



Aquichan

ISSN: 1657-5997

ISSN: 2027-5374

Universidad de La Sabana

Dantas, Ana Clara; Lopes Costa, Marília; Barbosa da Silva, Amanda; Coutinho
Borges, Bárbara Ebilizarda; de Medeiros Araújo, Jéssica Naiara; Fortes Vitor, Allyne
Eye Care Interventions in Critical/Surgical Patients in the Prone Position: Scoping Review
Aquichan, vol. 22, no. 3, e2233, 2022, July-September
Universidad de La Sabana

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5294/aqui.2022.22.3.3>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=74172631003>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc
Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and
Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

Eye Care Interventions in Critical/Surgical Patients in the Prone Position: Scoping Review

✉ **Ana Clara Dantas**

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5634-7498>
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil
anaclaradaantas@yahoo.com.br

Marília Lopes Costa

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5035-3627>
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil
maariliia@hotmail.com

Amanda Barbosa da Silva

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5410-7060>
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil
amandab641@hotmail.com

Bárbara Ebilizarda Coutinho Borges

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6922-1475>
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil
barbara_ebilizarda@hotmail.com

Jéssica Naiara de Medeiros Araújo

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9115-3285>
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil
jessicanaiaara_rn@hotmail.com

Allyne Fortes Vitor

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4672-2303>
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil
allynefortes@gmail.com

Received: 06/02/2022

Sent to peers: 11/05/2022

Accepted by peers: 17/07/2022

Approved: 18/07/2022

DOI: 10.5294/aqui.2022.22.3.3

Para citar este artículo / To reference this article / Para citar este artigo

Dantas AC, Lopes Costa M, Barbosa da Silva A, Coutinho Borges BE, de Medeiros Araújo JN, Fortes Vitor A. Eye Care Interventions in Critical/Surgical Patients in the Prone Position: Scoping Review. *Aquichan*. 2022;22(3):e2233.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5294/aqui.2022.22.3.3>

Theme: Promotion and prevention.

Contribution to the discipline: The results of the present study highlight that interventions such as eye examination, the use of lubricants/specific ophthalmic solution, reverse Trendelenburg positioning, and protection with adhesive tape reduce the risks for patients in the prone position. Knowledge of these interventions is essential since ophthalmic care in critical/surgical patients in the prone position avoids complications, such as facial edema and pressure injuries on the face, thoracic region, and lower and upper limbs. The prone position is an adjunctive postural therapy commonly used to manage specific patients, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Abstract

Objectives: This study aimed to map evidence of eye care interventions in managing critical or surgical patients submitted to prone positions.

Materials and method: This scoping review was prepared according to the Joanna Briggs Institute's methodology, following the PRISMA-ScR criteria. A search was conducted from July to August 2020 in the SCOPUS, Web of Science, Science Direct, PubMed Central, CINAHL, and COCHRANE databases. The following research question was delimited:

“What are the strategies and interventions used for eye care in the management of critical patients or surgical patients submitted to the prone position?” The sample consisted of 24 studies after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Results: Eye care interventions in managing critical/surgical patients submitted to the prone position were eye examination, use of lubricants/specific ophthalmic solution, reverse Trendelenburg positioning, and protection with adhesive tape.

Conclusions: This review allowed the understanding of eye care for critical/surgical patients in a prone position. Among the care presented, a large part is related to nursing since it is closer to patient care. The findings emphasize the need to implement patient safety policies with eye care as a priority.

Keywords (Source: DeCS)

Critical care; general surgery; nursing care; ocular health; prone position.

4 Intervenciones para el cuidado ocular en pacientes críticos/quirúrgicos en decúbito prono: revisión de alcance

Resumen

Objetivos: el presente estudio tuvo como objetivo mapear la evidencia de las intervenciones de cuidado ocular en el manejo de pacientes críticos o quirúrgicos sometidos a decúbito prono. **Materiales y método:** esta revisión de alcance se elaboró de acuerdo con la metodología del Instituto Joanna Briggs y bajo los criterios de PRISMA-ScR. Se realizó una búsqueda entre julio y agosto de 2020 en las bases de datos SCOPUS, Web of Science, Science Direct, PubMed Central, CINAHL y COCHRANE. Además, se delimitó la siguiente pregunta de investigación: “¿Cuáles son las estrategias e intervenciones utilizadas para el cuidado ocular en el manejo del paciente crítico o quirúrgico sometido al decúbito prono?”. Después de aplicar los criterios de inclusión y exclusión, la muestra quedó constituida por 24 estudios. **Resultados:** las intervenciones oftalmológicas en el manejo de pacientes críticos/quirúrgicos sometidos a decúbito prono fueron examen oftalmológico, uso de lubricantes/solución oftálmica específica, posicionamiento de Trendelenburg inverso y protección con cinta adhesiva. **Conclusiones:** esta revisión permitió la comprensión del cuidado ocular de pacientes críticos/quirúrgicos en decúbito prono. Entre los cuidados presentados, gran parte está relacionada con la enfermería, puesto que es más cercana al cuidado del paciente. Los hallazgos enfatizan la necesidad de implementar políticas de seguridad del paciente con el cuidado ocular como prioridad.

Palabras clave (Fuente: DeCS)

Cuidados críticos; cirugía general; atención de enfermería; salud ocular; posición prona.

