



Revista Colombiana de Psicología

ISSN: 0121-5469

ISSN: 2344-8644

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Facultad de Ciencias
Humanas, Departamento de Psicología

ATKINSON, RICHARD C.

The Mind's Theorist

Revista Colombiana de Psicología, vol. 27, no. 1, 2018, January-June, pp. 133-139

Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Facultad de Ciencias Humanas, Departamento de Psicología

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15446/rcp.v27n1.68594>

Available in: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=80464411010>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's webpage in redalyc.org

UNEN
redalyc.org

Scientific Information System Redalyc

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America and the Caribbean, Spain and
Portugal

Project academic non-profit, developed under the open access initiative

doi: <https://doi.org/10.15446/rcp.v27n1.68594>

The Mind's Theorist

RICHARD C. ATKINSON

University of California, San Diego, United States



Excepto que se establezca de otra forma, el contenido de esta revista cuenta con una licencia Creative Commons "reconocimiento, no comercial y sin obras derivadas" Colombia 2.5, que puede consultarse en: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/co>

How to cite this article: Atkinson, R. C. (2018). The mind's theorist. *Revista Colombiana de Psicología*, 27, 133-139. <https://doi.org/10.15446/rcp.v27n1.68594>

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Richard Atkinson, e-mail: rca@ucsd.edu. University of California, 9500 Gilman Drive, # 0436 5320 Atkinson Hall, San Diego, United States.

ESSAY

RECEIVED: 17 OCTOBER 2017 - ACCEPTED: 30 OCTOBER 2017

Abstract

The highest-order function of the mind is as a theorist. The memory system accumulates information about the outside world. The mind's theorist must sort through the information to formulate a theory about that world. The basic component of the system for theory building is a process called trolling. When the conscious mind is not being bombarded by external stimuli, or during certain stages of sleep, the mind's theorist trolls through memory searching for traces that contain similar information. If several traces are identified, analysis may yield information that was not evident when each was examined individually; reification of this sort can add new information to memory. The trolling process, and its ability to form new memory traces in the absence of external stimulation, is key to understanding many psychological phenomena.

Keywords: mind's theorist, memory traces, theory building, trolling, hypothesis testing.

La Mente como Constructora de Teorías

Resumen

La función superior de la mente es la de la construcción teórica. El sistema de memoria acumula información acerca del mundo externo y la mente constructora de teorías debe revisar dicha información para formular una teoría sobre el mundo. El componente básico del sistema de construcción teórica es un proceso llamado "trolling", que implica una búsqueda cuidadosa y sistemática. Cuando la mente consciente no está siendo bombardeada por estímulos externos o durante ciertas etapas del sueño, la mente teórica escudriña en la memoria buscando trazas que contengan información similar. Cuando se identifican varias trazas, es posible que el análisis arroje información que no era evidente al examinar cada traza de manera individual. Así, este tipo de reificación puede aportarle nueva información a la memoria. Dada su capacidad de formar nuevas trazas de memoria en ausencia de estímulos externos, el proceso de "trolling" es clave para la comprensión de muchos fenómenos psicológicos.

Palabras clave: teórico de la mente, trazas de memoria, construcción de teorías, trolling, prueba de hipótesis.

O Teórico da Mente

Resumo

A maior função superior do cérebro é a de ser um teórico. O sistema da memória acumula informação sobre o mundo de fora. O teórico da mente deve investigar toda a informação para formular uma teoria sobre esse mundo. O componente básico do sistema para elaborar teorias é um processo chamado "trolling" (pesca de corrico). Quando a mente consciente não está sendo bombardeada por estímulos externos, ou durante certas etapas do sono, o teórico da mente "pesca" pelas memórias, procurando traços que contenham informações parecidas. Se vários traços são identificados, pode-se ter como resultado informação que não era evidente quando cada um foi analisado individualmente; reificação desse tipo pode adicionar nova informação à memória. O processo de "pescar" e sua habilidade para formar novos traços de memória na ausência de estímulos externos é fundamental para entender muitos fenômenos psicológicos.

Palavras-chave: elaboração de teorias, teórico da mente, teste de hipóteses, traços de memória, trolling.

