

Investigación y Educación en Enfermería

ISSN: 0120-5307 revistaiee@gmail.com Universidad de Antioquia Colombia

Sánchez-García, Ana Belén; López-Montesinos, María José; Fernández-Alemán, José Luis
Wireless devices in nursing education
Investigación y Educación en Enfermería, vol. 31, núm. 1, 2013, pp. 95-106
Universidad de Antioquia
Medellín, Colombia

Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=105226364011



Complete issue

More information about this article

Journal's homepage in redalyc.org



Wireless devices in nursing education

Ana Belén Sánchez-García¹ María José López-Montesinos² José Luis Fernández-Alemán³

Wireless devices in nursing education

Abstract

Objective. This article sought to explore the adoption of wireless devices in university nursing teaching and address their repercussion on future professionals. **Methodology.** This is a bibliographical study conducted in 2011, which analyzed international publications on the use, review, application, opinion, and experimentation of wireless devices in university nursing teaching of wireless technology in nursing teaching. The following databases were used: Medline and Science@Direct. **Results.** A total of 503 articles were extracted and 77 were selected, of which 40 investigated the Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), 13 the clicker (Student Response Wireless System), and six the smart phone. The use of mobile devices has experienced strong growth during the last five years, especially PDAs. **Conclusion**. Use of mobile devices in university nursing teaching has grown in recent years, especially PDAs.

Key words: education, nursing; teaching materials; educational technology.

Dispositivos inalámbricos en la educación enfermera

Resumen

Objetivo. Explorar la adopción de los dispositivos inalámbricos en la enseñanza universitaria de enfermería y abordar su repercusión para los futuros profesionales. **Metodología.** Estudio bibliográfico realizado en 2011 en el que se analizaron las publicaciones internacionales sobre el uso revisión, aplicación, opinión y experimentación de los dispositivos inalámbricos (tecnología inalámbrica) en la enseñanza universitaria de enfermería. Se utilizaron las bases de datos Medline y Science@Direct. **Resultados.** Se extrajeron 503 artículos y se seleccionaron 77, de los cuales, 40 investigaron la PDA (Personal Digital Assistant), 13 el clicker (Sistema inalámbrico de Respuesta del Estudiante) y 6 el teléfono inteligente. El uso de dispositivos móviles ha experimentado un fuerte crecimiento en los últimos cinco años,

- 1 RN, M.Sc., Professor. Universidad Católica San Antonio de Murcia, España. email: absgarcia@ucam.edu
- 2 RN, Ph.D., Professor. Universidad de Murcia, España. email: mjlopez@um.es
- 3 Engineer, Ph.D., Professor. Universidad de Murcia, España. email: aleman@um.es.

Article associated with the research: Análisis comparativo mediante resultados encontrados en las bases de datos bibliográficas Medline y Science@Direct

Subventions: none.

Conflict of interest: none.

Receipt date: June 27th 2012.

Approval date: September 19th 2012.

How to cite this article: Sánchez-García AB, López-Montesinos MJ. Wireless devices in nursing education. Invest Educ Enferm. 2013;31(1): 95-106.

especialmente la PDA. **Conclusión.** El uso de dispositivos móviles en la enseñanza universitaria de enfermería ha crecido en los últimos años, especialmente el de la PDA.

Palabras clave: educación en enfermería; materiales de enseñanza; tecnología educacional.

Dispositivos sem fio na educação em enfermagem

Resumo

Objetivo. Explorar a adoção dos dispositivos sem fio no ensino universitário de enfermagem e abordar sua repercussão para os futuros profissionais. **Metodologia.** Estudo bibliográfico realizado em 2011 no que se analisaram as publicações internacionais sobre o uso revisão, aplicação, opinião e experimentação dos dispositivos sem fio no ensino universitário de enfermagem da tecnologia sem fio no ensino de enfermagem. Utilizaram-se as bases de dados Medline e Science@Direct. **Resultados.** Extraíram-se 503 artigos e foram selecionados 77, dos quais, 40 pesquisaram a PDA (Pessoal Digital Assistant), 13 o clicker (Sistema sem fio de Resposta do Estudante) e 6 o telefone inteligente. O uso de dispositivos móveis experimentou um forte crescimento nos últimos cinco anos, especialmente a PDA. **Conclusão.** O uso de dispositivos móveis no ensino universitário de enfermagem cresceu nos últimos anos, especialmente o da PDA.

Palavras chave: educação em enfermagem; materiais de ensino; tecnologia educacional.

Introduction _

Educational strategies have been changing at the rhythm of technological progress in our society. It is a fact, the introduction of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in university teaching in general, and in health sciences careers. in particular. Technological media have become part of the formation and evaluation instruments in numerous study plans throughout the world; social networks to teach and learn telemedicine.1 or videos on YouTube to teach medical-surgical nursing² are some examples. The ICTs contribute with numerous benefits to both teachers and students:²⁻⁴ they permit professors to have more time for other tasks, formative processes are open and flexible, accomplish more personalized teaching, raise interest and motivation from students, improves communication/contact between professor and student, as well as educational efficiency, promoting individual learning.

