

Iberoamerican Journal of Medicine

ISSN: 2695-5075 ISSN-L: 2695-5075

iberoamericanjm@gmail.com

Hospital San Pedro

España

Girgin, Reha; Mungan, Necmettin Aydın
The Clinical Efficacy of Manual Irrigation for the Prevention of
Postoperative Bleeding of Transurethral Prostate Resection
Iberoamerican Journal of Medicine, vol. 4, núm. 4, 2022, pp. 185-190
Hospital San Pedro
España

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53986/ibjm.2022.0031

Disponible en: https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=692072548003



Número completo

Más información del artículo

Página de la revista en redalyc.org



abierto

Sistema de Información Científica Redalyc

Red de Revistas Científicas de América Latina y el Caribe, España y Portugal Proyecto académico sin fines de lucro, desarrollado bajo la iniciativa de acceso



Journal homepage: www.iberoamjmed.com

Original article

The Clinical Efficacy of Manual Irrigation for the Prevention of Postoperative Bleeding of Transurethral Prostate Resection

Reha Girgin ^{a,*}lo, Necmettin Aydın Mungan ^a

^a Zonguldak Bulent Ecevit University Hospital, Department of Urology, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 27 May 2022
Received in revised form 23 July 2022

Accepted 06 August 2022

Keywords:

Transurethral prostatectomy Postoperative bleeding Irrigation

ABSTRACT

<u>Introduction</u>: Postoperative blood loss after prostate surgery is thought to be associated with an increase in urinary fibrinolytic activity. We investigated the effects of the local dilution of fibrinolytic agents with manual irrigation on postoperative bleeding after the transurethral resection of the prostate (TUR-P).

<u>Materials and methods</u>: Between April 2017 and April 2021, 128 patients were prospectively randomised into two equal groups. Monopolar TUR-P was applied to all patients for benign prostatic hyperplasia, but post procedure manual irrigation was used in group 2 only. Haemoglobin levels were evaluated before and after TUR-P. Intra-op and post operative bleeding, amount of saline used for irrigation, duration of catheterisation and length of hospital stay were noted. The patients were followed up 1 month after the TUR-P.

Results: The resected adenoma weight was 12.64 g in group 1 and 13.11 g in group 2. There was no difference between groups 1 and 2 in terms of haemoglobin loss and total blood loss within the first 24 h after TUR-P, which were 0.82 g and 0.95 g (P = 0.443) and 90.45 mL and 67.80 mL (P = 0.102), respectively. There was also no significant difference between the groups in the saline volume used for irrigation (19 vs. 17.3 L), catheter duration and hospital stay (2.6 vs. 2.6 days).

<u>Conclusions</u>: We could not show the positive effects of manual irrigation on bleeding complications after TUR-P, though it is a simple and safe-seeming procedure with no specific operative technique.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Iberoamerican Journal of Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author.

La eficacia clínica de la irrigación manual para la prevención del sangrado posoperatorio de la resección transuretral de próstata

INFO. ARTÍCULO

Historia del artículo: Recibido 27 Mayo 2022 Recibido en forma revisada 23 Julio 2022 Aceptado 06 Agosto 2022

Palabras clave: Prostatectomía transuretral Sangrado posoperatorio Irrigación

RESUMEN

<u>Introducción</u>: Se cree que la pérdida de sangre postoperatoria después de la cirugía de próstata está asociada con un aumento en la actividad fibrinolítica urinaria. Investigamos los efectos de la dilución local de fibrinolíticos con irrigación manual sobre el sangrado postoperatorio tras la resección transuretral de próstata (RTU-P).

<u>Materiales y métodos</u>: entre abril de 2017 y abril de 2021, 128 pacientes fueron aleatorizados prospectivamente en dos grupos iguales. Se aplicó RTU-P monopolar a todos los pacientes por hiperplasia prostática benigna, pero solo en el grupo 2 se usó irrigación manual posterior al procedimiento. Los niveles de hemoglobina se evaluaron antes y después de la RTU-P. Se registraron el sangrado intraoperatorio y posoperatorio, la cantidad de solución salina utilizada para la irrigación, la duración del cateterismo y la duración de la estancia hospitalaria. Los pacientes fueron seguidos 1 mes después de la RTU-P.

