirement of the country is about 2 lakh tonnes per year. Storage loss of rhizomes due to rhizome rot disease is severe which causes tissue senescence and degeneration. Propagation of turmeric through seed is not economical because of poor flowering and seed set (Balachandran *et al.*, 1990).

Curcumin, a major constituent of rhizome has been widely used in various medicinal purpose, which increased the demand of the rhizomes (Chattopadhyay *et al.*, 2004). The conventional

methods of propagation are incapable to produce large quantities of quality planting material, which necessitates the new method. Tissue culture is used to multiply large quantities of pest and disease free planting material and performance evaluation of tissue-cultured plants is necessary to determine the potential benefits. Main purpose of this study was to assess the field performance of the *in vitro* derived plantlets and its effect on morphology and development of turmeric plants.

The present study was carried out at HC & RI, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. *In vitro* plants were obtained by following the procedure described in the previous protocol (Babu *et al.*, 2007). In this study, bud sprouts were used as explant and inoculated on to half strength MS media supplemented with 3 mg l⁻¹ BA and 1 mg l⁻¹ NAA. Contamination free one-week-old cultures were transferred into multiplication medium (MS medium containing 5 mg l⁻¹ BA and 1.0 mg l⁻¹ NAA). Sub-culturing was done using 7- 8 weeks old culture for further multiplication. Well root *in vitro*

plantlets were transferred to polythene bags containing garden soil, sand and farmyard manure in equal proportions and kept in shade net for hardening and establishment. The rhizome was obtained from true-to-type plants maintained in shade house for conventional rhizome planting. Plants were planted during 2016-17, using a completely randomized block design with equal number of replication. Plants were spaced at 0.45 x 0.25 m with the plot size of the experiment was 3 x 5 m. A fertilizer dose of 25:60:106 NPK Kg ha⁻¹ was uniformly applied to all the plots. Data were collected on the important morphological characters such as plant height, number of tillers per plant, number of leaves, length and width of leaves, number of finger per plant, average finger diameter, total weight of rhizome per plant, weight of mother rhizome and number days for maturation of the plant. Each of the morphological characters except rhizome morphology was scored and recorded every two months interval, until the time of harvest. Statistical analysis was conducted for each experiment and pooled analysis over the experiments was conducted using a randomized complete block design method. Significance was determined at the 0.05 probability level. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). One-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare means.

Phenotypic variant or off type plants are commonly observed in *in vitro* raised population of *C. longa* var. CO 2. Variants were observed in micropropagated plants in all the three different replicated sites exhibiting the changes in leaf morphology and colour. The χ^2 test for independence indicated that phenotypic variation and propagation methods were independent criteria. ($\chi^2 = 3.70$, $p = 0.32$) (*i.e.*, the ratio of true-to-type to off type plants remained the same for both methods of propagation). The micropropagated plant showed two times higher variation frequency than rhizome-derived plants (Table 1). Similar result was observed in banana (Smith and Drew, 1990; Smith, 1988; Dirk *et al.*, 1996). Among the variation observed in both the populations (*in vitro* and conventional plant), tillers of approximately 3.7% of *in vitro* raised plants and approximately 1.8% of conventional rhizome plants had leaves with one half of the lamina green and the other half albino and variegation on the edge of lamina (Table 1). Neeta *et al.* (2002) also documented similar results in turmeric var. 'Elite'. However, conclude that results of phenotypic variation rate were not always

Table 1: Growth and yield of tissue culture and conventional grown plants of turmeric var. CO₂

Characters	Conventional rhizome derived plants	Tissue culture plants	Difference
Off type (%)	1.8 ^z	3.7 ^z	1.9**
Plant height (cm)	65.61±.19 ^a	76.88±.27 ^b	11.27*
Number of leaves per plant	10.93±.10 ^c	13.13±.12 ^d	2.20*
Leaf length (cm)	39.38±.18 ^e	43.05±.21 ^e	3.67**
Leaf breadth (cm)	12.69±.14 ^f	14.01±.25 ^f	1.32**
Number. of tillers per plant	2.73±.17 ^g	4.60±.15 ^g	1.87**
Weight of mother rhizome (g)	105.83±.27 ^h	136.62±.22 ⁱ	30.79*
Weight of primary rhizome (g)	148.30±.30 ^j	164.58±.58 ^k	16.28*
Weight of secondary rhizome (g)	72.43±.35 ^l	85.58±.27 ^m	13.15*
Fresh rhizome yield/plot (15 m ²) (kg)	45.62±.15 ⁿ	61.25±.10 ^o	15.63*
Estimated fresh rhizome yield/ha (t)	30.14	40.83	10.69**
Maturity (days)	276**	255**	-21.00**
Rhizome rot incidence (%)	25.58±.25 ⁿ	3.87±.40 ⁿ	-21.71**

Value are means ± SE, n=108.*Significant at $p < 0.05$; **non-significant; ***maturity date, when 95% of leaves become yellowish. Means followed by same letters are not significantly different at $p = 0.05$.

consistent over trial, which however, may be greatly influenced by environmental factor.