Within this current, electronic devices like tabletop computers, laptops, PDAs, or smart phones play a fundamental role. Within the last five years, accompanied by technological progress, emergent line of research has appeared on the use of wireless devices in university teaching.5-7 The inherent portability of these devices overcomes some of the limitations of the traditional PCs. The new didactic instruments break the traditional spatiotemporal barriers, permitting rapid access to online information anytime and anywhere. Introducing these devices to university classrooms will facilitate their adoption by future healthcare professionals and will permit saving time and will avoid mistakes in making clinical decisions. Hence, the quality of care provided in primary and specialized care environments will be notably increased, which will have direct repercussions on user satisfaction. Actions of healthcare providers will more rapid and secure, given that they can verify treatments and diagnostic decisions issued. This work seeks to explore the adoption of wireless devices in university nursing teaching and address its repercussion in future healthcare professionals. To accomplish this objective, we propose a search and comparative analysis of international publications on this theme.

Methodology _

Review, protocol, and registry. To perform the comparative analysis, the authors used formal methods to ensure a precise search process. The aim of this study was not merely to group all the existing proof on the use of wireless devices in nursing education, but to establish guidelines based on evidence for health professionals. To identify the works, we followed the recommendations from the PRISMA standard.8 Hence, prior to starting the literature search and extraction of the subsequent data, a protocol was developed describing each step, along with the inclusion criteria.

The research questions proposed were: What wireless devices are being used in university nursing teaching? And, is sufficient evidence available to broadly adopt wireless devices in university nursing teaching? The inclusion criteria were the following: articles with date of publication between January 1970 and August 2011 (IC1), which deal on the use, description, application, or evaluation of any wireless device in university nursing teaching (IC2). Articles were included since January 1970 (IC1), a date since which works have been registered in the bibliographic databases reviewed. We have tried to conduct a complete search and due to this we feel that all the articles found during the study period must be included. The search was carried out through Medline and Science@Direct bibliographic databases. This selection was motivated given that these databases index publications of relevant scientific interest in the object matter under study. The search process began on 01 August 2011 and ended on 17 August 2011. Eligibility criterion

(IC2) is included to answer the proposed research questions. To complete the search, a follow up of the citations was carried out along with a detailed examination of the references to make the review more exhaustive.

The search chain used was ("nurse education" OR "nursingeducation" AND PAL), where PAL is: wireless, PDA, PDAs, e-portfolio, e-portfolios, PC tablet, PC tablets, mobile, smart phone, podcasting, clickers or clicker. When necessary, the search was adapted to the characteristics of the search engines of the databases. To choose the works, we explored the title, abstract, and key words of the articles, adopting the eligibility criteria identified. We proceeded to a partial or complete reading of the articles that could not be discriminated from the abstract to discover if these fulfilled or not with the eligibility criteria. All the activities described were carried out jointly by both authors of the work. Substantial agreement was reached between the authors (kappa = 0.94, CI 95% = 0.91, 0.97). Discrepancies between the authors were discussed until reaching 100% agreement.

Classification of the articles. The works were classified according to the following facets: type of contribution, according to the research approach used, which is independent of the rest of the facets; and type of wireless device employed or analyzed in the article. In the first facet, we found: a) Research through evaluation: techniques that have been implemented in practice and of which an evaluation is performed. This means that it shows how the technique is implemented in practice and what consequences it bears, by using experimentation. Generally, the advantages and inconveniences of the proposals evaluated are analyzed; b) Solution proposal: a solution is proposed (new or an extension of an existing one) for a problem. The benefits and applicability of the solution is shown through a small example, application, or a good line of argument, but a formal empirical analysis of the proposal is not carried out; c) Opinion articles: these express someone's personal view on whether a determined technique is good or bad or on how things should be done. These articles are not based on related works or on research methodologies; and, d) Review articles: these describe the most relevant studies published and propose new lines of research and application.

Regarding facet 2, the types of devices sought were: a) The Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) is a hand-held computer initially designed as an electronic agenda (calendar, list of contacts, memo pad, and reminders) with a writing recognition system. These electronic devices began being used in 1990; b) Smart phone: it is a commercial term used to define a mobile phone that also offers PDA functions. It can have programs installed to increase its data processing and connectivity; these can be installed by the manufacturer, the telephone service operator, or by a third party. Some examples of so-called smart phones are: Smartphone. BlackBerry, iPhone, and all those with the Android operational system. like for example: Google NexusOne, Motorola Milestone, and Sony Ericsson XperiaArc; c) Clicker: this device, also known as classroom response system (CRS) or audience response system (ARS), is a small transmitter that sends a signal to a receptor when pressing the proper buttons; d) PC tablet: this is a laptop computer with which it is possible to interact through a touch screen. It is used with a stylus pen or with the fingertips, without the need for a physical keyboard or a mouse, working as a computer, but more aimed at web surfing and multimedia resources. Recently, tablets have emerged that incorporate mobile phones; these are called 3G tablets; and, e) Media players: these devices store, organize, and play video, audio, and image files. A generic player with MP3 audio compression format is an example of a media player.