Resultados: El peso del adenoma resecado fue de 12,64 g en el grupo 1 y de 13,11 g en el grupo 2. No hubo diferencia entre los grupos 1 y 2 en cuanto a la pérdida de hemoglobina y la pérdida total de sangre dentro de las primeras 24 h después de la RTU-P, que fueron de 0,82. g y 0,95 g (P = 0,443) y 90,45 mL y 67,80 mL (P = 0,102), respectivamente. Tampoco hubo diferencias significativas entre los grupos en el volumen de solución salina utilizada para la irrigación (19 frente a 17,3 l), la duración del catéter y la estancia hospitalaria (2,6 frente a 2,6 días).

<u>Conclusiones</u>: No pudimos mostrar los efectos positivos de la irrigación manual sobre las complicaciones hemorrágicas después de la RTU-P, aunque es un procedimiento simple y aparentemente seguro sin una técnica quirúrgica específica.

© 2022 Los Autores. Publicado por Iberoamerican Journal of Medicine. Éste es un artículo en acceso abierto bajo licencia CC BY (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Girgin R, Mungan NA. The Clinical Efficacy of Manual Irrigation for the Prevention of Postoperative Bleeding of Transurethral Prostate Resection. Iberoam J Med. 2022;4(4):185-190. doi: 10.53986/ibjm.2022.0031.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bleeding is an important complication that increases morbidity in patients after transurethral prostate resection (TUR-P) [1]. Prostate volume, amount of resected prostate tissue, operation duration, urine culture positivity, anaesthesia type, medications used before the operation, patient age and blood pressure have been associated with perioperative blood loss [2]. Apart from these, there are studies showing that the activated fibrinolytic system in the blood has an effect on bleeding [3].

The fibrinolytic activator has been found in many tissues of the human body [4]. Prostate tissue itself has been reported to be one of the tissues with the highest concentration of this activator [4], and fibrinolytic activators have been found to be contained in the urine [4]. Both the level of urokinase in the urine and plasminogen activators in prostate tissue play a role in the fibrinolytic process and hence lead to blood loss in the perioperative period [4].

Many studies on systemic and local agents for fibrinolytic activity with conflicting results have been reported [5-8].

Considering the side effects of the agents, in this study, we aimed to investigate the effects of the local dilution of fibrinolytic agents with manual irrigation on postoperative bleeding and surgical outcomes.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Between April 2017 and April 2021, a prospective, single-blinded randomised trial was conducted with men requiring TUR-P for obstructive urinary symptoms. Local ethics committee approval before starting the study (Registration number: 2017-03-11/01) and written informed consent from all participants were obtained.

Inclusion criteria were presentation with lower urinary tract symptoms nonresponsive to medical treatment or refractory or chronic urinary retention due to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and prostate volume < 100 g.

Exclusion criteria included evidence of prostate disease other than BPH, any previous open or endoscopic prostate surgery, treatment with any 5ARI within 12 months, requirement for treatment with any anticoagulants during

the restricted periods, prostate volume > 100 g, urinary infection and severe comorbid conditions (such as liver, cardiovascular and haematological diseases).