MUCH PROGRESS has been made in understanding various aspects of the mind. There are well-developed psychological theories of perceptual and sensory systems, of the structure and control processes governing short and long term memory, of the motivational and emotional systems that affect learning, and of higher level cognitive skills involved in thinking and problem-solving. However, attempts to explain these theories soon bog down in technical details and leave one with the feeling that the mind is so complex and dynamic that a general understanding still remains beyond the reach of science.

Nevertheless, when one examines these various theories, an overriding concept emerges. The concept, simply stated, proposes that the mind's highest-order function is as a *theorist*. Over time, the memory system accumulates information about the outside world; the mind's theorist's job is to sort through the information and develop a theory about that world. The mind's theorist interprets prior experiences and uses that analysis to formulate a theory that generates predictions of likely outcomes and opportunities when confronting future situations.

I use the term theory in the same sense that it is used in science. Kepler formulated astronomical laws of planetary motion. Galileo proposed the law of falling bodies. Newton's brilliant insight was to explain these two phenomena with his theory of *universal gravitation*. But Newton's theory, like all theories, was *provisional*. As physics progressed, the theory proved inadequate to predict the motion of subatomic particles or the flight of satellites in space. Accordingly, Einstein's theory of *general relativity* replaced Newtonian theory. All theory is provisional. As more data accumulates and a wider range of phenomena are analyzed, theory invariably must be modified and updated.

As is the case for scientific theories, the mind's theory of the world is provisional. As information accumulates in memory, the mind's theorist (henceforth MT) must update the theory to account for new observations. For familiar situations,

the theory is well developed and the individual responds quickly. For novel situations, a more extensive search of memory is necessary and even then may fail to generate relevant predictions. In these cases, the individual will hesitate to respond and, at the end of the episode, store additional information about the outcome.

When I was a graduate student some 60 years ago, the field of psychology was dominated by an s-r version of behaviorism. If a stimulus and response occurred in temporal contiguity and was followed by a reward (reinforcement), then supposedly a bond formed between the stimulus and response. Whenever the stimulus occurred, the bond would tend to elicit the response and become ever stronger with repeated rewards. The behaviorists were successful in explaining some simple forms of behavior, particularly that of laboratory animals. They argued that even the most complex forms of human behavior could be explained by postulating a hierarchy of stimulus-response associations, once the basic associations were better understood.

Pavlov's classical conditioning experiment was an example of an s-r approach to psychological phenomena. A puff of meat powder (delivered to a pan in front of a dog) would elicit salivation. Next, a brief tone was paired with the presentation of the meat powder. After a series of such trials, the tone (when presented alone) led to salivation. Experiments on Pavlovian conditioning proved to be very productive and placed psychology on a firm base as an experimental science. However, by the 1960s it became evident that an s-r analysis could not explain many of the newer research findings. A cognitive interpretation was necessary. For example, in the Pavlovian setup, the dog appeared to be reflexively responding to the tone. However, by employing some ingenious experimental designs, it was evident that the dog was maintaining in memory a history of prior events and using the history to make inferences about what to do next. Subtle changes in the history could cause the

dog to show no sign of salivation to the tone at certain times. These and comparable developments led to what has been called the *cognitive revolution in psychology*. The ideas offered here accord with that perspective.

A few remarks about memory are required before discussing how MT generates theory to guide behavior. It is useful to make a distinction between short-term memory (STM) and long-term memory (LTM). The STM is of limited capacity and its contents are continually changing; nothing exists there on a permanent basis. In contrast, the LTM is virtually limitless. It provides a repository of information about events occurring over a lifetime, knowledge needed to understand and speak a language, and all other information available to us from our memory.

It is convenient to think of a memory trace as an array of features with each feature having multiple values (e.g., color as a feature and blue as a possible value). When an event occurs, a trace is activated in STM; the trace serves as a probe to retrieve traces from LTM that are similar to the present event. The MT then uses this information to make an appropriate response. Once the individual responds and an outcome (e.g., a positive or negative reward) has occurred, that information is added to the memory trace. The contents of STM are then stored in LTM. The first occurrence of an event produces a weak memory trace. A repetition of the event produces a new trace in STM; if the new trace (serving as a probe) retrieves the earlier trace, then the two traces can be linked together. Thus, repetitions of the same event can build a rich memory trace that is readily retrieved with an appropriate probe.

