Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control
ISSN: 1392-5113
ISSN: 2335-8963
nonlinear@mii.vu.lt
Vilniaus Universitetas
Lituania

Fast fixed-time synchronization of T–S fuzzy complex networks*

Fast fixed-time synchronization of T–S fuzzy complex networks*

Shuai Liu liushuai_csu@126.com
Honghe University, China
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0857-7202
Lingli Zhao zhaolingli_csu@126.com
Honghe University, China
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0209-3688
Wanli Zhang mathwlzhang@163.com
Chongqing Normal University, China
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5114-1212
Xinsong Yang xinsongyang@163.com
Sichuan University, China
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3599-5020
Fuad E. Alsaadi fuad_alsaadi@yahoo.com
King Abdulaziz University, Arabia Saudita
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6420-3948

Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, vol. 26, núm. 4, 2021

Vilniaus Universitetas, Lituania

Recepción: 22 Marzo 2020
Revisado: 19 Septiembre 2020
Publicación: 01 Julio 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2021.26.23060

Redalyc: https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=694173200003

Abstract: In this paper, fast fixed-time (FDT) synchronization of T–S fuzzy (TSF) complex networks (CNs) is considered. The given control schemes can make the CNs synchronize with the given isolated system more fleetly than the most of existing results. By constructing comparison system and applying new analytical techniques, sufficient conditions are established to derive fast FDT synchronization speedily. In order to give some comparisons, FDT synchronization of the considered CNs is also presented by designing FDT fuzzy controller. Numerical examples are given to illustrate our new results.

Keywords: fixed-time synchronization, complex networks, T–S fuzzy systems, T–S fuzzy control.

1 Introduction

Recently, there is a rapidly growing interests of fuzzy systems, and many papers have investigated fuzzy systems, for example, [19, 20] and so on. Especially, some researchers have paid their attention to TSF system [6, 10, 24], which is proposed by Takagi and Sugeno [18]. TSF system is always depicted by using some fuzzy IF-THEN rules, simply. However, it can be used to approximate a complex nonlinear system, conveniently. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate TSF system for its important applications.

It is well known that CNs can describe many natural and artificial systems. So, CNs are used widely in internet networks, social networks, and so on [3, 15, 27, 29, 34]. CNs exhibit complicated dynamical behaviors due to complex nodes and their connections. These nodes and connections can present many different objects, which make CNs different from a single node. Note that the TSF CNs can combine the advantage of TSF system and CNs, thus much attention has been paid to TSF CNs [7, 17, 21, 23, 35]. Especially, synchronization of TSF CNs with delays and stochastic perturbations was considered in [35]; by using pinning control, the authors of [17] investigate cluster synchronization of TSF CNs.

As we all know, synchronization is a very important dynamical behavior [33]. At the same time, it brings much attention thanks to its extensive applications in some fields such as biological systems, secure communication, and so on [11, 25, 26, 32, 36]. One can classify various definitions of synchronization into two kinds: (i) synchronization is achieved when time approach to infinity, for example, asymptotic synchronization, exponential synchronization; (ii) synchronization is realized within a finite time, for example, finite-time (FET) synchronization. Considering the convergence rate of synchronization, FET synchronization is optimal [8]. Moreover, the FET control techniques have some other advantages including good robustness properties [4]. Therefore, more and more researchers follow FET synchronization with interest [1, 12, 22, 30, 40, 41].

Note that the settling time of FET synchronization is not stationary if the initial states of systems are not same. In other words, the settling time is heavily rely on initial values. For some case, we always expect the synchronization is achieved within a given time. Nevertheless, if the given time is bound up with initial states, one can not choose easily since the initial values of systems is very hard to obtain generally. Thus, the variable settling time will prohibit the practical application of FET techniques. Not long ago, FDT control was constructed in [16], which is an improved FET control. The convergence time of FDT synchronization can be estimated without the initial conditions. That is to say, settling time is derived only based on control parameters and system's parameters. Then one can make FDT synchronization realize in a prescribed time, which means FDT synchronization more preferable than FET synchronization. As a result, many researchers are devoted to developing FDT control techniques [5, 13, 31, 37, 39]. Particularly, in order to improve convergence rate, the authors presented fast FDT control techniques in [14,28, 38]. Motivated by these fast control ideas, this paper designs new control schemes, which have advantages in convergence rate over many existing results of FDT control.