treatment at appropriate time intervals before surgery. All TUR-Ps were performed under spinal anaesthesia in a lithotomy position and by a senior staff member. During the

| Table 1: The baseline characteristics between two groups. | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Total (n=120) | Manual | 1 | | | | | |
| | Total (n=128) | No (n=64) | Yes (n=64) | p value | | | | |
| Pre-operative data | | | | | | | | |
| Age (years) | 66.88±8.26 | 66.66±8.55 | 67.09 ± 8.02 | 0.766 | | | | |
| CCI | 2.99 ± 1.47 | 2.98 ± 1.6 | 3.00 ± 1.33 | 0.953 | | | | |
| Anticoagulant useage | 0.30 ± 0.46 | 0.30 ± 0.46 | 0.30 ± 0.46 | 1.000 | | | | |
| Hb (gr/dl) | 13.62 ± 1.46 | 13.77±1.41 | 13.47±1.50 | 0.240 | | | | |
| Htc (%) | 41.3±4.35 | 42.02 ± 3.98 | 40.53 ± 4.60 | 0.052 | | | | |
| PSA (ng/ml) | 3.53 ± 3.10 | 3.45 ± 3.49 | 3.62 ± 2.68 | 0.202 | | | | |
| IPSS | 22.63 ± 6.62 | 22.58 ± 7.02 | 22.67±6.24 | 0.937 | | | | |
| QoL | 3.70 ± 1.54 | 3.81 ± 1.45 | 3.59 ± 1.64 | 0.383 | | | | |
| Qmax (ml/sn) | 6.67±3.76 | 6.71±3.89 | 6.63 ± 3.67 | 0.911 | | | | |
| mean flow | 3.19 ± 1.92 | 3.24 ± 2.01 | 3.13±1.84 | 0.742 | | | | |
| Residue (ml) | 301.29±277.78 | 292.61±256.27 | 309.97±299.53 | 0.503 | | | | |
| Prostate volume (cm ³) | 51.65±17.47 | 51.55±17.26 | 51.75±17.82 | 0.983 | | | | |
| Alfa-blocker (%) | 80 (100.0) | 42 (65.6) | 38 (59.4) | 0.292 | | | | |
| Intra-operative data | | | | | | | | |
| Operative time (min) | 53.05±20.94 | 53.05±20.94 | 53.84 ± 21.68 | 0.903 | | | | |
| Cotter time (min) | 13.44±6.21 | 13.44 ± 6.21 | 13.37±6.18 | 0.948 | | | | |
| Irrigation volume (ml) | 17581.25±9588.53 | 17581.25±9588.53 | 20070.31±10089.63 | 0.146 | | | | |
| Extracted tissue (gr) | 12.64 ± 9.32 | 12.64 ± 9.32 | 13.11±10.57 | 0.873 | | | | |
| Blood loss (ml) | 75.66±52.74 | 75.66±52.74 | 100.71±72.09 | 0.082 | | | | |

CCI; Charlson comorbidity index; Hb: Haemoglobin: Htc: Haematocrit; PSA: Prostate-specific antigen; IPSS: International prostate syndrome score; QoL: Quality of life.

After power analysis, 128 patients meeting the criteria were included in the study. Similar pre-treatment assessments and procedures were performed for all participants to establish the diagnosis of bladder outlet obstruction due to BPH, including the assessment of voiding efficacy quantified by maximum flow rate (Q_{max}), postvoid residual urine volume (PVR) and International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS). Prostate size measured using transrectal was ultrasonography (TRUSG) by a senior staff member. When indicated, a TRUSG biopsy was first taken to exclude malignancy.

Patients were randomised into two study groups in a 1:1 ratio by coin toss. In the first group (control group), a urethral catheter was inserted after the operation, and continuous irrigation with isotonic saline solution was started on the operation table. In the second group (intervention group), after the insertion of the urethral catheter after the operation, the bladder was manually washed with 1000 cc of saline at room temperature with a pine-tip injector through the urethral catheter before continuous irrigation with isotonic saline solution was started. This was a single-blinded study due to the nature of the study. Patients were blinded to the intervention type but blinding of the treatment provider and outcome assessor could not be done.

Patients taking anticoagulant medication discontinued their

operations, a standard monopolar 26 F resectoscope and irrigation fluid at room temperature were used. The operative duration and amount of saline used for irrigation during the operation were calculated for each patient. The operation time was defined as the period from the beginning of the resection until the end of haemostasis. After weighing, all resected tissue underwent pathological evaluation.

