



Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control
ISSN: 1392-5113
ISSN: 2335-8963
nonlinear@mii.vu.lt
Vilniaus Universitetas
Lituania

Relative controllability of a stochastic system using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices*

Wanga, JinRong; Sathiyaraja, T.; Regan, Donal O

Relative controllability of a stochastic system using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices*

Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, vol. 26, núm. 6, 2021

Vilniaus Universitetas, Lituania

Disponible en: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=694173172003>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2021.26.24265>



Esta obra está bajo una Licencia Creative Commons Atribución 4.0 Internacional.

Relative controllability of a stochastic system using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices*

JinRong Wanga sci.jrwang@gzu.edu.cn

Guizhou University, Guiyang, China

T. Sathiyaraja sathiyaraj133@gmail.com

Guizhou University, Guiyang, China

Donal O Regan donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

National University of Ireland, Galway, Irlanda

Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, vol. 26, núm. 6, 2021

Vilniaus Universitetas, Lituania

Recepción: 08 Agosto 2020

Aprobación: 01 Febrero 2021

Publicación: 01 Noviembre 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2021.26.24265>

Redalyc: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=694173172003>

Financiamiento

Fuente: National Natural Science Foundation of China

Nº de contrato: 11661016

Beneficiario: Relative controllability of a stochastic system using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices

Financiamiento

Fuente: Training Object of High Level and Innovative Talents of Guizhou Province

Nº de contrato: (2016)4006

Beneficiario: Relative controllability of a stochastic system using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices

Financiamiento

Fuente: Department of Science and Technology of Guizhou Province

Nº de contrato: [2018]1118

Beneficiario: Relative controllability of a stochastic system using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices*

Financiamiento

Fuente: Guizhou Data Driven Modeling Learning and Optimization Innovation Team

Nº de contrato: ([2020]5016)

Beneficiario: Relative controllability of a stochastic system using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices*

Abstract: In this paper, we study the relative controllability of a fractional stochastic system with pure delay in finite dimensional stochastic spaces. A set of sufficient conditions is obtained for relative exact controllability using fixed point theory, fractional calculus (including fractional delayed linear operators and Grammian matrices) and local assumptions on nonlinear terms. Finally, an example is given to illustrate our theory.

Keywords: relative controllability, fractional delay stochastic systems, fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices.

Introduction

The integrals and derivatives of noninteger order and the fractional integro-differential equations arise in recent research in theoretical physics, mechanics and applied mathematics and fractional calculus is an effective tool to explain bodily structures that have long-term reminiscence and lengthy-range spatial integration (see [1, 14, 24]). Fractional integro-differential operators in the time and area variables describe the long-time period memory and the nonlocal nature of complicated media, and we refer the reader to the dynamics of many complex systems, anomalous methods and fractal media; see, for example, [14, 36].

In [3] the authors represented a solution for linear-type discrete systems with constant coefficients and pure delay with the aid of a discrete delayed exponential matrix and developed a controllability idea for the considered problem. In [4] an explicit solution for oscillating second-order (integer) single delay systems was represented using delayed sine and cosine matrices, and the authors established some sufficient conditions for relative controllability by constructing a specific control function. Further, representation of a solution of the Cauchy problem for an oscillating system with two delays and permutable matrices is presented in [2]. Representations of solutions for linear higher-order

delayed systems of discrete equations are derived by means of new types of matrix functions of delayed type in [6]

Controllability plays a vital role in many meaningful applications of dynamical systems such as robotics, remote control and population models etc.; see [17]. A solution representation and relative controllability results for higher-order linear discrete delayed systems with a single delay using a special matrix functions called discrete delayed sine and cosine matrices can be found in [5]. Controllability of semilinear problems is studied using the Banach fixed point theorem in [22]. A singularly perturbed linear time-dependent controlled system with a point-wise delay in state and control variables is considered for standard and nonstandard original singularly perturbed system in [9]. Algebraic necessary and sufficient conditions for relative controllability of linear time-varying systems with time-variable delays in control and problem of minimum energy control are examined in [15]. Using Schauder's fixed point theorem, sufficient conditions for global relative controllability of nonlinear time-varying systems with distributed delays in control is generalized in [16]. A series of solution was presented in [12] for the linear autonomous time-delay system with permutation matrices by using delayed exponential matrices. An integral form of a solution for the linear Cauchy problem with pure delay is presented, and relative controllability and stabilization problem for a pendulum with time delay was established in [13]. A solution representation for the linear inhomogeneous differential equation with constant coefficients and pure delay was established using the form of sine and cosine delayed matrices of polynomials of degree dependent on the value of delay in [11]. A set of sufficient conditions for the constrained controllability of retarded nonlinear systems is established using the Banach fixed point theorem, and the existence of a mild solution for the considered system with nonlocal delay condition was established in [23]. Using the delay Grammian matrix involving the delayed matrix sine, the authors presented sufficient and necessary conditions of controllability for linear problem governed by second-order delay differential equations in [25]. Necessary and sufficient condition for the controllability of matrix second-order linear systems with scheme for computation of control was proposed in [32]. Relative controllability of firstorder semilinear delay differential systems with linear parts defined by permutable matrices is proposed in [34]. Liang et al. [26] studied the following Cauchy problem for a linear fractional system with pure delay:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q y)(t) &= -A^2 y(t - \tau), \quad t \in [0, b], \quad \tau > 0, \\ y(t) &= \psi(t), \quad y'(t) = \psi'(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and represented the solution as

$$y(t) = (\cos_{\tau,q} A t^q) \psi(-\tau) + A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau)^q) \psi'(0) + \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \psi'(s) ds, \quad (2)$$

and the Cauchy problem (1) is transformed into (2) by adopting delayed fractional cosine and sine matrix

White noise is formed by dynamical systems from outside disturbance and for stochastic models (see [8,10,28]). Necessary and sufficient conditions for various types of stochastic controllability of the linear stochastic system was studied in [7], and stochastic controllability of linear systems with state delays, delay in control and variable delay in control was studied in [18,19,21]. Zabczyk in [35] studied controllability of stochastic linear systems. Complete controllability of semilinear stochastic system assuming controllability of the associated linear system was studied in [27], and complete controllability for nonlinear stochastic systems with standard Brownian motion and fractional Brownian motion was studied in [29]. Stochastic controllability and minimum energy control of systems with multiple delays in control was analyzed in [20], and controllability and exponential stability results for a class of nonlinear neutral stochastic functional differential control systems in the presence of infinite delay driven by Rosenblatt process was presented in [33]. Sufficient conditions are established for controllability of second-order nonlinear stochastic delay systems using fixed point theory, delayed sine and cosine matrices and delayed Grammian matrices in [31]. Set of sufficient conditions for controllability of fractional higher-order stochastic integro-differential systems with fractional Brownian motion in finite dimensional space is studied in [30]

