Comparative exploration on bifurcation behavior for integer-order and fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks*

Xu, Changjin; Mu, Dan; Liu, Zixin; Pang, Yicheng; Liao, Maoxin; Li, Peiluan; Yao, Lingyun; Qin, Qiwen

Articles

Comparative exploration on bifurcation behavior for integer-order and fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks*

Changjin Xu xcj403@126.com
University of Finance and Economics, China
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5844-2985
Dan Mu
University of Finance and Economics, China
Zixin Liu
University of Finance and Economics, China
Yicheng Pang
University of Finance and Economics, China
Maoxin Liao
University of South China, China
Peiluan Li
University of Science and Technology, China
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5545-6185
Lingyun Yao
University of Finance and Economics, China
Qiwen Qin
University of Finance and Economics, China

Abstract: Abstract. In the present study, we deal with the stability and the onset of Hopf bifurcation of twotype delayed BAM neural networks (integer-order case and fractional-order case). By virtue of thecharacteristic equation of the integer-order delayed BAM neural networks and regarding time delay as critical parameter, a novel delay-independent condition ensuring the stability and the onset ofHopf bifurcation for the involved integer-order delayed BAM neural networks is built. Takingadvantage of Laplace transform, stability theory and Hopf bifurcation knowledge of fractionalorderdifferential equations, a novel delay-independent criterion to maintain the stability andthe appearance of Hopf bifurcation for the addressed fractional-order BAM neural networks isestablished. The investigation indicates the important role of time delay in controlling the stabilityand Hopf bifurcation of the both type delayed BAM neural networks. By adjusting the value oftime delay, we can effectively amplify the stability region and postpone the time of onset of Hopfbifurcation for the fractional-order BAM neural networks. Matlab simulation results are clearlypresented to sustain the correctness of analytical results. The derived fruits of this study provide animportant theoretical basis in regulating networks.

Keywords: fractional-order BAM neural networks, integer-order delayed BAM neural networks, Hopf bifurcation, stability, bifurcation diagram.

1 Introduction

It is well known that neural networks have been applied in various areas such as imageprocessing, optimization, artificial intelligence, computer version, automatic control andso on [1, 17]. Thus the study on various dynamical behaviors of neural networks is aninteresting and important topic in today's world. During the past decades, a great deal ofthe study achievements on various dynamics (including periodic solution, almost periodicsolution, Sp-almost periodic solution, pseudo almost periodic solution, piecewise pseudoalmost periodic solution, weight pseudo almost periodic solution, pseudo almost automorphicsolution, piecewise asymptotically almost automorphic solution, square-meanalmost autorphic solution, Stepanov-like weighted pseudo almost automorphic solution,weight pseudo almost automorphic solutions, anti-periodic solution, weighted pseudoanti-periodic solution, bifurcation, dissipativity, synchronization, global Mittag-Lefflerstability, fixed-time stabilization, etc.) have been reported. For example, Abdelaziz andChérif [1] discussed the piecewise asymptotic almost periodic solutions of fuzzy Cohen–Grossberg neural networks. Aouiti et al. [2] detailedly analyzed the piecewise pseudoalmost periodic solution to delayed neutral-type neural networks. Bohner et al. [5] investigatedthe almost periodic solutions of delayed Cohen–Grossberg neural networks. Huanget al. [12] studied the anti-periodic solutions for cellular neural networks with proportionaldelay. Zhao et al. [31] established the sufficient condition to ensure the existence, uniquenessand global exponential stability of weighted pseudo almost automorphic solutionsfor Hopfield neural networks with delays. Dhama1 and Abbas [7] made a systematicanalysis on the existence and stability of weighted pseudo almost automorphic solutionof dynamic equation. Zhou and Zhao [32] studied the synchronization issue of a class ofneural networks with proportional delays. For more detailed contents on these aspects, werefer the readers to [18 – 20].

However, the involved works above have been restricted to the integer-order delayeddifferential models. Nowadays many scholars find that fractional calculus has great application prospect in numerous fields such as electromagnetic waves, physics, viscoelasticity,biology, mechanics, neural networks, control science and so on [4, 21]. Lotsof researchers hold that fractional calculus can be regarded as a resultful tool to describethe actual problems of natural world since it possesses memory and hereditary propertiesduring the process of development and change of things [10, 14]. Recently, fractionalcalculus has attracted more and more attention from many scholars. Hopf bifurcationis a vital dynamical property of delayed differential systems. For a long time, a largenumber of research findings about Hopf bifurcation of integer-order delayed differentialmodels have been published. However, the study on Hopf bifurcation of fractional-orderdifferential systems is very rare. At present, there are some literatures that deal withthe Hopf bifurcation of fractional-order differential systems. For instance, Xu et al. [26]

considered the effect of multiple time delays on bifurcation for fractional-order neuralnetworks. Huang et al. [16] investigated the stability and Hopf bifurcation for fractionalneural networks. Xiao et al. [24] dealt with the PD control technique of Hopf bifurcationsfor delayed fractional-order small-world networks. In details, one can see [8, 11, 22, 23,25, 27 – 30].

Here we would like to point out that few works are concerned with the comparison ofHopf bifurcation for integer-order and fractional-order cases. Time delay plays a vital rolein stabilizing system and changing the dynamical behavior of integer-order and fractionalordersystems. Fractional-order systems have one more parameter (fractional-order), thusthe impact of time delay on stability and Hopf bifurcation for integer-order and fractionalordersystems will display different style. How does the effect of time delay on thestability and Hopf bifurcation of integer-order and fractional-order differential systems?Motivated by this idea, in this work, we will focus on the comparative study on bifurcationbehavior for integer-order and fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks. In particular,in this work, we will handle the following problems (e.g., the main contribution ofthis paper): (i) reveal the effect of time delay on stability and Hopf bifurcation of integerorderand fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks; (ii) comparison between thebifurcation point of integer-order delayed BAM neural networks and the bifurcation pointof fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks is given.

In this article, we consider the following integer-order BAM neural networks with delay:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\omega}_1(t) &= -\gamma_1\omega_1(t) + h_1(\omega_1(t)) + k_1(\omega_4(t-\eta)) + k_1(\omega_2(t-\eta)), \\
\dot{\omega}_2(t) &= -\gamma_2\omega_2(t) + h_2(\omega_2(t)) + k_2(\omega_1(t-\eta)) + k_2(\omega_3(t-\eta)), \\
\dot{\omega}_3(t) &= -\gamma_3\omega_3(t) + h_3(\omega_3(t)) + k_3(\omega_2(t-\eta)) + k_3(\omega_4(t-\eta)), \\
\dot{\omega}_4(t) &= -\gamma_4\omega_4(t) + h_4(\omega_4(t)) + k_4(\omega_3(t-\eta)) + k_4(\omega_1(t-\eta))
\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

and the corresponding fractional-order BAM neural networks with delay:

$$
\frac{d^\sigma \omega_1(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\gamma_1\omega_1(t) + h_1(\omega_1(t)) + k_1(\omega_4(t-\eta)) + k_1(\omega_2(t-\eta)), \quad \frac{d^\sigma \omega_2(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\gamma_2\omega_2(t) + h_2(\omega_2(t)) + k_2(\omega_1(t-\eta)) + k_2(\omega_3(t-\eta)) \tag{21}
$$

$$
\frac{d^\sigma \omega_3(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\gamma_3\omega_3(t) + h_3(\omega_3(t)) + k_3(\omega_2(t-\eta)) + k_3(\omega_4(t-\eta)), \quad \frac{d^\sigma \omega_4(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\gamma_4\omega_4(t) + h_4(\omega_4(t)) + k_4(\omega_3(t-\eta)) + k_4(\omega_1(t-\eta)) \tag{22}
$$

where $\gamma_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ stands for the internal decay rate, $h_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ stands for thenonlinear feedback function, $k_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ stands for the connection function betweentwo neurons, $\eta \geq 0$ stands for the connection delay, $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ is a constant. For moreconcrete information about system (1), one can see [9].