The day after the operation, the irrigation fluid was stopped when it became clear. The amount of fluid used for each patient was calculated, and to determine the total haemoglobin (Hb) loss, another blood sample was taken. Since bleeding was considered insignificant after 48 h [3], it was not necessary to measure late Hb values in patients in whom we did not observe gross bleeding. Surgical blood loss during and after the operation was calculated by the red blood cell (RBC) method as described in the study by Ukimura et al. [1]. The patients were discharged when they could urinate successfully after the removal of their urethral catheters. For each patient, catheter duration and hospital stay were recorded. The first-month follow-up visits for patients were done using the IPSS, QoL, Qmax and PVR. The sample size was determined by the G-Power 3.0.10

The sample size was determined by the G-Power 3.0.10 Program aimed at 95% power and 0.05 alpha error values, considering the blood loss level presented in a reference article by Lira-Dale et al. [9]. Results showed that the minimum sample size was 52 for each group and 104 in total

(critical t=1.6596374; p<0.05). Nominal and ordinal parameters were described with frequencies, whereas scale parameters were described with means and standard deviations (SD). Fischer's exact and chi-square likelihood ratio tests were used for differences between categorical variables. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used for normality analysis of scale parameters. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for differences between non-normally distributed parameters, and the independent samples t-test was used for differences between normally distributed parameters. SPSS 17.0 for Windows was used for analysis at 95% confidence interval (CI) with a 0.05 significance level.

The mean Hb loss within the first 24 h after TUR-P is shown in Table 2, and there was no significant difference in total Hb loss (perioperative) between the groups either. Gross bleeding was not observed in any patient after the operation, the need for blood transfusion was not observed and retention was not observed in any patient after catheter removal. There was no significant difference between the groups in the volume of saline used for bladder irrigation, the catheter dwell time and the length of hospital stay (Table 2).

Qmax, PVR, IPSS and QoL values in the first month for the patients were statistically similar between the groups (Table 2).

| Table 2: Comparisons of post-operative data between two groups. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dogt amounting data | Total (n=128) | Manual irrigation | | | | | | | |
| Post-operative data | | No (n=64) | Yes (n=64) | p value | | | | | |
| Irrigation duration (hour) | 18.68±2.22 | 18.68±2.22 | 18.48±2.04 | 0.673 | | | | | |
| Irrigation volume (ml) | 19048.44±7093.35 | 19048.44±7093.35 | 17328.13±5760.58 | 0.219 | | | | | |
| Blood loss (ml) | 90.45±88.52 | 90.45±88.52 | 67.80±85.51 | 0.102 | | | | | |
| Urethral catheter diameter (Fr) | 21.69±1.02 | 21.69±1.02 | 21.88±0.49 | 0.146 | | | | | |
| Hb (gr/dl) | 13.05±1.30 13.05±1.30 12.90± | | 12.90±1.40 | 0.543 | | | | | |
| Htc (%) | 39.56±4.12 | 40.12±4.03 | 38.99±4.15 | 0.121 | | | | | |
| 1st day Hb (gr/dl) | 12.73±1.49 | 12.95±1.46 | 12.52±1.49 | 0.100 | | | | | |
| 1 st day Htc (%) | 37.72±4.28 | 38.38±4.03 37.06±4.46 | | 0.082 | | | | | |
| 1st day Hb loss (ml) | 0.89±0.95 | 0.82±0.90 | 0.95±1.01 | 0.443 | | | | | |
| Urethral catheter dwell time (day) | 2.66±0.59 | 2.67±0.67 | 2.64±0.52 | 0.926 | | | | | |
| 1st month Qmax (ml/sn) | 20.45±7.92 | 20.03±6.78 | 20.88±8.95 | 0.548 | | | | | |
| 1st month PVR (ml) | 35.58±30.44 | 35.50±27.66 | 35.66±33.21 | 0.724 | | | | | |
| 1st month IPSS | 8.54±3.85 | 8.58±3.70 | 8.50±4.03 | 0.831 | | | | | |
| 1st month QoL | 0.97±1.08 | 0.97±1.05 | 0.97±1.11 | 0.998 | | | | | |

Hb: Haemoglobin: Htc: Haematocrit; IPSS: International prostate syndrome score; QoL: Quality of life; PVR: Postovoid residual urine volume.

