

InterSedes

ISSN: 2215-2458 ISSN: 2215-2458 Sedes Regionales

Castro-Prieto, Allan Italian emigration and Italian Language in Costa Rica InterSedes, vol. XXII, no. 45, 2021, January-July, pp. 263-279 Sedes Regionales

DOI: https://doi.org/10.15517/ISUCR.V22I45.47070

Available in: https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=66670035011



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's webpage in redalyc.org



Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

InterSedes, Revista electrónica de las sedes regionales de la Universidad de Costa Rica, ISSN 2215-2458, Volumen XXII, Número 45, Enero-Julio, 2021. 10.15517/isucr.v22i45 | intersedes.ucr.ac.cr | intersedes@ucr.ac.cr





Italian emigration and Italian Language in Costa Rica

Emigración italiana y lengua italiana en Costa Rica

Allan Castro-Prieto

Escuela de Formación Docente Universidad de Costa Rica San José, Costa Rica

allan.castro@ucr.ac.cr

ABSTRACT: In the following article, I present a summary of several aspects of the Italian migration in Costa Rica. First, the typology of Italian migrants, second, a brief description of the language they spoke, third, the diglossic position of languages in their repertoire, fourth, the Italians in Costa Rica, their location of origin in Italy, fifth, their original languages, finally the influence of Spanish and some conclusions found in Franceschi.

KEYWORDS: emigration, emigrants, Italian, Dialect, Standard Italian, Spanish

RESUMEN: En el siguiente artículo, presento varios hechos sobre la migración italiana en suelo costarricense. Primero, la tipología de estos migrantes europeos, segundo una breve descripciópn de la lengua habalada por ellos, tercero la posición diglósica de la lengua italiana en su repertorio linguiístico, cuarto, los italianos en Costa Rica, su lugar de procedencia en Italia, la influencia del Español en Costa Rica en su lengua, y para finalizar, algunas conclusiones encontradas en Franceschi.

PALABRAS CLAVE: migración, migrantes, Italiano estandar, dialecto, Español de Costa Rica

Recibido: 10-03-2021 | Aceptado: 25-04-2021

Со́мо СІТАR (APA): Castro-Prieto, A. (2021). Italian emigration and Italian Language in Costa Rica. InterSedes, 22(45), 263-279. DOI 10.15517/isucr.v22i45.47070

Publicado por la Editorial Sede del Pacífico, Universidad de Costa Rica DOI: 10.15517/isucr.v22i45.47070

Italian emigration and law in the USA

One of the most important waves of migration in history took place in Europe at the end of the 1800s and continued well into the 20th century. According to Turchetta (2005, p. 4) in Italy alone between 1876 and 1976, **25.8000.000** people had left to search for a better life in almost every corner of the planet. Half of these people migrated before World War I, thus before any legal restriction was implemented, such as the *Literacy Act* enacted by the United States of America in 1917, which read as follows:

"To exclude aliens over sixteen years of age, physically capable of reading that cannot read the English language or some other language or dialect, including Hebrew or Yiddish."

Later another restrictive law was imposed known as the *Quota Act* which proposed a quota on the number of new immigrants equal to the percentage of migrants already living in the country. With these two laws, of course, the number of Italian migrants in the US decreased onsiderably and in fact increased towards other areas of the world. Turchetta (2005, p. 4) goes on to explain that out of 25,000,000 Italians who left Italy, only 7,000,000 may be considered expatriates because the rest, around 20,000,000, returned to Italy after some time abroad.

Typology of migrants

According to Bettoni (1993, p. 412) the Italian migrants may be classified as follows:

- 1. Neither the first migrants' grandchildren nor great-grandchildren.
- 2. Not the very last migrants who had departed from Italy in the 50s and 60s.