In order to establish the key conclusions of this paper, we firstly make the following hypothesis:

$$(Q_1) \quad h_l, k_l \in C^1, h_l(0) = 0, k_l(0) = 0 (l = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

The article is structured as follows. Section 2 presents some elementary knowledgeon fractional calculus and integer-order differential equations. Section 3 is concernedwith the discussion on the stability and the emergence of Hopf bifurcation of the integerorderdelayed BAM neural networks (1). Section 4 deals with the analysis on the stabilityand the emergence of Hopf bifurcation of the fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks (2). Section 5 carries out computer simulations and bifurcation diagrams to supportthe rationality of the derived key conclusions. Section 6 finishes our article.

2 Preliminaries

In the part, we list some necessary knowledge on fractional-order dynamical system andinteger-order dynamical systems, which will be applied in the following proof.

Lemma 1. (See [16].) Consider the following exponential polynomial

$$Q(\lambda, e^{-\lambda \eta_1}, \ldots, e^{-\lambda \eta_m}) = \lambda^n + q_1^{(0)} \lambda^{n-1} + \ldots + q_{n-1}^{(0)} \lambda + q_n^{(0)} + [q_1^{(1)} \lambda^{n-1} + \ldots + q_{n-1}^{(1)} \lambda + q_n^{(1)}] e^{-\lambda n_1} + \cdots + [q_1^{(m)} \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + q_{n-1}^{(m)} \lambda + q_n^{(m)}] e^{-\lambda n_m},$$

where $\eta_j \geq 0 (j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ and $q_k^{(j)} (j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m; k = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ are constants. If $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, m)$ change, the sum of the orders of $Q(\lambda, e^{-\lambda n_1}, \ldots, e^{\lambda \eta_m})$ on the open right half-plane can change only if a zero appears on or crosses the imaginary axis.

Definition 1. (See [15].) Define Caputo fractional-order derivative as follows:

$$D^\sigma l(\upsilon) = \frac{1}{T(n-\sigma)} \int_{\upsilon_0}^{\upsilon} \frac{l^{(n)}(s)}{(\upsilon - s)^{\sigma - n + 1}} ds,$$

where $l(\upsilon) \in ([\upsilon_0, \infty), \mathsf{R}), T(s) = \int_0^\infty \upsilon^{s-1} e^{-\upsilon} d\upsilon, \upsilon \geq \upsilon_0$, and $n \in \mathsf{Z}^+, n - 1 \leq \sigma < n$.

The Laplace transform of Caputo fractional-order derivative is given by

$$L\{D^\sigma g(t); s\} = s^\sigma G(s) - \sum_{j=10}^{m-1} s^{\sigma - j - 1} g^{(j)}(0), \quad m - 1 \leq \sigma < m \in \mathsf{Z}^+,$$

where $G(s) = L\{g(t)\}$. Especially, if $g^{(j)}(0), j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, then $L\{D^\sigma g(t); s\} = s^\sigma G(s)$.

Definition 2. (See [3].) $(\omega_{1*}, \omega_{2*}, \omega_{3*}, \omega_{4*})$ is called an equilibrium point of system (1) (or system (2)), provided that the equations

$$-\gamma_1 \omega_1 + h_1(\omega_{1*}) + k_1(\omega_{4*}) + k_1(\omega_{2*}) = 0, \quad -\gamma_2 \omega_{2*} + h_2(\omega_{2*}) + k_2(\omega_{1*}) + k_2(\omega_{3*}) = 0, \quad -\gamma_3 \omega_{3*} + h_3(\omega_{3*}) + k_3(\omega_{2*}) + k_3(\omega_{4*}) = 0, \quad -\gamma_4 \omega_{4*} + h_4(\omega_{4*}) + k_4(\omega_{3*}) + k_4(\omega_{1*}) = 0$$

are fulfilled.

Lemma 2. (See [13].) *Suppose given the fractional-order system*

$$\frac{d^{\sigma}\omega(t)}{dt^{\sigma}} = g(t, \omega(t)), \qquad \omega(0) = \omega_0, \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ *and* $g(t, \omega(t)) : \mathsf{R}^+ \times \mathsf{R}^m \to \mathsf{R}^m$. *The equilibrium point of system (3) are locally asymptotically stable if all eigenvalues* δ *of the Jacobian matrix* $\partial g(t, \omega)/\partial \omega$ *evaluated near the equilibrium point satisfy* $|arg(\delta)| > \delta\pi/2$.

Lemma 3. (See [27].) Consider the following fractional-order system:

$$\frac{d^{\sigma}W_1(t)}{dt^{\sigma_2}}=d_{11}W_1(t-\varrho_{11})+d_{12}W_2(t-\varrho_{12})+\cdots+d_{1m}W_m(t-\varrho_{1m}), \frac{d^{\sigma_2}W_2(t)}{dt^{\sigma_2}}=d_{21}W_1(t-\varrho_{21})+d_{22}W_2(t-\varrho_{22})+\cdots+d_{2m}W_m(t-\varrho_{2m}), \frac{d^{\sigma_m}W_m(t)}{dt^{\sigma_m}}=d_{m1}W_1(t-\varrho_{m1})+d_{m2}W_2(t-\varrho_{m2})+\cdots+d_{mm}W_m(t-\varrho_{mm}), \tag{4}$$

where $0 < \sigma_i < 1 (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$, *the initial values* $W_i(t) = \varphi_i(t) \in C[-max_{i,l}, \varrho_{il}, 0]$, $t \in [-max_{i,l}, \varrho_{il}, 0]$, $i, l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. *Denote*

$$\Delta(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^{\sigma_1} - d_{11}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{11}} & -d_{12}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{12}} & \cdots & -d_{1m}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{1m}} \\ -d_{21}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{12}} & \zeta^{\sigma_2} - d_{22}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{22}} & \cdots & -d_{2m}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{2m}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -d_{m1}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{m1}} & -d_{m2}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{m2}} & \cdots & \zeta^{\sigma_m} - d_{mm}e^{-\zeta\varrho_{mm}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the zero solution of Eq. (4) is Lyapunov asymptotically stable provided that every root of $det(\Delta(\zeta)) = 0$ *possesses negative real parts.*