From the above analyses this manuscript aims to studying fast FDT synchronization of TSF CNs in this paper. The contributions include: (i) new controllers are designed, which can realize fast FDT synchronization more rapidly; (ii) the TSF CNs and TSF control are considered; (iii) a suitable comparison system is constructed; (iv) fast FDT synchronization criteria are derived. Moreover, some comparisons are presented to show the differences between the fast FDT synchronization criteria established in this paper and the FDT synchronization criteria of this paper and previous papers.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

R+ denotes the set of nonnegative real numbers, Rn and Rn×m are the n-dimensional Euclidean space and the set of all n x m real matrices, respectively. B = (bij)n×m stands for a n x m-dimension matrix. B > 0 (B < 0) denotes that B is a symmetric and positive (negative) definite matrix, Bs = (B + BT)/2, and λmax(B) represents its maximum eigenvalue. #.# is the standard Euclidean norm.

2.2 Model description

Considering the singleton fuzzier, product fuzzy inference, and a weighted average defuzzifier, which can be found in [18], a TSF system with controller is presented as

Rule τ : IF z1(t) is Mτ1, z2(t) is Mτ2, . . . , zμ(t) is Mτμ, THEN

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau(z(t)) \left[A_\tau x_i(t) + B_\tau f(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{ij} \Phi x_j(t) + U_i^\tau(t) \right], \quad i \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{y}(t) = \sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau(z(t)) \left(A_\tau y(t) + B_\tau f(y(t)) \right), \tag{2}$$

where N = {1, 2, . . . , N }, N is the number of nodes, xi(t) = (xi1(t), xi2(t), . . . , xin(t))T ∈ Rn and y(t) = (y1(t), y2(t), . . . , yn(t))T ∈ Rn denote the state vectors, f (.·) : Rn → Rn is a continuous function, Aτ , Bτ ∈ Rn×n are constant matrices. Γ = (γij) N x N satisfies γij ≥ 0 for i ≠ j, γij = - ∑Nj=1, j ≠ i γij, and φ = (Φij) nxn is inner-coupling matrix. z(t) = (z1(t), z2(t), . . . , zμ(t))T, zj and Mτj (τ = 1, 2, . . . , r, j = 1, 2, . . . , μ) are the premise variables and the fuzzy sets. Moreover,

$$h_\tau(z(t)) = \frac{w_\tau(z(t))}{\sum_{\tau=1}^{r} w_\tau(z(t))}, \quad w_\tau(z(t)) = \prod_{j=1}^{\mu} M_{\tau j}(z_j(t)),$$

wτ (z(t)) ≥ 0 and Σr=1r wτ (z(t)) > 0. Mτj(zj(t)) is the grade of membership function of zj(t) in Mτj. It is clear that

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau(z(t)) = 1, \quad h_\tau(z(t)) \geqslant 0, \ \tau = 1, 2, \ldots, r, \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

Uτ (t) is the controller. xi(0) and y(0) are initial values of (1) and (2), respectively.

Based on systems (1) and (2), one can derive

$$\dot{e}_i(t) = \sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau(z(t)) \left[A_\tau e_i(t) + B_\tau g(e_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{ij} \Phi e_j(t) + U_i^\tau(t) \right], \quad i \in \mathcal{N},$$

where ei(t) = xi(t) - y(t), g(ei(t)) = f (xi(t)) - f (y(t)). This manuscript utilizes the following TSF controller:

$$U_i^\tau(t) = -\xi_i^\tau e_i(t) - \alpha \operatorname{sign}(e_i(t)) |e_i(t)|^\kappa - \beta e_i^p(t), \quad i \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{3}$$

where τ = 1, 2, . . . , r, κ = q if Σi=1N #ei(t)#2 ≥ 1; otherwise, κ = 1. q > 1 and 0 < p < 1, ξiτ is constant to be determined. α > 0 and β > 0 are tunable constants. sign(ei(t)) = diag(sign(ei1(t)), sign(ei2(t)), . . . , sign(ein(t))), |ei(t)|κ = (|ei1(t)|κ, |ei2(t)|κ, . . . , |ein(t)|κ)T, and ei1p(t) = (ei1p (t), ei2p (t), . . . , einp (t))T.