The first classification has to do with a socio-linguistic factor, whereas the second has to do with a demographic variable. According to Bettoni, language loss is common in third and fourth-generation Italians around the world. Moreover, migration in the 50s and 60s constitutes a more consistent and very different kind from

the previous groups before the two world wars given the political and historical environment during those years in Italy and Europe. It is important to note that the colony of San Vito in Costa Rica was founded by Italian migrants during these years.

3. Arrival in either highly rural or industrialized regions or countries.

Italian migrants incorporated into societies such as Canada or USA present different characteristics of assimilation at the linguistic and social level than those incorporated into areas in the process of development or with a basic economy, such as Mexico or Costa Rica. These last Italian migrants into Mexico came alone and showed more unity; they were mostly from Veneto and thus gave birth to "Chipilo," a variant of Venetian Dialect spoken today in the state of Puebla, Mexico. On the other hand, the migrants who colonized the Valley of San Vito had many different places of origin on the Italian peninsula and consequently their linguistic repertoire show important differences as studied later.

- 4. Those who returned, and those who remained.
- 5. Arrival in a European or a non-European country.
- 6. Cultural and economic prestige of Italy in the new country.
- 7. Language of the new country.

The language of migrants

With regards to the language of migrants and its impact in the peninsula and abroad Vedovelli states that:

..."150 years have produced profound changes in the linguistic identity of the Italians. Daily use of the commonly spoken Italian and immigrant languages input are the most obvious signs of identity tensions experienced by our society. Linguistic tensions have been carried out by millions of Italians who, at various times, have left the country to "make a fortune" in America or in Australia, Asia and Africa once usually dialectophone, illiterate and poor, now, as "brains on the run", graduates and italophones. What lin-

guistic changes have affected our emigrant communities? What relationships have had with the Italian language? How has it clashed with the languages of the host country? What has been the fate of the Dialects, once away from their territories? What have Italian governments done with the linguistic identity of Italian communities around the world? And what to do with today's "global market of languages?" (Cover, 2005)

In general terms, and as mentioned by Vedovelli above, migrants are supposed to speak Italo-Romance Dialects as their first language. Moreover, as proposed by Bettoni (1993, p. 415) the Italophony of migrants is considered to be definitively non-standard. In fact, the higher variety constitutes popular Italian variety. According to Turchetta (2005, p. 5) given the historical and political issues inside the peninsula in post Unitarian times, two important characteristics of migrants were illiteracy and higher competence their regional Dialect. On the other hand, competence in the Unitarian dialect was very low or non-existent during this period. Moreover, we need to take into consideration that majority of this population came from economically depressed areas, as opposed to people already living in more industrialized cities. The process of education then began in the new country, first because it was necessary to communicate in a single language with other Italians from different regions, and second because it 10 was necessary to learn to write in order to keep in contact with families left behind. It is important to say that the new country opens the door for the new generations to study in order to integrate them into the new culture, society and economy, as well as opening door to acquiring a foreign language. This process of education affected not only those who had migrated and remained in the new land, but also those who had returned to Italy, because they represented a model of progress for the non-migrant population especially before World War II. All these factors of illiteracy and poverty together with the Dialectophone nature of migrants have produced highly pidginized and mixed forms of Italian spoken abroad when confronted with the new language and local dialects. On the other hand, processes of language loss or attrition are evident in the first generation, and consolidated in the second and third generations, mainly because of the very low sociolinguistic value they gave to the language or languages of origin. How does a language of origin coexist with new language(s) in a different land? How does this language of origin combined with local languages contribute to the rise of local varieties? A framework aiming to answer these questions will be presented in the following section.

Diglossic position of languages

For Bettoni in Sobrero ed. (1993, pp. 415-416) the diglossic position of languages in the verbal repertoire of Italians abroad may be observed in the following chart:

CHART 1

Diglossic position of languages in the repertoire of Italian migrants

High Varieties	High Variety the lang. in new country
	Low variety dialect(s) of new country
Low Varieties	High Variety popular Italian
	Low variety Italo-Romance Dialect(s)

Source: Bettoni in Sobrero ed. (1993, pp. 415-416).