Results

Selection of studies. The search found 503 articles of which 394 were discarded because they were clearly irrelevant after revising the titles, abstracts, and key words that appeared in said articles upon not fulfilling inclusion criterion 2 (IC2). Of the

109 remaining articles, partial examination of the text was conducted for 52 articles because their abstracts were insufficient to determine if they fulfilled criterion IC2. After this last review, 37 articles were withdrawn, which left a total of 72 articles included in the review. Additionally, five more studies were included after revising the references of these articles, finally selecting 77 works

Characteristics of the studies. A total of 77 articles were included in the review of research on the application of wireless devices in nursing teaching, with 87% of them published as of 2005. Table 1 presents the number of articles in both dimensions studied: type of article and wireless device used. Upon analyzing the type of article, the most frequent was that of evaluation (40.3%), followed by that of solution (31.2%). opinion (24.7%), and review with 3.9%. The most researched device in nursing teaching during the study series was the PDA with 40 works (51.9% of the studies), followed by clickers (16.9%), smart phones (7.8%), media players (5.2%), mobile phones (2.6%), and PC tablets (1.3%); in the 14.3% representing the remaining articles were on the use of more than one device.

The preferred type of contribution is the proposal of a solution in some academic course (20 works with PDAs and five with Clickers), although interest for experimentation is observed (12 experiments with PDAs and six with Clickers). It is worth noting that a deficit exists of reviews on smart phones, portfolios, and podcasting, probably because an important number of works published is still not available. To explore the impact of the works selected in the scientific publication, the articles chosen were organized based on the number of citations found in Google Scholar. A database has been used instead of Journal Citation Report (JCR) or Web of Science because it encompasses a higher number of disclosure forums, although greater effort is necessary to filter the data. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that its use is efficient for bibliometric studies like the g index.9 As noted in Table 2, of the 12 articles equaling or surpassing 20 citations, 21 articles deal with PDAs, and one deals with clicker.

Table 1. Number of publications per type of article and wireless device used

Type of device	Type of article					
Type of device	Solution	Evaluation	Opinion	Review	Total	
Mobile phone	2	0	0	0	2	
PC tablet	1	0	0	0	1	
Media player	1	1	2	0	4	
Smart phone	2	3	1	0	6	
Clicker	6	5	1	1	13	
PDA	12	20	6	2	40	
Total	24	31	19	3	77	

Table 2. Studies included in the review on nursing education with mobile devices according to type of article, year of publication, journal, and number of citations in Google Scholar

Reference	Type of article	Device	Year	Fuente	Nº citations
Garrett and Jackson ¹⁰	Evaluation	PDA	2006	Nurse Educ Today	38
Bogossian et al., 11	Evaluation	Tablet PC	2009	Nurse Educ Today	2
Gagne ¹²	Review	Clicker	2011	Nurse Educ Today	0
Patterson et al., 13	Evaluation	Clicker	2009	Nurse Educ Today	4
Wu et al.,14	Evaluation	PDA	2010	Nurse Educ Today	0
Broussard ¹⁵	Evaluation	Clicker	2010	Nurse Educ Pract	0
McLeod and Mays ¹⁶	Evaluation	PDA	2008	Nurs Clin North Am	5
Efstathiou and Bailey17	Evaluation	Clicker	2011	Nurse Educ Today	0
Lee et al., 18	Evaluation	PDA	2010	Asian Nurs Resh	0
George et al.,19	Evaluation	PDA	2010	J Prof Nurs	3
Dearnley et al.,5	Evaluation	SP	2008	Nurse Educ Pract	7
Young et al., ²⁰	Evaluation	MP	2009	Nurse Educ Today	4
Berglund et al.,21	Solution	PDA	2007	Int J Med Inform	28
Clay ²²	Solution	SP	2011	Nurse Educ Today	0
Cornelius and Gordon ²³	Opinion	PDA	2008	Nurse Educ Today	0
Lee and Bakken ²⁴	Solution	PDA	2007	Int J Med Inform	6
Taylor ²⁵	Review	PDA	2005	Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am	6
DeBourgh ²⁶	Solution	Clicker	2008	Nurse Educ Pract	23
Jones et al., ²⁷	Solution	Clicker	2009	Teach Learn Nurs	1
Jensen <i>et al.,</i> ²⁸	Opinion	Clicker	2009	Nurse Educ Pract	7
Phillippi and Wyatt ²⁹	Opinion	SP	2010	Comput Inform Nurs	1
Russell et al., 30	Solution	Clicker	2011	Nurse Educ	0
Solecki et al., 31	Evaluation	Clicker	2009	Int J Nurs Pract	0
Mareno et al.,32	Solution	Clicker	2010	Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh	0
Filer ³³	Evaluation	Clicker	2010	Nurs Educ Perspect	1
Skiba ³⁴	Solution	Clicker	2006	Nurs Educ Perspect	13

Table 2. Studies included in the review on nursing education with mobile devices according to type of article, year of publication, journal, and number of citations in Google Scholar (cont.)