In the above literature, representation of the solution and controllability results are established only for integer-order systems. However, in [26] the representation of solution for the Cauchy problem (1) is presented, but it is necessary to analyze the relatively exact controllability of nonlinear stochastic systems with pure delay. In this paper, we extend the representation of the solution introduced in [26] for fractional linear systems to nonlinear stochastic systems and present relatively exact controllability results for the following stochastic systems

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q y)(t) + A^2 y(t-\tau) \\ & = Bu(t) + F(t, y(t)) + \int_0^t \Delta(s, y(s)) dw(s), \quad t \in [0, b], \quad \tau > 0, \\ & y(t) = \psi(t), \quad y'(t) = \psi'(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where ${}^{c}D_{-\tau+}^q$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < q < 1$ with lower limit $-\tau$, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a state vector, and $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a control vector. Let $\tau > 0$ be given. Here $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are assumed to be nonsingular matrices. The nonlinear functions $F: [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Delta: [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ are continuous. The initial function $\psi \in C^1([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$, and w is a d -dimensional Wiener process. One can model many systems via our considered equations such as heat transfer, viscoelasticity, electrical circuit, electrochemistry, dynamics, economics, polymer physics and control etc.

In this paper, we propose relative exact controllability of fractional stochastic delay systems. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for linear stochastic systems using controllability Grammian matrices and linear operators, which are defined by delayed fractional cosine and sine matrices, and the minimum energy control problem. We present sufficient conditions for nonlinear fractional stochastic delay systems using the Banach contraction principle. We present an example to illustrate our results. In particular the fractional linear system (1) is extended to study the relative exact controllability for the stochastic nonlinear system (3). Also, we give a solution representation for the inhomogeneous stochastic system, and we define the delayed Grammian matrix using fractional delayed sine and cosine matrices.

Preliminary

Throughout this paper, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ is a complete probability space with probability measure \mathbf{P} on Ω with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, b]\}$ generated by the d -dimensional Wiener process $\{w(s), s \in [0, t]\}$ satisfying the usual conditions (i.e., right-continuous and \mathbf{F}_0 containing all \mathbf{P} -null sets). Let $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_b, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Hilbert space of all \mathcal{F}_b -measurable square integrable random variables with values in \mathbb{R}^n . $L_2^{\mathcal{F}}([0, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Hilbert space of all square integrable and \mathcal{F}_t -measurable processes with values in \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, let $C([0, b], L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^n))$ be the Banach space of continuous function y from $[0, b] \rightarrow L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_C$, where $\|y\|_C^2 = \sup_{t \in [0, b]} \mathbf{E}\|y(t)\|^2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}^1([0, b], L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^n)) \\ &= \{y \in C([0, b], L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^n)) : \dot{y} \in C([0, b], L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R}^n))\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let the matrix (column sum)

$$\|A\| = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{i1}|, \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{i2}|, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{in}| \right\}.$$

Also, we let $\|\psi\|_C^2 = \max_{t \in [-\tau, 0]} \mathbf{E}\|\psi(t)\|^2$, $\|\psi'\|_C^2 = \max_{t \in [-\tau, 0]} \mathbf{E}\|\psi'(t)\|^2$, and we set $M_3 = \max\{\|\psi\|_C^2, \|\psi'\|_C^2\}$. Let $L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $U_{ad} = L_2^{\mathcal{F}}([0, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ denote the space of all linear transformation and the set of all admissible controls, respectively.

Definition 1. (See [14].) For a function $f: [-\tau, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Caputo fractional derivative is

$$({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{-\tau}^t (t-s)^{-q} f'(s) ds, \quad q \in (0, 1], \quad t > -\tau,$$

where $f'(t) = df/dt$

Definition 2. (See [14].) The Mittag-Leffler function is given by

$$E_{q,p}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(kq + p)}, \quad q, p > 0.$$

In particular, for $p = 1$,

$$E_{q,1}(\lambda z^q) = E_q(\lambda z^q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k z^{kq}}{\Gamma(qk + 1)}, \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Definition 3. (See [26].) The fractional delayed cosine matrix of a polynomial of degree $2kq$ on the intervals $(k-1)\tau \leq t < k\tau$ identified at the nodes $t = k\tau, k = 0, 1, \dots$, is defined

$$\cos_{\tau,q} A t^q = \begin{cases} \Theta, & -\infty < t < -\tau, \\ I, & -\tau \leq t < 0, \\ \dots & \dots \\ I - A^2 \frac{t^{2q}}{\Gamma(2q+1)} + \dots + (-1)^k A^{2k} \frac{(t-(k-1)\tau)^{2kq}}{\Gamma(2kq+1)}, & (k-1)\tau \leq t < k\tau, \\ \dots & \dots \end{cases}$$

Where Θ denotes the zero matrix, and I denotes the identity matrix

Definition 4. (See [26].) The fractional delayed sine matrix of a polynomial of degree $(2k+1)q$ on the intervals $(k-1)\tau \leq t < k\tau$ identified at the nodes $t = k\tau, k = 0, 1, \dots$, is defined as

$$\sin_{\tau,q} A t^q = \begin{cases} \Theta, & -\infty < t < -\tau, \\ A \frac{(t+\tau)^q}{\Gamma(q+1)}, & -\tau \leq t < 0, \\ \dots & \dots \\ A \frac{(t+\tau)^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \dots + (-1)^k A^{2k+1} \frac{(t-(k-1)\tau)^{(2k+1)q}}{\Gamma[(2k+1)q+1]}, & (k-1)\tau \leq t < k\tau, \\ \dots & \dots \end{cases}$$

The linear bounded operator $\mathcal{L}_b \in \mathcal{L}(L_2^{\mathbb{R}}([0, b], \mathbb{R}^m), L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_b u = \int_0^b \cos_{\tau,q} A(b - \tau - s)^q B u(s) ds,$$

and its adjoint

$$\mathcal{L}_b^* : L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_t, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}([0, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$$

is define by

$$\mathcal{L}_b^* y = B^* \cos_{\tau, q} A^* (b - \tau - s)^q \mathbf{E}\{\cdot \mid \mathfrak{F}_t\}.$$