Some examples may be helpful. The arrival of a close friend will immediately retrieve a strong memory trace with information about her name, an image, family history and other information that has been linked to the primary trace over repeated exposures. In addition, there may be secondary memory traces that have not been linked to the primary trace; only with a

more extended search and a better probe can they be retrieved. Contrast this example with an individual you met once years ago. You may have several memory traces of her that have never been linked together. When meeting her again, you may retrieve a trace that causes you to recognize her and only later retrieve a trace that lets you recall her name and where you met her.

One more example, a conjecture about my own memory traces for the Pythagorean Theorem. There is probably a primary trace that includes the words "right triangle", an equation " $a^2+b^2=c^2$ ", an image of a right triangle, and the phrase "Greek philosopher Pythagoras". Any one of these entries would serve as a probe to retrieve the memory trace. However, there may be other traces such as an image of a square, each side of length $a+b$, with its four corners folded as a clue to a proof of the pythagorean theorem. Tucked elsewhere in memory might be an image of my high school geometry teacher, Pythagoras' dingdong theory of language, and so forth.

When the conscious mind is not being bombarded by external stimuli, or during certain stages of sleep, a process called *trolling* occurs in LTM. The MT trolls through memory searching for traces that contain similar information. When several similar traces are identified, inspection and manipulation of the group may yield information that was not evident when each trace is examined individually. If reification occurs, the traces can be elaborated and possibly linked together. The trolling process can lead to changes in the traces that make them functionally more accurate, but it can also be the source of distortions, or what is known as *false memories*.

Let me give two hypothetical examples of the trolling process; one exemplifies the *tip-of-the-tongue* phenomenon and the other the *Aha* moment. For the first example, suppose you encounter a former classmate that you have not seen for many years. Immediately, you retrieve a wealth of information about him, but simply cannot recall his name. Some hours later, when you are engaged

in something entirely different, the name suddenly pops into consciousness without warning. You were not thinking of him at the time, but suddenly his name appeared. For the Aha example, a young student has tried unsuccessfully to provide a proof for the pythagorean theorem. After several hours of effort, she gives up and goes to bed. Once asleep, the trolling process begins to review and manipulate various memory traces of squares and right triangles. One manipulation folds a corner of a square to form a right triangle, and the same fold is repeated on the other three corners. What emerges is an image of a smaller square with its sides formed by the hypotenuse of the four right triangles. Voila, the new image suggests a proof for the theorem and our student is suddenly wide awake (Aha!). We have all had experiences of this sort involving the trolling process operating at a subconscious level.

The formation of a memory trace is prone to error, and its transfer to LTM can lead to additional errors. On later retrievals and during the trolling process different memory traces can combine, with the possibility of modifying the original memory. Further, emotional and motivational states can influence the storage and retrieval processes. Although there is no reliable experimental evidence for Freud's concept of *repressed memories*, there may be traumatic events that cannot be retrieved except under very unusual circumstances.

As information accumulates in LTM, some of it is summarized in symbolic form. Symbols are introduced to represent objects, ideas, and thoughts. These symbols, in turn, form the basis for language. For example, the memory trace for the symbol "chair" would include an image of a chair, the printed word for chair, the sound of the word, and other defining information. As information accumulates in LTM, the trolling process identifies components embedded in a variety of memory traces that reference chair. These components are then assembled to form a new memory trace that is the symbolic representation of chair. The trace becomes stronger over

time as it is more precisely defined by additional inputs. The trolling process—the ability to form new memory traces without external sensory stimulation—is key to understanding many psychological phenomena.

Pattern recognition is an aspect of the trolling process. While trolling, the MT may identify a pattern of events and generate a hypothesis about how these events are related. If the hypothesis is verified by subsequent experience, then it is eligible to be integrated into the mind's theory. As hypotheses accumulate, the MT continues to update and modify the theory. These hypotheses are the building blocks of the mind's theory.

LTM usually is described as of virtually unlimited size. I have often thought that an XPRIZE should be established for anyone who can offer a meaningful estimate of LTM, for example, measured in megabytes. To make such an estimate would require a better understanding of the brain than now exists. Our intuitions about LTM size may be misleading. When I see an old movie that I saw some 70 years ago, I have the feeling that I am aware of every detail of the movie (including dialogue) as it was originally shown. Possibly a series of memory traces are stored in LTM that includes such detail. However, it may be that only gists of the movie are in LTM and the recall process fills in the blanks so that I experience total recall.

