



Revista Portuguesa de Pneumologia

ISSN: 0873-2159

sppneumologia@mail.telepac.pt

Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia
Portugal

Sersar, S.I.

The Egyptian technique revisited (Sersar-Mansoura technique). How to remove some inhaled foreign
bodies through rigid bronchoscopy without using a forceps

Revista Portuguesa de Pneumologia, vol. 17, núm. 5, septiembre-octubre, 2011, pp. 222-224

Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia

Lisboa, Portugal

Available in: <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=169722515006>

- How to cite
- Complete issue
- More information about this article
- Journal's homepage in redalyc.org

redalyc.org

Scientific Information System

Network of Scientific Journals from Latin America, the Caribbean, Spain and Portugal

Non-profit academic project, developed under the open access initiative



COMMENT

The Egyptian technique revisited (Sersar-Mansoura technique) How to remove some inhaled foreign bodies through rigid bronchoscopy without using a forceps

A Técnica Egípcia revisitada (Técnica de Sersar-Mansoura): como remover
alguns corpos estranhos inalados através de broncoscopia rígida, sem
recorrer ao uso de fórceps

S.I. Sersar

Mansoura University, Cardiothoracic Surgery Department, Algomhouria street, Mansoura, Egypt

The most common cause of accidental death at home among children under 6 years of age is foreign body aspiration (FBA).¹

A high index of clinical suspicion, combined with the medical history, physical signs and radiology is more conclusive than any one of these in isolation. The greater the availability of expertise and endoscopic equipment the more likely there is to be a favorable outcome without significant morbidity and mortality.²

In order to limit the risk of complications, early diagnosis is vital and this is why fiberoptic bronchoscopy is so valuable in diagnosing suspected inhalation when there is no clinical or radiological confirmation. Rigid bronchoscopy is the only procedure that allows diagnosis and removal of the foreign body (FB).³

Kiyan et al.⁴ found the clinical history and symptoms to have highest sensitivity compared to physical examination and radiology. They found the radiology to have the highest positive predictive value while physical examination had the highest negative predictive value and the clinical history the lowest.⁴

Radiological signs related to foreign body inhalation are usually due to obstruction of the valve which may be any of the following: bypass, check, ball and stop valve obstruction.

The radiological signs of FBA include hyperinflation, atelectasis, radiopaque foreign bodies, pneumonia, emphysema, bronchiectasis, pulmonary abscess or no abnormality detected.⁵

Swanson et al.², proposed the following algorithm to evaluate and manage cases of suspected foreign body aspiration:

1. Rigid bronchoscopy if any of the following are present: asphyxia, a radiopaque foreign body, or a unilateral decreased breath sounds and obstructive emphysema.
2. Flexible bronchoscopy in all other cases.
3. If flexible bronchoscopy identifies a foreign body, rigid bronchoscopic extraction should be performed.

Focal hyperinflation, witnessed choking crisis, elevated white blood cell count are closely associated with FBA. Rigid bronchoscopy is strongly recommended in the presence of at least 2 risk factors when FBA is suspected.⁶

Cohen et al.⁷ 2009 suggested the following algorithm for cases with history suggestive of FBA:

1. For symptomatic children and/or abnormal physical findings and/or abnormal chest x-ray; either flexible or rigid bronchoscopy is indicated. If flexible bronchoscopy identifies a FB, then rigid bronchoscopy is indicated to remove it. The indications for rigid bronchoscopy are;

E-mail address: Sameh001@yahoo.com

The Egyptian Technique (Sersar Technique); Mansoura Technique

radiopaque FB, mediastinal shift and localized findings on chest clinical or examination.

2. If the patient is asymptomatic without physical and radiological findings, then a follow up should be sufficient.⁷

Rafanan and Mehta⁸ suggested the following guidelines for bronchoscopic foreign body removal:

1. Complications occur when a bronchoscopy is performed for unclear reasons or for the wrong indications.
2. Preparation ensures 50% success.
3. Bronchoscopy is a three-handed procedure.
4. A good bronchoscopist has excellent skills, but an excellent bronchoscopist is surrounded by excellent support and backup.
5. Time and commitment are essential.
6. Know your limitations.
7. Each case should be seen as a teaching opportunity.⁸

The bronchoscopic accessories of different sizes should include the Grasping Forceps, Balloon Catheters, Dormia Basket, Fish-Net Basket, Three-, Four-, or Five-Pronged Snares, Magnet Extractor, Cryotherapy and Neodymium-yttriumaluminum- garnet (Nd- YAG) Laser. A serious misconception is that the FB is removed through the working channel of the bronchoscope. The FB is never removed this way. The key to removing FBs lies in being able to secure the object properly by grasping or enclosing it with a forceps or basket. Once the object is snared, all three items (bronchoscope, grasping instrument, and FB) are removed from the patient simultaneously. During removal, the bronchoscopist should make every attempt to visualize the FB continuously, always keeping it in the center of the airway.⁸

We consider headscarf (veil) pins dangerous as they are very sharp and the number of cases presented is currently increasing in our area. They may traumatize the airway during removal. Our method of removal used to with the rigid bronchoscopy, either just pulling the pin out from its tip or simultaneously with the bronchoscope or pulling the pin from the middle against the end of the bronchoscope bending it and then again just pulling out the pin simultaneously with the bronchoscope. We had one catastrophic bleeding and some baro-trauma, which was actually the stimulus for us to think about our Egyptian technique; Sersar technique (Mansoura Technique) that was introduced in 2003-2004 and published later.^{9,10}

