



Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control  
ISSN: 1392-5113  
ISSN: 2335-8963  
nonlinear@mii.vu.lt  
Vilniaus Universitetas  
Lithuania

# Existence and stability analysis of solutions for a new kind of boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations\*

**Weiwei, Liu; Liu, Lishan**

Existence and stability analysis of solutions for a new kind of boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations\*

Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, vol. 27, no. 6, 2022

Vilniaus Universitetas, Lithuania

**Available in:** <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=694174537007>


**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2022.27.29420>



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International.

# Existence and stability analysis of solutions for a new kind of boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations\*

Liu Weiwei mathlls@163.com  
Qufu Normal University, China

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2101-1721>  
Lishan Liu mathlls@163.com  
Qufu Normal University, China

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8541-1017>

Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, vol. 27, no. 6, 2022

Vilniaus Universitetas, Lithuania

Received: 05 November 2021

Revised: 09 September 2022

Published: 01 November 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2022.27.29420>

Redalyc: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=694174537007>

**Abstract:** This research work is dedicated to an investigation for a new kind of boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation supplemented with general boundary condition. A full analysis of existence and uniqueness of positive solutions is respectively proved by Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem and Boyd–Wong’s contraction principles. Furthermore, we prove the Hyers–Ulam (HU) stability and Hyers–Ulam–Rassias (HUR) stability of solutions. An example illustrating the validity of the existence result is also discussed.

**Keywords:** fixed point theorem, fractional differential equations, existence, uniqueness, stability.

## 1 Introduction

Fractional calculus (FC) has a history of more than 300 years, there are some applications of FC with in various fields of mathematics it self. During the last few decades, FC has obtained vigorous development in the applied sciences and gained considerable popularity. Compared with classical integer-order models, fractional derivatives and integrals are more suitable to describe the memory and hereditary properties of various materials, fractional derivatives are more advantageous in simulating mechanical and electrical properties of real materials and describing rheological properties of rocks and many other fields. Based on the description of their properties in terms of fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations (FDEs) are generated naturally, and how to solve these equations is also very necessary. For example, some new models that involve FDEs have been applied successfully, e.g., in mechanics (theory of viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity [6, 24]), (bio-)chemistry (modelling of polymers and proteins [11]), electrical engineering (transmission of ultrasound waves [3, 28]), medicine (modelling of human tissue under mechanical load [31]). . . Accordingly, more and more researchers and scholars devote themselves to the study of various problem of FDEs.

In particular, study of boundary value problems for nonlinear FDEs is particularly concerned among these problems.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the following fractional differential equation:

$$D_0^\alpha \vartheta(x) + g(x, \vartheta(x), D_0^\beta \vartheta(x), D_{0+}^\gamma \vartheta(x)) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (1)$$

subjected to boundary condition

$$\vartheta(0) = 0, \quad \lambda_1 D_{0+}^\beta \vartheta(1) + \lambda_2 D_{0+}^\gamma \vartheta(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} h_i(s) \vartheta(s) ds, \quad (2)$$

where

$1 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1 \leq 1 < \gamma < \alpha$ .  
 $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$ ,  $b_i \geq 0$   
 $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ ,  $h_i \in C(I_i) \cap L^1(I_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) is nonnegative,  
 $I_i \subset [0, 1]$  is measurable,  $m \geq 1$  is an integer.  $g$  is singular at  $x = 0$ .  $D_{0+}^\alpha$  is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  defined by

$$D_{0+}^\alpha \vartheta(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \int_0^x (x - s)^{n - \alpha - 1} \vartheta(s) ds, \quad n = [\alpha] + 1,$$

where  $\Gamma$  denotes the Gamma function, and  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integer part of number  $\alpha$ , provided that the right side is pointwise defined on  $(0, \infty)$ ; see [14, 25].

When  $\lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , there has been a great deal of literature on the fractional differential equation of such boundary conditions; see [2, 4, 9, 15, 16, 30]. As for  $\beta = 0$ , for example, in [2],

$$D^\alpha u(t) + f(t, u(t), D^\mu u(t)) = 0, \quad a.e. 0 < t < 1, u(0) = u(1) = 0,$$

where  $1 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $0 < \mu \leq \alpha - 1$ ,  $f$  satisfies the Carathéodory conditions on  $[0, 1] \times B$ ,  $B = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(t, x, y)$  is positive and singular at  $x = 0$ . Based on regularization and sequential techniques, the existence of a positive solution was obtained.

By Schauder fixed point theorem and the Banach contraction principle, Rehman et al. [26] investigated existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of nonlinear multipoint boundary value problems for fractional differential equation

$$D_t^\alpha y(t) = f\left(t, y(t), D_t^\beta y(t)\right), y(0) = 0, \quad D_t^\beta y(1) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \zeta_i D_t^\beta y(\xi_i) = y_0,$$

$$\text{where } 1 < \alpha \leq 2, 0 < \beta < 1, 0 < \xi_i < 1 (i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2), \\ \zeta_i \geq 0, \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \zeta_i \xi_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} < 1.$$

In [15], the author studied the nonlinear fractional differential equation

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)), \quad a.e. t \in (0, 1),$$

subjected with the boundary conditions  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ . Under Carathéodory conditions, using the Leray–Schauder continuation principle, the existence of at least one solution was obtained.

Kyu Nam et al. [16] discussed the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of integral boundary value problems of nonlinear multiterm fractional differential equation

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} y(x) = f(t, y(x), D_{0+}^{\beta_1} y(x), \dots, D_{0+}^{\beta_n} y(x)), \quad t \in (0, 1), y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = \int_0^1 g(s, y(s)) ds,$$

where  $1 < \alpha < 2, 0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_n < 1, \alpha - \beta_n \geq 1, f: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The existence results are established by the Banach fixed point theorem, and approximate solutions are determined by the Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari iterative method (DJIM) and the Adomian decomposition method (ADM).

In this article, we will deal with singular nonlinear fractional differential equation with a new boundary condition, which is a generalization of many previous researches.

To the best of our knowledge, when  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ , neither  $\lambda_1 = 0$  nor  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  was studied like this type of boundary condition. Furthermore, the nonlinear term contained not only lower derivative of order  $\beta$ , but also another lower derivative of order  $\gamma$ . In comparison with the above literature, our results about the difference include both  $\alpha - \beta \geq 1$  and  $0 < \alpha - \gamma < 1$ , this has never been seen before.

The stability of differential equations has grown to be one of the considerable areas in the field of mathematical analysis, and we find many different types of stability, such as exponential [7, 17, 23], Mittag-Leffler [20, 27], Hyers–Ulam (HU) stability and other types of stability [13, 18, 19, 21, 22]. Among these kinds of stability, Hyers–Ulam stability and its various types provide a bridge between the exact and numerical solutions, so researchers devoted their work to the study of different kinds of HU stability for nonlinear fractional differential equation; see [5, 10, 12, 29].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will present some useful lemma and give some valuable preliminary results. In Section 3, we prove the existence and uniqueness of positive solution to problem (1), (2) by using Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem and Boyd–Wong’s contraction principles. In Section 4, we investigate various kinds of HU stability of solutions.