Before considering the FDT synchronization of systems (1) and (2), the needed Definition 1 and Assumption 1 should be stated.

Definition 1. (See [31].) The CN (1) fixed-timely synchronizes onto (2) implies that there exists a constat T > 0 (regardless of initial values x(0) = (x1T(0), x2T(0), . . . , xNT (0))T and y(0)) satisfying limt→T #ei(t)# = 0 and #ei(t)# ≡ 0 for t > T , i ∈ N . Here T denotes settling time.

The following assumption and lemmas will be used.

Assumption 1. There exists a constant L > 0 such that

$$\| f(x(t)) - f(y(t)) \| \leqslant L \| x(t) - y(t) \|, \quad x(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Lemma 1. (See [13].) Let a nonnegative function V(t) satisfy

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) \leqslant -\eta \mathcal{V}^p(t) - \xi \mathcal{V}^q(t),$$

Here ξ > 0, η > 0, 1 > p > 0, q > 1. Then V(t) ≡ 0 if

$$\mathcal{T} \geqslant \frac{1}{\eta(1-p)} + \frac{1}{\xi(q-1)}.$$

Lemma 2. (See [9].) Let η1, η2, . . . , ηN ≥ 0, 0 < p ≤ 1, q > 1. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^p \geqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \right)^p, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^q \geqslant N^{1-q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \right)^q.$$

3 FDT synchronization

3.1 Fast FDT synchronization

In this section, via the designed fuzzy controllers, fast FDT synchronization are derived. Moreover, we also give some comparisons.

Theorem 1. Let Assumption 1 hold. Suppose that control parameter ξiτ in the set of controller (3) satisfies the following condition:

$$\varXi_\tau \geqslant \|A_\tau\| I_N + L\|B_\tau\| I_N + \varpi \widehat{\Gamma}^s. \tag{4}$$

Then the CN (1) can be synchronized onto (2) in a fixed time

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} + \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}(1-p)} \ln\left(1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\beta}\right), \tag{5}$$

where Γ^ = (γ^ij)N×N , γ^ij = γij, i ≠ j, γ^ii = ρminγii/α, α = #Φ#, ρmin is the minimum eigenvalue of Φs, ≡ T = diag (ξ1τ, ξ2τ, ... ξNτ), and α = α (nN) (1-q)/2, T = 1,2, ..., r, i, j = 1,2, ..., N.

Proof. Consider Lyapunov function

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(t) e_i(t). \tag{6}$$

It follows that

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau(z(t)) e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\left[A_\tau e_i(t) + B_\tau g(e_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{ij} \Phi e_j(t) + U_i^\tau(t)\right]. \tag{7}$$

By Assumption 1, one derives

$$e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(t) B_\tau g(e_i(t)) \leqslant L\|B_\tau\| \|e_i(t)\|^2.$$

Then from (7) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) &\leqslant 2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau\big(z(t)\big)\bigg[\|A_\tau\|\big\|e_i(t)\big\|^2 + L\|B_\tau\|\big\|e_i(t)\big\|^2 + \rho_{\min}\gamma_{ii}\big\|e_i(t)\big\|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N} \varpi\gamma_{ij}\big\|e_i(t)\big\|\big\|e_j(t)\big\| - \xi_i^\tau\big\|e_i(t)\big\|^2\bigg] - 2\Theta(t) \\ &\leqslant 2\sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau\big(z(t)\big)\hat{e}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\big(\|A_\tau\|I_N + L\|B_\tau\|I_N + \varpi\widehat{\Gamma}^s - \Xi_\tau\big)\hat{e}(t) - 2\Theta(t),\end{aligned}$$

where e^(t) = (#e1(t)#, #e2(t)#, . . . , #eN (t)#)T, Θ(t) = Σi=1N eiT(t) [α sign(ei(t)) × | ei(t)k + βepi (t)].