Intervenções para a saúde ocular em pacientes críticos ou cirúrgicos em decúbito ventral: revisão de escopo

Resumo

Objetivos: o objetivo do presente estudo é mapear a evidência das intervenções de cuidado ocular na gestão de pacientes críticos ou cirúrgicos submetidos a decúbito ventral. **Materiais e método:** esta revisão de escopo é elaborada de acordo com a metodologia do Instituto Joanna Briggs e sob os critérios do PRISMA-ScR. Foi realizada uma busca entre julho e agosto de 2020 nas bases de dados Scopus, Web of Science, Science Direct, PubMed Central, CINAHL e COCHRANE. Além disso, foi delimitada a seguinte pergunta de pesquisa: quais as estratégias e intervenções utilizadas para a saúde ocular na gestão do paciente crítico ou cirúrgico submetido ao decúbito ventral? Após aplicar os critérios de inclusão e exclusão, a amostra se constituiu de 24 estudos. **Resultados:** as intervenções oftalmológicas na gestão de pacientes críticos ou cirúrgicos submetidos a decúbito ventral foram exame oftalmológico, uso de lubrificante/solução oftálmica específica, posicionamento de Trendelenburg reverso e proteção com fita adesiva. **Conclusões:** esta revisão permite compreender a saúde ocular de pacientes críticos ou cirúrgicos em decúbito ventral. Entre os cuidados apresentados, grande parte está relacionada com a enfermagem, visto que é mais próxima do cuidado do paciente. Os achados enfatizam a necessidade de implementar políticas de segurança do paciente com relação ao cuidado dos olhos como prioridade.

Palavras-chave (Fonte: DeCS)

Cuidados críticos; cirurgia geral; cuidados de enfermagem; saúde ocular; decúbito ventral.

Introduction

The prone position is a postural adjuvant therapy first presented in the literature in 1974. It is defined as a maneuver of rotation from a supine to a prone position, effective in managing specific patients⁽¹⁾. Used in surgical procedures from ancient times to the present day, prone positioning allows better visualization and access to the particular location of the therapy, as in head, neck, and spine surgeries⁽²⁾. In addition to surgical procedures, it has been observed in recent years that the prone position in patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) can improve oxygenation and reduce mortality⁽³⁻⁴⁾.

Despite the benefits, the prone position is not without risks. It presents the possibility of complications, such as facial edema and pressure lesions in the face region, the thoracic region, and lower and upper limbs. Among these complications, eye injuries are evident, including conjunctival edema and direct pressure on the orbit or eyeball⁽⁵⁾.

Studies point out that the incidence of pressure injuries due to the prone position is more frequent when compared to patients in the supine position. One study confirmed that the risk of developing pressure injury in the prone position is 57.1%, while the risk in the supine position is 42.5%. In addition, it demonstrated that lesions on the face and chest were the most prevalent⁽⁶⁾.

The prone position enhances contact between the patient's face and the pillow or cushion. Corneal abrasions from tissue shear may occur if the eyelid is not entirely closed. In this way, the authors report the importance of eye protection so that the complete closure of the eyelid is possible, minimizing the risks of eye complications until the potential loss of vision⁽⁷⁾.

Among complications, a large part is related to the management by the nursing team, given that nursing is closer to patient care. Therefore, it is up to the nurse professionals to develop care plans to minimize the incidence of complications. It is known that care for critical and surgical patients is complex due to the required procedures. Therefore, some care is overlooked by the teams, such as eye care. However, vision preservation must be prioritized, considering the eyes are critical sensory organs⁽⁸⁻⁹⁾.

Some interventions are used for eye care in critically ill/surgical patients in the prone position, such as hydrocolloid patches, ointments, and lubricating eye drops. However, no studies were found in the literature that addressed the degree of recommendation of interventions for eye protection. Despite the scale of the problem, eye care protocols are generally not encouraged, and documentation of this care is often deficient⁽¹⁰⁾.

In this context, the study is justified by the need to obtain scientific knowledge about possible eye care/interventions to be implement-

ed in the care of patients in a prone position. There is a clear need for specific care protocols to manage complications and reduce patient risks. New studies on this topic add even more quality to health care since protocols for eye care are scarce⁽¹⁰⁾.

This study aimed to map evidence of interventions applied to eye care in managing critical or surgical patients submitted to the prone position.

Material and methods

Design

It is a scoping review, prepared according to the methodological assumptions of the Joanna Briggs Institute of 2020, following criteria defined by the checklist Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR)⁽¹¹⁻¹²⁾. The study in question was registered on the Open Science Framework study platform and generated a sequential identifier from Uniform Resource Locator⁽¹³⁾.

Through the guidance of a research protocol, the five methodological steps were followed: (1) identifying the research question; (2) identifying relevant studies; (3) selecting studies; (4) mapping and extracting the results; and (5) making a narrative synthesis of the results⁽¹¹⁾.

Identifying the research question

As a way of defining the guiding question of the review, the PCC mnemonic strategy was used, where P: population (critical or surgical patients); C: concept (strategies and interventions for eye care), and C: context (critical or surgical patients who need the prone position during care). Based on this strategy, the following research question was delimited: What strategies and interventions are used for eye care in managing critical or surgical patients submitted to the prone position?

Identifying relevant studies

To identify relevant studies for the presented theme, a search was carried out from July to August 2020 on the following databases: SCOPUS, Web of Science, Science Direct, PubMed Central, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), and COCHRANE. Access for the search was supported by the Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, via the Federated Academic Community, through the Coordination for the Improvement of University Education Personnel.