Reference	Type of article	Device	Year	Fuente	Nº citations
Johnston et al., ³⁵	Evaluation	SP	2010	Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh	0
Lymn and Bowskill ³⁶	Solution	SP	2010	Nurs Stand	1
Zurmehly ³⁷	Review	PDA	2005	Nurs Educ Perspect	2
Kuiper ³⁸	Solution	PDA	2010	Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh	2
Williams and Dittmer ³⁹	Evaluation	PDA	2009	Nurs Educ Perspect	2
Newman et al.,40	Evaluation	PDA	2009	Collegian	1
Clark et al., 41	Solution	PDA	2009	J Nurses Staff Dev	3
Anonson et al.,42	Solution	PDA	2008	J Healthc Inf Manag	0
Stroud et al. 43	Evaluation	PDA	2009	J Am Acad Nurse Pract	7
Koeniger-Donohue44	Solution	PDA	2008	J Nurs Educ	20
Trangenstein et al.,45	Solution	PDA	2007	Stud Health Technol Inform	4
Greenfield ⁴⁶	Solution	PDA	2007	J Nurs Educ	31
Newman and Howse ⁴⁷	Solution	PDA	2007	Comput Inform Nurs	7
Bakken ⁴⁸	Solution	PDA	2006	Stud Health Technol Inform	7
Dreher ⁴⁹	Solution	PDA	2006	Stud Health Technol Inform	4
Tilghman et al.,50	Solution	PDA	2006	ABNF J	10
Scollin et al.,51	Solution	PDA	2006	Comput Inform Nurs	18
Altmann and Brady ⁵²	Solution	PDA	2005	Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh	22
Davenport ⁵³	Solution	PDA	2005	Nurse Educ	0
White et al., 54	Opinion	PDA	2005	Nurse Educ	24
Kneebone et al.,55	Solution	PDA	2003	Med Teach	13
Bakken et al.,56	Solution	PDA	2004	Int J Med Inform	36
Moore et al.,57	Opinion	PDA	2002	Proc AMIA Symp	11
Brubaker et al.,58	Solution	PDA	2009	Nurs Educ Perspect	2
Farrell and Rose ⁵⁹	Evaluation	PDA	2008	J NursEduc	22
Fox et al.,60	Evaluation	PDA	2007	J Allied Health	1
McClunie-Trust ⁶¹	Opinion	PDA	2006	Stud Health Technol Inform	2
Smith and Pattillo ⁶²	Opinion	PDA	2006	Nurse Educ	7
Miller ⁶³	Evaluation	PDA	2005	J Nurs Educ	44
Scordo ⁶⁴	Opinion	PDA	2003	AACN Clin Issues	21
Cibulka and Crane-Wider ⁴	Solution	PDA	2011	J Nurs Educ	0
Brown et al.,65	Solution	Various	2010	Pract Midwife	0
Pilcher and Bedford ⁶⁶	Opinion	MP	2010	Neonatal Netw	0
Long and Edwards ⁶⁷	Opinion	MP	2010	J Nurses Staff Dev	0
Greenfield ⁶⁸	Evaluation	MP	2011	J Nurs Educ	0
MacKay and Harding ⁶⁹	Evaluation	MP	2009	Nurs Prax N Z	1
Morris and Maynard ⁷⁰	Solution	SP	2010	Worldviews Evid Based Nurs	1
Trangenstein ⁷¹	Opinion	Various	2008	Nurs Clin North Am	1

Table 2. Studies included in the review on nursing education with mobile devices according to type of article, year of publication, journal, and number of citations in Google Scholar (cont.)

Reference	Type of article	Device	Year	Fuente	Nº citations
Hao et al., ⁷	Opinion	Various	2006	AMIA Annu Symp Proc	0
Maag ⁷²	Solution	MP	2006	Stud Health Technol Inform	10
Farrell ⁷³	Evaluation	PDA	2006	Aust Nurs J	3
Billings ⁶	Opinion	Various	2005	J Nurs Educ	10
Valaitis and O'Mara ⁷⁴	Solution	Various	2005	Comput Inform Nurs	2
Spurlock et al.,75	Opinion	Various	1999	Semin Nurse Manag	0
DeBaca ⁷⁶	Opinion	Various	1984	J Contin Educ Nurs	1
Rollo ⁷⁷	Opinion	Various	1976	Nurs Times	0
Mertz ⁷⁸	Opinion	Various	1970	NLN Publ	0
Lange ⁷⁹	Opinion	Various	1970	NLN Publ	0
Folgueras ⁸⁰	Opinion	Various	1970	NLN Publ	0
Porter and Tousman ⁸¹	Evaluation	Clickers	2010	J Nurs Educ	1

SP: Smart phone; MP: Mobile phone; MP: Media player

Table 3 shows, in order of number of articles published, if the journal is indexed in the ISI Journal Citation Report (JCR), and if this prior condition is positive, the quartile it was in at the

time of the study. The two journals publishing the most works (22.1% of the total) are indexed in JCR, which shows the quality and repercussion of these works.