3. RESULTS

The mean age of the patients was 66.88 ± 8.26 years, mean IPSS was 22.63 ± 6.62 , mean Qmax was 6.67 ± 3.76 , mean PVR was 301.29 ± 277.78 mL, mean prostate volume was 51.65 ± 17.47 cm³, mean Hb was 13.62 ± 1.46 and mean PSA was 3.53 ± 3.10 , respectively. There was a non-significant difference in all pre-operative data between the groups (p > 0.05) (Table 1).

The mean resected prostate weight was $12.64\pm9.32~g$ in the control group and $13.11\pm10.57~g$ in the intervention group. Operation time was $53.05\pm20.94~m$ inutes and $53.84\pm21.68~m$ inutes, respectively. Cautery time was $13.44\pm6.21~m$ inutes and $13.37\pm6.18~m$ inutes, respectively. The irrigation fluid used was $17581.25\pm9588.53~m$ L and $20070.31\pm10089.63~m$ l, respectively. There was no statistical difference between the two groups (p > 0.05) (Table 1).

The differences in preoperative and postoperative data according to the groups are shown in Table 3. It is seen that the differences between the groups are in favour of the intervention group. No malignant findings were found in the pathologies of any patient.

4. DISCUSSION

TUR-P remains the gold standard for correcting bladder outlet obstructions in medium-sized prostate volumes [2]. Due to the complications it contains, it is a procedure that requires hospital follow-up. Perioperative bleeding is the most troubling complication [10]. In one study, severe bleeding was reported to be at a 13% rate [11]. This procedure carries the risk of haemodynamic instability due to severe bleeding, reoperation and prolonged hospitalisation.

Catheter traction has been attempted to reduce perioperative

bleeding. Agents such as oestrogen, vasopressin, fibrin sealants and phenol solution have been applied both parenterally and locally, but no agent or technique has been accepted in clinical routines [12-17].

prevent this cycle by washing the prostate gland with saline to dilute the fibrinolytic agents released. However, we could not show the superiority of manual irrigation in haemostasis in our study.

| Table 3: Pre-operative and post-operative differences of patient groups. | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|
| | Manual irrigatiob | | | | | |
| | No (n=64) | | Yes (n=64) | | | |
| | Difference | p value | Difference | p value | | |
| Hb (gr/dl) | 0.72 | 0.000 | 0.56 | 0.000 | | |
| IPSS | 14.00 | 0.000 | 14.17 | 0.000 | | |
| QoL | 2.84 | 0.000 | 2.62 | 0.000 | | |
| Qmax (ml/sn) | -13.32 | 0.000 | -14.24 | 0.000 | | |
| PVR (ml) | 257.11 | 0.000 | 274.31 | 0.000 | | |

Hb: Haemoglobin: IPSS: International prostate syndrome score; QoL: Quality of life; PVR: Postovoid residual urine volume.

Lira-Dale et al. injected transurethral epinephrine into the prostatic tissue prior to TUR-P and observed a reduction in the amount of bleeding [9]. Although it is applied locally, it carries the risk of invasiveness from the application process and the need for haemodynamic follow-up in the patient. In another study, Khorrami et al. reported that by injecting fibrin glue, a combination of fibrinogen and thrombin into the prostatic bed after resection decreased bleeding, and this would not have a negative effect on lower urinary tract system symptoms [10]. Although the application is superficial, the need for fluoroscopic control creates an additional radiation risk to the patient. Considering these difficulties in the application of agents used for haemostasis, our application seems to be an easier procedure. However, we did not see a statistical difference between the groups in terms of postoperative blood loss, Hb reduction and duration of hospital stay.

