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Abstract

Introduction: The ultrasonic agitation was introduced as an adjuvant to conventional chemo-mechanical debridement during endodontic treatment to overcome the persistence of biofilms. Objective: To verify the cleaning of root canals irrigated with sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), with or without an ultrasonic agitation, using different time periods and images obtained by scanning electron microscope (SEM). Material and methods: Forty mandibular incisors were cleaned, shaped and randomly divided into five groups according to the final irrigation protocol: SH10 group (ultrasonic agitation with NaOCl for 10 s), SH30 group (ultrasonic agitation with NaOCl for 30 s), SHE30 group (ultrasonic agitation with NaOCl and EDTA for 10 s), SHE90 group (ultrasonic agitation with NaOCl and EDTA for 30 s), and control group (NaOCl and EDTA without ultrasonic agitation). The teeth were prepared and analyzed by SEM at ×2000. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used with a 5% level of significance. Results: For the cervical and medial thirds, there was no statistically significant difference in cleaning among the protocols used (p > 0.05). For the cleaning of the apical third, SHE90 group demonstrated a significant difference (p < 0.05), as compared to the control and SH10 groups. Conclusion: For the final irrigation, an ultrasonic agitation with NaOCl and EDTA for 30 s allowed a better cleaning of the debris in the apical third of the root canal.
Introduction

In the presence of infection, one purpose of the mechanical cleaning of the root canal system is the removal of the inner layer of contaminated dentin, by cutting the walls of this anatomical space. However, dentin surfaces may remain untouched after endodontic preparation [32, 44], so this disinfection must be complemented by the action of irrigating solutions [10, 24]. Moreover, during instrumentation, there is the production of dentin shavings, which if left in the root canal alongside the remnants of the necrotic tissues and microorganisms, may lead to the perpetuation of infection and generation of an adverse endodontic treatment prognosis [39].

The need for smear layer removal and the disinfection of the root canal makes irrigation crucial in complementing instrumentation. To this end, a sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solution is recommended as the main irrigating solution because of its broad antimicrobial activity and ability to dissolve organic tissue [16]. Because of its chelating action, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is also recommended as a form of adjuvant irrigation to remove and prevent smear layer formation [45].

Although conventional irrigation (needle/syringe) has been widely used, several techniques and devices have been proposed to optimize the chemical and mechanical properties and improve the penetration of irrigating solutions; these include the use of syringes associated with modified needles, sonic and ultrasonic devices, and negative pressure irrigation systems [7, 17, 22]. Literature reviews suggest promising results regarding the use of passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI), as ultrasonic agitation with irrigating solutions allows for better cleaning of the root canal by removing organic tissue, bacteria, and dentinal debris [27, 42]. The agitation of the irrigating liquid occurs with a small file freely positioned in the root canal and close to its apical section that does not promote cuts in the dentinal walls [43].

In the field of endodontics, dentists are constantly searching for a more effective and faster root canal cleaning method, and the lack of protocol standardization constitutes a real problem. To date, there is no consensus regarding the time required for PUI use [6, 21, 31, 40], and these treatment periods may vary from 10 s to 3 min [19, 20, 21, 29]. Furthermore, the influence of time on its efficacy is not clear [42]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to verify the effectiveness of cleaning the canals with NaOCl and EDTA irrigation, agitated with or without an ultrasound using different treatment periods and images obtained with a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

Material and methods

The protocol followed in this study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of the University of Pernambuco (UPE, Camaragibe, State of Pernambuco, Brazil), under protocol #0173.0.097.000-11, ethically conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association) by a single operator.

Selection and preparation of samples

As assessed through radiographic examination, central and lateral human mandibular incisors with intact pulp chambers and single straight canals were included in this study. Those with internal radicular resorption, external radicular resorption, or both; incompletely formed roots; pulpal calcification; or canals with curvatures exceeding 20 degrees were excluded. Forty incisors were selected and stored in a 0.1% thymol solution until use.

Crowns were removed with a diamond disc (Axis SybronEndo, Coppell, TX, USA) for standardization of the roots to 15 mm. Measurements were confirmed by a digital caliper (Digimess, São Paulo, Brazil). A #10 K-type file (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was inserted into the root canal until it was visible at the apical foramen, with the aid of a dental operating microscope (DF Vasconcelos S/A, São Paulo, Brazil) at a magnification of ×5. The working length (WL) was set at 1 mm below the apical foramen.

Instrumentation of the canals

The canals were prepared up to the F4 file of the ProTaper rotary file system (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), coupled to an X-Smart electric motor (Dentsply Maillefer), both of which were used according to the manufacturers’ guidelines. The patency of the foramen was maintained with the #15 K-type file, inserted at each instrument change. Irrigation was performed with a syringe, a 30-gauge needle (NaviTip, Ultradent, South Jordan, UT, USA), and 3 ml of 2.5% NaOCl at each instrument change; after the end of the preparation, the canals were dried with an F4 absorbent paper point (Dentsply Maillefer).
Protocol for the final PUI irrigations

The samples were randomized into five groups with eight specimens each. The root canals were irrigated using a disposable syringe and a NaviTip 30-gauge needle (Ultradent Products®, Inc, USA), positioned 2 mm below the WL. An ultrasonic unit (ENAC, Osaka, Tokyo, Japan) was used at medium power (30% to 35%) with a 21-mm IRRISAFE #25/00 insert (Satelec, Acteon group, Merignac, France) that was positioned 2 mm below the WL. At the end of the final irrigation protocol, the canals were dried with an F4 absorbent paper point.

Control group

In the first step, the irrigations were performed with 3 ml of 2.5% NaOCl (Fórmula e Ação, São Paulo, SP, Brazil); with 3 ml of 17% EDTA (Fórmula e Ação) manually agitated with #15 K-type file for 3 min; and with 3 ml of physiological saline solution. In the next step, the irrigation was finalized with 3 ml of 2.5% NaOCl.