Table 3. Journals with highest number of publications and quality indices

Journal	Nº of publications	ISI JCR	Quartile
Nurse Education Today	9	Yes	Q2
Journal of Nursing Education	8	Yes	Q3
Nursing Education Perspectives	5	No	-
Studies in Health Technology Informatics	5	No	-
Computers, Informatics, Nursing	4	Yes	Q3
International Journal of Nursing Education Scholarship	4	No	-
Nurse Educator	4	Yes	Q3
Nurse Education in Practice	4	No	-
Remaining journals (bellow or equal to 3)	37	-	-

Discussion

From the study conducted, it is noted that the PDA is the most evaluated device in university nursing teaching. PDAs have been used as educational tools both in theoretical classes as in clinical practices. In addition, if the wireless device has a location system, the information and the activities can be adapted to the context where the student is. Some studies have demonstrated that students save time when they use PDAs because they do not have to leave the patient when they need to search for information.44 besides increasing accuracy and reducing errors made. 52 Using PDAs has also been associated to improved leadership capacities, trust, and self-efficiency.54 However, some experimental studies⁵⁹ state that using PDAs has adverse effects with respect to knowledge acquisition. The advent of the smart phone, a natural evolution of the PDA, which includes functions of a mobile phone, opens new possibilities of application to the educational practice.

A wireless device that is reaching great popularity is the Clicker. This device permits creating creative learning environments, with a broad range of pedagogic opportunities for teachers: optional tutorials, formal classes, and cooperative learning through small discussion groups.82 Additionally, it has the capacity to gather immediate and anonymous feedback from students in the classroom.81 The responses selected by the students to questions made by the professor can be exposed and analyzed with a video beam, normally in histogram form or as a bar graph. Our study confirms that this device is a promising educational tool and its use has extended in all types of universities and careers,83 including careers in nursing.32 Because of its simplicity, the professor needs minimum technical knowledge and other wireless devices like mobile phones can be adapted as clicker through specific software. Multimedia players permit students to retrieve and play podcast recordings in English of complete classes or any other multimedia material provided by the professor. Although these devices are easily handled and their cost is minimal, our study shows that few have been researched in nursing education because their limited functions are included in PDAs and smart phones.⁶⁸

With the advent of the European Higher Education Area, wireless devices open an opportunity to adapt teaching to the distinct capacities and learning styles of students. A combination of technological media can promote active learning and facilitate reflexive and critical thought from students. In addition, a recent study84 showed that the use of wireless technology improves communication among the nursing team and diminishes response times for hospitalized patients. Hence, using these devices in classrooms will facilitate their adoption by future healthcare professionals. Another added advantage of the most advanced mobile devices. like smart phones and PDAs, is their access to the new cloud services.85 In the cloud, the resources found in the internet are lodged dynamically in different applications and services, with this whole process being transparent for the user. Thus, teachers will upload teaching materials onto the cloud and the students will get to them through access mechanisms to the traditional network, employing smart phones and PDAs.

Not everything is advantageous, we also found some inconveniences in these types of devices like their small screens and the difficulty represented by using pocket-sized devices. 10 Also, the new technologies challenge the traditional nursing culture and, hence, barriers must be overcome: some nursing professionals do not support the use of these devices by students21 and other professionals¹¹, and bedridden inpatients tend to be reticent and fearful of students using the wireless devices.21 Besides, the security of transmitting data in these devices can be solved through encrypting algorithms, while control to access is usually constructed via authentication mechanisms based on roles, but fear remains from students of the accidental loss of data or of the applications contained in them,51 as well as the fear of losing the device through theft.11

Conclusions. This work presents the results of a review of literature related to the use wireless technology in university nursing teaching. A comparative analysis was conducted and it has been shown that using mobile devices has undergone strong growth in the last six years, especially the use of PDAs. The results of this work also prove that further research is necessary on the experimentation of the use of clickers and smart phones in nursing teaching, so educators and professionals can effectively and efficiently benefit from the latest technological progress. Nevertheless, evidence exists that wireless devices are tools that improve the security and quality of healthcare and treatment, which could suggest its teaching and care application. A future line of work is a systematic review of the use of smart phones and multimedia players, as well as a meta-analysis of empirical studies on PDAs and clickers, so that a statistical power can be reached higher than the primary studies available, upon collecting a high number of observations. Likewise, our study was limited to works on wireless devices within the setting of health sciences. To obtain more sound conclusions, it is necessary to study adopting these devices in teaching other disciplines unrelated to health. As future work, the authors will address a review of the use of wireless devices in teaching other disciplines.