## 2 Auxiliary results

To simplify our statements, we introduce the following spaces:

- $C[0, 1]$  be the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the norm defined by  $\|z\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |z(x)|$ .
- $C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1] = \{z : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} z(x) \in C[0, 1]\}$  endowed the norm

$$\|z\|_{C_{\alpha-\gamma}} = \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} z(x)|.$$

- $L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p[0, 1] = \{z : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} z \in L^p[0, 1]\}$  endowed the norm

$$\|z\|_{L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p}^p = \left( \int_0^1 |x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} z(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $0 < 1/p < \alpha - \gamma$ .

First, we introduce some fundamental facts of the fractional calculus theory, which are used in this paper; see [14, 25].

**Property 1.** Let  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ .

- (i) If  $f \in L(0, 1)$ , then  $I_{0+}^{\alpha} I_{0+}^{\beta} f(t) = I_{0+}^{\alpha+\beta} f(t)$ ,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} I_{0+}^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t)$ ;
- (ii) If  $n-1 < \alpha < n$ ,  $f(x) \in L_1(a, b)$  has a summable derivative  $D_{\alpha+}^{\alpha} f$ , then

$$I_{\alpha+}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha+}^{\alpha} f = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha-k-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-k)} f_{n-\alpha}^{(n-k-1)}(a),$$

where  $f_{n-\alpha}(x) = (I_{a+}^{n-\alpha} f)(x)$ ;

- (iii)  $I_{0+}^{\alpha} D_{0+}^{\alpha} f(t)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , where  $f \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} f \in C(0, 1) \cap L(0, 1)$ ;
- (iv)  $D_{0+}^{\gamma} u(t) = D^1 D_{0+}^{\gamma-1} u(t)$  ( $\gamma > 1$ ), where  $D^1 = d/dt$ , provided that  $D_{0+}^{\gamma} u(t)$  exists;

- (v) Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Assume that  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  and that  $D_{\alpha+}^{\alpha} f_k$  exists for every  $k$ . Moreover, assume that  $\{D_{\alpha+}^{\alpha} f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly on  $[a+\epsilon, b]$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for every  $x \in (a, b]$ , we have

$$\left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_{\alpha+}^{\alpha} f_k \right)(x) = \left( D_{\alpha+}^{\alpha} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k \right)(x).$$

Next, for the sake of readers' convenience, we present some necessary lemmas, which will be used in the main results that follow.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $\phi \in L^p_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$  and  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1 \leq 1 < \gamma < \alpha \leq 2$ ,

$$\varpi := \frac{\lambda_1 T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha - \beta)} + \frac{\lambda_2 T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha - \gamma)} - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} h_i(s) s^{\alpha-1} ds \neq 0,$$

Then the linear fractional boundary value problem

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} \vartheta(x) + \phi(x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad \vartheta(0) = 0, \quad \lambda_1 D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta(1) + \lambda_2 D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} h_i(s) \vartheta(s) ds$$

has a unique solution given by  $\vartheta(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, s) \phi(s) ds$ , where

$$G(x, s) = K(x, s) + \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\varpi} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau \quad (4)$$

and

$$K(x, s) = \begin{cases} x^{\alpha-1} k(s) - \frac{(x-s)^{\alpha-1}}{T(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq s \leq x \leq 1, \\ x^{\alpha-1} k(s), & 0 \leq x \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad K_0(x, s) = \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} \begin{cases} x^{\alpha-1} [\lambda_1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \lambda_2 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}] - (x-s)^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq x \leq 1, \\ x^{\alpha-1} [\lambda_1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \lambda_2 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}] & 0 \leq x \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

in which

$$k(s) = \lambda_1 \rho_2 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \lambda_2 \rho_1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}$$

and

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} - \frac{\lambda_1}{\varpi} \left( \frac{1}{T(\alpha - \beta)} - \frac{1}{T(\alpha - \gamma)} \right), \quad \rho_2 = \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\varpi} \left( \frac{1}{T(\alpha - \beta)} - \frac{1}{T(\alpha - \gamma)} \right).$$

*Proof.* It is very well known that the equation  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} \vartheta(x) + \phi(x) = 0$  is equivalent to the following integral equation:

$$\vartheta(x) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha} \phi(x) + c_1 x^{\alpha-1} + c_2 x^{\alpha-2}$$

The boundary condition  $\vartheta(0) = 0$  implies that  $c_2 = 0$ . Using the property of Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, we know

$$D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta(x) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha-\beta} \phi(x) + c_1 \frac{T(\alpha) x^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{T(\alpha - \beta)}, \quad D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(x) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} \phi(x) + c_1 \frac{T(\alpha) x^{\alpha-\gamma-1}}{T(\alpha - \gamma)}.$$

Combining the boundary condition in (3), it follows that

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\varpi} \left[ \lambda_1 I_{0+}^{\alpha-\beta} \phi(1) + \lambda_2 I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} \phi(1) - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} h_i(s) I_{0+}^{\alpha} \phi(s) ds \right]$$

Therefore the unique solution of problem (3) is given by

*Lemma 2. Let  $G$  be the Green function related to problem (3), which is given by expression (4). Then for  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1 \leq 1 < \gamma < \alpha \leq 2, \varpi > 1/T(\alpha - \beta) - 1/T(\alpha - \gamma)$ ,  $G, K, K_0$  have the following properties:*

- (i)  $G, K, K_0$  are nonnegative and continuous on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ;  
(ii)  $K(0, s) = K_0(0, s) = 0$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $K(x, 0) = K_0(x, 0) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$K(x, s), K_0(x, s) > 0 \quad \forall x \in (0, 1], s \in (0, 1);$$

- (iii) For  $(x, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1)$ ,

$$\frac{\beta}{T(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} s (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \leq K(x, s), k_0(x, s) \leq \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{T(\alpha)} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}$$

- (iv) Let  $M = 1 + \left(1/\varpi\right) \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} h_i(\tau) \tau^{\alpha-1} d\tau$ , then for  $(x, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{M\beta}{T(\alpha)}x^{\alpha-1}s(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \leq G(x, s) \leq \frac{M}{T(\alpha)}x^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}.$$

Proof. From the condition  $\varpi > 1T(\alpha - \beta) - 1/T(\alpha - \gamma)$  we easily get

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} - \frac{\lambda_1}{\varpi} \left( \frac{1}{T(\alpha - \beta)} - \frac{1}{T(\alpha - \gamma)} \right) > 0.$$

In addition, we also have a relation  $\lambda_2 \rho_1 + \lambda_1 \rho_2 = 1/T(\alpha)$ .