Noticing condition (4), one obtains

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) \leqslant -2\Theta(t). \tag{8}$$

Next, inequality (8) will be separately discussed for two cases.

Case 1. When V(t) ≥ 1,

$$\Theta(t) = \alpha\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N}\big|e_{ij}(t)\big|^{1+q} + \beta\sum_{j=1}^{N}\big|e_{ij}(t)\big|^{1+p}\right].$$

From Lemma 2 it generates

$$\Theta(t) = \alpha\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N}\big|e_{ij}(t)\big|^{1+q} + \beta\sum_{j=1}^{N}\big|e_{ij}(t)\big|^{1+p}\right]. \tag{9}$$

Case 2. When V(t) < 1,

$$\Theta(t) = \alpha\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}e_{ij}^2(t) + \beta\sum_{j=1}^{N}\big|e_{ij}(t)\big|^{(1+p)/2}\right].$$

By Lemma 2, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(t) &\geqslant \bar{\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(t)e_i(t) + \beta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(t)e_i(t)\right)^{(1+p)/2} \\ &= \bar{\alpha}\mathcal{V}(t) + \beta\mathcal{V}^{(1+p)/2}(t).\end{aligned}$$

(10)

Form (8) (9) (10) one derives

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) \leqslant \begin{cases} -\hat{\alpha}\mathcal{V}^{(1+q)/2}(t) - \hat{\beta}\mathcal{V}^{(1+p)/2}(t), & \mathcal{V}(t) \geqslant 1, \\ -\hat{\alpha}\mathcal{V}(t) - \hat{\beta}\mathcal{V}^{(1+p)/2}(t), & \mathcal{V}(t) < 1, \end{cases}$$

(11)

where α^ = 2α¯, β^ = 2β.

In order to compare, we give the following system:

$$\dot{W}(t) = \begin{cases} -\hat{\alpha}W^{(1+q)/2}(t) - \hat{\beta}W^{(1+p)/2}(t), & W(t) \geqslant 1, \\ -\hat{\alpha}W(t) - \hat{\beta}W^{(1+p)/2}(t), & 0 < W(t) < 1, \\ 0, & W(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$W(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(0)e_i(0).$$

(12)

By (11) and (12), it is not hard to see that if we find a T > 0 satisfying W (t) = 0 for any t ≥ T , then it also hold for any t ≥ T , V(t) = 0. By the analysis of Lemma 1 in [14] and Theorem 1 in [38], let Q(t) = W (1−p)/2(t), ε = (q − 1)/(1 − p), then

$$\dot{\varrho}(t) + \frac{1-p}{2}\hat{\alpha}\varrho^{(q-p)/(1-p)}(t) + \frac{1-p}{2}\hat{\beta} = 0, \quad \varrho(t) \geqslant 1,$$

and

$$U_i^{\tau}(t) = -\xi_i^{\tau}e_i(t) - \alpha e_i^{q}(t) - \beta e_i^{p}(t), \quad i \in \mathcal{N},\ \tau = 1, 2, \ldots, r,$$

By use the similar calculation with [14] or [38], we will obtain the following estimation

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} + \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}(1-p)}\ln\left(1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\beta}\right),$$

and V(t) ≡ 0 for t ≥ T. Furthermore, e(t) approach to 0 within T . Consequently, the synchronization goal is realized within T described by (5). The proof is completed.

Remark 1. The settling time of Theorem 1 does not rely on x(0), y0, and the fuzzy weighting functions hτ (z(t)). Moreover, its estimation is more accurate than most existing FDT results. It should be noted that the similar estimation is called the fast FDT results in [14, 28].

Remark 2. In the investigation of FDT synchronization, comparison system is widely used in some papers such as [31, 37, 39] and so on. With the help of those comparison systems, the considered FDT stability or synchronization is transformed to the FDT stability of the corresponding system at 0. Besides, we give the estimation of settling time with the help of comparison system.