Consider the linear controllability operator $\mathcal{L}_b \in \mathbb{L}(L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}([0, b], \mathbb{R}^m), L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_t, \mathbb{R}^n))$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\tau}^b &= \mathcal{L}_b \mathcal{L}_b^* \{\cdot\} \\ &= \int_0^b \cos_{\tau, q} A(b - \tau - s)^q B B^* \cos_{\tau, q} A^* (b - \tau - s)^q \mathbf{E}\{\cdot \mid \mathfrak{F}_s\} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and the controllability Grammian matrix $G_{\tau}^b \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$G_{\tau}^b = \int_0^b \cos_{\tau, q} A(b - \tau - s)^q B B^* \cos_{\tau, q} A^* (b - \tau - s)^q ds; \quad (5)$$

Definition 5. The set $x(t) = \{y(t), u_t\}$ is said to be the complete state of system (3) at time t .

Definition 6. The stochastic system (3) is said to be relatively controllable on $[0, b]$ if, for every complete state $x(0)$ and every $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a control $u(\tau)$ defined on $[0, b]$ such that the corresponding trajectory of the stochastic system (3) satisfies the conditions $y(b) = y_1$ at time b and $y'(t) = \psi'(t)$, $t \in [-\tau, 0]$.

Definition 7. (See [19].) System (3) is said to be relatively exactly controllable on $[0, b]$ if

$$R_b(U_{ad}) = L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_b, \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $R_b(U_{ad}) = \{y(b, u) \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_b, \mathbb{R}^n); u(\cdot) \in U_{ad}\}$.

Lemma 1. Let the matrix A be a nonsingular matrix. A solution of the following inhomogeneous linear fractional system

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q y)(t) + A^2 y(t - \tau) &= f(t), \quad t \in [0, b], \quad \tau > 0, \\ y(t) &= \psi(t), \quad y'(t) = \psi'(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0], \end{aligned}$$

with zero initial condition has the following form:

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q f(s) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, b].$$

Proof. Consider (the variation of parameters method

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q C(s) \, ds,$$

where $C(s), s \in [0, t]$, is an unknown function. Taking Caputo fractional derivatives ${}^{CD}_{-\tau+}^q ({}^{CD}_{-\tau+}^q)$ on both sides and applying the properties and derivative rules of fractional delayed cos and sin matrices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{CD}_{-\tau+}^q ({}^{CD}_{-\tau+}^q y)(t) \\ &= (\cos_{\tau,q} A t^q) C(t) - A^2 \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - 2\tau - s)^q C(s) \, ds \\ &= C(t) - A^2 \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - 2\tau - s)^q C(s) \, ds \\ &\quad + A^2 \int_0^{t-\tau} \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - 2\tau - s)^q C(s) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Put the above expression into (6), and we get

$$\begin{aligned} & C(t) - A^2 \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - 2\tau - s)^q C(s) \, ds \\ &\quad + A^2 \int_0^{t-\tau} \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - 2\tau - s)^q C(s) \, ds = f(t) \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{t-\tau}^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - 2\tau - s)^q C(s) \, ds = 0$.

Main result

Linear cas

Consider the corresponding linear stochastic control system of (3)

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q y)(t) + A^2 y(t - \tau) &= Bu(t) + \int_0^t \hat{\Delta}(s) dw(s), \\ t &\in [0, b], \quad \tau > 0, \\ y(t) &= \psi(t), \quad y'(t) = \psi'(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\hat{\Delta}: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. For $f \in C([0, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$, the corresponding linear deterministic control system of (7)

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q y)(t) + A^2 y(t - \tau) &= Bu(t) + f(t), \quad t \in [0, b], \quad \tau > 0, \\ y(t) &= \psi(t), \quad y'(t) = \psi'(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Using [26] and Lemma 1, the solution of (8) is

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= (\cos_{\tau,q} At^q) \psi(-\tau) + A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau)^q) \psi'(0) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q \psi'(s) ds + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q Bu(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q f(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 8. (See [19].) System (8) is said to be relatively exactly controllable on $[0, b]$ if and only if $R_b = \mathbb{R}^n$, where R_b be the set of all reachable states from the initial state $y(0) = y_0$ in time $b > 0$ using admissible control

Lemma 2. (See [19].) The following conditions are equivalent: (i) System (8) is relatively controllable on $[0, b]$.

- (i) System (8) is relatively controllable on $[0, b]$.
- (ii) The controllability Grammian matrix (5) is nonsingul

Theorem 1. (See [19].) The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) System (8) is relatively controllable on $[0, b]$.
- (ii) System (7) is relatively exactly controllable on $[0, b]$

Proof. From [19] note that system (8) is relatively controllable on $[0, b]$. Then it is well known from Lemma 2 that the Grammian matrix (5)

is nonsingular and strictly positive for all $\eta \in [0, b]$. Hence, for some $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$\langle G_\tau^b(\eta)y, y \rangle \geq \gamma \|y\|^2, \quad \eta \in [0, b], \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Next, to prove the relative exact controllability of (7), we use the relation between equations (4) and (5). That is, for every $y_1 \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_b, \mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a process $p \in L_2^{\mathfrak{F}}([0, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times d})$ such that

$$\Gamma_\tau^b y = G_\tau^b \mathbf{E}y + \int_0^b G_\tau^b(\eta)p(\eta) dw(\eta).$$

To write $\mathbf{E}\langle \Gamma_\tau^b y, y \rangle$ in terms of $\langle G_\tau^b \mathbf{E}y, \mathbf{E}y \rangle$, first note, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\langle \Gamma_\tau^b y, y \rangle &= \mathbf{E}\left\langle G_\tau^b \mathbf{E}y + \int_0^b G_\tau^b(\eta)p(\eta) dw(\eta), \mathbf{E}y + \int_0^b p(\eta) dw(\eta) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle G_\tau^b \mathbf{E}y, \mathbf{E}y \rangle + \mathbf{E} \int_0^b \langle G_\tau^b(\eta)p(\eta), p(\eta) \rangle d\eta \\ &\geq \gamma \left(\mathbf{E}\|y\|^2 + \mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|p(\eta)\|^2 d\eta \right) = \gamma \mathbf{E}\|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Γ_τ^b is strictly positive definite, and consequently, $[(\Gamma_\tau^b)_0]^{-1}$ is bounded. Using the fact that $[(\Gamma_\tau^b)_0]^{-1}$ is bounded, we can define the control