Earlier, I suggested that the mind's theory is comparable to scientific theories like those of Newton and Einstein. I need to add a caveat at this point. When a theory is advanced in a scientific field, it must satisfy some basic requirements: the assumptions (axioms) of the theory are logically consistent; the theory explains a range of known phenomena, and makes predictions about new phenomena some of which may have already been confirmed by further research. A scientific theory might be best thought of as a singular accomplishment of an MT (or a group of MTs) that explains a limited set of phenomena, compared to the virtually limitless tasks the MT must deal with to meet the day-to-day needs of

an actual person. However, both have the same goal, to formulate a theory that accounts for past observations and predicts outcomes when faced with new situations.

The MT confronts an ever-changing environment. Its database in memory is error-prone and different memory traces can be contradictory. How the MT interprets the database and what information has priority at any moment can lead to a theory that at times generates inconsistent predictions. Ambiguous situations can create similar problems, because whatever MT predicts will tend to be regarded as correct in the absence of contradictory feedback; research has shown that the mind has a bias to perceive what it expects to perceive. Further, there is the possibility of dissociation. Depending on the particular history of events, components of the theory can function independently at times. An extreme example of dissociation is the clinical case of *dissociative identity disorder*, also known as multiple personality disorder. Notwithstanding these limitations, the MT has proved to be remarkably effective. From a Darwinian perspective, the ability of the MT to predict the future and adapt to change has been key to the success of *Homo sapiens*.

It is evident from our discussion that MT is a complex system and many questions remain, but there is relevant on-going research. In the psychological and cognitive sciences, work on pattern recognition, Bayesian hypothesis testing, problem-solving, and higher-order thinking has identified attributes of a model for MT. In the field of computer science, developments in artificial intelligence and machine learning are relevant. Of special interest is the work on *deep learning* dealing with computational algorithms and heuristics for speech recognition, computer vision and natural language processing. Building on current research, the formulation of a general model of the MT soon may be within reach. The model should offer insights into how the MT develops over a lifetime and has changed through the course of evolution.

Endnotes

1. To my knowledge, the phrase “The Mind’s Theorist” has not been used in the psychological literature. However, the general concept, in various forms, is not new and can be traced back to Plato in the first book of *The Republic*. A particularly elegant realization is due to the British psychologist Kenneth Craik. I quote from his 1943 book *The Nature of Explanation*:

If the organism carries a ‘small-scale model’ of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilise the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it. Most of the greatest advances of modern technology have been instruments which extended the scope of our sense-organs, our brains or our limbs. Such are telescopes and microscopes, wireless, calculating machines, typewriters, motor cars, ships and aeroplanes. Is it not possible, therefore, that our brains themselves utilise comparable mechanisms to achieve the same ends and that these mechanisms can parallel phenomena in the external world as a calculating machine can parallel the development of strains in a bridge? (p. 61)

Craik played an important role in laying the foundation for what I referred to in this essay as the cognitive revolution in psychology. He died in a bicycle accident in 1945 at the young age of 31. A tragic loss for science.

2. For background on the type of memory system described in this essay, see the following references:

Atkinson, R. C., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In K. W. Spence, & J. T. Spence (Eds.), *The Psychology of learning and motivation: Advances in research and theory*, Vol. 2, (pp. 89-195). New York, USA: Academic Press.

- Atkinson, R. C., & Shiffrin, R. M. (2016). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In R. J. Sternberg, S. T. Fiske, & D. J. Foss (Eds.), *Scientists Making a Difference*, (pp. 115-118). New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Craik, K. J. W. (1943). The nature of explanation. CUP Archive.
- Nelson, A. B., & Shiffrin, R. M. (2013). The co-evolution of knowledge and event memory. *Psychological Review*, 120, 356-394.
- Raaijmakers, J. G. W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1980). SAM: A theory of probabilistic search of associative memory. In Bower, G. H. (Ed.), *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, Vol. 14, (pp. 207-262). New York, USA: Academic Press.