3.2 FDT synchronization

In previous investigations, FDT control techniques have been utilized generally. In order to present some comparisons clearly, this paper also establishes FDT synchronization results in Theorem 2 by designing the following FDT control schemes:

$$U_i^{\tau}(t) = -\xi_i^{\tau} e_i(t) - \alpha e_i^{q}(t) - \beta e_i^{p}(t), \quad i \in \mathcal{N},\ \tau = 1, 2, \ldots, r, \tag{13}$$

where the definitions of corresponding parameters are similar with (3).

Theorem 2. Let Assumption 1 hold. Suppose that control parameter ξτ in the set of controller (13) satisfies condition (4). Then the CN (1) can be synchronized onto (2) in a fixed time. In addition, the settling time are presented by

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} + \frac{1}{\beta(1-p)}, \tag{14}$$

where the definitions of corresponding parameters are similar with Theorem 1.

Proof. Consider the same Lyapunov function (6). One derives

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) \leqslant{}& 2\sum_{\tau=1}^{r} h_\tau\big(z(t)\big)\hat{e}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\big(\|A_\tau\|I_N + L\|B_\tau\|I_N + \varpi\widehat{\Gamma}^s - \Xi_\tau\big)\hat{e}(t)\\ &- 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\big[\alpha e_i^{q}(t) + \beta e_i^{p}(t)\big],\end{aligned}$$

where e^(t) is defined in Theorem 1.

From (4) we have

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) \leqslant -\hat{\alpha}\mathcal{V}^{(1+q)/2}(t) - \hat{\beta}\mathcal{V}^{(1+p)/2}(t), \tag{15}$$

where α^ = 2α¯, β^ = 2β.

Based on Lemma 1, it generates V(t) ≡ 0 for t ≥ T . Furthermore, the synchronization goal can be realized within T , which is given by (14). We complete the proof.

Remark 3. One can easily see the expression of in (5) is more accurate than its expression in (14). Hence, the results of FDT synchronization in many existing papers including [5, 13, 31, 37, 39] are improved. These can be seen from inequalities (11) and (15). From (15) one can derive

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t) \leqslant \begin{cases} -\hat{\alpha}\mathcal{V}^{(1+q)/2}(t) - \hat{\beta}\mathcal{V}^{(1+p)/2}(t), & \mathcal{V}(t) \geqslant 1, \\ -\hat{\alpha}\mathcal{V}^{(1+q)/2}(t) - \hat{\beta}\mathcal{V}^{(1+p)/2}(t), & \mathcal{V}(t) < 1, \end{cases}$$

and when V(t) (t) < 1, then V (1+q)/2(t) > - V(t). Therefore, the conservativeness of estimation by means of (15) is lager.

Remark 4. When V(t) ≥ 1, - α^ (1+q)/2(t) plays an important role, while when V(t) < 1, - β^ V (1+p)/2(t) is a key role. We can give the estimation of setting time with the help of the similar comparison:

$$\dot{W}(t) = \begin{cases} -\hat{\alpha}W^{(1+q)/2}(t), & W(t) \geqslant 1, \\ -\hat{\beta}W^{(1+p)/2}(t), & 0 < W(t) < 1, \\ 0, & W(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$W(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^{\mathrm{T}}(0)e_i(0).$$

Then we can clearly see that - β^W (1+p)/2(t) is omitted when W (t) ≥ 1, while

- α^W (1+q)/2(t) is removed when 0 < W (t) < 1. Therefore, some conservativeness are caused.

4 Numerical example

Now, we give numerical simulations to verify our synchronization criteria. Here we consider the following TSF systems:

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} h_\tau(z(t)) \left[A_\tau x_i(t) + B_\tau f(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{30} \gamma_{ij} \Phi x_j(t) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, 30, \tag{16}$$

$$\dot{y}(t) = \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} h_\tau(z(t)) \left[A_2 y(t) + B_2 f(y(t)) \right],$$

(17)

where

$$h_1(z(t)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1-(\sin(z(t)))^2) & \text{if } z(t) \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } z(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$h_2(z(t)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1+(\sin(z(t)))^2) & \text{if } z(t) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } z(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -15 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 9 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -13.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B_1 = B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and f (y(t)) = (|y1(t) + 1| − |y1(t) − 1|, 0, 0)T. Moreover, we take z(t) = y1(t), Φ = diag(1, 1, 1), τ = 1, 2, Γ = (γij)30×30 = , where is the Laplacian matrix of a BA scale-free network. According to [2], we construct a BA scale-free network. The parameters are given as: an initial graph is complete with m0 = 10 nodes, m = 3 edges, and finally, we take N = 30. The BA scale-free network is showed in Fig. 1.