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= B^* \cos_{\tau,q} A^* (b - \tau - t)^q \mathbf{E} \left\{ [(\Gamma_\tau^b)_0]^{-1} \left[y_1 - (\cos_{\tau,q} Ab^q) \psi(-\tau) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A(b - \tau)^q) \psi'(0) - \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A(b - \tau - s)^q \psi'(s) ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^b \cos_{\tau,q} A(b - \tau - s)^q \left(\int_0^s \hat{\Delta}(\eta) dw(\eta) \right) ds \right] \middle| \mathfrak{F}_t \right\}, \quad t \in [0, b], \end{aligned}$$

that transfers system (7) from y_0 to the final state y_1 at time b , and $y(b) = y_1$ and $y(t) = \psi(t)$, $y'(t) = \psi'(t)$, $t \in [-\tau, 0]$. The rest proof is similar to [25], so is omitted.

Lemma 3. Assume that system (7) is relatively exactly controllable on $[0, b]$. Then, for arbitrary terminal $y_1 \in L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_b, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and an arbitrary matrix $\hat{\Delta}$, the admissible control function

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^0(t) = & B^* \cos_{\tau,q} A^*(b - \tau - t)^q \mathbf{E} \left\{ [(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b]^{-1} \left[y_1 - (\cos_{\tau,q} A b^q) \psi(-\tau) \right. \right. \\
 & - A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A (b - \tau)^q) \psi'(0) - \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A (b - \tau - s)^q \psi'(s) ds \\
 & \left. \left. - \int_0^b \cos_{\tau,q} A (b - \tau - s)^q \left(\int_0^s \widehat{\Delta}(\eta) dw(\eta) \right) ds \right] \middle| \mathfrak{F}_t \right\} \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

defined for $t \in [0, b]$ steers system (7) from y_0 to y_1 at b . Moreover, among all admissible controls $u^a(t)$ steering from y_0 to y_1 at b , the control $u^0(t)$ minimizes the following performance index: $J(u) = \mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|u(t)\|^2 dt$.

Proof. Since system (7) is relatively exactly controllable on $[0, b]$, the operator $(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b$ is invertible, and its inverse is $[(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b]^{-1} \in \mathbf{L}(L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_b, \mathbb{R}^n), L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_b, \mathbb{R}^n))$. The solution of (7) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) = & (\cos_{\tau,q} A t^q) \psi(-\tau) + A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau)^q) \psi'(0) \\
 & + \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau - s)^q \psi'(s) ds + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau - s)^q B u(s) ds \\
 & + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau - s)^q \left(\int_0^s \widehat{\Delta}(\eta) dw(\eta) \right) ds. \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Directly substituting (9) into (10) at time b and applying the controllability operator, one can easily verify that $y(b) = y_1$ and $y(t) = \psi(t)$, $y'(t) = \psi'(t)$, $t \in [-\tau, 0]$. In the second part, we shall show that $u^0(t)$, $t \in [0, b]$, is an optimal control for J . For that, suppose $u^1(t)$, $t \in [0, b]$, is any other admissible control that also steers from y_0 to y_1 at time b and $y(t) = \psi(t)$, $y'(t) = \psi'(t)$, $t \in [-\tau, 0]$. Hence, by system (7) it is relatively exactly controllable on $[0, b]$. Using the controllability operator L_b , we have $\mathcal{L}_b(u^0(\cdot)) = \mathcal{L}_b(u^1(\cdot))$. Using the basic properties of scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$\mathbf{E} \int_0^b \langle (u^1(t) - u^0(t)), u^0(t) \rangle dt = 0.$$

Again, by using basic properties of scalar product, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|u^1(t)\|^2 dt = \mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|u^1(t) - u^0(t)\|^2 dt + \mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|u^0(t)\|^2 dt.$$

Since $\mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|u^1(t) - u^0(t)\|^2 dt \geq 0$, we conclude that, for any admissible control $u^1(t)$, $t \in [0, b]$,

$$\mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|u^0(t)\|^2 dt \leq \mathbf{E} \int_0^b \|u^1(t)\|^2 dt.$$

Hence, the control, $u^0(t)$, $t \in [0, b]$, is a optimal control for J , and thus it is a minimum energy control

Nonlinear cas

In this subsection, we derive sufficient conditions of relatively exact controllability for system (3)

Consider the following assumptions

(H1) The nonlinear functions $F \in C([0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Delta \in C([0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n \times d})$, and there exists a constant $\beta > 1$ and $N_F(t), N_\Delta(t) \in L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

- (i) $\|F(t, x) - F(t, y)\|^2 \leq N_F(t) \|x - y\|^2$, $t \in [0, b]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) $\|\Delta(t, y)\|^2 \leq M_\Delta(t)(1 + \|y\|^2)$, $t \in [0, b]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

H2 There exists a constant $\beta > 1$ and $M_F(t), M_\Delta(t) \in L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

- (i) $\|F(t, y)\|^2 \leq M_F(t)(1 + \|y\|^2)$, $t \in [0, b]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) $\|\Delta(t, y)\|^2 \leq M_\Delta(t)(1 + \|y\|^2)$, $t \in [0, b]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

H3 Set $M_1 = \|[(I_\tau)_0^b]^{-1}\|^2$, $M_2 = \|G_\tau^b\|^2$ and

$$K := 3b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \left[(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/\alpha} \times [\|N_F\|_{L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)} + b^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|N_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)}] (1 + 2M_1 M_2) < 1.$$

The solution of (3) can be expressed in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) = & (\cos_{\tau, q} A t^q) \psi(-\tau) + A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau, q} A(t - \tau)^q) \psi'(0) \\ & + \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau, q} A(t - \tau - s)^q \psi'(s) ds + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau, q} A(t - \tau - s)^q B u(s) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau, q} A(t - \tau - s)^q f(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) = & B^* \cos_{\tau,q} A^* (b - \tau - t)^q \mathbf{E} \left\{ \left[(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b \right]^{-1} \left[y_1 - (\cos_{\tau,q} A b^q) \psi(-\tau) \right. \right. \\
 & - A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A (b - \tau)^q) \psi'(0) - \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A (b - \tau - s)^q \psi'(s) \, ds \\
 & \left. \left. - \int_0^b \cos_{\tau,q} A (b - \tau - s)^q \left(\int_0^s \widehat{\Delta}(\eta) \, dw(\eta) \right) \, ds \right] \mid \mathfrak{F}_t \right\}, \quad t \in [0, b],
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In order to establish sufficient conditions for relatively exact controllability, WE LET