3 Hopf bifurcation exploration of system(1)

[Hopf bifurcation exploration of system (1)] In this part, we are to explore the stability and the onset of Hopf bifurcation for system (1). In term of (Q_1), one knows that Eq.(1) has a unique equilibrium $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$. The linear system of Eq. (1) near $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ takes the form

$$\dot{\omega}_1(t)=-\alpha_1\omega_1(t)+k'_1(0)\omega_2(t)-(t-\eta)+k'_1(0)\omega_2(t-\eta), \dot{\omega}_2(t)=-\alpha_2\omega_2(t)+k'_2(0)\omega_3(t)-(t-\eta)+k'_2(0)\omega_3(t-\eta), \dot{\omega}_3(t)=-\alpha_3\omega_3(t)+k'_3(0)\omega_4(t)-(t-\eta)+k'_3(0)\omega_4(t-\eta), \dot{\omega}_4(t)=-\alpha_4\omega_4(t)+k'_4(0)\omega_1(t)-(t-\eta)+k'_4(0)\omega_1(t-\eta), \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha_j = \gamma_j - h'_j(0) (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. Then the associated characteristic equation of (5) takes the form

$$det \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \alpha_1 & -k'_1(0)e^{\lambda n} & 0 & -k'_1(0)e^{-\lambda n} \\ -k'_2(0)e^{-\lambda n} & \lambda + \alpha_2 & -k'_2(0)e^{-\lambda n} & 0 \\ 0 & -k'_3(0)e^{-\lambda n} & \lambda + \alpha_3 & -k_3(0)e^{-\lambda n} \\ -k'_4(0)e^{-\lambda n} & 0 & -k'_4(0)e^{-\lambda n} & \lambda + \alpha_4 \end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{6}$$

It follows from (6) that

$$A_1(s) + A_2(s)e^{-2\lambda n} + = 0. \tag{7}$$

Here

$$A_1(s) = \lambda^4 + a_3\lambda^3 + a_2\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda + a_0, A_2(s) = b_2\lambda^2 + b_1\lambda + b_0 \text{ where}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

$a_3 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4, a_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4, a_1 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, a_0 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 k'_3(0)k'_4(0) - \alpha_2 \alpha_3 k'_1(0)k'_2(0) - \alpha_2 \alpha_4 k'_1(0)k'_3(0) - \alpha_3 \alpha_4 k'_1(0)k'_2(0), b_2 = -(\alpha_2 k'_3(0)k'_4(0) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)k'_1(0)k'_2(0), b_1 = -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)k'_2(0)k'_4(0) - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4)k'_1(0)k'_3(0), b_0 = k'_1(0)k'_2(0) - k'_3(0)k'_4(0) - k'_1(0)k'_3(0).$

Now we will discuss the distribution of the roots of Eq. (7). Assume that i is the root of Eq. (7), then one has

$$\varphi^4 - ia_3\varphi^3 - a_2\varphi^2 + ia_{1\varphi} + a_0 + (-b_2\varphi^2 + ib_{1\varphi} + b_0)(\cos 2\varphi\eta - i\sin 2\varphi\eta) = 0. \tag{9}$$

It follows from (9) that

$$(b_0 - b_2\varphi^2)\cos 2\varphi\eta + b_1\varphi\sin 2\varphi\eta = a_2\varphi^2 - \varphi^4 - a_0, b_{1\varphi}\cos 2\varphi\eta - (b_0 - b_2\varphi^2)\sin 2\varphi\eta = a_3\varphi^3 - a_{1\varphi}. \tag{10}$$

By (10) one gets

$$(b_0 - b_2\varphi^2)^2 + (b_{1\varphi})^2 = (a_2\varphi^2 - \varphi^4 - a_0)^2 + (a_3\varphi^3 - a_1\varphi)^2,$$

which leads to

$$\varphi^8 + r_3\varphi^6 + r_2\varphi^4 + r_1\varphi^2 + r_0 = 0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$r_0 = b_0^2 - a_0^2, \quad r_1 = 2_{a0a2} - 2b_0b_2 - a_1^2 + b_1^2, r_2 = b_2^2 - a_2^2 - 2_{a0+2a_1a_3}, \quad r_3 = 2_{a2} - a_3^2. \tag{12}$$

Let $y = \varphi^2$, then Eq. (11) can be rewritten as

$$y^4 + r_3y^3 + r_2y^2 + r_1y + r_0 = 0. \tag{13}$$

Let

$$f(y) = y^4 + r_3 y^3 + r_2 y^2 + r_1 y + r_0$$

Then

$$\frac{df(y)}{dy} = 4y^3 + 3r_3 y^2 + 2r_2 y + r_1.$$

Denote

$$4y^3 + 3r_3 y^2 + 2r_2 y + r_1 = 0. \tag{14}$$

and let $x = y + r_3/4$, then Eq. (14) takes the form

$$x^3 + \vartheta_1 x + \vartheta_0 = 0,$$

where

$$\vartheta_1 = \frac{r_2}{2} - \frac{3}{16} r_3^2, \qquad \vartheta_0 = \frac{r_3^3}{32} - \frac{r_2 r_3}{8} + \frac{r_1}{4}.$$

Define

$$C = \left(\frac{\vartheta_0}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\vartheta_1}{3}\right)^3, \quad \epsilon = \frac{-1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2}, x_1 = \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\vartheta_0}{2} + \sqrt{C}} + \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\vartheta_0}{2} - \sqrt{C}}, x_2 = \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\vartheta_0}{2} + \sqrt{C}\epsilon} + \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\vartheta_0}{2} - \sqrt{C}\epsilon^2}, x_3 = \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\vartheta_0}{2} + \sqrt{C}\epsilon^2} + \sqrt[3]{-\frac{\vartheta_0}{2} - \sqrt{C}\epsilon}, y_j = x_j - \frac{r_3}{4}, \quad j=1,2,3.$$

According to [6], we get the following conclusions.

Lemma 4. Equation (13) possesses at least one positive root if $r_0 < 0$, where r_0 is defined by (12).

Lemma 5. *Let the condition $r_0 \geq 0$ holds.*

(i) I f$C \geq 0$, then Eq. (14) possesses positive roots, $\Leftrightarrow y_1 > 0$ and $f(y_1) < 0$.

(ii) If $C < 0$, then Eq. (14) possesses positive roots , there exists at least one $y_0 \in \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ such that $y_{0>0}$ and $f(y_0) \leq 0$.

Assume that Eq. (13) possesses positive roots. Here we suppose that Eq. (13) possesses four positive roots, say $y_j^* (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. Then Eq. (11) possesses the following four positive roots:

$$\varphi_1 = \sqrt{y_1^*}, \qquad \varphi_2 = \sqrt{y_2^*}, \qquad \varphi_3 = \sqrt{y_3^*}, \qquad \varphi_4 = \sqrt{y_4^*}.$$

By (10) one has

$$\eta_j^l = \frac{1}{\varphi_j}\left[arccos\frac{\left(a_2\varphi_j^2 - \varphi_j^4 - a_0\right)\left(b_0 - b_2\varphi_j^2\right) + \left(a_3\varphi_j^3 - a_1\varphi_j\right)b_1\varphi_j}{\left(b_0 - b_2\varphi_j^2\right)^2 + \left(b_1\varphi_j\right)^2} + 2l\pi\right],$$

where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4; l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Define

$$\eta_0 = \eta_{j0}^{(0)} = \min_{1 \le j \le 4}\left\{\eta_j^{(0)}\right\}, \qquad \varphi_0 = \varphi_{j0}.$$

Now we make the following assumption:

$$(Q_2) \, a_3 > 0, \, a_3(a_2 + b_2) > a_1 + b_1, \, a_0 + b_0 > 0, \, a_3(a_2 + b_2)(a_1 + b_1) > (a_1 + b_1)^2 + a_3^2(a_0 + b_0).$$

Lemma 6. Assume that condition (Q_2) holds.

(i) Let one of the three conditions is satisfied: (a) $r_0 < 0$; (b) $r_0 \ge 0, \, C \ge 0, \, y_1 > 0$ and $f(y_1) \le 0$; (c) $r_0 \ge 0, \, C < 0$, and let there exists $y^* \in \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ such that $y^* > 0$ and $f(y^*) \le 0$. Then all roots of Eq. (7) possesses negative real parts for $\eta \in [0, \eta_0)$.