Obviously, the function f (y(t)) satisfies Assumption 1 with L = 2. Accordingly, the chaotic trajectory of the fuzzy system (17) with y(0) = (0.55, 0.4, 0.6)T is shown in Fig. 2.

The error systems between (16) and (17) with fuzzy controller (3) can be expressed by

$$\dot{e}_i(t) = \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} h_\tau(z(t)) \Bigg[A_\tau e_i(t) + B_\tau g(e_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{ij} \Phi e_j(t) - \xi_i^\tau e_i(t) - \alpha e_i^\kappa(t) - \beta e_i^p(t) \Bigg], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, 30. \tag{18}$$

Let ξT = min { ξT1, ξt2,......, ξT30}, T = 1,2. If ξT ≥ #AT# + L #BT# + βαmax (Γs)

then (4) can be satisfied. By simply computation, one can obtain ξ1 ≥ 24.0625, ξ2 ≥ 22.5175. Take the control gains ξ1 = 25 and ξ2 = 23. x(0) is chosen from (5, 5). From Theorem 1 system (16) synchronizes onto (17) under (3), and the time is estimated as = 8.0761, where α = 1, β = 1, q = 5/3, p = 1/3. We have presented the trajectories of system (18) in Fig. 3 in which FDT synchronization is achieved before = 8.0761.

Similarly, under controller (13), system (16) synchronizes onto (17) within = 8.2221 in view of Theorem 2, which is illustrated by Fig. 4. Here we take ξ1 = 25 and ξ2 = 23. x(0) is taken from (−5, 5), and α = 1, β = 1, q = 5/3, p = 1/3.

Remark 5. From Theorems 1 and 2 we can see that the estimation of in (5) is more accurate than this in (14). However, from Figs. 3 and 4 there are only very few difference. In real life, one can choose the suitable fuzzy control (3) or (13) according to the related conditions.



Figure 1
BA scale-free network.



Figure 2
Chaotic trajectory of fuzzy system (17) with y(0) = (0.55, 0.4, 0.6)T.



Figure 3

Trajectories #ei(t)# (i = 1, 2, . . . , 30) via fuzzy control (3).



Figure 4

Trajectories #ei(t)# (i = 1, 2, . . . , 30) via fuzzy control (13).

5 Conclusions

This manuscript studies fast FDT synchronization of TSF CNs. New controllers are designed, which can make the CNs synchronize with the given isolated system more fleetly than the most of existing results. By constructing comparison system, sufficient conditions are derived to realize fast FDT. In order to give some comparisons, FDT synchronization of the considered CNs is also presented by designing fuzzy control scheme. Numerical simulations are given to verify our results.

Moreover, uncertain perturbations will bring some difficulties to achieve synchronization of chaotic systems, for example, stochastic perturbations are always considered when the synchronization of CNs is investigated. Considering the FDT synchronization of CNs with stochastic perturbations is interesting, which will be our next research topic.

References

1 H. Bao, J. Cao, Finite-time generalized synchronization of nonidentical delayed chaotic systems, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **21**(3):306–324, 2016, https://doi.org/10.15388/NA.2016.3.2

2 A. L. Barabási, R Albert, Emergence of scaling in random networks, *Science*, **286**(5439):509– 512, 1999, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.509

3 A. L. Barabási, H. Jeong, Z. Néda, E. Ravasz, A. Schubert, T. Vicsek, Evolution of the the social network of scientific collaborations, *Physica A*, **311**(3–4):590–614, 2002, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(02)00736-7