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}([-\tau, b], L_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}^n))$$

be a Banach space endowed with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}}$, where $\|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 = \sup_{t \in [-\tau, b]} \mathbf{E} \|y(t)\|^2$, and , and define the nonlinear operator $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{P}y)(t) = & (\cos_{\tau,q} A t^q) \psi(-\tau) + A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau)^q) \psi'(0) \\
 & + \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau - s)^q \psi'(s) \, ds + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau - s)^q B u(s) \, ds \\
 & + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau - s)^q F(s, y(s)) \, ds \\
 & + \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A (t - \tau - s)^q \left(\int_0^s \Delta(\eta, y(\eta)) \, dw(\eta) \right) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, b].
 \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (12) into (11), it is easy to check that $y(b) = y_1$, so the control $u(t)$ steers y_0 to y_1 at time b . From Lemma 3 we see that if \mathcal{P} has a fixed point, then system (3) has a solution $y(t)$ with respect to the corresponding control function $u(\cdot)$, and also one can easily show that $(\mathcal{P}y)(b) = y(b) = y_1$, and $y(t) = \psi(t)$ and $y_0(t) = \psi(t)$, $t \notin [-\tau, 0]$, which means that system (3) is relatively exact controllable on $[0, b]$. We have transformed the relatively exact controllability of system (3) into the existence of a unique fixed point for \mathcal{P} .

Lemma 4. Assume that hypothesis (H1) and (H2) hold. Then \mathcal{P} maps \mathcal{B} into itself

Proof. Let $y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $t \in [0, b]$. From the fact that

$$\|\cos_{\tau,q} A t^q\| \leq E_{2q} (\|A\|^{2q} t^{2q}) \leq E_{2q} (\|A\|^{2q} b^{2q})$$

and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q N_\sigma(s) \, ds \right\| \\
 & \leq \left(\int_0^t \left\| \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \right\|^\alpha \, ds \right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\int_0^t |N_\sigma^\beta(s)| \, ds \right)^{1/\beta} \\
 & \leq \left[\int_0^t (E_{2q}(\|A\|^2(t-\tau-s)^{2q}))^\alpha \, ds \right]^{1/\alpha} \|N_\sigma\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
 & \leq [b(E_{2q}(A^2 b^{2q}))^\alpha]^{1/\alpha} \|N_\sigma\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$, $\alpha, \beta > 1$.

Using (H2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E} \|(\mathcal{P}y)(t)\|^2 & \leq 6\mathbf{E} \|(\cos_{\tau,q} A t^q) \psi(-\tau)\|^2 + 6\mathbf{E} \|A^{-1}(\sin_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau)^q) \psi'(0)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 6\mathbf{E} \left\| \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \psi'(s) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 6\mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q B u(s) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 6\mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q F(s, y(s)) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + 6\mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \left(\int_0^s \Delta(\eta, y(\eta)) \, dw(\eta) \right) \, ds \right\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q F(s, y(s)) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq b \int_0^t \left\| \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \right\|^2 \mathbf{E} \|F(s, y(s))\|^2 \, ds \\
 & \leq b \int_0^t \left\| \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \right\|^2 M_F(s) (1 + \mathbf{E} \|y(s)\|^2) \, ds \\
 & \leq b \left(\int_0^t \left\| \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \right\|^{2\alpha} \, ds \right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\int_0^t M_F^\beta(s) \, ds \right)^{1/\beta} \\
 & \quad \times \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [-\tau, b]} \mathbf{E} \|y(t)\|^2 \right) \\
 & \leq b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \left[(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/\alpha} \|M_F\|_{L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the above computation, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q \left(\int_0^s \Delta(\eta, y(\eta)) \, dw(\eta) \right) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq b^{(\alpha+2)/\alpha} \left[(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|M_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau-s)^q Bu(s) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \leq 6 \|G_\tau^b\|^2 \|[(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b]^{-1}\|^2 \left[\mathbf{E} \|y_1\|^2 + \mathbf{E} \|(\cos_{\tau,q} A b^q) \psi(-\tau)\|^2 \right. \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{E} \|A^{-1} (\sin_{\tau,q} A(b-\tau)^q) \psi'(0)\|^2 + \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_{-\tau}^0 \cos_{\tau,q} A(b-\tau-s)^q \psi'(s) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad + \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^b \cos_{\tau,q} A(b-\tau-s)^q F(s, y(s)) \, ds \right\|^2 \\
 & \quad \left. + \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^b \cos_{\tau,q} A(b-\tau-s)^q \left(\int_0^s \Delta(\eta, y(\eta)) \, dw(\eta) \right) \, ds \right\|^2 \right] \\
 & \leq 6M_1 M_2 [\mathbf{E} \|y_1\|^2 + (E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \mathbf{E} \|\psi(-\tau)\|^2 + \|A^{-1}\|^2 (E_q(\|A\| b^q) \\
 & \quad - E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \mathbf{E} \|\psi'(0)\|^2 + \tau^2 (E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \mathbf{E} \|\psi'(\eta)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \left[(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/\alpha} \|M_F\|_{L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2) \\
 & \quad + b^{(\alpha+2)/\alpha} \left[(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha} \right]^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|M_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Substitute the above inequalities in (13), and one can chose a $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}\|(\mathcal{P}y)(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq 6(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 + 6\|A^{-1}\|^2 (E_q(\|A\|b^q) - E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \|\psi'\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \\ & \quad + 6\tau^2 (E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 (b - \tau - \eta)^{2q}))^2 \|\psi'\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 + 36M_1 M_2 [\mathbf{E}\|y_1\|^2 \\ & \quad + (E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 + \|A^{-1}\|^2 (E_q(\|A\|b^q) - E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \|\psi'\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \\ & \quad + \tau^2 (E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 \|\psi'\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 + b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \|M_F\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ & \quad \times (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2) + b^{(\alpha+2)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|M_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2) \\ & \quad + 6b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \|M_F\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2) \\ & \quad + 6b^{(\alpha+2)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|M_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2) \\ & \leq 36M_1 M_2 \mathbf{E}\|y_1\|^2 + 6(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 M_3 (1 + 6M_1 M_2) \\ & \quad + 6\|A^{-1}\|^2 (E_q(\|A\|b^q) - E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 M_3 (1 + 6M_1 M_2) \\ & \quad + 6\tau^2 (E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^2 M_3 (1 + 6M_1 M_2) + 6b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \\ & \quad \times (1 + 6M_1 M_2) (\|M_F\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} + b^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|M_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)}) (1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2) \\ & \leq C(1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. Assume that hypothesis (H1) and (H3) hold. Then P is a contraction mapping