- (i) For  $0 \leq x \leq s < 1$ ,  $K(x, s) \geq 0$  is obvious. For  $0 \leq x \leq s \leq 1$ ,

$$K(x, s) \geq \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - (1-s)^{\alpha-1} \right) + \lambda_2 \rho_1 x^{\alpha-1} \left( (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} - (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \right) \geq 0.$$

In the same way, we get  $K_0$  is nonnegative, then we have  $G \geq 0$ . It is obvious that  $G, K, K_0$  are continuous on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

- (ii) The conclusion is obvious, we omit it.
- (iii) First, we introduce an inequality. For  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha, x \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\min\left\{1, \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right\}(1 - ax^\lambda) \leq 1 - ax^\mu \leq \max\left\{1, \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right\}(1 - ax^\lambda).$$

When  $s \leq x$ , using inequality (5), we obtain

$$K(x, s) \geq \lambda_1 \rho_2 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - (1-s)^{\alpha-1} + \lambda_2 \rho_1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} - (1-s)^{\alpha-1} \geq \beta \lambda_1 \rho_2 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} s + \min\{\gamma, 1\} \lambda_2 \rho_1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} s \geq \beta \lambda_1 \rho_2 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \beta \lambda_2 \rho_1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} = \frac{\beta}{T(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}.$$

When  $x \leq s$ ,

$$K(x, s) \geq \beta \lambda_1 \rho_2 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \beta \lambda_2 \rho_1 x^{\alpha-1} s (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} = \frac{\beta}{T(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} s (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}.$$

In addition,

$$K(x, s) \geq \lambda_1 \rho_2 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} + \lambda_2 \rho_1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} = \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \quad \forall (x, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$

Again, we can get the property of  $K_0$ , that is,

$$\beta x^{\alpha-1} s (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \leq T(\alpha) K_0(x, s) \leq x^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\beta s (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{T(\alpha)} \int_{I_i} \tau^{\alpha-1} h_i(\tau) d\tau \leq \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}}{T(\alpha)} \int_{I_i} \tau^{\alpha-1} h_i(\tau) d\tau.$$

(iv) By substituting the two inequalities (iii) into formula (4) we naturally come to the conclusion.

Consider the problem  $\mathfrak{G} = G\mathfrak{G}$ , where operator  $G$  is defined by

$$G\mathfrak{G}(x) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha} g\mathfrak{G}(x) + x^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 k(s) g\mathfrak{G}(s) ds + \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\varpi} \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau \right) g\mathfrak{G}(s) ds = \int_0^1 G(x, s) g\mathfrak{G}(s) ds \quad (6)$$

where  $g\mathfrak{G}(s) = g(s, \mathfrak{G}(s), D_{0+}^{\beta} \mathfrak{G}(s), D_{0+}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{G}(s))$ ,  $G$  is defined in (4). In order to prove that problem (1), (2) has a solution, we just have to show that operator  $G$  has a fixed point.

Take the fractional derivative of order  $\beta$  for  $G\mathfrak{G}$ , we have

$$D_{0+}^{\beta} G\mathfrak{G}(x) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha-\beta} g\mathfrak{G}(x) + \frac{T(\alpha)x^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{T(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 k(s) g\mathfrak{G}(s) ds + \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\beta)\varpi} x^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau \right) g\mathfrak{G}(s) ds = \int_0^1 G_1(x, s) g\mathfrak{G}(s) ds \quad (7)$$

where

$$G_1(x, s) = K_1(x, s) + \frac{T(\alpha)x^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{T(\alpha-\beta)\varpi} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau, \quad (8)$$

$$K_1(x, s) = \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\beta)} \begin{cases} x^{\alpha-\beta-1} \kappa(s) - \frac{1}{T(\alpha)} (x-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq x \leq 1, \\ x^{\alpha-\beta-1} \kappa(s), & 0 \leq x \leq s < 1. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$



Similarly, we have

$$D_{0+}^{\gamma} G_2(x) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} g_2(x) + \frac{T(\alpha)x^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^1 k(s)g_2(s)ds + \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\beta)\varpi} x^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s)h_i(\tau)d\tau \Big| g_2(s)ds = \int_0^1 G_2(x, s)g_2(s)ds,$$

where

$$G_2(x, s) = K_2(x, s) + \frac{T(\alpha)x^{\alpha-\gamma-1}}{T(\alpha-\gamma)\varpi} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s)h_i(\tau)d\tau, \quad K_2(x, s) = \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \begin{cases} x^{\alpha-\gamma-1}k(s) - \frac{1}{T(\alpha)}(x-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq x \leq 1, \\ x^{\alpha-\gamma-1}k(s), & 0 \leq x \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Then

$$x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} D_{0+}^{\beta} G_2(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} k(s)g_2(s)ds - \frac{x^{1+\gamma-\alpha}}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} g_2(s)ds + \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s)h_i(\tau)d\tau \Big| g_2(s)ds \quad (11)$$

By simple deduction we can get the following properties.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1 \leq 1 < \gamma < \alpha \leq 2, \varpi > 1/T(\alpha - \gamma)$ .

The functions  $K_i, G_i (i = 1, 2)$  defined in (8), (9) and (10) have the following properties:

- (i)  $K_1, G_1$  are nonnegative and continuous on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ;
- (ii) For any  $(x, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{\min\{\gamma - \beta, 1\}T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha - \beta)} \lambda_2 \rho_1 x^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \leq K_1(x, s) \leq \frac{x^{\alpha-\beta-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1}}{T(\alpha - \beta)};$$

For any  $(x, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{mx^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{T(\alpha - \beta)} s(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \leq G_1(x, s) \leq \frac{Mx^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{T(\alpha - \beta)} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1},$$

where

$$m = \min\{\gamma - \beta, 1\}T(\alpha)\lambda_2\rho_2 + \frac{\beta}{\varpi} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} h_i(\tau)\tau^{\alpha-1}d\tau,$$

and  $M$  is defined in Lemma 2;

- (iv)  $K_2(x, s) > 0, 0 < x \leq s < 1$ , and  $K_2(x, s) < 0, 0 < s < x \leq 1$

**Lemma 4.** Integral operators  $I_{0+}^{\alpha}, I_{0+}^{\alpha-\beta}, I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma}$  have properties:

- (i)  $I_{0+}^{\alpha}, I_{0+}^{\alpha-\beta} : L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  are continuous;
- (ii)  $I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} : L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p[0, 1] \rightarrow C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$  is continuous.

Proof. (i) Since  $1 \leq \alpha - \beta < \alpha \leq 2$ , we only show the continuity of  $I_{0+}^{\alpha}$ . First, we prove that  $I_{0+}^{\alpha} : L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is well defined,

For any  $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| \int_0^1 \rho_{\alpha}^{\gamma}(x_2) - \rho_{\alpha}^{\gamma}(x_1) \right| \leq \frac{|\Gamma_{\alpha-\gamma}|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_0^{x_1} |x_2 - s|^{\alpha-1} (x_1 - s)^{\alpha-1-q} s^{\alpha-\gamma-1} ds \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |x_2 - s|^{\alpha-1} ds \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{|\Gamma_{\alpha-\gamma}|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| x_2 - x_1 \right|^{\alpha-1} \left| \int_0^{x_2} s^{\alpha-\gamma-1} ds \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_2} s^{\alpha-\gamma-1} ds \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{|\Gamma_{\alpha-\gamma}|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| x_2 - x_1 \right|^{\alpha-1} \left| \frac{x_2^{\alpha-\gamma-1} - x_1^{\alpha-\gamma-1}}{(\alpha-\gamma-1)q+1} \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left| \frac{x_2^{\alpha-\gamma-1} - x_1^{\alpha-\gamma-1}}{(\alpha-\gamma-1)q+1} \right|^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be convergent sequence in the space  $L^p_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ , i.e., there

In order to show that operator  $I_{0+}^\alpha$  is continuous, we have to prove  $\|I_{0+}^\alpha f_n - I_{0+}^\alpha f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). In fact,