Studies evaluating the relationship between systemic fibrinolytic activity and post-TUR-P bleeding in the literature could not reveal this relationship sufficiently [18-21]. This situation, which is attributed to methodological inadequacies, was attempted to be overcome with the study designed by Nilsen et al. [3], but they also could not establish a relationship between systemic fibrinolytic activity and post-TUR-P bleeding. Then, Nilsen et al. attempted to elucidate the relationship between local fibrinolysis and post-TUR-P bleeding, and the existence of such a relationship was emphasised [22].

A robust haemostatic system is essential for wound healing. [23]. Bleeding control may not be easy due to vessels being opened in the resection area in prostate surgery. The blood clot formed in a local area is a response to prevent bleeding. [23]. Tissue plasminogen activators in the prostate capsule and urokinase in the urine can increase existing bleeding by causing the destruction of formed clots and may interfere with the healing process [23]. Therefore, we attempted to

Our application did not show the change in concentrations of local fibrinolytic agents, as we did not directly measure fibrinolytic activity in the local setting. This was a limitation of our study. In fact, our aim was to demonstrate the clinical reduction of bleeding. Another limitation was that although we could not show a difference between the groups clinically and biochemically, we could not explain the serum changes due to the absorption of the saline we applied locally. In addition, when we compared the first-month data of the patients with the preoperative data, we saw that the improvement in the intervention group was greater. It was not possible to explain this situation with the available data. At the third and twelfth month evaluations, which will show whether this situation continues in the long term, will enable us to make a better interpretation.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We could not demonstrate the clinical superiority or inferiority of manual irrigation in the current study. We think that the physiopathological evaluation of saline application to the resection site in a different well-designed study can answer all these questions.

6. CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare. The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

7. REFERENCES

1. Ukimura O, Kawauchi A, Kanazawa M, Miyashita H, Yoneda K, Kojima M, et al. Preoperative administration of chlormadinone acetate reduces blood loss

- associated with transurethral resection of the prostate: a prospective randomized study. BJU Int. 2005;96(1):98-102. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2005.05575.x.
- 2. Kumsar S, Dirim A, Toksöz S, Sağlam HS, Adsan O. Tranexamic acid decreases blood loss during transurethral resection of the prostate (TUR -P). Cent European J Urol. 2011;64(3):156-8. doi: 10.5173/ceju.2011.03.art13.
- 3. Nielsen JD, Gram J, Fabrin K, Holm-Nielsen A, Jespersen J. Lack of correlation between blood fibrinolysis and the immediate or post-operative blood loss in transurethral resection of the prostate. Br J Urol. 1997;80(1):105-10. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-410x.1997.00251.x.
- 4. Andersson L. Antifibrinolytic therapy in genitourinary tract surgery. J Clin Pathol Suppl (R Coll Pathol). 1980;14:60-2.
- 5. Donohue JF, Sharma H, Abraham R, Natalwala S, Thomas DR, Foster MC. Transurethral prostate resection and bleeding: a randomized, placebo controlled trial of role of finasteride for decreasing operative blood loss. J Urol. 2002;168(5):2024-6. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000028607.29093.56.
- 6. Abdelbaki SA, Al-Falah A, Alhefnawy M, Abozeid A, Fathi A. The effect of short-term use of finasteride versus cyproterone acetate on perioperative blood loss with monopolar transurethral resection of prostate. Afr J Urol 2021;27,106. doi: 10.1186/s12301-021-00211-4.
- 7. Sharifi R, Lee M, Ray P, Millner SN, Dupont PF. Safety and efficacy of intravesical aminocaproic acid for bleeding after transurethral resection of prostate. Urology. 1986;27(3):214-9. doi: 10.1016/0090-4295(86)90277-3.
- 8. Luke M, Kvist E, Andersen F, Hjortrup A. Reduction of post-operative bleeding after transurethral resection of the prostate by local instillation of fibrin adhesive (Beriplast). Br J Urol. 1986;58(6):672-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410x.1986.tb05910.x.
- 9. Lira-Dale A, Maldonado-Ávila M, Gil-García JF, Mues-Guizar EH, Nerubay-Toiber R, Guzmán-Esquivel J, et al. Effect of intraprostatic epinephrine on intraoperative blood loss reduction during transurethral resection of the prostate. Int Urol Nephrol. 2012;44(2):365-9. doi: 10.1007/s11255-011-0071-2.
- 10. Khorrami MH, Tadaion F, Ghanaat I, Alizadeh F. The efficacy of fibrin glue injection in the prostatic fossa on decreasing postoperative bleeding following transurethral resection of prostate. Adv Biomed Res. 2016;5:161. doi: 10.4103/2277-9175.192733.
- 11. Ekengren J, Hahn RG. Blood loss during transurethral resection of the prostate as measured by the HemoCue photometer. Scand J Urol Nephrol. 1993;27(4):501-7. doi: 10.3109/00365599309182284.
- 12. Ozdal OL, Ozden C, Benli K, Gökkaya S, Bulut S, Memiş A. Effect of short-term finasteride therapy on peroperative bleeding in patients who were