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. From (H1), for each $t \in [0, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq 3\mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q B[u_x(s) - u_y(s)] ds\right\|^2 \\ & \quad + 3\mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q [F(s, x(s)) - F(s, y(s))] ds\right\|^2 \\ & \quad + 3\mathbf{E}\left\|\int_0^t \cos_{\tau,q} A(t - \tau - s)^q \left(\int_0^s [\Delta(\eta, x(\eta)) - \Delta(\eta, y(\eta))] dw(\eta)\right) ds\right\|^2 \\ & \leq 6M_1 M_2 \left[b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \|N_F\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} \sup_{t \in [-\tau,b]} \mathbf{E}\|x(t) - y(t)\|^2\right. \\ & \quad \left.+ b^{(\alpha+2)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|N_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} \sup_{t \in [-\tau,b]} \mathbf{E}\|x(t) - y(t)\|^2\right] \\ & \quad + 3b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \|N_F\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} \sup_{t \in [-\tau,b]} \mathbf{E}\|x(t) - y(t)\|^2 \\ & \quad + 3b^{(\alpha+2)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|N_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} \sup_{t \in [-\tau,b]} \mathbf{E}\|x(t) - y(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq 3b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} \|N_F\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + 2M_1 M_2) \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \\ & \quad + 3b^{(\alpha+2)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 b^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|N_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0,b],\mathbb{R}^+)} (1 + 2M_1 M_2) \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \\ & = K\|x - y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|\mathcal{P}x - \mathcal{P}y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2 \leq K\|x - y\|_{\mathcal{C}}^2$. Hence, from (H3), P is a contraction on \mathcal{B} , and so P has a unique fixed point $y(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}$, which is the solution of (3)

Theorem 2. Suppose that hypotheses (H1)–(H3) hold and system (7) is relatively exactly controllable. Then (3) is relatively exactly on $[0, b]$

Proof. From Lemmas 3–5 system (3) is relatively exactly controllable $[0, b]$.

Remark 1. In this manuscript, we investigate the relative controllability of the fractional stochastic system with pure delay. System (6) was transformed into (11) via delayed sine and cosine matrices. Suitable control function were defined by delayed controllability Grammian matrices. A set of sufficient conditions of relative exact controllability for linear and nonlinear stochastic systems are derived by using fractional delayed linear operators and Banach's fixed point theorem, respectively

An Example

Consider the following nonlinear stochastic delay system

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q y_1)(t) + 0.09y_1(t - \tau) \\ & = u_1(t) + (e^{(t+0.5)} + 0.6t)y_1(t) + \int_0^t (e^s + 0.7s)y_1(s) dw(t), \\ & y_1(t) = t, \quad y_1'(t) = 1, \quad t \in [-0.75, 0]; \\ & {}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q y_2)(t) + 0.72y_1(t - \tau) + 0.81y_2(t - \tau) \\ & = u_2(t) + (e^{(t+0.5)} + 0.6t)y_2(t) + \int_0^t (e^s + 0.7s)y_2(s) dw(t), \\ & y_2(t) = 3t, \quad y_2'(t) = 3, \quad t \in [-0.75, 0]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The above equation can be rewritten in the form (3) with $q = 0.65$, $\tau = 0.75$,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0 \\ 0.6 & 0.9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(t, y(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} [e^{(t+0.5)} + 0.6t]y_1(t) \\ [e^{(t+0.5)} + 0.6t]y_2(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Delta(t, y(t)) &= \begin{pmatrix} [e^t + 0.7t]y_1(t) \\ [e^t + 0.7t]y_2(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 3t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi'(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding delay Grammian matrix of system (14) is

$$\begin{aligned} & [G_{0.75}]_0^{1.5} \\ & = \int_0^{1.5} \cos_{0.75, 0.65} A(1.5 - 0.75 - s)^{0.65} B B^* \cos_{0.75, 0.65} A^* (1.5 - 0.75 - s)^{0.65} ds \\ & =: G_1 + G_2, \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$G_1 = \int_0^{0.75} \cos_{0.75,0.65} A(0.75-s)^{0.65} BB^* \cos_{0.75,0.65} A^*(0.75-s)^{0.65} ds,$$

$$(0.75-s) \in (0, 0.75),$$

$$G_2 = \int_{0.75}^{1.5} \cos_{0.75,0.65} A(0.75-s)^{0.65} BB^* \cos_{0.75,0.65} A^*(0.75-s)^{0.65} ds,$$

$$(0.75-s) \in (-0.75, 0),$$

$$\cos_{0.75,0.65}(At^{0.65}) = \begin{cases} \Theta, & -\infty < t < -0.75, \\ I, & -0.75 \leq t < 0, \\ I - A^2 \frac{t^{1.3}}{\Gamma(2.3)}, & 0 \leq t < 0.75, \\ I - A^2 \frac{t^{1.3}}{\Gamma(2.3)} + A^4 \frac{(t-0.75)^{2.6}}{\Gamma(3.6)}, & 0.75 \leq t < 1.5, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sin_{0.75,0.65}(At^{0.65}) = \begin{cases} \Theta, & -\infty < t < -0.75, \\ A \frac{(t+0.75)^{0.65}}{\Gamma(1.65)}, & -0.75 \leq t < 0, \\ A \frac{(t+0.75)^{0.65}}{\Gamma(1.65)} - A^3 \frac{t^{1.95}}{\Gamma(2.95)}, & 0 \leq t < 0.75, \\ A \frac{(t+0.75)^{0.65}}{\Gamma(1.65)} - A^3 \frac{t^{1.95}}{\Gamma(2.95)} + A^5 \frac{(t-0.75)^{3.75}}{\Gamma(4.75)}, & 0.75 \leq t < 1.5. \end{cases}$$