The Italians in Costa Rica

 $oldsymbol{Map 1}$ Geographic location of San Vito de Coto Brus in Costa Rica



Source: Taken from: http://www.cotobrus.net/el-canton/209-san-vito-de-cotobrus.html

According to Bulgarelli (1989, pp. 4-7) the Genovese presence in Costa Rica can be traced back to 1502, when Christopher Colombus landed on the Caribbean coast of Costa Rica. The first documented arrival of Italian citizens, however, took place on December 12, 1887, when seven hundred and sixty-two workers, mostly from Mantova, arrived in Puerto Limon in the Caribbean zone to work on the construction of the railroad which would connect the capital, San Jose, to the Caribbean port of Limon. Six months later, six hundred and seventy-one Italian men arrived in the same port. Bulgarelli states that many of them returned to Italy; half of them, however, remained. A second wave of Italian immigrants in Costa Rica took place after World War I. According to Bariatti (1989, p. 5) one hundred and sixty-two Italians lived in the capital city by 1927. The sample shows that with regards to the

use of Italian language, only 35% of this second wave spoke standard Italian, mainly because they learned "in loco." Offspring were sent to study to Italy, which constituted a great honor and pride for their parents. Another reason that they speak standard Italian is that the members of the second generation married other Italians. The rest -- 65% -- of this second generation did not speak standard Italian at all. Among the grandchildren, only 15% of them had studied in Italy and had learned the language at home.

In Sansonetti (1995) the settlement and foundation of San Vito de Coto Brus is described in detail. This constitutes the third documented arrival of Italians in Costa Rican territory.

Out of 111 families who came to colonize San Vito de Coto Brus, 38 came from different towns in the north of Italy, 30 from central Italy, 40 from southern Italy, and 3 from Dalmatia. They were mostly farmers and peasants. Sansonetti, on the other hand, was a soldier and a political science graduate. Married to Olivia Tinoco, a Costa Rican woman whom Sansonetti had met in Rome years before, Vito Sansonetti along with members of his family and other Italians founded SICA, an organization created to promote this colonization. "San Vito," the Italian name of the town, is after the patron saint of migrants. The rest of the town's name, "Coto Brus," dates back to what indigenous people called the place previous to the arrival of Europeans. The origins of these last migrants will be examined in the following chart.

CHART 2
PLACES OF ORIGIN OF ITALIANS IN SAN VITO. SANSONETTI
(1993, PP. 87-88)

Region	Location	Number of families	Percentage
Northern	TOTAL	38	
	Trieste	3	
	Modena	7	
	Bologna	6	
	Firenze	4	24.220/
	Taglio di Po	3	34.23%
	Montemignaio	2	
	Lendinara	2	
	Bergamo	1	
	Biella	1	
	Badia	1	
	Polesine	1	
	Polona	1	
	Nonantola	1	
	Perusa	1	
	Genova	1	
	Verdello	1	
	Castelifard	1	
	TOTAL	38	34,23%
	Roma	9	
	Poggio Moiano	7	
CENTRAL	Fiume	1	
	Bolsorano	3	
	Civitavecchia	2	
	Chieti	2	
	Cittaducale	1	
	Poggio Mirteto	1	
	Fara Sabina	1	
	Priverno	1	
	Ariccia	1	
	Valmontone	1	
	Pontinia	1	
	TOTAL	30	27,03
SOUTHERN	Mottola	18	
	Polagionello	11	
	Ruffano	5	
	Napoli	1	
	Ginosa	1	
	Castellaneta	1	
	Massafra	1	
	Sassano	1	
	Benevento	1	
	TOTAL	40	36,03
DALMARIA	Isola d'Istria	2	
DALMAZIA	Fiume	1	
	TOTAL	3	2,71
		111	100%

Given the fact that these families came from different locations and with different Italo- Romance Dialects, but also taking into consideration that it is possible that many of them had some instruction in the National Italian language, the tendency towards using Standard Italian more and more among themselves to un-

derstand each other at work, in the street, at church and so forth was probably the rule, as presented in the next section.