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |I_{0+}^{\alpha} f_n(x) - I_{0+}^{\alpha} f_0(x)| \leq \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \int_0^s (x-s)^{(\alpha-1)q} s^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)q} ds \right)^{1/q} \|f_n - f_0\|_{p, \gamma} = \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \frac{\theta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1+\alpha-\gamma-1/p} \|f_n - f_0\|_{p, \gamma} = \frac{\theta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \|f_n - f_0\|_{p, \gamma},$$

$$\theta = \left( \frac{T((\alpha-1)q+1)T((\alpha-y-1)q+1)}{T((2\alpha-y-2)q+2)} \right)^{1/q}.$$

(ii) For any  $f \in L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p[0, 1]$ , denote  $F(x) = x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} f(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

$$\left\| F(x) \right\| \leq \frac{x^{1+\gamma-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} |f(s)| ds \leq \frac{x^{1+\gamma-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma)} \left( \int_0^x (x-s)^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)q} s^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)q} ds \right)^{1/q} \left\| f \right\|_{L_{p, \alpha-\gamma}} = \frac{qx^{\alpha-\gamma-1/p}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma)} \left\| f \right\|_{(d2)}$$

$$\theta = \left( \frac{T((\alpha-1)_{q+1}) T((\alpha-\gamma-1)_{q+1})}{T((2\alpha-\gamma-2)_{q+2})} \right)^{1/q}.$$

For any  $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| F(x_2) - F(x_1) \right| \leq \left| x_2^{1+\gamma-\alpha} - x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha} \right| \left\| I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} f(x_2) + x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha} \left\| I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} f(x_2) - I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} f(x_1) \right\| \leq \frac{|x_2^{1+\gamma-\alpha} - x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}|}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^{x_2} (x_2-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left| f(s) \right| ds \\ & + \frac{x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^{x_1} \left( (x_1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} - (x_2-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \right) \left| f(s) \right| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (x_2-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left| f(s) \right| ds \quad (15)$$

Now, we will evaluate these formulae (13)–(15), respectively.

$$\frac{|x_2^{1+\gamma-\alpha} - x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}|}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^{x_2} (x_2-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left| f(s) \right| ds \leq \frac{|x_2^{1+\gamma-\alpha} - x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}|}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \varrho x_2^{\alpha-\gamma-1+\alpha-\gamma-1/p} \left\| f \right\|_{L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p} \leq \frac{\varrho |1 - (\frac{x_1}{x_2})^{1+\gamma-\alpha}|}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \left\| f \right\|_{L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p} \varrho (x_2 - x_1).$$

Choose a constant  $\eta$  satisfying  $0 < \eta < \min\{1 + \gamma - \alpha, \alpha - \gamma - 1/p\}$ , then

$$\frac{|x_2^{1+\gamma-\alpha} - x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}|}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^{x_2} (x_2-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left| f(s) \right| ds \leq \frac{|x_2^{1+\gamma-\alpha} - x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}|}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \varrho x_2^{\alpha-\gamma-1+\alpha-\gamma-1/p} \left\| f \right\|_{L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p} \leq \frac{\varrho |1 - (\frac{x_1}{x_2})^{1+\gamma-\alpha}|}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \left\| f \right\|_{L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p} \varrho (x_2 - x_1).$$

where

$$\kappa = \left( \frac{T((\alpha - \gamma - \eta - 1)q + 1)T((\alpha - \gamma - 1)q + 1)}{T((2(\alpha - \gamma - 1) - \eta)q + 2)} \right)^{1/q}.$$

In the end, as for (15), let  $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$ , then

$$\frac{x_1^{1+\gamma-\alpha}}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (x_2-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left| f(s) \right| ds \leq \frac{(x_2 - x_1)^{\alpha-\gamma-1/p}}{T(\alpha-\gamma)((\alpha - \gamma - 1)q + 1)^{1/q}} \left\| f \right\|_{L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p} \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking all the conclusions above into (13)–(15), we can get  $|F(x_2) - F(x_1)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$ , which implies that  $F(x)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and  $I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma} f \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ .

Combining (12), we easily infer the continuity of integral operator  $I_{0+}^{\alpha-\gamma}$ .

We define a normed vector space

$$X = \{\vartheta \in C[0, 1] | D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta \in C[0, 1], D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]\}$$

equipped with the norm  $\|\vartheta\| = \max\{\|\vartheta\|_{\infty}, \|D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta\|_{\infty}, \|D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta\|_{\infty}\}$ .

*Lemma 5.*  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is Banach space.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\vartheta_n\}$  be Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . Clearly,  $\{\vartheta_n\}, \{D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta_n\}$  are also Cauchy sequence in the space  $C[0, 1]$ . Therefore,  $\{\vartheta_n\}, \{D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta_n\}$  converge to some  $\vartheta, u \in C[0, 1]$ . By similar work of Su and Liu [30], we have  $u = D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta$ .

Let  $U_n(t) = t^{1+\gamma-\alpha} D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta_n(t)$ . Evidently,  $\{U_n(t)\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $C[0, 1]$ , then there exists  $\mu \in C[0, 1]$  such that  $U_n(t) \rightarrow \mu(t)$  in  $C[0, 1]$ . That is to say, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $N$ ,

$$\|U_n(t) - \mu(t)\| = \|t^{1+\gamma-\alpha} D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta_n(t) - \mu(t)\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N, t \in [0, 1].$$

Choose arbitrary positive number  $a < 1$ , we will prove  $\{D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta_n\}$  uniformly converges to  $t^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \mu(t)$  on  $[a, 1]$ . In fact, for all  $n > N$ ,  $t \in [a, 1]$ , we have

$$\|D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta_n(t) - t^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \mu(t)\| t^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \|t^{1+\gamma-\alpha} D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta_n - \mu(t)\| \leq a^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \epsilon$$

By property of fractional calculus, for every  $t \in (0, 1]$  we have  $\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta_n\right)(t) = \left(D_{0+}^{\gamma} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n\right)(t)$ . Hence,  $t^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \mu(t) = D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(t)$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ . So,  $D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(t) \in C(0, 1]$

and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{1+\gamma-\alpha} D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \mu(t) = \mu(0)$ , i.e.,  $D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(t) \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ .

The proof is completed.

Let  $K = \{\vartheta \in X \mid \vartheta(x) \geq 0, D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta(x) \geq 0, x \in [0, 1]\}$ . Apparently,  $K$  is a cone of  $X$ .

### 3 Existence and uniqueness results

This section deals with existence and uniqueness of solutions for problem (1), (2). The nonlinear term  $g$  satisfies the following assumptions:

C1)  $g: (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous,  $g(x, 0, 0, 0)$  does not vanish on any compact interval of  $(0, 1]$ . Furthermore, there exist nonnegative functions  $\sigma_i \in L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p[0, 1]$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and continuous and nondecreasing functions  $\vartheta_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) such that for any  $x \in (0, 1]$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$g(x, u, v, \omega) \leq \sigma_1(x) \vartheta_1(u) + \sigma_2(x) \vartheta_2(v) + \sigma_3(x) \vartheta_3(x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} |\omega|).$$

(C2) There exists a positive number  $R$  such that

$$\max\left\{\frac{1}{T(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{T(\alpha-\beta)}\right\} \left\|(M+1) \left[\bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R)\right]\right\| < R,$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}_i = \|\sigma_i\|_{L_{\alpha-\gamma}^p(i=1,2,3)}$ .