(ii) If conditions (a)–(c) of (i) do not hold, then all roots of Eq. (7) possesses negative real parts for $\eta \ge 0$.

The conclusions of Lemma 6 come from Lemmas 4, 5 and 1. The proof of Lemma 6 is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4 in Hu and Huang [9]. Here we omit it.

Next, we check the transversality condition for the onset of Hopf bifurcation. The following assumption is made as follows:

$(Q_3) L_R(\varphi_0)O_R(\varphi_0)O_I(\varphi_0) \ne 0, \, L_R(\varphi_0) = a_0 - 3a_3\varphi_0^2 + b_1\cos 2\varphi_0\eta_0 + 2b_2\varphi_0\sin 2\varphi_0\eta_0, \, L_I(\varphi_0) = 2a_2\varphi_0 - 4\varphi_0^3 + 2b_2\cos 2\varphi_0\eta_0 - b_1\sin 2\varphi_0\eta_0, \, O_R(\varphi_0) = 2\varphi_0(b_0 - b_2\varphi_0^2)\sin 2\varphi_0\eta_0 - 2b_1\varphi_0^2\cos 2\varphi_0\eta_0, \quad O_I(\varphi_0) = 2\varphi_0(b_0 - b_2\varphi_0^2)\cos 2\varphi_0\eta_0 + 2b_1\varphi_0^2\sin 2\varphi_0\eta_0$

Lemma 7. Assume that $s(\eta) = \alpha(\eta) + i\beta(\eta)$ is the root of (7) at $\eta = \eta_0$ and $\alpha(\eta_0) = 0, \, \beta(\eta_0) = \varphi_0$, then $Re\frac{ds}{d\eta}|\eta = \eta_{0, \varphi = \varphi_0} \ne 0.$.

Proof. According to (7), one gets

$$\left(4\lambda^3 + 3a_3\lambda^2 + 2a_2\lambda + a_1\right)\frac{d\lambda}{d\eta} + \left(2b_2\lambda + b_1\right)e^{-2\lambda\eta} - e^{-2\lambda\eta}\left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\eta}\eta + \lambda\right)\left(b_2\lambda^2 + b_1\lambda + b_0\right) = 0.$$

Then

$$\left[\frac{d\lambda}{d\eta}\right]^{-1} = \frac{L(\lambda)}{O(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{2},$$

where

$$L(\lambda) = \left(4\lambda^3 + 3a_3\lambda^2 + 2a_2\lambda + a_1\right) + \left(2b_2\lambda + b_1\right)e^{-2\lambda\eta}, \, O(\lambda) = 2\lambda e^{-2\lambda\eta}\left(b_2\lambda^2 + b_1\lambda + b_0\right).$$

By (Q_3) one has

$$Re\left[\left[\frac{ds}{d\eta}\right]^{-1}\right]\Bigg|_{\eta = \eta_{0, \varphi = \varphi_0}} = \frac{L_R(\varphi_0)O_R(\varphi_0) + L_I\varphi_0 O_I(\varphi_0)}{\left(O_R(\varphi_0)\right)^2 + \left(O_I(\varphi_0)\right)^2} \ne 0.$$

Based on Lemmas 6 and 7, the following result can be established.

PDF generated from XML JATS4R by Redalyc
Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative
1037

Theorem 1. For system (1), assume that conditions (Q_1) and (Q_2) hold. Then the followingconclusions hold true:

(i) Let one of the three conditions: (a) $r_0 < 0$; (b) $r_0 \geq 0$, $C \geq 0$, $y_1 > 0$ and $f(y_1) \leq 0$; (c) $r_0 \geq 0$, $C < 0$ and let there exists $y^* \in \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ such that $y^* > 0$ and $(y^*) \leq 0$ do not hold. Then the zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ is asymptotically stable for all $\eta \geq 0$;

(ii) If one of the conditions (a), (b) and (c) is fulfilled, then the zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ is asymptotically stable for $\eta \in [0, \eta_0)$.

(iii) If conditions (Q_3) and (ii) hold, then a Hopf bifurcation will happen around thezero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$.

4 Hopf bifurcation exploration of system (2)

[Hopf bifurcation exploration of system (2)] In this section, we are to analyze the stabilityand the existence of Hopf bifurcation of model (2). In term of (Q_1), it is easy to seethat Eq. (2) has a unique equilibrium $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$. The linear system of Eq. (2) around $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ is given by

$$\frac{d^\sigma w_1(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\alpha_1 \omega_1(t) + k'_1(0)\omega_1(t-n) + k'_1(0)\omega_1(t-n) \quad \frac{d^\sigma w_2(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\alpha_2 \omega_2(t) + k'_2(0)\omega_1(t-n) + k'_2(0)\omega_3(t-n) \quad \frac{d^\sigma w_3(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\alpha_3 \omega_3(t) + k'_3(0)\omega_2(t-n) + k'_3(0)\omega_4(t-n) \quad \frac{d^\sigma w_4(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -\alpha_4 \omega_4(t) + k'_4(0)\omega_1(t-n) + k'_4(0)\omega_3(t-n) \tag{15}$$

where $\alpha_j = \gamma_j - h'_j(0)(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. The associated characteristic equation of (15) takes the form

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} s^\sigma + \alpha_1 & -k'_1(0)e^{sn} & 0 & -k'_1(0)e^{-\lambda n} \\ -k'_2(0)e^{-\lambda n} & s^\sigma + \alpha_2 & -k'_2(0)e^{-sn} & 0 \\ 0 & -k'_3(0)e^{-sn} & s^\sigma + \alpha_3 & -k_3(0)e^{-sn} \\ -k'_4(0)e^{-sn} & 0 & -k'_4(0)e^{-\lambda sn} & s^\sigma + \alpha_4 \end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{16}$$

It follows from (16) that

$$B_1(s) + B_2(s)e^{-2sn} = 0. \tag{17}$$

Here

$$B_1(s) = s^{4\sigma} + a_3 s^{3\sigma} + a_2 s^{2\sigma} + a_1 s^{1\sigma} + a_0, \qquad B_2(s) = b_2 s^{2\sigma} + b_1 s^{1\sigma} + b_0,$$

where $a_i(i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ and are defined by (8).