As a search strategy in the databases, the descriptors ordered in Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) were defined: “Eye,” “Eye Health,” “Nursing Care,” “Critical Care,” “Ocular Care,” “Intensive Care Units,” and “Prone Position.” To identify other relevant studies, a search was performed on Google® scholar using the keywords identified in the studies in the first phase of the search. Crossings used the Boolean operator AND, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Search strategies used in each database. Natal-RN, Brazil, 2020

DATABASE	CROSSING
SCOPUS	ALL(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) ALL(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Nursing Care”) ALL(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Nursing Care”) ALL(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Critical Care”) ALL(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Critical Care”) ALL(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Intensive Care Units”) ALL(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Intensive Care Units”) ALL(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”)
WEB OF SCIENCE	ALL=(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) ALL=(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Nursing Care”) ALL=(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Nursing Care”) ALL=(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Critical Care”) ALL=(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Critical Care”) ALL=(“Eye Health”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Intensive Care Units”) ALL=(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”) AND (“Intensive Care Units”) ALL=(“Eye”) AND (“Prone Position”)
SCIENCE DIRECT	TITLE, ABSTRACT, KEYWORDS: “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” AND “Nursing Care” “Eye” AND “Prone Position” AND “Nursing Care” “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” AND “Critical Care” “Eye” AND “Prone Position” AND “Critical Care” “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” AND “Intensive Care Units” “Eye” AND “Prone Position” AND “Intensive Care Units” “Eye” AND “Prone Position”
PUBMED CENTRAL	ALL FIELDS: “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” AND “Nursing Care” “Eye” AND “Prone Position” AND “Nursing Care” “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” AND “Critical Care” “Eye” AND “Prone Position” AND “Critical Care” “Eye Health” AND “Prone Position” AND “Intensive Care Units” “Eye” AND “Prone Position” AND “Intensive Care Units” “Eye” AND “Prone Position”

CINAHL	"Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" "Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" AND "Nursing Care" "Eye" AND "Prone Position" AND "Nursing Care" "Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" AND "Critical Care" "Eye" AND "Prone Position" AND "Critical Care" "Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" AND "Intensive Care Units" "Eye" AND "Prone Position" AND "Intensive Care Units" "Eye" AND "Prone Position"
COCHRANE	TITLE, ABSTRACT, KEYWORDS: "Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" "Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" AND "Nursing Care" "Eye" AND "Prone Position" AND "Nursing Care" "Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" AND "Critical Care" "Eye" AND "Prone Position" AND "Critical Care" "Eye Health" AND "Prone Position" AND "Intensive Care Units" "Eye" AND "Prone Position" AND "Intensive Care Units" "Eye" AND "Prone Position"
GOOGLE® SCHOLAR	"Eye Health" AND "Nursing Care" AND "Prone Position" "Eye Health" AND "Critical Care" AND "Prone Position" "Eye Health" AND "Intensive Care Units" AND "Prone Position" "Ocular Care" AND "Prone Position" "Ocular Care" AND "Intensive Care Units" AND "Prone Position"

Source: Own elaboration.

A reverse search was performed using references identified in the selected articles to identify additional studies. This way, it is possible to capture articles relevant to the study that were not viewed in the databases. The reverse search allows for a broader sample, making it possible to understand more comprehensively the study process of a given research subject matter⁽¹¹⁾.


The inclusion criteria used were studies that addressed evidence about eye care interventions in patients in a prone position, full-text articles in the databases, original articles, and review articles e articles available in English, Portuguese, and Spanish. Regarding the year of publication, the studies were selected between 1999 and 2020. Editorials, letters to the editor, abstracts, and articles that did not address the relevant topic within the scope of the review objective were excluded from the sample.

Identifying relevant studies

The search process was carried out in pairs on different computers at the same time. At the end of each part of the search, the results were analyzed by dynamically reading the titles and abstracts, and subsequently, they were read in full by two reviewers independently. Studies that did not meet the eligibility criteria were excluded, duplicates were counted only once, and divergences between reviewers were decided by consensus.

The search stage in databases and Google® scholar resulted in a total of 8,371 identified articles. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 23 were selected. In a similar search in references, one article was included. Thus, 24 studies made up the final sample, according to the flowchart shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the literature search and article selection according to the PRISMA-ScR guidelines. Natal-RN, Brazil, 2020.



Source: Own elaboration.

Mapping the data

Data mapping and extraction were performed using an instrument prepared with the following items: title of the study, authors, year of publication, country, type of study, evidence level and degree of recommendation, source data, objective of the study, central interventions, and conclusions on eye care in the prone position.

Regarding the evidence level and the degree of recommendation, we decided to follow the Oxford Center for Evidence-Based Medicine guidelines. Thus, the classification of the evidence level followed the pattern that the lower the number, the higher the level. In addition, the degree of recommendation considers “A” as the highest recommendation and “D” as the lowest recommendation⁽¹⁴⁾.

Summarizing and reporting results

The results were presented descriptively in tables with the characterization and synthesis of the studies.

Results

As for the characterization of the studies selected and analyzed in the review, the data were presented according to year, data source, and place of publication (Table 2).

Studies that addressed the surgical patient were more frequent with 66.7% ($n = 16$), followed by studies with critical patients 25.0% ($n = 6$). Only 8.3% ($n = 2$) addressed the two populations in the same study.

Table 2. Characterization of studies according to year, data source, and country of publication ($n = 24$). Natal-RN, Brazil, 2020.

Variables	N	%
Year of publication		
2016 ----- 2020	10	41.6
2011 ----- 2015	09	37.5
2004	02	8.3
2009	01	4.1
2006	01	4.1
1999	01	4.1
Data source		
SCOPUS	13	54.1
Science Direct	05	20.8
CINAHL	02	8.3
Web of Science	01	4.1
PubMed Central	01	4.1
Google® Scholar	01	4.1
Parallel search	01	4.1
Country of publication		
USA	13	54.1
United Kingdom	04	16.6
Turkey	02	8.3
Australia	02	8.3
Spain	01	4.1
France	01	4.1
Singapore	01	4.1

Source: Own elaboration.