By simple computations we obtain the delay Grammian matrix

$$[G_{0.75}]_0^{1.5} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.3371 & 0.1175 \\ 0.1175 & 0.5986 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7160 & 0.4485 \\ 0.4485 & 0.3312 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6211 & -0.3310 \\ -0.3310 & 0.2674 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\langle (G_{0.75})_0^{1.5} y, y \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1.3371y_1^2 + 0.1175y_2^2 \\ 0.1175y_1^2 + 0.5986y_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \geq \gamma \|y\|^2,$$

where $0 < \gamma \leq 0.1175$, and hence $M_1 = 8.5106$ and $M_2 = 1.8370$, which implies that the corresponding linear stochastic system of (14) is relatively exact controllable on $[0, 1.5]$. Moreover, one can define a control function for system (14) as

$$u(t) = B^* \cos_{\tau,q} A^*(b - \tau - t)^q [(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b]^{-1} \Psi$$

$$= \begin{cases} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} [I - A^2 \frac{(0.75-s)^{1.3}}{\Gamma(2.3)}] [(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b]^{-1} \Psi, & s \in [0, 0.75), \right. \\ \left. \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} [I] [(\Gamma_\tau)_0^b]^{-1} \Psi, & s \in [0.75, 1.5), \right. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= y_1 - (\cos_{0.75,0.65} A(1.5)^{0.65}) \psi(-0.75) - A^{-1} (\sin_{0.75,0.65} A(0.75)^{0.65}) \psi'(0) \\ &\quad - \int_{-0.75}^0 \cos_{0.75,0.65} A(0.75 - s)^{0.65} \psi'(s) \, ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^{1.5} \cos_{0.75,0.65} A(0.75 - s)^{0.65} F(s, y(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^{1.5} \cos_{0.75,0.65} A(0.75 - s)^{0.65} \left(\int_0^s \Delta(\eta, y(\eta)) \, dw(\eta) \right) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Further, let $\alpha = 2 - \beta$. For $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\|F(t, y_1) - F(t, y_2)\|^2 \leq |e^{(t+0.5)} + 0.6t| \|y_1 - y_2\|^2, \quad t \in [0, 1.5].$$

Set $N_F(\cdot) = e^{(\cdot+0.5)} + 0.6(\cdot) \in L^2([0, 1.5], \mathbb{R}^+)$, and we obtain

$$\|N_F\|_{L^2([0,1.5], \mathbb{R}^+)} = \left(\int_0^{1.5} [e^{(s+0.5)} + 0.6s]^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} = 0.0083$$

And

$$\|\Delta(t, y_1) - \Delta(t, y_2)\|^2 \leq |e^t + 0.7t| \|y_1 - y_2\|^2.$$

Choosing $N_\Delta(\cdot) = e^{(\cdot)} + 0.7(\cdot) \in L^2([0, 1.5], \mathbb{R}^+)$, we get

$$\|N_\Delta\|_{L^2([0,1.5], \mathbb{R}^+)} = \left(\int_0^{1.5} [e^s + 0.7s]^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} = 0.3645.$$

From above (H1) and (H2) hold. Now we check hypothesis (H3).
Note

$$\begin{aligned} K &= (3b^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} [(E_{2q}(\|A\|^2(t - \tau - \eta)^{2q}))^{2\alpha}]^{1/\alpha}) \\ &\quad \times [\|N_F\|_{L^\beta([0,b], \mathbb{R}^+)} + b^{1/\alpha} L_\Delta \|N_\Delta\|_{L^\beta([0,b], \mathbb{R}^+)}] (1 + 2M_1 M_2) \\ &= (3(1.5)^{3/2} \cdot 0.0325) [0.0083 + 1.5^{1/2} \cdot 0.1 \cdot 0.3645] (1 + 2(8.5106 \cdot 1.8370)) \\ &= 0.3060 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Hence, system (14) is relatively exact controllable on $[0, 5]$

5 Conclusion and future study

The aim of this paper is to provide the representation of the solution for the inhomogeneous fractional-order system via sine and cosine matrices and to obtain some results on relatively exact controllability for fractional stochastic systems with pure delay. Using fixed point theory and the fractional delayed controllability Grammian matrix, sufficient conditions are established for the system to be relatively exact controllable. An example is provided to illustrate our theory. By making some appropriate assumptions on system functions, by adapting the techniques and ideas established in this paper with suitable modifications, one can easily discuss the relative controllability of a stochastic system with noninstantaneous impulses and nonlocal conditions

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and their valuable comments. We thank the editor also

References

- 1.B. Bonilla, M. Rivero, L. Rodríguez-Germá, J.J. Trujillo, Fractional differential equations as alternative models to nonlinear differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **187**(1):79–88, 2007, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2006.08.105>.
- 2.J. Diblík, M. Fečkan, M. Pospíšil, Representation of a solution of the Cauchy problem for an oscillating system with two delays and permutable matrices, *Ukr. Math. J.*, **65**(1):64–76, 2013, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-013-0765-y>.
- 3.J. Diblík, D.Ya.Khusainov, M. Ružičková, Controllability of linear discrete systems with constant coefficients and pure delay, *SIAM. J. Control. Optim.*, **47**(3):1140–1149, 2008, <https://doi.org/10.1137/070689085>.
- 4.J. Diblík, D.Ya. Khusainov, M. Ružičková, Control of oscillating systems with a single delay, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2010**:1–15, 2010, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/108218>.
- 5.J. Diblík, K. Mencáková, A note on relative controllability of higher-order linear delayed discrete systems, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, **65**(12):5472–5479, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2020.2976298>.
- 6.J. Diblík, K. Mencáková, Representation of solutions to delayed linear discrete systems with constant coefficients and with second-order differences, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **105**:106309, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2020.106309>.