Suppose that $s = \mathrm{i}\varrho = \varrho\left(\cos(\pi/2) + i\sin(\pi/2)\right)$ is a root of (17) and $B_{iR}(s)$ and $B_{iI}(s)(i = 1, 2)$ stand for the real parts and imaginary parts of $B_i(s)(i = 1, 2)$, respectively. Then we have

$$B_{2R}(\varrho)\cos 2\varrho\eta + B_{2I}(\varrho)\sin 2\varrho\eta = -B_{1R}(\varrho),\ B_{2I}(\varrho)\cos 2\varrho\eta + B_{2R}(\varrho)\sin 2\varrho\eta = -B_{1I}(\varrho), \tag{18}$$

where

$$B_{1R}(\varrho) = \varrho^{4\sigma}\cos 2\sigma\pi + a_3\varrho^{3\sigma}\cos\frac{3\sigma\pi}{2} + a_2\varrho^{2\sigma}\cos\sigma\pi + a_1\varrho^{\sigma}\cos\frac{\sigma\pi}{2} + a_0,\ B_{1I}(\varrho) = \varrho^{4\sigma}\sin 2\sigma\pi + a_3\varrho^{3\sigma}\sin\frac{3\sigma\pi}{2} + a_2\varrho^{2\sigma}\sin\sigma\pi + a_1\varrho^{\sigma}\sin\frac{\sigma\pi}{2},\ B_{2R}(\varrho) = b_2\varrho^{2\sigma}\cos\sigma\pi + b_1\varrho^{\sigma}\cos\frac{\sigma\pi}{2} + b_0,\ B_{2I}(\varrho) = b_2\varrho^{2\sigma}\sin\sigma\pi + b_1\varrho^{\sigma}\sin\frac{\sigma\pi}{2}. \tag{19}$$

By (18) one gets

$$\cos 2\varrho\eta = -\frac{B_{1R}(\varrho)B_{2R}(\varrho) + B_{1I}(\varrho)B_{2I}(\varrho)}{B_{2R}^2(\varrho) + B_{2I}^2(\varrho)},\ \sin 2\varrho\eta = -\frac{B_{1I}(\varrho)B_{2R}(\varrho) + B_{1R}(\varrho)B_{2I}(\varrho)}{B_{2R}^2(\varrho) + B_{2I}^2(\varrho)} \tag{20}$$

Let

$$\beta_1 = \cos 2\sigma\pi,\quad \beta_2 = a_3\cos\frac{3\sigma\pi}{2},\quad \beta_3 = a_2\cos\sigma\pi,\ \beta_4 = a_1\cos\frac{\sigma\pi}{2},\quad \beta_5 = a_0,\ \beta_6 = \sin 2\sigma\pi,\ \beta_7 = a_3\sin\frac{3\sigma\pi}{2},\quad \beta_8 = a_2\sin\sigma\pi,\quad \beta_9 = a_1\sin\frac{\sigma\pi}{2},\ \beta_{10} = b_2\cos\sigma\pi,\quad \beta_{11} = b_1\cos\frac{\sigma\pi}{2},\quad \beta_{12} = b_0,\ \beta_{13} = b_2\sin\sigma\pi,\quad \beta_{14} = b_1\sin\frac{\sigma\pi}{2}.$$

Then (19) can be rewritten as

$$B_{1R}(\varrho) = \beta_1\varrho^{4\sigma} + \beta_2\varrho^{3\sigma} + \beta_3\varrho^{2\sigma} + \beta_4\varrho^{\sigma} + \beta_5,\ B_{1I}(\varrho) = \beta_6\varrho^{4\sigma} + \beta_7\varrho^{3\sigma} + \beta_8\varrho^{2\sigma} + \beta_9\varrho^{\sigma},\ B_{2R}(\varrho) = \beta_{10}\varrho^{2\sigma} + \beta_{11}\varrho^{\sigma} + \beta_{12},\ B_{2I}(\varrho) = \beta_{13}\varrho^{2\sigma} + \beta_{14}. \tag{21}$$

In view of (20) and (21), one gets

$$\left[B_{1R}(\varrho)B_{2R}(\varrho) + B_{1I}(\varrho)B_{2I}(\varrho)\right]^2 + \left[B_{1I}(\varrho)B_{2R}(\varrho) - B_{1R}(\varrho)B_{2I}(\varrho)\right]^2 = \left[B_{2R}^R(\varrho) + B_{2I}^2(\varrho)\right]^2. \tag{22}$$

$$B_{1R}(\varrho)B_{2R}(\varrho) + B_{1I}(\varrho)B_{2I}(\varrho) = \mu_1\varrho^{6\sigma} + \mu_2\varrho^{5\sigma} + \mu_3\varrho^{4\sigma} + \mu_4\varrho^{3\sigma} + \mu_5\varrho^{2\sigma} + \mu_6\varrho^{\sigma} + \mu_7,\ B_{1I}(\varrho)B_{2R}(\varrho) - B_{1R}(\varrho)B_{2I}(\varrho) = \nu_1\varrho^{6\sigma} + \nu_2\varrho^{5\sigma} + \nu_3\varrho^{4\sigma} + \nu_4\varrho^{3\sigma} + \nu_5\varrho^{2\sigma} + \nu_6\varrho^{\sigma} + \nu_7,\ B_{2R}^2(\varrho) + B_{2I}^2(\varrho) = \varsigma_1\varrho^{4\sigma} + \varsigma_2\varrho^{3\sigma} + \varsigma_3\varrho^{2\sigma} + \varsigma_4\varrho^{\sigma} + \varsigma_5, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \beta_1\beta_{10} + \beta_6\beta_{13},\quad \mu_2 = \beta_1\beta_{11} + \beta_2\beta_{10} + \beta_7\beta_{13},\ \mu_3 = \beta_1\beta_{12} + \beta_2\beta_{11} + \beta_3\beta_{10} + \beta_6\beta_{14} + \beta_8\beta_{13},\ \mu_4 = \beta_2\beta_{12} + \beta_3\beta_{11} + \beta_4\beta_{10} + \beta_7\beta_{14} + \beta_9\beta_{13},\ \mu_5 = \beta_3\beta_{12} + \beta_4\beta_{11} + \beta_5\beta_{10} + \beta_8\beta_{14},\ \mu_6 = \beta_4\beta_{12} + \beta_5\beta_{11} + \beta_9\beta_{14},\quad \mu_7 = \beta_5\beta_{12}$$

and

$$\nu_1 = \beta_6\beta_{10} - \beta_1\beta_{13},\quad \nu_2 = \beta_6\beta_{11} + \beta_7\beta_{10} - \beta_2\beta_{13},\ \nu_3 = \beta_6\beta_{12} + \beta_7\beta_{11} + \beta_8\beta_{10} - \beta_1\beta_{14} - \beta_3\beta_{13},\ \nu_4 = \beta_7\beta_{12} + \beta_8\beta_{11} + \beta_9\beta_{10} - \beta_2\beta_{14} - \beta_4\beta_{13},\ \nu_5 = \beta_8\beta_{12} + \beta_9\beta_{11} - \beta_3\beta_{14} + \beta_9\beta_{14},\ \nu_6 = \beta_9\beta_{12} - \beta_4\beta_{14},\quad \nu_7 = -\beta_5\beta_{14},\ \varsigma_1 = \beta_{10}^2 + \beta_{13}^2,\ \varsigma_2 = 2\beta_{10}\beta_{11},\ \varsigma_3 = \beta_{11}^2 + 2\beta_{10}\beta_{12} + 2\beta_{13}\beta_{14},\ \varsigma_4 = 2\beta_{11}\beta_{12},\quad \varsigma_5 = \beta_{12}^2 + \beta_{14}^2.$$

By (23) it follows from (22) that

$$\vartheta_1\varrho^{12\sigma} + \vartheta_2\varrho^{11\sigma} + \vartheta_3\varrho^{10\sigma} + \vartheta_4\varrho^{9\sigma} + \vartheta_5\varrho^{8\sigma} + \vartheta_6\varrho^{7\sigma} + \vartheta_7\varrho^{6\sigma} + \vartheta_8\varrho^{5\sigma} + \vartheta_9\varrho^{4\sigma} + \vartheta_{10}\varrho^{3\sigma} + \vartheta_{11}\varrho^{2\sigma} + \vartheta_{12}\varrho^{\sigma} + \vartheta_{13} = 0, \tag{24}$$

where

Set

$$M(\varrho) = \vartheta_1\varrho^{12\sigma} + \vartheta_2\varrho^{11\sigma} + \vartheta_3\varrho^{10\sigma} + \vartheta_4\varrho^{9\sigma} + \vartheta_5\varrho^{8\sigma} + \vartheta_6\varrho^{7\sigma} + \vartheta_7\varrho^{6\sigma} + \vartheta_8\varrho^{5\sigma} + \vartheta_9\varrho^{4\sigma} + \vartheta_{10}\varrho^{3\sigma} + \vartheta_{11}\varrho^{2\sigma} + \vartheta_{12}\varrho^{\sigma} + \vartheta_{13} \quad (25)$$

and

$$N(\xi) = \vartheta_1\xi^{12} + \vartheta_2\xi^{11} + \vartheta_3\xi^{10} + \vartheta_4\xi^9 + \vartheta_5\xi^8 + \vartheta_6\xi^7 + \vartheta_7\xi^6 + \vartheta_8\xi^5 + \vartheta_9\xi^4 + \vartheta_{10}\xi^3 + \vartheta_{11}\xi^2 + \vartheta_{12}\xi^{\sigma} + \vartheta_{13}$$

Lemma 8.