After a complete analysis of the 24 studies selected for the scoping review, we could identify the title, authors, year, country of publication, type of study, evidence level, degree of recommendation, and interventions on eye care in critical or surgical patients in the prone position of the selected studies, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Identification of studies and eye care interventions in patients in the prone position. Natal-RN, Brazil, 2020

Title	Authors (year)	Country of publication	Type of study/ Evidence level /Degree of recommendation*	Eye care interventions in patients in the prone position
The prone position during surgery and its complications: A systematic review and evidence-based guidelines	Kwee et al. (2015) ⁽¹⁵⁾	Australia	Systematic review/1A/A	Reverse Trendelenburg; use of head restraints; Mayfield headrest; monitoring eye position every 20 minutes with a mirror attached to the headrest; computer video streaming system to monitor the eye position throughout the process; preoperative ophthalmological examination
Systematic review and meta-analysis of prone position on intraocular pressure in adults undergoing surgery	Wilcklin (2020) ⁽¹⁶⁾	USA	A systematic review and meta-analysis/1A/A	Preoperative eye exams; reverse Trendelenburg from 5 to 10°; monitoring intraocular pressure; providing periodic changes in position or rest periods, and administering specific medications or anesthetics can help reduce the risk of eye damage
The comparison of four different methods of perioperative eye protection under general anesthesia in prone position	Kocatürk et al. (2012) ⁽¹⁷⁾	Turkey	Randomized clinical trial/1B/A	The following eye protection methods were applied: Hypafix® hypoallergenic adhesive tape, Terramycin® antibiotic ointment, artificial tear gel containing Viscotears® polyacrylic acid, and Duratears ointment eye lubricant. There was no significant difference between groups regarding adhesive tapes. All methods are suitable for protecting against corneal injuries
The effect of head rotation on intraocular pressure in prone position: a randomized trial	Deniz et al. (2012) ⁽¹⁸⁾	Turkey	Randomized clinical trial/1B/A	Patients in a prone position with the head turned 45° sideways to the right side had significantly lower intraocular pressure than those in a prone position without head rotation
Clinical trial design effect of prone positioning on clinical outcomes in infants and children with acute respiratory distress syndrome	Curley et al. (2006) ⁽¹⁹⁾	USA	Randomized clinical trial/1B/A	If the patient is receiving a paralytic pharmacological agent or if there is incomplete eyelid closure, eye protection is provided to prevent corneal abrasions
The effect of body inclination during prone positioning on intraocular pressure in awake volunteers: A comparison of two operating tables	Ozcan et al. (2004) ⁽²⁰⁾	USA	Clinical trial/1B/A	The reverse Trendelenburg position of 10° improves intraocular pressure in patients in the prone position
Comparison of medical adhesive tapes in patients at risk of facial skin trauma under anesthesia	Zeng et al. (2016) ⁽²¹⁾	Singapore	Randomized controlled clinical trial/1B/A	The use of silicone tapes (removal type 3M™) for eyelids significantly reduces skin lesions compared to standard acrylate tapes

Title	Authors (year)	Country of publication	Type of study/ Evidence level /Degree of recommendation*	Eye care interventions in patients in the prone position
Effect of the degree of reverse Trendelenburg position on intraocular pressure during prone spine surgery: A randomized controlled trial	Carey et al. (2014) ⁽²²⁾	USA	Randomized controlled clinical trial/1B/A	Reverse Trendelenburg positioning decreases intraocular pressure in patients in a prone position for spinal surgery
Effects of crystalloid versus colloid and the α -2 agonist brimonidine versus placebo on intraocular pressure during prone spine surgery	Farag et al. (2012) ⁽²³⁾	USA	Randomized clinical trial/1B/A	Using 2% brimonidine administered preoperatively helps reduce intraocular pressure during spine surgeries in the prone position
Pressure on the Face While in the Prone Position: ProneView™ versus Prone Positioner™	Atwater et al. (2004) ⁽²⁴⁾	USA	Clinical trial/1B/A	ProneView™ produces a lower face surface pressure than the Prone Positioner™ device, reducing the incidence of skin damage
Modified prone position using lateral brace attachments for cervico-dorsal spine surgeries	Kadam et al. (2013) ⁽²⁵⁾	USA	Clinical trial/1B/A	Cervical tweezers with two cylindrical padded supports and two lateral supports were applied to a conventional operating table to stabilize the head and reduce eye and facial complications related to the pressure of the prone position
Care of the eye during anaesthesia and intensive care	Small et al. (2019) ⁽⁸⁾	United Kingdom	Descriptive study/2C/B	In critically ill patients, the eyes should be examined, relubricated, and closed with tape every four hours. The position must remain neutral or with the head higher than the heart. In surgical patients, head support (Mayfield) is recommended
Eye protection in anaesthesia and intensive care	Keita et al. (2017) ⁽²⁶⁾	France	Literature review/2C/B	It is recommended that lubricants containing an aqueous solution in a single dose be used, such as methylcellulose or viscosogel, combined with occlusion of the eyelid using adhesive tapes. In addition, the use of appropriate head restraints (Mayfield or specific cushion) and a slight inclination, such as the Trendelenburg position, are advisable
Prone position of patients with COVID-19 and acute respiratory distress syndrome	Makic (2020) ⁽²⁷⁾	USA	Literature review/2C/B	The patient's eyes should be lubricated and closed to protect against corneal injury
Artificial ventilation in the prone position	Gibson et al. (1999) ⁽²⁸⁾	Australia	Literature review/2C/B	Training on implications and complications of making the patient prone. Regular eye care and protection from the pillow surface may be necessary to prevent abrasion of the cornea
Helmet continuous positive airway pressure and prone positioning: A proposal for an early management of COVID-19 patients	Longhini et al. (2020) ⁽²⁹⁾	Spain	Literature review/2C/B	Continuous positive airway pressure during the prone position is more comfortable since it allows for constant and extended treatment and fewer complications related to the interface (that is, irritation in the eyes, gastric distension, and skin necrosis)