Limitations of the study. The procedures used in this study can present some limitations. Although the comparative study was done by following a previously established protocol, our intervention is decisive in the selection search chains. In this work, the search chains were not too restrictive, and proof of this is that of the 503 results produced by the search chains, 77 were selected after applying the inclusion criteria. Thereby, we consider that this sample is sufficient to obtain valid conclusions. Another aspect to keep in mind is that some publications may not be clearly classified. In this case, two researchers assigned the categories to each work, reaching agreement on 100% of the works.

References

- 1. Rubió FS. Aprendizaje colaborativo en red: el caso del laboratorio de telemedicina. Gaceta Sanitaria. 2011: 25(3):254–6.
- Fernández Alemán JL, Carrillo de Gea JM, Rodríguez Mondéjar JJ. Effects of competitive computer-assisted learning versus conventional teaching methods on the acquisition and retention of knowledge in medical surgical nursing students. Nurse Educ Today. 2011; 31(8):866-71.
- 3. Ainsley B, Brown A. The impact of informatics on nursing education: a review of the literature. J Contin Educ Nurs. 2009;40(5): 228–32.
- 4. Brown M, Lees S, Clay C. Mobile midwifery education. Pract Midwife. 2010; 13(9):31–2.
- 5. Dearnley C, Haigh J, Fairhall J. Using mobile technologies for assessment and learning in practice settings: a case study. Nurse Educ Pract. 2008; 8(3):197–204.
- 6. Billings DM. From teaching to learning in a mobile, wireless world. J Nurs Educ. 2005;44(8):343.
- 7. Hao ATH, Chang HK, Chong PP. Mobile learning for nursing education. AMIA Annu Symp Proc. 2006; 943.
- 8. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009; 62(10):1-34.
- Rosenstreich D, Wooliscroft B. Measuring the impact of accounting journals using Google Scholar and the g-index. Br Account Rev. 2009; 41(4):227-39.
- 10. Garrett BM, Jackson C. A mobile clinical e-portfolio for nursing and medical students, using wireless personal digital assistants (PDAs). Nurse Education in Practice. 2006; 6(6):339–46.
- 11. Bogossian FE, Kellett SEM, Mason B. The use of tablet pcs to access an electronic portfolio in the clinical setting: a pilot study using undergraduate nursing students. Nurse Educ Today. 2009; 29(2):246–53.

- 12. Gagne JCD. The impact of clickers in nursing education: A review of literature. Nurse Educ Today. 2011;31(8): 34-40.
- 13. Patterson B, Kilpatrick J, Woebkenberg E. Evidence for teaching practice: the impact of clickers in a large classroom environment. Nurse Educ Today. 2010; 30(7):603–7.
- 14. Wu PH, Hwang GJ, Tsai CC, Chen YC, Huang YM. A pilot study on conducting mobile learning activities for clinical nursing courses based on the repertory grid approach. Nurse Educ Today. 2011; 31(8):e8-15.
- 15. Broussard BB. To click or not to click: Learning to teach to the microwave generation. Nurse Educ Practice. 2012; 12(1):3-5.
- 16. McLeod RP, Mays MZ. Back to the future: personal digital assistants in nursing education. Nurs Clin North Am. 2008;43(4): 583–92.
- 17. Efstathiou N, Bailey C. Promoting active learning using audience response system in large bioscience classes. Nurse Educ Today. 2012;32(1): 91-5.
- 18. Lee NJ, Cho E, Bakken S. Identification of hypertension management related errors in a personal digital assistant-based clinical log for nurses in advanced practice nurse training. Asian Nurs Res. 2010; 4(1):19–31.
- 19. George LE, Davidson LJ, Serapiglia CP, Barla S, Thotakura A. Technology in nursing education: a study of PDA use by students. J Prof Nurs. 2010; 26(6):371–6.
- 20. Young P, Moore E, Griffiths G, Raine R, Stewart R, Cownie M. et al. Help is just a text away: The use of short message service texting to provide an additional means of support for health care students during practice placements original research article. Nurse Educ Today. 2010; 30(2):118-23.
- 21. Berglund M, Nilsson C, Révay P, Petersson G, NilssonG. Nurses' and nurse students' demands of functions and usability in a PDA. Int J Med Informatics. 2007; 76(7):530–7.
- 22. Clay CA. Exploring the use of mobile technologies for the acquisition of clinical skills. Nurse Educ Today. 2011; 31(6):582–6.
- 23. Cornelius F, Gordon M. PDA connections: mobile technology for health care professionals. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006.