4 S. Bowong, F. Kakmeni, Chaos control and duration time of a class of uncertain chaotic systems, *Phys. Lett. A*, **316**:206–217, 2003, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(03)01152-6

5 J. Cao, R. Li, Fixed-time synchronization of delayed memristor-based recurrent neural networks, *Sci. China Tech. Sci.*, **60**(3):032201, 2017, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-016-0555-2

6 D. Gong, J. Liu, S. Zhao, Chaotic synchronisation for coupled neural networks based on T-S fuzzy theory, *Int. J. Syst. Sci.*, **46**(4):681–689, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00207721.2013.795631

7 D. Gong, H. Zhang, Z. Wang, J. Liu, Synchronization analysis for complex networks with coupling delay based on T-S fuzzy theory, *Appl. Math. Model.,* **36**(12):6215–6224, 2012, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2012.01.041

8 V.T. Haimo, Finite-time controllers, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **24**(4):760–770, 1986.

9 H.K. Khalil, J.W. Grizzle, *Nonlinear Systems*, Upper Saddle River, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2002.

10 H. Lam, M. Narimani, Stability analysis and performance design for fuzzy-model-based control system under imperfect premise matching, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, **17**(4):949–961, 2009, https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2008.928600

11 C. Li, X. Liao, K. Wong, Lag synchronization of hyperchaos with application to secure communications, *Chaos, Solitons . Fractals*, **23**(1):183–193, 2005, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2004.04.025

12 Y. Liu, X. Wan, E. Wu, X. Yang, F.E. Alsaadi, T. Hayat, Finite-time synchronization of markovian neural networks with proportional delays and discontinuous activations, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **23**(4):515–532, 2018, https://doi.org/10.15388/NA.2018.4.4

13 W. Lu, X. Liu, T. Chen, A note on finite-time and fixed-time stability, *Neural Netw.*, **81**:11–15, 2016, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2016.04.011

14 J. Ni, L. Liu, C. Liu, X. Hu, S. Li, Fast fixed-time nonsingular terminal sliding mode control and its application to chaos suppression in power system, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, **64**(2):151–155, 2017, https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSII.2016.2551539

15 R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vespignani, Epidemic spreading in scalefree networks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*,**86**:3200–3203, 2001, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3200

16 A. Polyakov, Nonlinear feedback design for fixed-time stabilization of linear control systems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, **57**(8):2106–2110, 2012, https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2011.2179869

17 R. Rakkiyappan, N. Sakthivel, Cluster synchronization for T-S fuzzy complex networks using pinning control with probabilistic time-varying delays, *Complexity*, **21**(1):59–77, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1002/cplx.21543

18 T. Takagi, M. Sugeno, Fuzzy identification of system and its applications to modelling and control, *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.*, **15**:116–132, 1985.

19 R. Tang, X. Yang, X. Wan, Finite-time cluster synchronization for a class of fuzzy cellular neural networks via non-chattering quantized controllers, *Neural Netw.*, **113**:79–90, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.104893

20 R. Tang, X. Yang, X. Wan, Y. Zou, Z. Cheng, H.M. Fardoun, Finite-time synchronization of nonidentical BAM discontinuous fuzzy neural networks with delays and impulsive effects via non-chattering quantized control, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.,* **79**:104893, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.104893

21 Y. Tang, J. Fang, Synchronization of takagi-sugeno fuzzy stochastic delayed complex networks with hybrid coupling, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B*, **23**(20–21):2429–2447, 2009, https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984909020606

22 F. Wang, J. Wang, K. Wang, C. Hua, Q. Zong, Finite-time control for uncertain systems and application to flight control, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control*, **25**(2):163–182, 2020, https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2020.25.16510

23 Y. Wu, R. Lu, P. Shi, H. Su, Z. Wu, Sampled-data synchronization of complex networks with partial couplings and T-S fuzzy nodes, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, **26**(2):782–793, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2017.2688490

24 Z. Wu, P. Shi, H. Su, J. Chu, Sampled-data fuzzy control of chaotic systems based on a T-S fuzzy model, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, **22**(1):153–163, 2014, https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2013.2249520