The languages of Italian immigrants in San Vito

According to Franceschi (1970, p. 89) the Italians who arrived in San Vito spoke Italo- Romance Dialect, but also regional Italian. He refers to the interviews as revealing great influence from the regional Italian, Italo-Romance Dialect, and sometimes from Spanish. At the end of his research, however, he concludes that Standard Italian constituted the most commonly used variety among members of the first generation, and that the second generation spoke Spanish as their main language. The following example was taken from an interview with a young Italian man who shows influence of middle Italian with clear interference from Sapnish as seen in *finca* It. Standard: fattoria

"Ho comprato pure una finca, stavamo preparando pure le carte, mi son fatto pure io, pure mio fratello, e pure la paterna." (Franceschi, 1970, p. 95)

Another example corresponds to a woman with great influence from Veneto, Laziale regionale, and Italo-Romance Dialect:

"Cene (-cenè) ancora, ci stave il dolce, non ci sta santi, non ce stava maniera, un comisariato che ci stave un po' di tutto, s'era qua." In quest'italiano laziale s'interseca quello véneto: lèto, late, deto, il meljo, e il dialetto originario: grande (femminile plurale), vedest, let, fat, pers tut. All'abitudine allo spagnolo sarà da riportare la sibilante sorda in case, piaser, mentre piuttosto dall' italiano centromeridionale verrà il sintagma la spesa nostra. La donna passa continuamente dall' italiano al dialetto," (Franceschi, 1970, p. 97).

The influence of Spanish

The influence of Spanish on the varieties of Italian may be seen in the following typology (Franceschi, 1970, pp. 226-228).

1. <u>Spanish words in the lexicon with no variation from Italian or borrowings</u>

Examples: Geographical names, names of people, exclamations, adverbial expressions.

2. Spanish words integrated into the Italian system

Examples: *traspianta* – Spanish – transplanta; *due finche* – Spanish – dos fincas; *due peoni* – Spanish – dos peones; *I peones* or *I peoni* – Spanish – los peones.

3. Loanwords from Spanish

Pigna – Spanish – piña – Italian – annasso

4. Pragmatic calques

Chiaro! - Spanish - Claro!

5. Syntactic calques

La ho vista facendo; ho continuato seminando

6. Hybrid forms

l'aggua, émo avutto, aviamo écio

7. <u>Use of local expressions in discourse</u>

...y así terminó el problema...

8. <u>Phonology</u>

Reduction of intervocalic stops to fricatives - especially/b/ - as well as reducing the voiced sibilant to voiceless in the same position, pronouncing /r/ with a marked local accent usually at the beginning (closer to a rhotic fricative alveolar, the typical Costa Rican /r/)

Conclusions found in Franceschi

At the end of the research, Franceschi concludes that in spite of the multiple Italo- Romance Dialects spoken, and the influence from Spanish, Standard Italian is the most widely used variety because of the high value given to it, and also because it made communication simpler, faster, and evidently more efficient among people with diverse origins and Italo-Romance Dialects. However, in the second generation, an evident stage of erosion is visible because of the influence of Spanish. That is, they prefer to speak Spanish as L1. The use of regional Italian Dialects is restricted to family and friends, especially those from the same region or town in Italy. He also concludes that the choice for any of the previously mentioned languages in the repertoire is conditioned by several factors such as education, age, sex, origin in Italy, relationship with the national Spanish or Costa Rican culture, marital ties with Costa Ricans, and so forth. With regards to this topic he states that:

"Nella comunità sanvitegna l'italiano ha tronfato sui dialetti, quale strumento di comunicazione più funzionale, oltre che dotato di maggior prestigio. Nella seconda generazione la lingua a sua volta va regredendo da fronte allo spagnolo che per i giovani non solo è più funzionale, rispetto all'ambiente costarricense in cui ormai si trovano a vivere ma è assai meglio posseduto dell'Italiano (generalmente scarso, o semidialettale, già nei padri) rispetto a cui gode,grazie alla scuola, anche del prestigio della lingua di cultura. Gli elementi che hanno causato il vario comportamento linguistico dei coloni sono indubbiamente numerosi; ma ad alcuni si può attribuire una validitá di ordine generale. Il primo da prendere la considerazione è il posseso del linguaggio originario: che di norma è un dialetto. Un suo pieno posseso appare infatti indispensabile per una buona conversazione, in rapporto sia in all'italiano sia allo spagnolo. Il ben maggiore possesso che il parlante ha del vernacolo rispetto alla lingua spiega il minimo (talora nullo) cedimento del primo all' influsso del castigliano." (Franceschi, 1970, pp. 359-361)

References

- Alinei, M. (2000). Origini delle Lingue d'Europa II. Continuità del ferro nelle principali aree etnolinguistiche. Bologna: Il Mulino.
- Baker, Colin. (2005). *Foundations of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*. Multilingual Clevedon: Matters Ltd. Bialystok.
- Baldassar, L (1992). Italo-Australian youth in Perth. In Bosworth R, and Ugolini, R. (Eds.). *War, internment and mass migration: the Italo-Australian experience 1940-1990*. Rome: Gruppo Editoriale Internazionale.
- Baldassar, L. (1994). Migration as transnational interaction-I-taly re-visited. In Bivona, A. (Ed.) Italian towards 2000. *The role of Italian studies in Australian Universities. Prospects for the future.* Melbourne: Victoria University of Technology.
- Baldassar, L. (2000). "La cultura italiana nel mondo e la comunicazione verso l'Italia". Paper presented at the CGIE (Comitato Generale degli Italiani all'Estero) English Speaking Countries Commission, Perth, 27 April.
- Bariatti, R. Italian Immigrants in Costa Rica. (1989). Study on their integration based on oral sources. *Revista de Historia*. July-Dec., 20. pp. 1-5
- Berretta, M. (1993). Morfologia. In Alberto A. Sobrero (Ed.). *Introduzione all'italiano contemporaneo*. Le strutture Bari: Laterza. p .233
- Berretta, M. (1994). Il parlato italiano contemporáneo. In Lucia Serianni e Pietro Trifon (Eds.) *Storia della lingua italiana*. Bari: Laterza. pp. 239-270.
- Berruto, G. (1987). *Sociolinguistica dell'italiano contemporaneo*. Roma: La Nuova Italia Scientifica.
- Berruto, G. (1995). Fondamenti di Sociolinguistica. Bari: Laterza.
- Berruto, G. (2000). *Introduzione all'Italiano contemporaneo*. In Sobrero (Ed.) Bari: Laterza. pp. 3-33.
- Berruto, G. (1997). Fondamenti di Sociolinguistica. Bari: Laterza.
- Bettoni, C. (1993). *Italiano fuori di Italia*. In A. Sobrero (Ed). *Introduzione all'Italiano contemporaneo*. La variazione e gli usi. Bari: Laterza. pp. 411-456
- Bettoni, C. And Rubino, A. (1996). *Emigrazione e comportamento linguistico. Un'indagine sul trilinguismo dei siciliani e dei veneti in Australia*. Galatina: Congedo.