It is a new technique for removing a FB from the airway by using the rigid bronchoscope without the extracting forceps. It depends on very good cooperation between the thoracic surgeon and the anesthesia team. The thoracic surgeon and/or the interventional pulmonologist need good patient sedation and muscle paralysis. He (she) must tell the anesthesiologist that a very short period of anesthesia and paralysis may be needed, with the possibility of retrials. Good anesthesia should provide rapid induction, good ventilation and oxygenation, and a satisfactory degree of muscle relaxation with no or minimal post-operative complications. The rigid bronchoscope is introduced into the airway very slowly until the FB is reached, and then the bronchoscope is manipulated until its tip completely surrounds the tip of the FB. The bronchoscope is pushed distally while the FB is in the center of the tip of the bronchoscope, and the

technician is asked to lower the head of the operating table gradually. Assisted by this postural drainage and chest compressions, the bronchoscope with the FB in the center of the tip is withdrawn under direct vision, maintaining the normal drainage. This procedure has the following advantages:

1. Minimal or no risk of airway tear.
2. A short time is needed.
3. It can be used for sharp objects with a small diameter as well as for friable organic rounded FB that cannot be caught by the forceps.
4. It can be easily taught to junior staff in the start of their career.

This method has the following disadvantages and contraindications:

1. It is not possible in all cases and it requires very good cooperation and harmony between the anesthesiologist and the thoracic surgery teams.⁹⁻¹¹

Harischandra et al.¹² stated that during pin extraction it is important to ensheath the pointed end within the rigid bronchoscope to protect the bronchial mucosa from damage. Several methods have been described, one using extraction forceps and the other using postural drainage to assist with removal, without forceps (the Sersar or Egyptian technique). When the pin point is impacted within the mucosa, pushing the pin distally or bending it in the middle may cause dislodgement.¹²

It is wise to stop and repeat the bronchoscopy if there is bleeding of granulation tissues or if a part of FB is difficult to extract. A second but safer session decreases complication rates.

Re-Re-bronchoscopy can even be advisable. It is better to perform a bronchoscopy to conclude that there is no FB rather than to leave a FB in the bronchial tree.^{3-13,14}

Delaying the removal of suspected inhaled foreign body to allow optimal circumstances for manipulation of the tracheal airway is a safe practice at some institutions.¹⁵ We conclude that this new type of foreign body inhalation (headscarf pins), and other sharp ended and some rounded organic and inorganic foreign bodies can be removed using the Egyptian technique (Mansoura Technique) in a reasonable percentage of patients.

References

1. Rouillon I, Charrier JB, Devictor D, Portier F, Lebret IK, et al. Lower respiratory tract foreign bodies: A retrospective review of morbidity, mortality and first aid management. *Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2006;70:1949-55.
2. Swanson KL, Prakash UB, Midhun DE, Edell ES, McDougall JC, et al. Flexible bronchoscopic management of airway foreign bodies in children. *Chest*. 2002;121:169-73.
3. Wroblewski I, Pin I. Outcomes of children after bronchoscopic foreign body inhalation. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim*. 2003;22:6-10.
4. Kıyan G, Gocmen B, Tugtepe H, Karakoc F, Daglı E, Dönmez S. Foreign body aspiration in children: The value of different criteria. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2009;73:963-7.
5. Güzkaya F, Akçali Y, Kahraman C, Bilgin M, Sahin A. Tracheal foreign body aspirations in childhood: a 10-year experience. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 1998;14:388-92.

6. Heyer CM, Bollmeier ME, Rossler L, Nuesslein TG, Stephan V, Bauer TT, et al. Evaluation of clinical, radiologic, and laboratory prebronchoscopy findings in children with suspected foreign body aspiration. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2006;41:1882–8.
7. Cohen S, Avital A, Godfrey S, Gross M, Kerem E, Springer C. Suspected foreign body inhalation in children: what are the indications for bronchoscopy? *J Pediatr.* 2009;155:276–80.
8. Rafanan AL, Mehta AC. Adult airway foreign body removal. What's new? *Clin Chest Med.* 2001;22:319–30.
9. Sersar IS, Abdulla AK, Abulela SA, Elsaied AS, Abdulmageed NA. A novel technique to remove an inhaled foreign body without using a forceps. *J Cardiovasc Dis.* 2004;2:157–8.
10. Sersar SI, Rizk WH, Bilal M, El Diasty MM, Eltantawy TA, Abdelhakam BB, et al. Inhaled foreign bodies: presentation, management and value of history and plain chest radiography in delayed presentation. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2006;134:92–9.
11. Kaptanoglu M, Nadir A, Dogan K, Sahin E. The nature of —Turban Pinsll in foreign body aspiration: central anatolian experience. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2007;71:553–8.
12. Harischandra DV, Swanevelter J, Firmin RK. The inaccessibility to the bronchoscope: a management challenge. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2009;123:1399–401.
13. Zerella JT, Dimler M, McGill LC, Pippus KJ. Foreign body aspiration in children: value of radiography and computed tomography in the diagnosis of foreign body aspiration. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1998;33:1651–4.
14. Tang FL, Chen MZ, Du ZL, Zou CC, Zhao YZ. Fiberoptic treatment of foreign body aspiration in children: experience of 5 years in Hangzhou City, China. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2006;41:e1–5.
15. Mani N, Soma M, Massey S, id Albert C. Martin Bailey. Foreign body aspiration in children—Middle of the night or the morning? *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2009;73:1085–9.