Title	Authors (year)	Country of publication	Type of study/ Evidence level /Degree of recommendation*	Eye care interventions in patients in the prone position
Eye care in the intensive care unit during the COVID-19 pandemic	Sansome et al. (2020) ⁽³⁰⁾	United Kingdom	Literature review/2C/B	Daily eye examination; lubricating ointment every 4 hours; referral to ophthalmology when necessary; if there are concerns about damage to the cornea, further examination can be performed; before applying the lubricating ointment, the eye should be bathed in gauze soaked in saline and cleaned inside out
Ocular care and complications in the critically ill	Kam et al. (2011) ⁽³¹⁾	United Kingdom	Literature review/2C/B	Adhesive tapes are recommended, as lagophthalmia is frequently checked or viscous lubricating drops are instilled often. Patients should be referred to ophthalmologists if there is constant exposure
Current intraoperative devices to reduce visual loss after spine surgery	Urine et al. (2012) ⁽³²⁾	USA	Literature review/2C/B	The review showed the classic horseshoe-shaped headrest, the face mask with foam pad and transparent operating table, the OPTI-GARD eye protector, the VOSS Prone positioner, the ROHO neoprene cushion, the "float a dry," the Proneview® helmet system, and the Proneview® video camera monitoring system as new devices to prevent mechanical eye compression during surgical procedures in the prone position
Positioning patients for spine surgery: Avoiding uncommon position-related complications	Kamel et al. (2014) ⁽³³⁾	USA	Literature review/2C/B	Evidence shows that the head must be in a neutral position on the head support structure to avoid direct pressure on the eye (Proneview®). Proneview® allows for prone positioning without any pressure on facial structures. The extendable mirror allows frequent checking of facial structures in the prone position
How to avoid perioperative visual loss following prone spinal surgery	Epstein (2016) ⁽³⁴⁾	USA	Literature review/2C/B	Preventive measures such as routine use of an arterial line, intraoperative monitoring, 3-pin head support, and elevation of the head 10° from the horizontal decrease the risk of perioperative visual loss in spine surgeries performed in the prone position
Perioperative visual loss: What do we know, what can we do?	Roth (2009) ⁽³⁵⁾	USA	Literature review/2C/B	Using foam headrests and a mirror to allow a direct view of patients' eyes during spinal surgery in a prone position prevents the loss of perioperative vision
Extendable mirrors to improve anesthesia provider comfort for eye and positioning checks in prone patients: A pilot study	Lin et al. (2020) ⁽³⁶⁾	USA	Pilot study/2C/B	The use of an extensible mirror is recommended for frequent eye checking and careful neck positioning
Strategies to prevent ischemic optic neuropathy following major spine surgery: A narrative review	Fandino (2017) ⁽³⁷⁾	United Kingdom	Narrative review/3A/B	The review presented interventions such as keeping the head at the same level or higher than the heart and maintaining approximately 10° in the direction of reverse Trendelenburg for spinal procedures in a prone position to improve the increase in intraocular pressure

*Evidence level: 1A, 1B, 1C (degree of recommendation A); 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B (degree of recommendation B); 4 (degree of recommendation C); and 5 (degree of recommendation D)⁽¹³⁾

Source: Own elaboration.

Table 4 features the primary eye care interventions according to the population (critical and surgical patients).

Table 4. Characterization of the primary interventions for eye care in patients in a prone position ($n = 24$). Natal-RN, Brazil, 2020

Main interventions	N	%*
Eye examination		
Critical patients	03	12.5
Surgical patients	07	29.1
Use of lubricants/specific ophthalmic solution		
Critical patients	06	25.0
Surgical patients	03	12.5
Reverse Trendelenburg positioning		
Critical patients	01	4.1
Surgical patients	07	29.1
Protection with adhesive tape		
Critical patients	05	20.8
Surgical patients	03	12.5
Adequate head support		
Critical patients	-	-
Surgical patients	06	25.0
Cleaning with saline solution		
Critical patients	02	8.3
Surgical patients	-	-
Head rotation at 45°		
Critical patients	-	-
Surgical patients	01	4.1
Professional training		
Critical patients	01	4.1
Surgical patients	-	-

*The variable assumes multiple responses.

Source: Own elaboration.

Regarding the central interventions for eye care in patients in the prone position, eye examination, the use of lubricants/specific ophthalmic solution, the reverse Trendelenburg positioning, and the protection with adhesive tape stand out.

Noteworthy are the scientific productions published in the last year, demonstrating the relevance of the theme today. Next, the evidence identified will be addressed in two categories: eye care in critically ill patients and eye care in surgical patients. The study was limited by the low number of more specific recommendations, especially concerning ophthalmic care in critically ill patients. As corroborated by the study, there is a need for further studies in intensive care units to assess eye injury, including the follow-up of patients⁽³⁸⁾.

Eye care in critically ill patients in the prone position

Related to critical patients, it is known that the intervention of the prone position is an essential therapy for hypoxemic respiratory failure and ARDS, including for patients with COVID-19 in the current context⁽²⁷⁾.

Concerning patients undergoing treatment for COVID-19, they are more susceptible to eye complications due to prone positioning and the increased demand by the intensive care team⁽³⁹⁻⁴¹⁾. Critically ill patients can be in the prone position for at least 16 hours a day to improve oxygenation. One study observed patients in the prone position for long periods who had bilateral fundoscopic findings of optic disc edema and retinal hemorrhages, in addition to a substantial increase in intraocular pressure⁽⁴²⁾.

However, prone position intervention in critically ill patients with breathing difficulties has often been discussed only in recent years. As a result, few published studies are related to critically ill patients. The study points out that despite our routine ophthalmic protocols, invasive mechanical ventilation applications predispose to corneal surface damage in patients in the intensive care unit⁽⁴³⁻⁴⁴⁾. Thus, knowledge of complementary protocols for eye care in critically ill patients is necessary.

Regarding eye care in patients with COVID-19, the authors did not present any intervention other than those recommended in uncontaminated patients. Because it is a recently discovered virus, further studies are needed to define care with lower infection risks^(25,27,30). Among the interventions, lubricants and eye protection with adhesive tape were the most frequent in the sample for critically ill patients, followed by eye examination and cleaning with saline.