True-to-type plants were included in the analysis of variance of the horticultural performance of conventional propagated vs. micropropagated plants of turmeric var. CO 2. Growth and yield parameters were recorded on tissue culture and rhizome derived plants, which showed significant differences in all charactersexcept number of tillers, leaf length and breadth (Table 1). *In vitro* turmeric was significantly taller than the conventional type, throughout the vegetative growth cycle. Plant height is a measure of plant vigour, indicating that *in vitro* plants established more quickly and grows vigorously than conventional plants (Dirk *et al.*, 1996; Sheela *et al.*, 2001). The tissue culture plants showed vigorous and fast increase in the length of shoots as well as new shoot emerged out from the base after one week. Development was more advanced (76.88 ± 27 cm) than that reached by conventional plant (65.61 ± 19 cm) of the same age after 6 months. Similar result was documented by Beruto *et al.* (1996) in *Ranunculus asiaticus* and Singh *et al.* (2013) in turmeric.

Fast growing *in vitro* plants produced new leaves at a faster rate, resulting in larger leaf area during vegetative growth than that of conventional type. *In vitro* raised plant gave approximately 2.20 more number of leaves and produced higher number of leaves (13.13 ± 12) as compared to plants from conventional rhizome (10.93 ± 10) throughout the growing period (Table 1). This is in agreement with the finding of Neeta *et al.* (2001), Israeli *et al.* (1988) and Hwang *et al.* (1984), noted that micro-propagated plant retained more healthy leaves than conventional plant due to fast rate of leaf emission. The tissue culture plant showed appreciable vegetative growth, produced longer shoots and more number of leaves, after 6 months of propagation in both the propagation type. Presumably, the increase in vegetative growth has contributed to the increase in shoot yield and number of leaves of tissue culture plants of turmeric. The comparison of *in vitro* plants showed no significant variation for number of tiller and leaves width.

Tissue culture plants recorded significantly higher weight of finger rhizome (164.58 ± 58 g) than that of

conventional plant (148.30 ± 30 g) (Table 1). An increased of weight of finger rhizome (16.28 g) may be attributed to genetic uniformity of the plant, due to selection of superior types of micropropagated plants (Dikash *et al.*, 2012). Increased in the weight of finger rhizome ultimately increase in the yield of turmeric plant. This is in support with the finding of Neeta *et al.* (2001). Considering the weight of mother and fingers rhizome produced per plant, tissue culture plants, gave significantly better rhizome yield per plant than conventional rhizome. This is consistent with the fact that tissue culture plant has more potential in growth, yield and rhizome production than conventional type (Neeta *et al.*, 2002; Dirk *et al.*, 1996; Sheela *et al.*, 2001; Beruto *et al.*, 1996).

The mean rhizome yield plot⁻¹ of *in vitro* raised plants was observed more (61.25 ± 10 kg) than the conventional rhizome yield (45.62 ± 15 kg). During the present investigation, there was no significant difference in the time taken for maturation for harvest between tissue culture and conventional plant. The enhanced growth rate exhibited by *in vitro* plants did not delay the plant maturation; however, it has shown less variability in the time taken for rhizome maturation under the same treatment. They were able to complete maturation in three weeks earlier as compared to plants from conventional rhizome. Among the yield attributes, the number of tillers and the total weight of rhizome plant⁻¹ had the greatest correlations with the yield. Estimated fresh rhizome yield plant⁻¹ was highest in tissue culture plants (40.83 t ha⁻¹) than rhizome derived plants (30.14 t ha⁻¹). Singh *et al.* (2013) also observed same trend in turmeric var. Lakadong. The tissue culture plant had the lowest rhizome rot incidence ($3.87 \pm 40\%$) when compared to conventional plant ($25.58 \pm 25\%$). Babu *et al.* (1997) reported that micro propagation technique could be used for production of disease-free planting material of elite plants. Apart from the production of pathogen free planting material, *in vitro* propagation of turmeric can also used for the conservation and exchange of germplasm (Cheethaparambil *et al.*, 2014).