- Bettoni, C. (1981). *Italian in North Queensland. Changes in the speech of first and second generation bilinguals.* Townsville: James Cook University.
- Bettoni, C. (1990). Italian language attrition in Sydney: the role of dialect. In M.A.K. Halliday, J. Gibbons and H. Nicholas (Eds). *Learning, keeping, and using language. Selected papers from 8th World Congress of Applied Linguistics*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. Sydney, 16-21 August 1987, 2. pp.75-89.
- Bettoni, C. (1991a). Other community languages. In M. Clyne (Ed.), Linguistics in Australia: Trends in Research Canberra: Academy of the Social Sciences in Australia. pp. 75-90.
- Bettoni, C. (1991). Language shift and morphological attrition among second generation Italo-Australians. *Rivista di Linguistica*, 3(2), 369-387.
- Bettoni, C. & Gibbons, J. (1988). Linguistic purism and language shift: a guise-voice study in the Italian community in Sydney. In A. Pauwels (Ed.), *The future of ethnic language in Australia.* (*International Journal of the Sociology of Language* 72) Amsterdam: Mouton de Gruyter. pp. 15-35.
- Bialystok, E. (2001). *Bilingualism in Development. Language, Literacy & Cognition*. New York: Cambridge.
- Bulgarelli, O. (1989). La huelga de los tútiles, 1887-1889: un capítulo de nuestra historia socia., San José: Universidad Estatal a Distancia.
- Castles, S. (1992). Italian migration and settlement since 1945. In Castles, S. et al. (Eds.) *Australia's Italians. Culture and community in a changing society*. Sydney: Allen & Unwin.
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Anna Maria I parte* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gEbfl1ZEyk4
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Anna Maria II parte* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CsqtJ-gWP3R4&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signora Venetto I* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kxA3kIfLbHs&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signore Mauro e Mamma* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=17Ud-5e-POKA&feature=youtu.be

- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signora Dora* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JiyrOKp7j1I&feature=youtu. be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Dottore Cesare* [Video file]. Retrieved from
- http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2vyoq7cOc1o&feature=youtu.
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signora Eva* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w_RXyBSdYh0&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signore Gianpaolo* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V6lnOmQBn-MI&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signore Fausto Colina* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ttlfk7o-AYMQ&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signora Dora II* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hmUn00UH_YQ&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signorina Loredana* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xEsl4PYnFrc&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signore Martino* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R_hJ-iY7WkI&feature=youtu.be
- Castro Prieto, A. (2012). *Signora Caterina* [Video file]. Retrieved from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ffJy2PPFsz8&feature=relmfu
- Grassi C., A. Sobrero, T. Telmon (1997). Fondamenti di dialettologia italiana. Bari: Laterza.
- Clyne, M. (1982). *Multilingual Australia*. Melbourne: River Seine Publications.
- Clyne, M. (1991). Community languages: The Australian experience. Cambridge:
- Cambridge University Press.
- Clyne, M. (2003). *Dynamics of language contact*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Clyne, M. (2005). *Australia's language potential*. Sydney: University of New South Wales Press.

- Clyne, M.& Cassia, P. (1999). Trilingualism, immigration and relatedness of language. *Review of Applied Linguistics*. pp. 123-124, 57-77
- Clivio, Gianrenzo P. (1986). Competing loanwords and loanshifts in Toronto's italiese. In Bettoni Camilla, ed. *Italian Abroad*. 129-146. University of Sydney: Frederick. May Foundation for Italian Studies.
- Creswell, J. (1994). Research design: Qualitative and quantitative approaches. London: Sage.
- D'Achille, P. (2006). L'Italiano contemporaneo. Bologna: Il Mulino.
- Dell' Aquila, Vittorio & Iannàccaro, G. (2006). *Survey Ladins. Usi linguistici nelle Valle Ladine*. Trento: Regione autónoma Trentino-Alto Adige.
- De Mauro, T. (1963). Storia Linguistica dell'Italia Unita. Bari: Laterza
- Elman, Jeffrey. (1997). Rethinking Innateness: A Connectionist Perspective on Development (Neural Networks and Connectionist Modeling). Massachusetts: Cambridge.
- Ferguson, Charles A. (1959). Diglossia. Word. 15, pp. 325-340.
- Florian, C. (Ed.), (1997). *The Handbook of Sociolinguistics*. Massachussets. Blackwell Publishers.
- Franceschi, T. (1970). *Lingua e cultura di una comunità italiana in Costa Rica*. Firenze: Valmartina.
- Frosi, Vitalina M. (1987). I dialetti Italiani nel Rio Grande do Sul e il loro sviluppo nel contesto socioculturale ed economico prevalenza del dialetto Veneto. In Vincenzo Lo Cascio Ed. *L'ITA-LIANO IN AMERICA LATINA*, 27. Firenze: Le Monnier.
- Guerini, F. (2006). Language Alternation Strategies in Multilingual Settings. A case study: Ghanian Immigrants in Northern Italy. Bern: Peter Lang.
- Harbert, Wayne. (2007). *The Germanic Languages*. New York: Cambridge.
- Loporcaro, Michele. (2009). *Profilo Linguistico dei dialetti Italiani*. Bari: Laterza.
- Milroy and Muysken, Eds. (1995). One speaker two languages Cross-disciplinary perspectives on code switching. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Maiden & Parry (Eds). (1997). *The dialects of Italy*. New York: Routledge.