25 Q. Xie, G. Chen, E. M. Bollt, Hybrid chaos synchronization and its application in information processing, *Math. Comput. Model.,* **35**(1):145–163, 2002, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-7177(01)00157-1

26 X. Xiong, X. Yang, J. Cao, R. Tang, Finite-time control for a class of hybrid systems via quantized intermittent control, *Sci. China Tech. Sci.*, **63**:192201, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-018-2727-5

27 C. Xu, X. Yang, J. Lu, J. Feng, F. E. Alsaadi, T. Hayat, Finite-time synchronization of networks via quantized intermittent pinning control, *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, **48**(10):3021–3027, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2017.2749248

28 Y. Xu, D. Meng, C. Xie, G. You, W. Zhou, A class of fast fixed-time synchronization control for the delayed neural networks, *J. Frank. Inst*, **355**:164–176, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2017.11.006

29 X. Yang, J. Cao, C. Xu, J. Feng, Finite-time stabilization of switched dynamical networks with quantized couplings via quantized controller, *Sci. China Tech Sci.*, **61**(2):299–308, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11431-016-9054-y

30 X. Yang, D.W.C. Ho, J. Lu, Q Song, Finite-time cluster synchronization of T-S fuzzy complex networks with discontinuous subsystems and random coupling delays, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, **23**(6):2302–2316, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2015.2417973

31 X. Yang, J. Lam, D. W. C. Ho, Z. Feng, Fixed-time synchronization of complex networks with impulsive effects via nonchattering control, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, **62**(11):5511–5521, 2017, https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2017.2691303

32 X. Yang, X. Li, J. Lu, Z. Cheng, Synchronization of time-delayed complex networks with switching topology via hybrid actuator fault and impulsive effects control, *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, **50**(9):4043–4052, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2019.2938217

33 X. Yang, Y. Liu, J. Cao, L. Rutkowski, Synchronization of coupled time-delay neural networks with mode-dependent average dwell time switching, *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst.*, **31**(12):5483–5496, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2020.2968342

34 X. Yang, X. Wan, Z. Cheng, J. Cao, Y. Liu, L. Rutkowski, Synchronization of switched discrete-time neural networks via quantized output control with actuator fault, *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst.*, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2020.3017171

35 X. Yang, Z. Yang, Synchronization of T-S fuzzy complex dynamical networks with timevarying impulsive delays and stochastic effects, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, **235**(16):25–43, 2014, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2013.06.008

36 X. Yang, Z. Yang, X. Nie, Exponential synchronization of discontinuous chaotic systems via delayed impulsive control and its application to secure communication, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.,* **19**:1529–1543, 2014, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2013.09.012

37 W. Zhang, C. Li, T. Huang, J. Huang, Fixed-time synchronization of complex networks with nonidentical nodes and stochastic noise perturbations, *Physica A*, **492**:1531–1542, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.11.079

38 W. Zhang, C. Li, H. Li, X. Yang, Cluster stochastic synchronization of complex dynamical networks via fixed-time control scheme, *Neural Netw.*, **124**:12–19, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2019.12.019

39 W. Zhang, X. Yang, C. Li, Fixed-time stochastic synchronization of complex networks via continuous control, *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, **49**(8):3099–3104, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2018.2839109W

40 Zhang, X. Yang, C. Xu, J. Feng, C. Li, Finite-time synchronization of discontinuous neural networks with delays and mismatched parameters,

IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst, **29**(8):3761–3771, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2017.2740431

41 Y. Zhou, X. Wan, C. Huang, X. Yang, Finite-time stochastic synchronization of dynamic networks with nonlinear coupling strength via quantized intermittent control, *Appl. Math. Comput*, **376**:125157, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2020.125157

Notes

* This work was jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Nos. 61673078, 41761079, 62003065), the Universities Joint Special Foundation of Yunnan Provincial Science and Technology Department in China (Nos. 202001BA070001-132 and 2018FH001-046), the Young and Middle-Aged Academic and Technical Leader Reserve Project of Yunnan Province in China under grant No. 202005AC160009, and the Top Young Talent Project of Yunnan Province in China.