It should be concluded that the present investigation could be beneficial as the tissue culture plants showed suitable agronomic performance than conventional plants and resulted in the increased fingers weight and subsequently marketable rhizome yields. Field evaluation of tissue culture and conventional plants

revealed that *in vitro* plants were superior in performance over conventional plant exhibiting vigorous vegetative growth, disease free, increased and uniformity of rhizome production.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to the Directorate of Arecanut and Spices Development, Calicut for the financial assistance under GOI-MIDH scheme.

REFERENCES

- Balachandran, S.M., Bhat, S.R. and Chandel, K.P.S. 1990. *In vitro* clonal multiplication of turmeric (*Curcuma* spp) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). *Plant Cell Rep.*, **8**: 521-4.
- Beruto, M., Cane, G. and Debergh, P. 1996. Field performance of tissue cultured plants of *Ranunculus asiaticus* L. *Sci. Hortic.*, **66**:229-239.
- Chattopadhyay, I., Biswas, K., Bandyopadhyay, U. and Banarjee, R.K. 2004. Turmeric and curcumin biological actions and medical applications. *Current Sci.*, **87**: 44-53.
- Cheethaparambil A., Geetha, S.P. and Indira, B. 2014. *In vitro* microrhizome and minirhizome production in turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) cultivar Alleppey Supreme and its comparative anatomical and histochemical analysis. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*, **3(3)**: 535-542.
- Dirk, R.V. and Rodomiro, O. 1996. Field performance of conventional vs *in vitro* propagules of plantain (*Musa* spp., AAB Group). *HortSci.*, **31(5)**:862-865.
- Hwang, S.C., Chen, C.L., Lin, J.C. and Lin, H.L. 1984. Cultivation of banana using plantlets from meristem culture. *HortSci.*, **19**: 231-233.
- Israeli, Y., Reuveni, O. and Nameri, N. 1988. Genetic variability and performance of *In vitro* propagated banana plants. In: *Memoria de la IV congreso internacional sobre agrofisiologia del banana*. Eds. C.J.A. Guzman and C.R. Romero, San Jose, Costa Rica. pp. 94-104
- Larkin, P.J. and Scowcroft, W.R. 1981. Somaclonal variation - a novel source of variability from cell cultures for plant improvement. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **60**:197-214.
- Neeta, D., Salvi, L.G. and Susan, E. 2002. Micropropagation and field evaluation of micropropagated plants of turmeric. *Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult.*, **68**:143-151.
- Nirmal Babu, K., Minoo, D., Geetha, S.P., Sumathi, V. and Praveen, K. 2007. Biotechnology of turmeric and related species In: *Turmeric – The genus Curcuma*. ed. Ravindran, P.N., Nirmal Babu, K. and Sivaraman, K., CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA. Pp-107-125
- Samir, C.D. 2007. Influence of indole-3-butyric acid and propagation method on growth and development of *in vitro* and *ex vitro*-derived lowbush blueberry plants. *Plant. Growth Regul.* **51**:245-253.
- Sheela, V.L. and Ramachandran, N. 2001. Growth, flowering and yield potential of tissue culture banana (*Musa aab* cv. Nendran). *J. Trop. Agric.*, **39**:1-4.
- Singh, D., Devala, D.K., Punyarani, K.S., Henary, S.C., Brojendro, S.S., Brajakishor, S.C. and Sunitibala, D.H. 2012. Silver nitrate and different culture vessels influence high frequency microrhizome induction *in vitro* and enhancement growth of turmeric plantlet during *ex vitro* acclimatization. *Nat. Sci. Biol.* **4(4)**:67-78.
- Smith, M.K. 1988. A review of factors influencing the genetic stability of micropropagated bananas. *Fruits* **43**:219-223.
- Smith, M.K. and Drew, R.A. 1990. Current applications of tissue culture in plant propagation and improvement. *Aust. J. Plant. Physiol.* **17**:267-289.
- Smith, M.K. and Hamil, S.D. 1996. Field evaluation of micropropagated ginger in subtropical Queensland. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* **36**:347-354.
- Stephens, P.A., Barwale-Zehr, U.B., Nickell, C.D. and Widholm, J.M. 1991. A cytoplasmically-inherited, wrinkled-leaf mutant in soybean. *J. Hered.* **82**:71-73.
- Vuylsteke, D.R., Swennen, G.F. and Wilson Langhe, E. De. 1988. Phenotypic variation among *in vitro* propagated plantain (*Musa* spp. cv. AAB). *Sci. Hortic.* **36**: 79-88.

(Received on 24.10.2017, Revised on 11.12.2019 and accepted on 12.12.2019)