In general, in patients receiving an anesthetic pharmacological agent or with incomplete eyelid closure, the authors recommend that, before performing the prone positioning maneuver, the eyes should be cleaned with saline, preferably inside out in a single direction, applied lubricant drops, and protected with adhesive tapes^(19,27). After

performing the maneuver, it is recommended that the eyes be examined, relubricated, and closed with tape every four hours⁽⁸⁾.

Regarding the eye examination, it is recommended that it be done daily. If there is a concern related to the damage, a deeper examination of the eyes can be performed with the application of drops of fluorescein and viewing the cornea through blue light, often found in ophthalmoscopes. Patients who are constantly exposed should be referred to ophthalmologists^(27,34).

The study identified patients in the prone position who required treatment for eye diseases through detailed examinations, first with a handheld biomicroscope and direct ophthalmoscope for each patient. Then, the eyes were dilated with 1% tropicamide, and retinal and optic nerve examinations were performed with an ophthalmoscope⁽⁴⁵⁾.

As for positioning during the prone position, patients should be kept in a neutral position or with the head higher than the heart level (reverse Trendelenburg)⁽⁸⁾. Studies with critically ill patients did not address the degree of elevation for the reverse Trendelenburg.

Concerning the devices used, a recent study showed that the prone position becomes more comfortable when using Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP), as this approach develops fewer complications, such as eye irritation⁽³⁰⁾.

It is also observed that the teams' training on the possible complications of the prone position is indispensable to managing patients adequately and preventing risks^(35,46). The training of care professionals in handling patients in the prone position is less frequent; however, it is an intervention that must be considered.

A clinical trial performed on patients with reduced or absent blink reflex after losing consciousness or using sedatives determined that implementing the eye care protocol could significantly reduce complications and eye problems⁽⁴⁷⁾. Based on these data, developing protocols for eye care is essential.

Eye care in surgical patients in the prone position

Studies involving surgical patients in the prone position appear more frequently in the sample. Due to the long surgery period, it is necessary to intervene so that new complications do not occur, prioritizing patient safety. In patients undergoing spinal surgery, for example, the prone position has a tenfold increased risk of eye injury compared to the supine and lateral positions⁽⁴⁸⁾.

Reverse Trendelenburg positioning, eye examination, and adequate head support were the most addressed interventions among the studies. The reverse Trendelenburg is used as a strat-

egy to reduce intraocular pressure and, consequently, perioperative visual loss^(21,24). The authors recommend that the head elevation should be 10°^(16,33).

As for eye examination, a preoperative ophthalmological examination is recommended to form an opinion about the 'patient's vision. As intraoperative interventions, some authors have addressed using an extensible mirror for frequent eye checking^(32,34). One study showed eye position monitoring every 20 minutes with an attached mirror as a strategy. A computer video streaming system was implemented to monitor the eye position throughout the process, proving to be a practical technological innovation in health⁽¹⁵⁾.

Concerning adequate head support, an effective strategy to reduce the risk of direct pressure on the eye involves using a three-pin Mayfield head support, which fixes and holds the head during surgical procedures^(8,24). Another device used to reduce direct pressure is the ProneView™ protective helmet system with facial contour, which allows for prone positioning and reduces the incidence of facial damage. Compared to the Prone Positioner™ without facial contour, this system proved more effective^(25,27-28,49).

The use of tapes for eye protection and lubricants was less frequent in the sample. Regarding the protection with adhesive tape, a study concluded that the use of silicone tapes with the removal of the type 3M for eyelids used in long surgeries significantly reduced skin lesions when compared with acrylate tapes, thus presenting itself as a strategy that can be considered for eye protection^(24,27-28,50).

In contrast, a study compared the effectiveness of four protection methods, involving the use of Hypafix® hypoallergenic adhesive tape, Terramycine® antibiotic ointment, artificial tear gel containing Viscotears® polyacrylic acid, and Duratears® ointment eye lubricant. It was concluded that there was no significant difference between the protection methods, showing that all the methods presented are suitable for protecting against corneal injuries^(17,23,51).

Corroborating the findings of the review, a prospective study performed a trial with a foam-based facial protection method to cover bony prominences during spinal surgical procedures, decreasing the incidence of iatrogenic facial ulcers in surgery by reducing friction and shear force⁽⁵²⁾.

Some studies have addressed using a specific ophthalmic solution to lower intraocular pressure in surgical patients. In one of these studies, the authors recommended using 2% brimonidine, which should be used preoperatively, for about one hour before anesthesia and every eight hours for 24 hours, helping reduce intraocular pressure in spine surgeries^(25,53-54). Only one study pointed to head rotation at 45° turned to the right as a technique for lowering intraocular pressure in patients placed in a prone position⁽¹⁸⁾.

Conclusion

Among the findings of the selected studies, care was suggested using lubricants to prevent dry eyes and specific ophthalmic solutions to reduce intraocular pressure. It is also essential to associate the prone position with the reverse Trendelenburg to minimize the risk of pressure in the face region and the proper headrest. The studies also propose recommendations on eye protection with adhesive tapes, frequent eye examination, cleaning with saline solution, and head rotation at 45° as essential precautions for preventing risks associated with eye health.

More collaborative clinical studies on eye care are suggested in isolation, as a shortage of scientific evidence that addressed more specific recommendations was identified, especially in critically ill patients.