SH10 group with 2.5% NaOCl for 10 s

The first step was the same as in the control group; in the second step, the irrigation was concluded with 3 ml of 2.5% NaOCl using ultrasonic agitation for 10 s.

SH30 group with 2.5% NaOCl for 30 s

The procedures for this group were the same as in the SH10 group, except for the ultrasonic agitation, which was performed for 30 s.

SHE30 group with 2.5% NaOCl and 17% EDTA for 30 s

The following irrigations were performed: ultrasonic agitation with 3 ml of 2.5% NaOCl for 10 s; 3 min with 3 ml of 17% EDTA with ultrasonic agitation for 10 s; and with 3 ml of physiological saline. Subsequently, irrigation was finalized using ultrasonic agitation with 3 ml of 2.5% NaOCl for 10 s.

SHE90 group with 2.5% NaOCl and 17% EDTA for 90 s

The procedures for this group were the same as for the SHE30 group, except for 30s of ultrasonic agitation with the solutions.

Image acquisition and analysis

The teeth were grooved with a diamond disk and longitudinally cleaved with a rongeur that generated two hemisections, of which one was randomly chosen for use in this study. The hemisections that had failures after cutting were rejected, and new samples were selected. Samples were dried, mounted on stubs, and gold sputtered, and the images were acquired with an SEM Quanta 200F (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA), operated at 30 kV. Magnified images (×2000) were obtained and measured from the root apex, which were relative to the apical (2 mm), medial (6 mm), and cervical (12 mm) portions of the root canal. The resulting 120 images were analyzed by three evaluators, who were blinded to this study's treatments, and who had previously been calibrated in accordance with the criteria described by Rome et al. [36], which we adopted in this study. The analyses were performed by assigning scores, and the rating system was as follows: score 1 (S1), dentinal tubules were open and free of debris (figure 1); score 2 (S2), contour of the dentinal tubules was visible or partially obliterated with debris; and score 3 (S3), most contours of the dentinal tubules were imperceptible (figure 2).

Figure 1 – Sample with dentinal tubules open and free of debris
Statistical analysis

The Cohen's kappa coefficient was used to calculate the concordances of inter- and intra-evaluators. For data analysis, the Kruskal-Wallis test was performed with a level of significance of 5%. SPSS v 17 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), and MedCalc v 12.5.0.0 were used for data input and calculations.

Results

The inter-evaluator concordance was 86% (confidence interval 0.8320–0.9814), while the intra-evaluator concordance was 97% (confidence interval 0.9632–1.0) (p = 0.9825). Therefore, according to the criteria of Landis and Koch (1977), the replicability of this study can be considered excellent (0.81–1.0).

In the cervical and medial thirds, there was no statistically significant difference regarding the cleaning according to the protocols used (p > 0.05).

For the cleaning of the apical third, the SHE90 group showed a significant difference (p < 0.05), as compared to the control and SH10 groups. Although no significant difference, SH30 and SHE30 groups achieved superior cleaning compared to control and SH10 groups and lower than SHE90 (table I).

Table I – Comparative test results among the groups (by analyzed third)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Apical third</th>
<th>Medial third</th>
<th>Cervical third</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>26.00 (A)</td>
<td>19.88</td>
<td>21.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH10</td>
<td>26.00 (A)</td>
<td>25.38</td>
<td>21.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH30</td>
<td>21.00 (AB)</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHE30</td>
<td>16.00 (AB)</td>
<td>19.88</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHE90</td>
<td>13.50 (B)</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p value : Kruskal–Wallis test
†: There was a statistically significant difference

Discussion

Similar to previous studies [11, 14, 23, 25, 26], the cleaning quality of the dentinal tubules after different irrigation protocols was analyzed through images obtained with SEM. In this study, the lower incisors were selected because they have an oval shape, an anatomy that clinically hampers mechanical instrumentation. Wu and collaborators [44] demonstrated that 40–60% of the inner layer of dentin remains untouched after instrumentation of the lower incisors, which have oval-shaped canals. The limitation of mechanical cleaning leads to a need for supplementation with irrigating solutions for promoting the chemical-mechanical removal of debris, as well as an antimicrobial action in areas untouched by the instruments [34]. For our study, the instrumentation was performed up to the F4 file, which has a #40 diameter tip and conicity of 0.06. Brunson et al. [9] concluded that an apical preparation with a #40 diameter allows for a higher volume of irrigating fluid in the apical third, as compared to that of preparations with a lower diameter. In addition, de Gregorio et al. [13] observed that apical preparations finalized with a 40.06-diameter increase both the volume and exchange of irrigators in this same portion of the root canal.

Ultrasonic agitation with NaOCl has been proposed because it improves the cleaning quality of the root canal [5, 19, 28, 35, 38]. It was used Irrisafe #25/.00 insert that has smaller diameter...
EDTA may interfere in the antimicrobial ability of NaOCl applications in our study, because NaOCl does not alter the chelating ability of EDTA [15]. Although the cleaning effectiveness may be considered more critical in the apical portion [4, 8], the depth of penetration by the needle may improve the fluid distribution and the mechanical effect of an irrigating solution, with consequent debris removal [1, 18]. Nevertheless, Munoz and Camacho-Cuadra [30] attested that ultrasonic agitation with an irrigating liquid favored distribution in the apical third as compared to conventional irrigation, even with the needle positioned at 2 mm from the WL.

Conclusion

It can be concluded that the use of EDTA followed by NaOCl in the final irrigation protocol improves the cleaning of debris in the apical third of the root canal, whether these solutions are ultrasonically agitated for 30 s.
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