- 24. Lee NJ, Bakken S. Development of a prototype personal digital assistant-decision support system for the management of adult obesity. Int J Med Informatics. 2007;76(Supplement 2):S281–92. Nurs Informatics 2006 Special Issue.
- 25. Taylor PP. Use of handheld devices in critical care. Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am. 2005; 17(1):45–50.
- 26. DeBourgh GA. Use of classroom "clickers" to promote acquisition of advanced reasoning skills. Nurse Educ Pract. 2008: 8(2):76–87.
- 27. Jones S, Henderson D, Sealover P. "Clickers" in the classroom. Teach Learn Nurs. 2009; 4(1):2–5.
- 28. Jensen R, Meyer L, Sternberger C. Three technological enhancements in nursing education: informatics instruction, personal response systems, and human patient simulation. Nurse Educ Pract. 2009; 9(2):86–90.
- 29. Phillippi JC, Wyatt TH. Smartphones in nursing education. Comput Inform Nurs. 2011; 29(8):449-54.
- 30. Russell JS, McWilliams M, Chasen L, Farley J. Using clickers for clinical reasoning and problem solving. Nurse Educ. 2011;36(1):13–5.
- 31. Solecki S, Cornelius F, Draper J, Fisher K. Integrating clicker technology at nursing conferences: an innovative approach to research data collection. Int J Nurs Pract. 2010; 16(3):268–73.
- 32. Mareno N, Bremner M, Emerson C. The use of audience response systems in nursing education: best practice guidelines. Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh. 2010; 7(1):1–17.
- 33. Filer D. Everyone's answering: using technology to increase classroom participation. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2010; 31(4):247–50.
- 34. Skiba DJ. E-portfolios, webfolio, and e-dentity: promises and challenges. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2005; 26(4):246–7.
- Johnston R, Hepworth J, Goldsmith M, Lacasse C. Use of iPod technology in medical-surgical nursing courses: effect on grades. Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh. 2010; 7:1-17.
- 36. Lymn J, Bowskill D. Learning on the move. Nurs Stand. 2010; 24(31):61.
- 37. Zurmehly J. Personal digital assistants (PDAs): review and evaluation. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2005;31(3): 179–82.

- 38. Kuiper R. Metacognitive factors that impact student nurse use of point of care technology in clinical settings. Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh. 2010; 7(1):1-15.
- 39. Williams MG, Dittmer A. Textbooks on tap: using electronic books housed in handheld devices in nursing clinical courses. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2009: 30(4):220–5.
- 40. Newman C, Buckley T, Dunn S, Cashin A. Preferences for continuing education through existing electronic access for Australian nurse practitioners and its implication in prescribing potential. Collegian 2009;16(2): 79–83.
- 41. Clark K, Colevins H, Bond D. Crossing the clinical chasm: from the backpack to the palm. J Nurses Staff Dev. 2009; 25(2):E14–8.
- 42. Anonson J, Schweitzer K, Bassendowski S, Ralston A, Schweitzer K. Personal digital assistants in the classroom—and beyond: a collaborative initiative of the college of New Caledonia, British Columbia and the University of Saskatchewan. J Healthc Inf Manag. 2008; 22(2):48–51.
- 43. Stroud SD, Smith CA, Erkel EA. Personal digital assistant use by nurse practitioners: a descriptive study. J Am Acad Nurse Pract. 2009; 21(1):31–8.
- 44. Koeniger-Donohue R. Handheld computers in nursing education: PDA pilot project. J Nurs Educ. 2008; 47(2):74–7.
- 45. Trangenstein P, Weiner E, Gordon J, McNew R. Data mining results from an electronic clinical log for nurse practitioner students. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2007; 129(Pt 2):1387–91.
- 46. Greenfield S. Medication error reduction and the use of PDA technology. J Nurs Educ. 2007; 46(3):127–131.
- 47. Newman K, Howse E. The impact of a PDA-assisted documentation tutorial on student nurses' attitudes. Comput Inform Nurs. 2007; 25(2):76–83; quiz 84–5.
- 48. Bakken S, Jenkins M, Choi J, Hyun S, John R, Joyce M, et al. Usefulness of a personal digital assistant-based advanced practice nursing student clinical log: Faculty stakeholder exemplars. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2006; 122:698–702.
- 49. Dreher HM, Cornelius F, Draper J, Pitkar H, Manco J, Song IY. The fusion of gerontology and technology in nursing education: History and demonstration of the gerontological informatics reasoning

- project-grip. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2006; 122:486-9.
- 50. Tilghman J, Raley D, Conway JJ. Family nurse practitioner students utilization of personal digital assistants (PDAs): implications for practice. ABNF J. 2006; 17(3):115–7.
- 51. Scollin P, Callahan J, Mehta A, García E. The PDA as a reference tool: libraries' role in enhancing nursing education. Comput Inform Nurs. 2006; 24(4):208–13.
- 52. Altmann TK, Brady D. PDAs bring information competence to the point-of-care. Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh. 2005; 2(1):1-12.
- 53. Davenport CB. Preparing text for PDA reading. Nurse Educ. 2005;30(5):193–4.
- 54. White A, Allen P, Goodwin L, Breckinridge, D, Dowell, J, Garvy, R. Infusing PDA technology into nursing education. Nurse Educ. 2005; 30(4):150–4.
- 55. Kneebone R, Nestel D, Ratnasothy J, Kidd J, Darzi A. The use of handheld computers in scenario-based procedural assessments. Med Teach. 2003; 25(6):632–42.
- 56. Bakken S, Cook SS, Curtis L, Desjardins K, Hyun S, Jenkins M, et al. Promoting patient safety through informatics-based nursing education. Int J Med Inform. 2004; 73(7-8):581–9.
- 57. Moore L, Richardson BR, Williams RW. The USU medical PDA initiative: the PDA as an educational tool. Proc AMIA Symp. 2002; 528–32.
- 58. Brubaker CL, Ruthman J, Walloch JA. The usefulness of personal digital assistants (PDAs) to nursing students in the clinical setting: a pilot study. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2009; 30(6):390–2.
- 59. Farrell MJ, Rose L. Use of mobile handheld computers in clinical nursing education. J Nurs Educ. 2008; 47(1):13–9.
- 60. Fox CR, Day D, Griffin A, Huckstadt A. Capturing patient encounters during health care provider training using personal digital assistants. J Allied Health. 2007; 36(4):e326–52.
- 61. McClunie-Trust P. Mobile computing in a New Zealand bachelor of nursing programme. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2006; 122:605–8.
- 62. Smith CM, Pattillo RE. PDAs in the nursing curriculum: providing data for internal funding. Nurse Educ. 2006; 31(3):101–2.