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Dalmedico MM, Salas D, Oliveira AM, Baran FDP, Meardi JT, Santos MC. Efficacy of prone position in acute respiratory distress syndrome: overview of systematic reviews. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2017;51:e03251. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1980-220x2016048803251>
2. Araújo MS, Santos MMP, Silva CJA, Menezes RMP, Feijão AR, Medeiros SM. Prone positioning as an emerging tool in the care provided to patients infected with COVID-19: a scoping review. *Rev Lat Am Enferm*. 2021;29:e3397. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1518-8345.4732.3397>
3. Borges DL, Rapello GVG, Deponti GN, Andrade FMD. Posição prona no tratamento da insuficiência respiratória aguda na COVID-19. *ASSOBRAFIR Ciênc*. 2020;11(1):111. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47066/2177-9333.AC20.covid19.011>
4. Reece-Anthony R, Lao G, Carter C, Notter J. COVID-19 disease: Acute respiratory distress syndrome and prone position. *Clin Integr Care*. 2020;3:100024. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intcar.2020.100024>
5. Welter DI, Batista DCR, Moretti MMS, Piekala DM, Oliveira VM. Clinical profile and complications in pronated patients: a cohort from a university hospital. *Clin Biomed Res*. 2019;39(4):301-306.
6. Hadaya J, Benharash P. Prone Positioning for Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS). *JAMA*. 2020;324(13):1361. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.14901>
7. Marimon MF, Huguet EG, Biosca AR. El decúbito prono como estrategia terapéutica para la mejora del síndrome de distrés respiratorio agudo. *Metas Enferm*. 2018;20(1):57-63. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35667/MetasEnf.2019.20.1003081019>
8. Small J, Robertson E, Runcie C. Care of the eye during anaesthesia and intensive care. *Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine*. 2019;20:731-734. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpaic.2019.10.008>
9. Oliveira VM, Piekala DM, Deponti GN, Batista D, Minossi SD, Chisté M, et al. Safe prone checklist: construction and implementation of a tool for performing the prone maneuver. *Rev Bras Ter Intensiva*. 2017;29(2):131-141. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5935/0103-507X.20170023>
10. Hearne BJ, Hearne EG, Montgomery H, Lightman SL. Eye care in the intensive care unit. *J Intensive Care Soc*. 2018;19(4):345-350. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1751143718764529>
11. Peters M, Marnie C, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBIM Evid Synth*. 2020;18(10):2119-2126. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1124/JBIES-20-00167>
12. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Inter Med*. 2018;169:467-473. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850>
13. Dantas AC, Costa ML, Araújo JNM. Interventions for eye care in critical/surgical patients in a prone position: A Scoping Review; 2020. Available from: <https://osf.io/2h6kc>
14. Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine. Levels of evidence (March 2009); 2019. Available from: <https://www.cebm.net/2009/06/oxfor-centre-evidence-based-medicinereviews-evidence-march-2009/>
15. Kwee MM, Ho YH, Rozen WM. The prone position during surgery and its complications: a systematic review and evidence-based guidelines. *Int Surg*. 2015;100:292-303. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9738/INTSURG-D-13-00256.1>
16. Wilcklin SA. Systematic review and meta-analysis of prone position on intraocular pressure in adults undergoing surgery. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2020;14:195-208. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.14444/7029>