(i) In addition to the condition $a_0 + b_0 \neq 0$, *if* $\vartheta_l > 0 (l = 1, 2, \ldots, 13)$, then Eq. (17) possesses no the root with zero real parts.

(ii) If $\vartheta_{13} > 0$ and there exists $\xi_0 > 0$, which satisfies $N(\xi_0) < 0$, then Eq. (17) possesses at least two pairs of purely imaginary roots.

Proof. (i) In term of (25), one has

$$\frac{dM(\varrho)}{d\varrho} = 12\sigma\vartheta_1\varrho^{12\sigma-1} + 11\sigma\vartheta_2\varrho^{11\sigma-1} + 10\sigma\vartheta_3\varrho^{10\sigma-1} + 9\sigma\vartheta_4\varrho^{9\sigma-1} + 8\sigma\vartheta_5\varrho^{8\sigma-1} + 7\sigma\vartheta_6\varrho^{7\sigma-1} + 6\sigma\vartheta_7\varrho^{6\sigma-1} + 5\sigma\vartheta_8\varrho^{5\sigma-1} + 4\sigma\vartheta_9\varrho^{4\sigma-1} + 3\sigma\vartheta_{10}\varrho^{3\sigma-1} + 2\sigma\vartheta_{11}\varrho^{2\sigma-1} + \sigma\vartheta_{12}\varrho^{\sigma-1}.$$

By $\vartheta_l > 0 (l = 1, 2, \ldots, 12)$ one gets $dM(\varrho)/d\varrho > 0$ $\varrho > 0$. Noting that $M(0) = \vartheta_{13} > 0$, one obtains that Eq. (24) has no positive real root. In addition, by $a_0 + b_0 \neq 0$ one knows that $s = 0$ is not the root of (17). This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) By $N(0) = \vartheta_{13} > 0, N(\xi_0) < 0 (\xi_0 > 0)$ and $\lim\limits_{\epsilon \to 0+\infty} N(\epsilon)/d\epsilon = +\infty$ one can find $\xi_1 \in (0, \xi_0)$ *and* $\xi_2 \in (\xi_0, +\infty)$, which satisfy $N(\xi_1) = N(\xi_2) = 0$. Then possesses at least two positive real roots. Therefore possesses at least two pairs of purely imaginary roots. The proof of (ii) is finished.

Assume that Eq. (24) has twelve positive real roots $\varrho_{j(j=1,2,\ldots,12)}$. It follows from (20) that

$$\eta_j^l = \frac{1}{2\varrho\kappa}\left[arccos\left(-\frac{B_{1R}(\varrho k)B_{2R}(\varrho k) + B_{1I}(\varrho k)B_{2I}(\varrho k)}{B_{2R}^2(\varrho k) + B_{2I}^2(\varrho k)}\right) + 2l\pi\right],$$

where $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 12$. Denote

$$\eta_0 = \min\limits_{j=1, 2, \ldots, 12}\left\{\eta_j^{(0)}\right\}, \qquad \varrho_0 = \varrho|_{\eta=\eta_0}$$

In the sequel, we make the following hypothesis:

$(Q_4) W_{11}W_{21} + W_{12}W_{22} > 0$, where

Lemma 9. Suppose that $s(\eta) = \rho(\eta) + ik(\eta)$ *is the root of Eq. (17) at* $\eta = \eta_0$ *, whichsatisfies* $\rho(\eta_0) = 0, k(\eta_0) = \varrho_0$ *. Then one has* $Re[ds/d\eta]_{\eta=\eta_0,\varrho=\varrho_0} > 0.$

Proof. It follows from Eq. (17) that

$$\left[4\sigma s^{4\sigma-1} + 3\sigma a_3 s^{3\sigma-1} + 2\sigma a_2 s^{2\sigma-1} + \sigma a_1 s^{\sigma-1}\right]\frac{ds}{d\eta} + \left[2\sigma b_2 s^{2\sigma-1} + \sigma b_1 s^{\sigma-1}\right]e^{-2s\eta}\frac{ds}{d\eta} - 2e^{-2s\eta}\left(\frac{ds}{d\eta}\eta + s\right)\left(b_2 s^{2\sigma} + b_1 s^{\sigma} + b_0\right) = 0.$$

Then

$$\left[\frac{ds}{d\eta}\right]^{-1} = \frac{W_1(s)}{W_2(s)} - \frac{\eta}{s},$$

where

$$W_1(s) = 4\sigma s^{4\sigma-1} + 3\sigma a_3 s^{3\sigma-1} + 2\sigma a_2 s^{2\sigma-1} + \sigma a_1 s^{\sigma-1} + + \left[2\sigma b_2 s^{2\sigma-1} + \sigma b_1 s^{\sigma-1}\right]e^{-2s\eta} \quad W_2(s) = 2se^{-2s\eta}\left(b_2 s^{2\sigma} + b_1 s^{\sigma} + b_0\right).$$

Thus

$$Re\left\{\frac{ds}{d\eta}\right\}\Bigg|_{\eta=\eta_0,\varrho=\varrho_0} = Re\left\{\frac{W_1(s)}{W_2(s)}\right\}\Bigg|_{\eta=\eta_0,\varrho=\varrho_0} = \frac{W_{11}W_{21} + W_{12}W_{22}}{W_{21}^2 + W_{22}^2}.$$

In view of (Q_4), we have

$$Re\left\{\left[\frac{ds}{d\eta}\right]^{-1}\right\}\Bigg|_{\eta=\eta_0,\varrho=\varrho_0}.$$

The proof of Lemma 9 finishes.

Lemma 10. If $\eta = 0$ *and* (Q_2) *holds true, then system (2) is locally asymptotically stable.*

Proof. When $\eta = 0$, *then (17) takes the form*

$$\lambda^4 + a_3\lambda^3 + (a_2 + b_2)\lambda^2 + (a_1 + b_1)\lambda + a_0 + b_0 = 0. \qquad (26)$$

By (Q_2) one knows that all roots λ_i of (26) satisfy $|arg(\lambda_i)| > \sigma\pi/2 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. Sowe know that Lemma 10 is correct. The proof ends.

Based on the investigation above, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 2. Let hypotheses $(Q_1) - (Q_4)$ *hold. Then the zero equilibrium point* $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ *of system (2) is locally asymptotically stable if* η *fall into the interval* $[0, \eta_0)$ *and a Hopfbifurcation will take place in the vicinity of* $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ *when* $\eta = \eta_0$.