- Maps of Italian Dialects [Online image]. (n.d.). *Stormfront*. Jelsoft Enterprises Ltd. Retrieved from http://www.stormfront.org/forum/t904406-2/
- Maps of Italian Dialects [Online image]. (n.d.). *italica.rai*. Retrieved from http://www.italica.rai.it/principali/lingua/bruni/mappe/mappe/f_dialetti.htm
- [Maps of Costa Rica]. Retrieved from http://www.cotobrus.net/el-canton/209-san-vito-de-coto-brus.html
- Meo Zilio, G. (1989). Aspectos de la gramática contrastiva Italo-hispánica: el "Cocoliche," in G. Meo Zilio, 1989, *Estudios Hispanoamericanos. Temas Lingüísticos*, Bulzoni, Roma: 207-254.
- Paradis, M. (2004). *A Neurolinguistic Theory of Bilingualism*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Petriella, D. (1987). Situazione generale della presenza della lingua e cultura italiana in Argentina. In Vincenzo Lo cascio ed *L'I-TALIANO IN AMERICA LATINA* (45-49). Firenze: Le Monnier.
- Pinker, S. (1997). La Tabola Rasa: La negación Moderna de la Naturaleza Humana. Paidos: Iberia.
- Pinker, S. (1994). *The Language Instinct. Pinker, Steven*. Massachusetts: Harvard
- Romaine, S. (1995). *Bilingualism*. Massachusetts: Blackwell Publishers.
- Rubino, A. (2002). "Italian in Australia: past and new trends". *Proceedings of Innovation Italian teaching*. Workshop, Griffith University. Bologna: Il Molino. pp.1-2
- Ruiz, O. & José Espica, M. A. (1989). *La decodificación de la vida cotidiana*. Bilbao: Universidad de Deusto.
- Ruiz Olabuénaga, JI. (2007). *Metodología de la investigación cualitativa*. 4ta edic. Bilbao: Universidad de Deusto.
- Sapir, E. (1921). *An introduction to the study of speech*. New York: Hartcourt.
- Sansonetti, V. (1995). Quemé mis naves en estas montañas: La colonización de la altiplanicie de Coto Brus y la fundación de San Vito de Java. San José: Jiménez & Tanzi.
- Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a Language. A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition*. Cambridge: Harvard University press.

- Tomasello, M. (1994). *The language instinct: how the mind creates language.* New York.
- Turchetta, Barbara (2005). Il mondo in italiano. Varietà e usi internazionali della lingua. Roma: Laterza.
- Vedovelli, M. (2011). Storia Linguistica dell'emigrazione italiana nel mondo. Carocci: Roma.
- Vietti, A. (2005). Come gli Immigranti cambiano L'italiano.L'italiano di peruviane come varieta etnica. Francoangeli: Milano.