- 63. Miller J, Shaw-Kokot JR, Arnold MS,Boggin T, Crowell KE, Allegri F, et al. A study of personal digital assistants to enhance undergraduate clinical nursing education. J Nurs Educ. 2005; 44(1):19–26.
- 64. Scordo KA, Yeager S, Young L. Use of personal digital assistants with acute care nurse practitioner students. AACN Clin Issues. 2003; 14(3):350–62.
- 65. Cibulka NJ, Crane-Wider L. Introducing personal digital assistants to enhance nursing education in undergraduate and graduate nursing programs. J Nurs Educ. 2011; 50(2):115–8.
- 66. Pilcher J, Bedford L. Podcasts, webcasts, sims, and more: new and innovative ways for nurses to learn. Neonatal Netw. 2010; 29(6):396–9.
- 67. Long SR, Edwards PB. Podcasting: making waves in millennial education. J Nurses Staff Dev. 2010; 26(3):96–101; quiz 102–3.
- 68. Greenfield S. Podcasting: a new tool for student retention? J Nurs Educ. 2011;50(2):112–4.
- 69. MacKay B, Harding T. M-support: keeping in touch on placement in primary health care settings. Nurs Prax N Z. 2009; 25(2):30–40.
- 70. Morris J, Maynard V. Pilot study to test the use of a mobile device in the clinical setting to access evidence-based practice resources. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2010; 7(4):205–13.
- 71. Trangenstein PA. Electronic toolkit for nursing education. Nurs Clin North Am. 2008; 43(4):535–46.
- 72. Maag M. Podcasting: An emerging technology in nursing education. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2006; 122:835–6.
- 73. Farrell M. Nursing and midwifery education using mobile technologies. Aust Nurs J. 2006; 14(1):25.
- 74. Valaitis RK, O'Mara LM. Public health nurses' perceptions of mobile computing in a school program. Comput Inform Nurs. 2005; 23(3):153–60.
- 75. Spurlock WR, Knighton E, Brown SC, Conner R. Providing care to a culturally diverse community:

- a mobile academic nursing center. Semin Nurse Manag. 1999; 7(4):183–7.
- 76. DeBaca V. Mobile learning center–a creative teaching method. J Contin Educ Nurs. 1984; 15(4):139–40.
- 77. Rollo J. A new approach to learning: the mobile teaching unit. Nurs Times. 1976; 72(36):suppl:130–2.
- 78. Mertz CM. The use of the auto-tutorial laboratory and the mobile-tutorial unit in teaching nursing techniques at Delta College. How it really is. NLN Publ. 1970; (23-1411):48–50.
- 79. Lange CM. The use of the auto-tutorial laboratory and the mobile-tutorial unit in teaching nursing techniques at Delta College. Concepts of the multisensory approach. NLN Publ. 1970; (23-1411):42–7.
- 80. Folgueras LE. The use of the auto-tutorial laboratory and the mobile-tutorial unit in teaching nursing techniques at Delta College. Concepts and historical development. NLN Publ. 1970; (23-1411):39–41.
- 81. Porter AG, Tousman S. Evaluating the effect of interactive audience response systems on the perceived learning experience of nursing students. J Nurs Educ. 2010; 49(9):523–7.
- 82. Nichol D, Boyle J. Peer instruction versus classwide discussion in large classes: a comparison of two interaction methods in the wired classroom. Stud Higher Educ. 2003; 28(4):457–73.
- 83. MacArthur J, Jones L. A review of literature reports of clickers applicable to college chemistry classrooms. Chemistry Educ Res Practice. 2008; 9:187–95.
- 84. Guarascio-Howard L. Examination of wireless technology to improve nurse communication, response time to bed alarms, and patient safety. Health Environ Res Design J. 2011; 4(2):109–20.
- 85. Dinh AK. Cloud computing 101. J AHIMA. 2011;82(4):36–7.