17. Kocatürk Ö, Kocatürk T, Kaan N, Dayanir V. The comparison of four different methods of perioperative eye protection under general anesthesia in prone position. *J Clin Anal Med*. 2012;3:163-165. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4328/JCAM.607>
18. Deniz MN, Erakgün A, Sertöz N, Yılmaz SG, Ateş H, Erhan E. The effect of head rotation on intraocular pressure in prone position: a randomized trial. *Braz J Anesthesiol*. 2013;63(2):209-212. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-7094\(13\)70217-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-7094(13)70217-4)
19. Curley MAQ, Arnold JH, Thompson JE, Fackler JC, Grant MJ, Fineman LD, et al. Clinical trial design - effect of prone positioning on clinical outcomes in infants and children with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *J Crit Care*. 2006;21(1):23-32. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrrc.2005.12.004>
20. Ozcan MS, Praetel C, Bhatti MT, Gravenstein N, Mahla ME, Seubert C. The effect of body inclination during prone positioning on intraocular pressure in awake volunteers: a comparison of two operating tables. *Anesth Analg*. 2005;99(4):1152-1158. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ANE.0000130851.37039.50>
21. Zeng LA, Lie SA, Chong SY. Comparison of Medical Adhesive Tapes in Patients at Risk of Facial Skin Trauma under Anesthesia. *Anesthesiol Res Pract*. 2016. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/4878246>
22. Carey TW, Shaw KA, Weber ML, Devine JG. Effect of the degree of reverse Trendelenburg position on intraocular pressure during prone spine surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Spine J*. 2014;14(9):2118-2126. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2013.12.025>
23. Farag E, Sessler DI, Kovaci B, Wang L, Mascha EJ, Bell G, et al. Effects of crystalloid versus colloid and the α -2 agonist brimonidine versus placebo on intraocular pressure during prone spine surgery: A factorial randomized trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;116:807-815. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e3182475c10>
24. Atwater BI, Wahrenbrock E, Benumof JL, Mazzei WJ. Pressure on the face while in the prone position: ProneView versus Prone Positioner. *J Clin Anesth*. 2004;16(2):111-6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2003.06.001>
25. Kadam AB, Jaipuria AS, Rathod AK. Modified prone position using lateral brace attachments for cervico-dorsal spine surgeries. *Eur Spine J*. 2013;22:1474-1479. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-012-2653-9>
26. Keita H, Devys JM, Ripart J, Frost M, Cochereau I, Boutin F, et al. Eye protection in anaesthesia and intensive care. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med*. 2017;36(6):411-418. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accpm.2017.08.001>
27. Makic MBF. Prone position of patients with COVID-19 and acute respiratory distress syndrome. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2020;35:437-438. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2020.05.008>
28. Gibson V, Rutherford I. Artificial ventilation in the prone position. *Aust Crit Care*. 1999;12(1):18-22. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1036-7314\(99\)70508-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1036-7314(99)70508-4)
29. Longhini F, Bruni A, Garofalo E, Navalesi P, Grasselli G, Cosentini R. Helmet continuous positive airway pressure and prone positioning: A proposal for an early management of COVID-19 patients. *Pulmonology*. 2020;26:186-191. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.04.014>
30. Sansome SG, Lin PF. Eye care in the intensive care unit during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Hosp Med*. 2020;81(6):1-10. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12968/hmed.2020.0228>
31. Kam RYR, Hayes M, Joshi N. Ocular care and complications in the critically ill. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med*. 2011;1:257-262. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9789/2175-5361.2017.v9i4.907-916>
32. Urine AA, Baig MN, Puente EG, Viloria A, Mendel E, Bergese SD. Current intraoperative devices to reduce visual loss after spine surgery. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2012;33:E14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3171/2009.8.FOCUS09151>
33. Kamel I, Barnette R. Positioning patients for spine surgery: Avoiding uncommon position-related complications. *World J Orthop*. 2014;5:425-443. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v5.i4.425>
34. Epstein NE. How to avoid perioperative visual loss following prone spinal surgery. *Surg Neurol Int*. 2016;7:S328-330. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4103/2152-7806.182543>
35. Roth S. Perioperative visual loss: What do we know, what can we do? *Brit J Anaesth*. 2009;103(SUPPL.1):31-40. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aep295>
36. Lin S, Bailey L, Nguyen T, Mintz C, Rosenblatt K. Extendable mirrors to improve anesthesia provider comfort for eye and positioning checks in prone patients: A pilot study. *J Patient Saf Risk Manag*. 2020;25:117-122. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516043520914199>
37. Fandino W. Strategies to prevent ischemic optic neuropathy following major spine surgery: A narrative review. *J Clin Anesth*. 2017;43:50-58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2017.09.009>
38. Patterson TJ, Currie P, Williams M, Shevlin C. Ocular Injury Associated with Prone Positioning in Adult Critical Care: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2021;227:66-73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2021.02.019>
39. Sanghi P, Malik M, Hossain IT, Manzouri B. Ocular Complications in the Prone Position in the Critical Care Setting: The COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2021;36(3):361-372. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885066620959031>
40. Kousha O, Kousha Z, Paddle J. Exposure keratopathy: Incidence, risk factors and impact of protocolised care on exposure keratopathy in critically ill adults. *J Crit Care*. 2018;44:413-418. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrrc.2017.11.031>
41. Moore Z, Patton D, Avsar P, McEvoy NL, Curley G, Budri A, et al. Prevention of pressure ulcers among individuals cared for in the prone position: lessons for the COVID-19 emergency. *J Wound Care*. 2020;29(6):312-320. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2020.29.6.312>
42. Sun L, Hymowitz M, Pomeranz HD. Eye Protection for Patients With COVID-19 Undergoing Prolonged Prone-Position Ventilation. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2021;139(1):109-112. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.4988>
43. Ceylan I, Korkmaz HA, Ulutaş HG. Eye Care in Intensive Care in COVID-19 Era: A Prospective Observational Study from Turkey. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2022;26(6):2165-2170. DOI: https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202203_28364
44. Santos VB, Aprile DCBA, Lopes CT, Lopes JL, Gamba MA, Costa KAL, et al. COVID-19 patients in prone position: validation of instructional materials for pressure injury prevention. *Rev Bras Enferm*. 2021;74(Suppl 1):e20201185. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2020-1185>
45. Gok ZE, Gok A, Delen LA, Kasapoglu US, Gurbuz E, Mutlu K. Evaluation of eye care and ocular findings in critically ill COVID-19 patients. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2021;75:e14909. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.14909>
46. Soare C, Nowak VA, Osborne S. Eye care in the intensive care unit during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. *Anaesthesia*. 2020;75:1118-1119. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15154>
47. Lahiji AP, Gohari M, Mirzaei S. The effect of implementation of evidence-based eye care protocol for patients in the intensive care units on superficial eye disorders. *BMC Ophthalmol*. 2021;21:275. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-021-02034-x>
48. Leuzinger-Dias M, Lima-Fontes M, Oliveira-Ferreira C, Camisa E, Sousa C, Rocha-Sousa A, et al. Prone Positioning Covid-19

Patients: A Double-Edged Sword - A Case Report of a Devastating Ocular Complication. *Ophthalmol Ther.* 2021;10(3):691-697. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40123-021-00359-w>

49. Chandra KN, Kundan M. Post operative visual loss after cervical laminectomy in prone position. *Braz J Anesthesiol.* 2017;67(4):435-438. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjane.2016.11.003>
50. Peko L, Barakat-Johnson M, Gefen A. Protecting prone positioned patients from facial pressure ulcers using prophylactic dressings: a timely biomechanical analysis in the context of the covid 19 pandemic. *Int Wound J.* 2020;17(6):1595-1606. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13435>
51. Bloria P, Bloria S, Chauhan R, Luthra A. Medical adhesive-related skin injuries caused by taping of the eye using acrylic-based adhesive tapes in prone surgery: a case report. *Ind J Anaesth.* 2020;64(4):345. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/ija.IJA_905_19
52. Haleem S, Mihai R, Rothenfluh DA, Reynolds J. Preventing iatrogenic facial pressure ulcers during spinal surgery: Prospective trial using a novel method and review of literature. *Int Wound J.* 2020;17:1391-1395. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13402>
53. Czorlich P, Krätzig T, Kluge N, Skevas C, Knospe V, Spitzer MS, et al. Intraocular pressure during neurosurgical procedures in context of head position and loss of cerebrospinal fluid. *J Neurosurg.* 2019;131(1):271-280. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3171/2018.3.JNS173098>
54. Shearer SC, Parsa KM, Newark A, Peesay T, Walsh AR, Fernandez S, et al. Facial Pressure Injuries from Prone Positioning in the COVID-19 Era. *Laryngoscope.* 2021;131(7):2139-2142. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.29374>