(C3) There exist  $L_i \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $\chi_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are upper semicontinuous from the right and nondecreasing such that for any  $x \in (0, 1]$ ,  $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we have

$$|g(x, u_1, v_1, \omega_1) - g(x, u_2, v_2, \omega_2)| \leq L_1(x) \chi_1(|u_1 - u_2|) + L_2(x) \chi_2(|v_1 - v_2|) + L_3(x) \chi_3(x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} |\omega_1 - \omega_2|).$$

(C4) Denote

$$\Phi(x) := (M+1) \max\left\{\frac{1}{T(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{T(\alpha-\beta)}\right\} (\bar{L}_1 X_1(x) + \bar{L}_2 X_2(x) + \bar{L}_3 X_3(x)).$$

It satisfies  $\Phi(x) < x$  for all  $x > 0$ , where  $\bar{L}_i = \varrho \|L_i\|_{L^p_{\alpha-\gamma}}^p$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).

(C4') Denote

$$\Phi(x) := (\bar{L}_1 X_1(x) + \bar{L}_2 X_2(x) + \bar{L}_3 X_3(x)),$$

it satisfies  $\Phi(x) < x$  for all  $x > 0$ .

**Lemma 6.** Suppose that (C1) holds, and  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1 \leq 1 < \gamma < \alpha \leq 2, \varpi > 1/T(\alpha - \beta) - 1/T(\alpha - \gamma)$ . Then  $G: K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.

**Proof.** Let us first show that the operator  $G$  is well defined. For any  $\vartheta \in K$ , from (C1), since  $\sigma_i \in L^p_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), we know  $g_\vartheta \in L^p_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ . Where upon, according to Hölder inequality and Lemma 2, we have  $\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} g_\vartheta(s) ds, \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \times g_\vartheta(s) ds < \infty$  and  $\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau\right) g_\vartheta(s) ds < \infty$ , and we deduce that  $I_{0+}^\alpha g_\vartheta(x) \in C[0, 1]$  from Lemma 4. Then by (6) we get  $G\vartheta \in C[0, 1]$ . Similarly, using Lemma 4 and formulae (7), (11), we get the conclusions:  $D_{0+}^\beta G\vartheta \in C[0, 1], D_{0+}^\gamma G\vartheta \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ . Furthermore, we naturally get  $G\vartheta(x), D_{0+}^\beta G\vartheta(x) \geq 0, x \in [0, 1]$  from the fact that  $g$  and Green's functions  $G, G_1$  are nonnegative. So,  $G\vartheta \in K$ .

Suppose that  $\vartheta_n \rightarrow \vartheta_0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in cone  $K$ , then there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\|\vartheta_n\| \leq M$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). In order to get the conclusion that operator  $G$  is continuous, let us start with the fact that  $g_{\vartheta_n} \rightarrow g_{\vartheta_0}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in  $L^p_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ , where  $g_{\vartheta_n}(x) = g(x, \vartheta_n(x), D_{0+}^\beta \vartheta_n(x), D_{0+}^\gamma \vartheta_n(x))$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). By condition (C1) it follows that

$$\|g_{\vartheta_n}(x) - g_{\vartheta_0}(x)\| \leq 2(\sigma_1(x)\vartheta_1(M) + \sigma_2(x)\vartheta_2(M) + \sigma_3(x)\vartheta_3(M)), \quad x \in (0, 1].$$

Moreover, on the basis of the continuity of  $g$ , we deduce that  $g_{\vartheta_n}(x) \rightarrow g_{\vartheta_0}(x), n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $x \in (0, 1]$ . Taking advantage of Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we know  $\int_0^1 x^{1+\gamma-\alpha} (g_{\vartheta_n}(x) - g_{\vartheta_0}(x))^p dx \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thereupon, by Lemma 4, we have  $I_{0+}^\alpha g_{\vartheta_n}$  convergence to  $I_{0+}^\alpha g_{\vartheta_0}$  in  $C[0, 1]$ . In addition, by Hölder inequality, we can also

get and  $\int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} K_0(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau \right) g_{\vartheta_n}(s) - g_{\vartheta_0}(s) ds \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Synthesizing the above conclusions, from the expression of  $G\vartheta$  (6), we have  $G\vartheta_n \rightarrow G\vartheta_0$  in  $C[0, 1]$ . Analogously, we can deduce  $D_{0+}^\beta G\vartheta_n \rightarrow D_{0+}^\beta G\vartheta_0$  in  $C[0, 1]$  and  $D_{0+}^\gamma G\vartheta_n \rightarrow D_{0+}^\gamma G\vartheta_0$  in  $C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ . To wit,  $G\vartheta_n \rightarrow G\vartheta_0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in  $K$ .

In the end, Ascoli–Arzela theorem guarantees operator  $G: K \rightarrow K$  is compact. That is to say, we can deduce that  $G(B)$  is bounded and equicontinuous for any bounded subset  $B \subseteq K$ . The proof can be obtained by the conventional procedure, so we omit this step.

From the above we conclude that the operator  $G$  is completely continuous.

*Theorem 1.* (See [1].) Let  $E$  be a Banach space with  $C \subseteq E$  closed and convex. Assume that  $U$  is relatively open subset of  $C$  with  $0 \in U$  and  $A: \bar{U} \rightarrow C$  is a continuous compact map. Then either

- (i)  $A$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{U}$  or
- ii) There exists  $u \in \partial U$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  with  $u = \lambda Au$ .

*Theorem 2.* Assume that (C1), (C2) hold, and  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1 \leq 1 < \gamma < \alpha \leq 2, \varpi > 1/T(\alpha - \beta) - 1/T(\alpha - \gamma)$ . Then BVP (1), (2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. By applying nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder-type fixed point theorem (Theorem 1), we will prove that  $G$  has a fixed point. Let  $B_R = \{u \in K | \|u\| < R\}$ ,  $R$  is given in condition (C2). Consider the following integral equation:

$$\vartheta(x) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(x, s) g(s, \vartheta(s), D_{0+}^\beta \vartheta(s), D_{0+}^\gamma \vartheta(s)) ds, \quad (16)$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . We claim that any solution of (16) for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  must satisfies  $\|\vartheta\| \neq R$ . Otherwise, assume that  $\vartheta$  is a solution of (16) for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\|\vartheta\| = R$ . Hence, from condition (C1) and Lemma 2(iv), for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$0 \leq \vartheta(x) \leq \frac{\lambda M x^{\alpha-1}}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left( \sigma_1(s) \vartheta_1(s) + \sigma_2(s) \vartheta_2(s) + \sigma_3(s) \vartheta_3(s) + \sigma_4(s) \vartheta_4(s) + \sigma_5(s) \vartheta_5(s) \right) ds \leq \frac{\lambda M}{T(\alpha)} \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right),$$

so,

$$\|\vartheta\|_\infty \leq \frac{\lambda M}{T(\alpha - \beta)} \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right),$$