- 7.M. Enrhardt, W. Kliemann, Controllability of stochastic linear systems, *Syst. Control. Lett.*, (3):145–153, 1982, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6911\(82\)90012-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6911(82)90012-3).
- 8.I.I. Gihman, A.V. Skorokhod, *Stochastic Differential Equations*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 3. Folge, Vol. 72, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1972.
- 9.V.Y. Glizer, Controllability conditions of linear singularly perturbed systems with small state and input delays, *Math. Control Signals Syst.*, 28(1):1–29, 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00498-015-0152-3>.
- 10.K. Itô, Stochastic differential equations, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, :1–51, 1951, <https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/0004>.
- 11.D.Y. Khusainov, J. Diblík, M. Ružičková, J. Lukáčová, Representation of a solution of the Cauchy problem for an oscillating system with pure delay, *Nonlinear Oscil., N.Y.*, 11(2):276–285, 2008, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11072-008-0030-8>.
- 12.D.Y. Khusainov, G.V. Shuklin, Linear autonomous time-delay system with permutation matrices solving, *Stud. Univ. Žilina, Math. Ser.*, 17(1):101–108, 2003.
- 13.D.Y. Khusainov, G.V. Shuklin, Relative controllability in systems with pure delay, *Int. Appl. Mech.*, 41(2):210–221, 2005, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10778-005-0079-3>.
- 14.A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Matematyka Stosow., Vol. 9, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- 15.J. Klamka, Controllability of linear systems with time-variable delays in control, *Int. J. Control.*, 24(6):869–878, 1976, <https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/0004>.
- 16.J. Klamka, Relative controllability of nonlinear systems with distributed delays in control, *Int. J. Control.*, 28(2):307–312, 1978, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207177808922456>.
- 17.J. Klamka, *Controllability of Dynamical Systems*, Matematyka Stosow., Vol. 9, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.
- 18.J. Klamka, Stochastic controllability of linear systems with delay in control, *Bull. Pol. Acad Sci., Tech Sci.*, 55(1):23–29, 2007.
- 19.J. Klamka, Stochastic controllability of linear systems with state delays, *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 17(1):5–13, 2007, <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10006-007-0001-8>.
- 20.J. Klamka, Stochastic controllability and minimum energy control of systems with multiple delays in control, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 206(2):704–715, 2008, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2008.08.059>.
- 21.J. Klamka, Stochastic controllability of systems with variable delay in control, *Bull. Pol. Acad Sci., Tech Sci.*, 56(3):279–284, 2008.
- 22.J. Klamka, A. Babiarz, M. Niezabitowski, Banach fixed-point theorem in semilinear controllability problems- a survey, *Bull. Pol. Acad Sci., Tech Sci.*, 64(1):21–35, 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1515/bpasts-2016-0004>.
- 23.S. Kumar, R. Sakthivel, Constrained controllability of second order retarded nonlinear systems with nonlocal condition, *IMA J. Math. Control. Inf.*, 37(2):437–450, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imamci/dnz007>.

- 24.V. Lakshmikantham, A.S. Vatsala, Basic theory of fractional differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.*, **69**(8):2677–2682, 2008, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2007.08.042>.
- 25.C. Liang, J. Wang, D. O'Regan, Controllability of nonlinear delay oscillating systems, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **2017**:47, 2017, <https://doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2017.1.47>.
- 26.C. Liang, J. Wang, D. O'Regan, Representation of a solution for a fractional linear system with pure delay, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **77**:72–78, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2017.09.015>.
- 27.N.I. Mahmudov, S. Zorlu, Controllability of non-linear stochastic systems, *Int. J. Control*, **76**(2):95–104, 2003, <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020717031000065648>.
- 28.B. Oksendal, *Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, Universitext, Vol. 6, Springer, Berlin, 2003, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14394-6>.
- 29.R. Sakthivel, J.H. Kim, N.I. Mahmudov, On controllability of nonlinear stochastic systems, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **58**(3):433–443, 2006, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877\(06\)80963-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877(06)80963-8).
- 30.T. Sathiyaraj, P. Balasubramaniam, Controllability of fractional higher order stochastic integrodifferential systems with fractional Brownian motion, *ISA Trans.*, **82**:107–119, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2017.11.005>.
- 31.T. Sathiyaraj, JinRong Wang, Donal O'Regan, Controllability of stochastic nonlinear oscillating delay systems driven by the Rosenblatt distribution, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math.*, **151**(1):217–239, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2020.11>.
- 32.J.P. Sharma, R.K. George, Controllability of matrix second order systems: A trigonometric matrix approach, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, **2007**(80):1–14, 2007
- 33.G. Shen, R. Sakthivel, Y. Ren, M. Li, Controllability and stability of fractional stochastic functional systems driven by Rosenblatt process, *Collect. Math.*, **71**:63–82, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13348-019-00248-3>.
- 34.J. Wang, Z. Luo, M. Fec'kan, Relative controllability of semilinear delay differential systems with linear parts defined by permutable matrices, *Eur. J. Control*, **38**:39–46, 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcon.2017.08.002>.
- 35.J. Zabczyk, Controllability of stochastic linear systems, *Syst. Control Lett.*, **1**(1):25–31, 1981, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6911\(81\)80008-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6911(81)80008-4).
- 36.Y. Zhou, Attractivity for fractional differential equations in Banach space, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **75**:1–6, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2017.06.008>.

Following [26], we have the following properties and rules:

- (i) ${}^C D_{-\tau+}^q \cos_{\tau,q} At^q = -A \sin_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau)^q, t \in [(N-1)\tau, N\tau), N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (ii) ${}^C D_{-\tau+}^q \sin_{\tau,q} At^q = A \cos_{\tau,q} At^q, t \in [(N-1)\tau, N\tau), N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (iii) ${}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q \cos_{\tau,q} At^q) = -A^2 \cos_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau)^q$ holds with $\cos_{\tau,q} At^q = I$ and $[\cos_{\tau,q} At^q]' = \Theta$ for $t \in [-\tau, 0]$.
- (iv) ${}^C D_{-\tau+}^q ({}^C D_{-\tau+}^q \sin_{\tau,q} At^q) = -A^2 \sin_{\tau,q} A(t-\tau)^q$ holds with $\sin_{\tau,q} At^q = A(t+\tau)^q/\Gamma(q+1)$ and $[\sin_{\tau,q} At^q]' = A(t+\tau)^{q-1}/\Gamma(q)$ for $t \in [-\tau, 0]$.
- (v) $\|\cos_{\tau,q} At^q\| \leq E_{2q}(\|A\|^2 t^{2q}), t \in [(k-1)\tau, k\tau), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.
- (vi) $\|\sin_{\tau,q} At^q\| \leq E_q(\|A\|(t+\tau)^q) - E_{2q}(\|A\|^2(t+\tau)^{2q}), t \in [(k-1)\tau, k\tau), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Notes

- * This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11661016), Training Object of High Level and Innovative Talents of Guizhou Province ((2016)4006), Department of Science and Technology of Guizhou Province (Fundamental Research Program [2018]1118) and Guizhou Data Driven Modeling Learning and Optimization Innovation Team ([2020]5016)