- candidates for transurethral resection of the prostate (TUR-P): a randomized controlled study. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2005;8(3):215-8. doi: 10.1038/sj.pcan.4500818.
- 13. Hagerty JA, Ginsberg PC, Harmon JD, Harkaway RC. Pretreatment with finasteride decreases perioperative bleeding associated with transurethral resection of the prostate. Urology. 2000;55(5):684-9. doi: 10.1016/s0090-4295(00)00454-4
- 14. Sandfeldt L, Bailey DM, Hahn RG. Blood loss during transurethral resection of the prostate after 3 months of treatment with finasteride. Urology. 2001;58(6):972-6. doi: 10.1016/s0090-4295(01)01408-x.
- 15. Decaestecker K, Oosterlinck W. Transurethral resection of the prostate in recurrent acute bacterial prostatitis. Urol Int. 2015;94(4):442-4. doi: 10.1159/000367667.
- 16. Rannikko A, Pétas A, Taari K. Tranexamic acid in control of primary hemorrhage during transurethral prostatectomy. Urology. 2004;64(5):955-8. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2004.07.008.
- 17. Ketabchi AA, Ketabchi M, Barkam M. The Effect of Modified TURP (M-TURP) in Intra and Postoperative Complications. Nephrourol Mon. 2013 Spring;5(2):758-61. doi: 10.5812/numonthly.6607.
- 18. Entz FH. FIBRINOLYSIS: A COMPLICATION OF TRANSURETHRAL RESECTION OF THE PROSTATE GLAND. J Urol. 1964;91:671-5. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(17)64199-5.
- 19. Lindell TD, Porter JM. Urinary tract fibrinolysis. J Surg Res. 1974;16(5):552-8. doi: 10.1016/0022-4804(74)90083-3.
- 20. Nørby B. [Convalescence and sick leave following transurethral prostatectomy]. Ugeskr Laeger. 2009;171(40):2905-7.
- 21. Hahn RG, Essén P. Blood coagulation status after transurethral resection of the prostate. Scand J Urol Nephrol. 1994;28(4):385-90. doi: 10.3109/00365599409180518.
- 22. Nielsen JD, Gram J, Holm-Nielsen A, Fabrin K, Jespersen J. Postoperative blood loss after transurethral prostatectomy is dependent on in situ fibrinolysis. Br J Urol. 1997;80(6):889-93. doi: 10.1046/j.1464-410x.1997.00483.x.
- 23. Shukla KK, Ambastha SS, Dube RK, Dube B. Use of antifibrinolytic therapy in prostate surgery. Int Surg. 1979;64(2):79-81.