Similarly, in view of Lemma 3 and (7), we have

$$0 \leq D_{0+}^\beta \vartheta(x) \leq \frac{\lambda M}{T(\alpha - \beta)} \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right),$$

then

$$\left| D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta \right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\lambda M}{T(\alpha - \beta)} \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right),$$

According to (11), we have

$$\left| \chi^{1+\gamma-\alpha} D_{0+}^{\gamma} G_{\vartheta}(\chi) \right| \leq \lambda \int_0^1 \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \left( \lambda_1 \rho_{\beta} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \lambda_2 \rho_{\beta} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \right) |g_{\beta}(s)| ds + \lambda \frac{T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)\varpi} \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{t_i} K_i(\tau, s) h_i(\tau) d\tau \right) |g_{\beta}(s)| ds \leq \frac{\lambda(M+1)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right),$$

then

$$\left| D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta \right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\lambda(M+1)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$R = \left| \vartheta \right| \leq \lambda a \chi \left( \frac{1}{T(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{T(\alpha-\beta)} \right) \left( M+1 \right) \left( \bar{\sigma}_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \bar{\sigma}_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \bar{\sigma}_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right) < R.$$

This is a contradiction and the claim is proved. Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem guarantees that operator  $G$  has a fixed point  $\vartheta \in \bar{B}r$ . Since  $g(\chi, 0, 0, 0)$  does not vanish on any compact interval of  $(0, 1)$ , we know  $\vartheta$  must be positive.

Next, our uniqueness result for problem (1), (2) relies on Boyd–Wong’s contraction principle [8].

*Theorem 3.* Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and suppose  $T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies

$$d(T_{\chi}, T_y) \leq \phi(d(\chi, y)) \quad \text{for each } \chi, y \in X,$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is upper semicontinuous function from the right (i.e.,  $r_j \downarrow r \geq 0 \Rightarrow \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(r_j) \leq \phi(r)$ ), and for  $\chi > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \phi(\chi) < \chi$  for  $\chi > 0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $\chi \in X$ .

*Theorem 4.* Assume that (C3), (C4) hold, and  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1 \leq 1 < \gamma < \alpha \leq 2, \varpi > 1 / T(\alpha - \beta) - 1 / T(\alpha - \gamma)$ . Then BVP (1), (2) has a unique positive solution.

*Proof.* For any  $\vartheta, \gamma \in K$  and  $\chi \in [0, 1]$ , by using Lemma 2(iv) and condition (C3), we get

$$\left| G_{\vartheta}(\chi) - G_{\gamma}(\chi) \right| \leq \frac{M}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left( L_1(s) \chi_1 \| \chi(s) - \gamma(s) \| + L_2(s) \chi_2 \| D_{0+}^{\beta} \gamma(s) \| + L_3(s) \chi_3 \| s^{1+\gamma-\alpha} D_{0+}^{\beta} \gamma(s) - D_{0+}^{\gamma} \gamma(s) \| \right) ds \leq \frac{M}{T(\alpha)} \left( \bar{L}_1 \chi_1 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| + \bar{L}_2 \chi_2 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| + \bar{L}_3 \chi_3 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| \right),$$

so

$$\left| G_{\vartheta}(\chi) - G_{\gamma}(\chi) \right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{M}{T(\alpha)} \left( \bar{L}_1 \chi_1 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| + \bar{L}_2 \chi_2 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| + \bar{L}_3 \chi_3 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| \right),$$

Similarly,

$$\left| D_{0+}^{\beta} G_{\vartheta} - D_{0+}^{\beta} G_{\gamma} \right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{M}{T(\alpha-\beta)} \left( \bar{L}_1 \chi_1 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| + \bar{L}_2 \chi_2 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| + \bar{L}_3 \chi_3 \| \vartheta - \gamma \| \right),$$

Synthesizing the above three inequalities and combining with condition (C4), we get

$$|G_\vartheta - G_\gamma| \leq (M+1) \max\left\{\frac{1}{T(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{T(\alpha-\beta)}\right\} \left( \tilde{L}_1 X_1 \|\vartheta - \gamma\| + \tilde{L}_2 X_2 \|\vartheta - \gamma\| + \tilde{L}_3 X_3 \|\vartheta - \gamma\| \right) = \Phi \|\vartheta - \gamma\| < \|\vartheta - \gamma\|.$$

Then Boyd–Wong’s contraction principle can be applied and  $G$  has a unique fixed point which is the unique solution of problem (1), (2).

*Example.*

$$D_{0+}^{7/4} \vartheta(x) + x^{1/4} \vartheta(x) \Big|^{1/2} + x^{1/4} \left[ D_{0+}^{1/2} \vartheta(x) \right]^{1/3} + \left[ D_{0+}^{3/2} \vartheta(x) \right]^{2/3} = 0, 0 < x < 1, \lambda_1 D_{0+}^{1/2} \vartheta(1) + \lambda_2 D_{0+}^{3/2} \vartheta(1) = 0.1 \int_0^{1/4} s^{-1/2} \vartheta(s) ds + 0.05 \int_{1/4}^{3/4} s^{-1/2} \vartheta(s) ds + 0.1 \int_{3/4}^1 s^{-1/4} \vartheta(s) ds + 0.02 \int_0^1 s^{1/4} \vartheta(s) ds, \vartheta(0) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Let  $\alpha = 7/4, \beta = 1/2, \gamma = 3/2, I_1 = [0, 1/4], I_2 = [1/4, 3/4], I_3 = [3/4, 1], I_4 = [0, 1], b_1 = 0.1, b_2 = 0.05, b_3 = 0.1, b_4 = 0.02, h_1(s) = s^{-1/2}, h_2(s) = s^{-1}, h_3(s) = s^{-1/4}, h_4(s) = s^{1/4}, \lambda_1 = 0.1, \lambda_2 = 0.1$ .  
A simple calculation yields

$$\varpi = \frac{\lambda_1 T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\beta)} + \frac{\lambda_2 T(\alpha)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_{I_i} h_i(s) s^{\alpha-1} ds \approx 0.86084$$

and  $1/T(\alpha-\beta) - 1/T(\alpha-\gamma) \approx 0.82741$ , then  
 $\varpi > 1/T(\alpha-\beta) - 1/T(\alpha-\gamma)$ .

Let  $g(x, u, v, w) = x^{-1/2}(1+u^{1/2}) + x^{-1/4}v^{1/4} + x^{-1/4}w^{2/3}$ , then  $g: (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous, and  $g(x, 0, 0, 0)$  does not vanish on any compact interval of  $(0, 1]$ . Let  $\sigma_1(x) = x^{-1/2}, \sigma_2(x) = x^{-1/4}, \sigma_3(x) = x^{-3/4}, \vartheta_1(u) = 1+u^{1/2}, \vartheta_2(v) = 1+v^{1/4}, \vartheta_3(w) = w^{2/3}$ , then for  $x \in (0, 1], u, v \in \mathbb{R}^+, w \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$g(x, u, v, w) \leq \sigma_1(x) \vartheta_1(u) + \sigma_2(x) \vartheta_2(v) + \sigma_3(x) \vartheta_3(w).$$

Furthermore,  $\bar{\sigma}_1 = 8.5634, \bar{\sigma}_2 = 8.0337, \bar{\sigma}_3 = 9.8240$ ,

$$M = 1 + \frac{1}{\varpi} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \left( \tau \right) \tau^{\alpha-1} d\tau \approx 1.09028.$$

Take  $R = 2^1 = 262144$ ,

$$\max\left\{\frac{1}{T(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{T(\alpha-\beta)}\right\} (M+1) \left[ \sigma_1 \vartheta_1(R) + \sigma_2 \vartheta_2(R) + \sigma_3 \vartheta_3(R) \right] \approx 103328 < R.$$

Hence, conditions (C1), (C2) in Theorem 2 hold, then problem (17) has a positive solution.