PDF generated from XML JATS4R by Redalyc
Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative
1041

5 Two examples

Example 1. Give the system as follows:

$$\tag{27}$$

$$\dot{\omega}_1(t) = -0.5\omega_1(t) - 0.5\tanh(\omega_2(t)) + 0.4\tanh(\omega_3(t-\eta)) + 0.4\tanh(\omega_3(t-\eta)), \quad \dot{\omega}_2(t) = -1.5\omega_2(t) - 0.5\tanh(\omega_2(t)) + 1.2\tanh(\omega_3(t-\eta)) + 1.2\tanh(\omega_4(t-\eta)), \quad \dot{\omega}_3(t) = -0.1\omega_3(t) - 0.4\tanh(\omega_3(t-\eta)) + 0.5\tanh(\omega_4(t-\eta)), \quad \dot{\omega}_4(t) = -1.5\omega_4(t) - 0.5\tanh(\omega_3(t-\eta)) + 0.8\tanh(\omega_4(t-\eta)) + \tanh(\omega_4(t-\eta))$$

Obviously, system (27) has the zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$. With the aid of Matlabsoftware, one gets $\varphi_0 = 0 \cdot 2160$ and $\eta_0 = 0.228$. By algebraic operations with Matlab 7.0 (since the complexity of expression, we can compute the values of different expressions by performing multiple hybrid operations) we can check that conditions (ii), (iii) in Theorem 1 are satisfied.

If $\eta \in [0, 0.228)$, the zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ of system (27) is locallyasymptotically stable. In this situation, let $\eta = 0.19 < \eta_0 = 0.228$. The computersimulation diagram are displayed in Fig. 1. Figure 1 indicates that when the time delay η is less than the critical value $\eta_0 = 0.228$, then all the states of the neurons of neuralnetworks (27) will be tardily close to zero.

If $\eta \in [0.8616, +\infty)$, then system (27) loses its stability, and a Hopf bifurcationemerges. In this situation, we choose $\eta = 0.4$. The computer simulation diagrams aredisplayed in Fig. 2. Figure 2 implies that when the time delay η is greater than the criticalvalue $\eta_0 = 0.228$, then all the states of the neurons will maintain periodic motion aroundthe zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$, i.e., a Hopf bifurcation takes place around thezero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$. In order to explain this fact intuitively, we give thebifurcation diagram Fig. 3 of system (27).

Figure 3 has revealed the relation of $\eta - \omega_1, \eta - \omega_2, \eta - \omega_3, \eta - \omega_4$, respectively. Clearly,from Fig. 3 one can easily know that the bifurcation point of system (27) is. Moreover, the relationship of φ_0 and η_0 is also displayed in Table 1.

Table 1

The quantitative relationship of $\psi 0$ and $\eta 0$ of system (27).

Figure 1

Simulation results for system (27) when $\eta = 0.19$ $\eta 0 = 0.228$.

Figure 2

Simulation results for system (27) when $\eta = 0.4$ $\eta 0 = 0.228$.

Figure 3
Bifurcation diagram for system (27): η versus w_1 (blue), η versus w_2 (red), η versus w_3 (magenta), η versus w_4 (green).

Example 2. Give the system as follows:

$$\frac{d^\sigma u_1(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -0.5u_1(t) - 0.5\tanh\left(w_3(t)\right) + 0.4\tanh\left(w_3(t-\eta)\right) + 0.4\tanh\left(w_4(t-\eta)\right), \frac{d^\sigma u_2(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -1.5u_2(t) - 0.5\tanh\left(w_3(t)\right) + 1.2\tanh\left(w_3(t-\eta)\right) - 1.2\tanh\left(w_4(t-\eta)\right), \frac{d^\sigma u_3(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -0.5u_3(t) - 0.4\tanh\left(w_1(t)\right) + 0.5\tanh\left(w_1(t-\eta)\right) + 0.5\tanh\left(w_2(t-\eta)\right), \frac{d^\sigma u_4(t)}{dt^\sigma} = -1.8u_4(t) - 0.5\tanh\left(w_1(t)\right) + 0.5\tanh\left(w_1(t-\eta)\right) - \tanh\left(w_2(t-\eta)\right)$$ (28)

Clearly, system (28) has zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$. Let $\sigma = 0.86$. Using Matlab software, one gets $\%0 = 0.7319$ and $\eta_0 = 0.512$. By algebraic operations with Matlab 7.0 (since the complexity of expression, we can compute the values of different expressionsby performing multiple hybrid operations) one can check that all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled. In fact, we have explained the implication of Figs. 4 – 6 (seepp. 1050 – 1051).

Figure 4
Simulation results for system (28) when $\eta = 0.48$ $\eta_0 = 0.512$.

Figure 5
Simulation results for system (28) when $\eta = 0.68$ $\eta_0 = 0.512$.

Figure 6.
Bifurcation diagram for system (28): η versus w_1 (blue), η versus w_2 (red), η versus w_3 (magenta), η versus w_4 (green).

Table 2
The quantitative relationship of $\%_0$ and n_0 of system (28).

When $\eta \in [0.512, +\infty)$, the zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$ of system (28) is locallyasymptotically stable. In this situation, let $\eta = 0.48 < \eta_0 = 0.512$. The computersimulation diagrams are displayed in Fig. 4. Figure 4 indicates that when the time delay η is less than the critical value $\eta_0 = 0.512$, then all the states of the neurons of neuralnetworks (28) will be tardily close to zero.

If $\eta \in [0.512, +\infty)$, then system (28) loses its stability and a Hopf bifurcationemerges. In this situation, we choose $\eta = 0.68$. The computer simulation diagrams aredisplayed in Fig. 5. Figure 5 implies that when the time delay η is greater than the criticalvalue $\eta_0 = 0.512$, then all the states of the neurons will maintain periodic motion aroundthe zero equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$, i.e., a Hopf bifurcation takes place around thezero

PDF generated from XML JATS4R by Redalyc
Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative
1043

equilibrium point $E(0, 0, 0, 0)$. In order to explain this fact intuitively, we give thebifurcation diagram Fig. 6 of system (28).

Figure 6 has revealed the relation of $\eta - \omega_1$, $\eta - \omega_2$, $\eta - \omega_3$, $\eta - \omega_4$, respectively. Clearly, from Fig. 6 one can easily know that the bifurcation point of system (28) is 0.512. Moreover, the relationship of φ_0 and η_0 is also given in Table 2.

6 Conclusions

The research on the effect of time delay on the stability and Hopf bifurcation of delayed differential systems (including integer-order and fractional-order) is an important topic in differential dynamical systems. In this paper, we have investigated the effect of timedelay on the stability and Hopf bifurcation of integer-order and fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks. With the help of stability theory and Hopf bifurcation theory of integer-order and fractional-order delayed differential equations, we have established two sets of sufficient conditions to guarantee the stability and the appearance of Hopf bifurcation of the involved integer-order and fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks. Meanwhile, the different impact of time delay on the stability and the appearance of Hopfbifurcation of integer-order and fractional-order delayed BAM neural networks has been revealed. The comparative study on bifurcation behavior for integer-order and fractional order delayed BAM neural networks shows that under a suitable condition, we can enlargethe stability region and delay the time of the appearance of Hopf bifurcation by fractional order delayed BAM neural networks. The established theoretical results possess great theoretical guiding significance to design and control the network. We will consider the influence of leak age delay of integer-order and fractional-order BAM neural networks with leak age delays in near future.