#### 4 Stability analysis

In this section, we consider the Banach space  $X = \{u \in C[0, 1] | D_{0+}^\beta u \in C[0, 1], D^\gamma u \in C_{\alpha+\gamma}[0, 1]\}$  equipped with the norm  $\|u\| = \|u\|_\infty + \|D_{0+}^\beta u\|_\infty + \|D^\gamma u\|_\infty$ . Let us introduce some definitions related to Ulam stability.



Suppose that function  $H \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$  is nonnegative and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Consider the equalities given below:

$$\|D_{0+}^{\alpha} \vartheta(x) + g(t, \vartheta(x), D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta(x), D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(x))\| \leq \epsilon, \quad x \in (0, 1] \quad (18)$$

$$\|D_{0+}^{\alpha} \vartheta(x) + g(x, \vartheta(x), D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta(x), D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(x))\| \leq H(x) \epsilon, \quad x \in (0, 1] \quad (19)$$

$$\|D_{0+}^{\alpha} \vartheta(x) + g(x, \vartheta(x), D_{0+}^{\beta} \vartheta(x), D_{0+}^{\gamma} \vartheta(x))\| \leq H(x), \quad x \in (0, 1] \quad (20)$$

**Definition 1.** We say that  $\bar{\vartheta} \in X$  is a solution of inequality (18): if there is  $N_{\bar{\vartheta}} \in C(0, 1]$  which depends on  $\bar{\vartheta}$ , such that  $\|N_{\bar{\vartheta}}(x)\| \leq \epsilon$  and  $D_{0+}^{\alpha} \bar{\vartheta}(x) + g_{\bar{\vartheta}}(x) = N_{\bar{\vartheta}}(x)$ , meanwhile  $\bar{\vartheta}(x)$  satisfies boundary condition (2), where

$$g_{\bar{\vartheta}}(x) = g(x, \bar{\vartheta}(x), D_{0+}^{\beta} \bar{\vartheta}(x), D_{0+}^{\gamma} \bar{\vartheta}(x)).$$

**Remark 1.** The solution of inequalities (19), (20) can be defined as well.

**Definition 2.** BVP (1), (2) is Hyers–Ulam stable: if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each solution  $\bar{\vartheta} \in X$  of inequality (18), there exists a unique solution  $\vartheta \in X$  of BVP (1), (2) satisfying

$$\|\bar{\vartheta}(x) - \vartheta(x)\| \leq C \epsilon, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \quad (21)$$

**Definition 3.** BVP (1), (2) is generalized Hyers–Ulam stable: if there is a function  $\Psi \in C([0, 1] \mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $\Psi(0) = 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each solution  $\bar{\vartheta} \in X$  of inequality (18), there exists a unique solution  $\vartheta \in X$  of BVP (1), (2) with

$$\|\bar{\vartheta}(x) - \vartheta(x)\| \leq \Psi(x), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

**Definition 4.** BVP (1), (2) is Hyers–Ulam–Rassias (HUR) stable w.r.t. nonnegative function  $H \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ : if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each solution  $\bar{\vartheta} \in X$  of inequality (19), there is a unique solution  $\vartheta \in X$  of BVP (1), (2) satisfying

$$\|\bar{\vartheta}(x) - \vartheta(x)\| \leq \Psi C H(x) \epsilon, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

**Definition 5.** BVP (1), (2) is generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stable w.r.t.  $H \in C([0, 1] \mathbb{R}^+)$ : if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for each solution  $\bar{\vartheta} \in X$  of inequality (20), there is a unique solution  $\vartheta \in X$  of BVP (1), (2) satisfying

PDF generated from XML JATS4R by Redalyc  
Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

1084

On the basis of Lemma 2, we have

Similarly, we can get

Hence,  $|\bar{\mathfrak{g}} - \bar{\mathfrak{g}}| \leq \Delta |\bar{\mathfrak{g}} - \bar{\mathfrak{g}}| + (\epsilon/(\alpha - \gamma)) \Delta$ , then

Let  $C = \Delta / ((\alpha - \gamma)(1 - \Delta))$ , clearly,

In other words, conclusion (21) in Definition 2 is satisfied, i.e., BVP (1), (2) is Hyers–Ulam stable.

*Proof.* For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , for convenience, let us assume  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Suppose  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \in X$  be the solution of inequality (18),  $\mathfrak{g} \in X$  be a unique solution of BVP (1), (2). Following the method of Theorem 5, we know that (22) holds. Thereupon, for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

It is obvious that  $\Psi(0)=0$ . Then by Definition 3, BVP (1), (2) is generalized HUstable.

**Theorem 7.** Let the conditions of Theorem 6 be satisfied, moreover, there exists a nonnegative function  $H \in C_{\alpha-\gamma}[0, 1]$ : satisfying  $x^{\alpha-1} \leq H(x)$  for all  $x \in (0, 1]$ . Then BVP (1), (2) is HUR stable.

*Proof.* For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , suppose  $\bar{\vartheta} \in X$  be the solution of inequality (19), then  $\bar{\vartheta}(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, s) (g_{\bar{\vartheta}}(s) - N_{\bar{\vartheta}}(s)) ds$ , where  $|N_{\bar{\vartheta}}(s)| \leq H(x) \in \mathcal{X}$  is a unique solution of BVP (1), (2). On the similar way of Theorem 5, one can prove

$$\|\bar{\vartheta} - \vartheta\|_{\infty} \leq \left| \frac{M}{T(\alpha)} \|\bar{\vartheta} - \vartheta\| + \frac{\epsilon M}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} H(s) ds \right| \|D_{0+}^{\alpha} \bar{\vartheta} - D_{0+}^{\alpha} \vartheta\| \leq \frac{M}{T(\alpha-\beta)} \|\bar{\vartheta} - \vartheta\| + \frac{\epsilon M}{T(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} H(s) ds \|D_{0+}^{\alpha} \bar{\vartheta} - D_{0+}^{\alpha} \vartheta\| \leq \frac{M+1}{T(\alpha-\beta)} \|\bar{\vartheta} - \vartheta\| + \frac{\epsilon(M+1)}{T(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} H(s) ds,$$

So, combining with these three inequalities, we have

$$\|\bar{\vartheta} - \vartheta\| \leq \frac{\epsilon \Delta}{1-\Delta} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} H(s) ds. \quad (23)$$

For any  $x \in (0, 1]$ , from (23), we get

$$\|\bar{\vartheta}(x) - \vartheta(x)\| \leq \int_0^1 G(x, s) |g_{\bar{\vartheta}}(s) - g_{\vartheta}(s)| ds + \int_0^1 G(x, s) |N_{\bar{\vartheta}}(s)| ds \leq \frac{M\Delta}{T(\alpha)} \|\bar{\vartheta} - \vartheta\| + \frac{\epsilon M\Delta}{T(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} H(s) ds \leq \frac{\epsilon M H(x)}{T(\alpha)(1-\Delta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} H(s) ds \leq \frac{\epsilon M H(x)}{T(\alpha)(1-\Delta)} \frac{T(\alpha-\gamma)T(\alpha-\gamma)}{T(\alpha)(1-\Delta)T(2\alpha-2\gamma)} \|H\|.$$

Let

$$C := \frac{MT(\alpha-\gamma)T(\alpha-\gamma)}{(1-\Delta)T(\alpha)T(2\alpha-2\gamma)} \|H\|,$$

then

$$\|\bar{\vartheta}(x) - \vartheta(x)\| \leq CH, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

By Definition 4, BVP (1), (2) is HUR stable.

**Remark 2.** Under the condition of Theorem 7, imitating the process, we can prove that BVP (1), (2) is generalized HUR stable.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions.

## References

- 1 R.P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge Tracts Math., Vol. 141, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511543005>.
- 2 R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, S. Stanek, Positive solutions for dirichlet problems of singular nonlinear fractional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **371**(1):57–68, 2010, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.04.034>.

- 3 M.P. Aghababa, A switching fractional calculus-based controller for normal non-linear dynamical systems, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **75**:577–588, 2014, ISSN 1573-269X, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-013-1087-1>.
- 4 A. Ali, M. Sarwar, M.B. Zada, K. Shah, Existence of solution to fractional differential equation with fractional integral type boundary conditions, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **44**(2):1615–1627, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6864>.
- 5 M.A. Almalahi, S.K. Panchal, F. Jarad, Stability results of positive solutions for a system of  $\alpha$ -Hilfer fractional differential equations, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **147**:110931, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.110931>.
- 6 G. Alotta, M. Di Paola, G. Failla, F.P. Pinnola, On the dynamics of non-local fractional viscoelastic beams under stochastic agencies, *Composites, Part A*, **137**:102–110, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2017.10.014>.
- 7 Z. Bartosiewicz, Exponential stability of nonlinear positive systems on time scales, *Nonlinear Anal., Hybrid Syst.*, **33**:143–150, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nahs.2019.02.011>.
- 8 D.W. Boyd, J.S.W. Wong, On nonlinear contractions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **20**(2):458–464, 1969, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1969-0239559-9>.
- 9 A.A. Cabada, B.Z. Hamdi, Nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary value conditions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **228**(2012):251–257, 2014, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.11.057>.
- 10 A. Devi, A. Kumar, T. Abdeljawad, A. Khan, Existence and stability analysis of solutions for fractional Langevin equation with nonlocal integral and anti-periodic-type boundary conditions, *Fractals*, **28**(08):2040006, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X2040006X>.
- 11 W. Glockle, T. Nonnenmacher, A fractional calculus approach to self-similar protein dynamics, *Biophys. J.*, **68**(1):46–53, 1995, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(95\)80157-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(95)80157-8).
- 12 S. Hristova, A. Benkerrouche, M.S. Souid, A. Hakem, Boundary value problems of Hadamard fractional differential equations of variable order, *Symmetry*, **13**(5):896, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13050896>.
- 13 Y. Kao, H. Li, Asymptotic multistability and local S-asymptotic  $\alpha$ -periodicity for the non- autonomous fractional-order neural networks with impulses, *Sci. China, Inf. Sci.*, **64**:112207, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-019-2821-x>.
- 14 A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Preface, in *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Volume 204 of *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, Vol. 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208\(06\)80001-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208(06)80001-0).
- 15 N. Kosmatov, A singular boundary value problem for nonlinear differential equations of fractional order, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **29**:125–135, 2009, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-008-0104-x>.
- 16 O. KyuNam, K. Jong, S. Pak, H. Choi, A new approach to approximate solutions for a class of nonlinear multi-term fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020**:271, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02739-1>.

- 17 C. Li, C.J. Zhang, An exponential stability criterion for nonlinear second-order functional differential equations with time-variable delays, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **328**:119–124, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2018.01.063>.
- 18 H. Li, Y. Kao, H. Bao, Y. Chen et al., Uniform stability of complex-valued neural networks of fractional order with linear impulses and fixed time delays, *IEEE Trans Neural Networks Learn. Syst.*, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3070136>.
- 19 H. Li, Y. Kao, I. Stamova, C. Shao, Global asymptotic stability and S-asymptotic  $\omega$ -periodicity of impulsive non-autonomous fractional-order neural networks, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **410**:126459, 2021, ISSN 0096-3003, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2021.126459>.
- 20 H. Li, Y.G. Kao, Mittag-leffler stability for a new coupled system of fractional-order differential equations with impulses, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **361**:22–31, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AMC.2019.05.018>.
- 21 H. Li, Y.G. Kao, Global Mittag-Leffler stability and existence of the solution for fractional-order complex-valued NNs with asynchronous time delays, *Chaos*, **31**:113110, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0059887>.
- 22 H. Li, Y.G. Kao, H.L. Li, Globally  $\omega$ -Mittag-Leffler stability and  $\omega$ -Mittag-Leffler convergence in Lagrange sense for impulsive fractional-order complex-valued neural networks, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **148**:111061, 2021, ISSN 0960-0779, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.111061>.
- 23 P.H.A. Ngoc, L.T. Hieu, Exponential stability of integro-differential equations and applications, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **117**:107127, 2021, ISSN 0893-9659, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2021.107127>.
- 24 M. Di Paola, G. Alotta, A. Burlon, G. Failla, A novel approach to nonlinear variable-order fractional viscoelasticity, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., A, Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, **378**(2172):20190296, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2019.0296>.
- 25 I. Podlubny, Fractional derivatives and integrals, in *Fractional Differential Equations*, Volume 198 of *Math. Sci. Eng., Vol. 198*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999, pp. 41–119, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-5392\(99\)80021-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-5392(99)80021-6).
- 26 M.U. Rehman, R.A. Khan, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for multi-point boundary value problems for fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23**(9):1038–1044, 2010, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.04.033>.
- 27 J. Ren, C.B. Zhai, Stability analysis for generalized fractional differential systems and applications, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **139**:110009, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110009>.
- 28 N. Sebaa, Z.E.A. Fellah, W. Lauriks, C. Depollier, Application of fractional calculus to ultrasonic wave propagation in human cancellous bone, *Signal Process.*, **86**:2668–2677, 2006, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sigpro.2006.02.015>.
- 29 K. Shah, P. Kumam, I. Ullah, On Ulam stability and multiplicity results to a nonlinear coupled system with integral boundary conditions, *Mathematics*, **7**(3), 2019, <https://doi.org/10.3390/math7030223>.
- 30 X.W. Su, Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **22**(1):64–69, 2009, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2008.03.001>.

- 31 X.J. Zhou, O. Gao, Q. Abdullah, R.L. Magin, Studies of anomalous diffusion in the human brain using fractional order calculus, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, **63**(3):562–569, 2010, <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22285>.

## Notes

- \* This work is supported by NSFC (11871302).
- 1 Corresponding author