References

1 M. Abdelaziz, F. Chérif, Piecewise asymptotic almost periodic solutions for impulsive fuzzy Cohen–Grossberg neural networks, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **132**:109575, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2019.109575.

2 C. Aouiti, E.A. Assali, I.B. Gharbia, Y.E. Foutayeni, Existence and exponential stability of piecewise pseudo almost periodic solution of neutral-type inertial neural networks with mixed delay and impulsive perturbations, *Neurocomputing*, **357**:292–309, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2019.04.077.

3 B. Bandyopadhyay, S. Kamal, *Stabliization and Control of Fractional Order Systems: A Sliding Mode Approach*, Lect. Notes Electr. Eng., Vol. 317, Springer, Cham, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08621-7.

4 H. Bao, J.H. Park, J. Cao, Non-fragile state estimation for fractional-order delayed memristive BAM neural networks, *Neural Netw.*, **119**:190–199, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2019.08.003.

PDF generated from XML JATS4R by Redalyc
Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative
1044

5 M. Bohner, G.T. Stamov, I.M. Stamova, Almost periodic solutions of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with time-varying delay and variable impulsive perturbations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **80**:104952, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.104952.

6 W. Deng, C. Li, J. Lü, Stability analysis of linear fractional differential system with multiple time delays, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **48**(4):409–416, 2007, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-006-9094-0.

7 S. Dhama1, S. Abbas, Existence and stability of weighted pseudo almost automorphic solution of dynamic equation on time scales with weighted Stepanov-like (..) pseudo almost automorphic coefficients, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, **19**:46, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12346-020-00385-2.

8 S. Eshaghi, R.K. Ghaziani, A. Ansari, Hopf bifurcation, chaos control and synchronization of a chaotic fractional-order system with chaos entanglement function, *Math. Comput. Simul.*, **172**:321–340, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2019.11.009.

9 H. Hu, L. Huang, Stability and Hopf bifurcation analysis on a ring of four neurons with delays, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **213**(2):587–599, 2009, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2009.03.052.

10 W. Hu, G. Wen, A. Rahmani, Y. Yu, Differential evolution-based parameter estimation and synchronization of heterogeneous uncertain nonlinear delayed fractional-order multi-agent systems with unknown leader, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **97**(2):1087–1105, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-019-05034-1.

11 C. Huang, Y. Meng, J. Cao, A. Alsaedi, F.E. Alsaadi, New bifurcation results for fractional BAM neural network with leakage delay, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **100**:31–44, 2017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2017.04.037.

12 C. Huang, S. Wen, L. Huang, Dynamics of anti-periodic solutions on shunting inhibitory cellular neural networks with multi-proportional delays, *Neurocomputing*, **357**:47–52, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2019.05.022.

13 D. Matignon, Stability properties for generalized fractional differential systems, *ESAIM, Proc.*,:145–158, 1998, https://doi.org/10.1051/proc:1998004.

14 G. Nagamani, M. Shafiya, G. Soundararajan, M. Prakash, Robust state estimation for fractional-order delayed bam neural networks via lmi approach, *J. Franklin Inst.*, **357**(8):4964–4982, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2020.01.028.

15 I. Podlubny, I. Petráš, B.M. Vinagre, P. O'Leary, L. Dorčák, Analogue realizations of fractional-order controllers, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **29**:281–296, 2002, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016556604320.

16 S. Ruan, J. Wei, On the zeros of transcendental functions with applications to stability of delay differential equations with two delays, *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst., Ser. A, Math. Anal.*, **10**(6):863–874, 2003.

17 Q. Song, H. Shu, Z. Zhao, Y. Liu, F.E. Alsaadi, Lagrange stability analysis for complex-valued neural networks with leakage delay and mixed time-varying delays, *Neurocomputing*, **244**: 33–41, 2017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2017.03.015.

18 C. Sowmiya, R. Raja, J. Cao, G. Rajchakit, Impulsive discrete-time BAM neural networks with random parameter uncertainties and time-

varying leakage delays: an asymptotic stability analysis, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **91**(4):2571–2592, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-017-4032-x.

19 C. Sowmiya, R. Raja, Q. Zhu, G. Rajchakit, Further mean-square asymptotic stability of impulsive discrete-time stochastic BAM neural networks with Markovian jumping and multiple time-varying delays, *J. Franklin Inst.*, **356**(1):561–591, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2018.09.037.

20 M. Syed Ali, G. Narayanan, V. Shekher, A. Alsaedi, B. Ahmad, Global Mittag-Leffler stability analysis of impulsive fractional-order complex-valued BAM neural networks with time varying delays, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **83**:105088, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.105088.

21 M. Syed Ali, G. Narayanan, V. Shekher, H. Alsulami, T. Saeed, Dynamic stability analysis of stochastic fractional-order memristor fuzzy BAM neural networks with delay and leakage terms, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **369**:124896, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2019.124896.

22 B. Tao, M. Xiao, Q. Sun, J. Cao, Hopf bifurcation analysis of a delayed fractional-order genetic regulatory network model, *Neurocomputing*, **275**:677–686, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2017.09.018.

23 A.K.O. Tiba, A.F.R. Araujo, Control strategies for hopf bifurcation in a chaotic associative memory, *Neurocomputing*, **323**:157–174, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2018.09.078.

24 M. Xiao, W.X. Zheng, J. Lin, G. Jiang, L. Zhao, J. Cao, Fractional-order PD control at Hopf bifurcations in delayed fractional-order small-world networks, *J. Franklin Inst.*, **354**(17):7643– 7667, 2017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2017.09.009.

25 C. Xu, C. Aouiti, Comparative analysis on hopf bifurcation of integer order and fractional order two-neuron neural networks with delay, *Int. J. Circuit Theory Appl.*, **48**(9):1459–1475, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1002/cta.2847.

26 C. Xu, M. Liao, P. Li, Y. Guo, Q. Xiao, S. Yuan, Influence of multiple time delays on bifurcation of fractional-order neural networks, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **321**:565–582, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2019.05.057.

27 C. Xu, Z. Liu, M. Liao, P. Li, Q. Xiao, S. Yuan, Fractional-order bidirectional associate memory (BAM) neural networks with multiple delays: The case of Hopf bifurcation, *Math. Comput. Simul.*, **182**:471–494, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2020.11.023.

28 C. Xu, Z. Liu, M. Liao, L. Yao, Theoretical analysis and computer simulations of a fractional order bank data model incorporating two unequal time delays, *Expert Syst. Appl.*, **199**:116859, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.116859.

29 C. Xu, W. Zhang, C. Aouiti, Z. Liu, M. Liao, P. Li, Further investigation on bifurcation and their control of fractional-order bidirecionam associateive memory neural networks involving four neurons and multiple delays, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7581.

30 J. Yuan, L. Zhao, C. Huang, M. Xiao, Novel results on bifurcation for a fractional-order complex-valued neural network with leakage delay, *Physica A*, **514**:868–883, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.09.138

31 L. Zhao, Y. Li, B. Li, Weighted pseudo-almost automorphic solutions of high-order Hopfield neural networks with neutral distributed delays, *Neural Comput. Appl.*, **29**:513–527, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-016-2553-8

32 L. Zhou, Z. Zhao, Exponential synchronization and polynomial synchronization of recurrent neural networks with and without proportional delays, *Neurocomputing*, **372**:109–116, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2019.09.046.

Notes